NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

PUBLIC HEARING

CONGRESSIONAL AND STATE LEGISLATIVE REDISTRICTING

Hamilton Hearing Room B

Legislative Office Building, 2nd Floor

Albany, New York

Thursday, August 4, 2011

10:00 a.m.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, Co-Chair

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, Co-Chair

SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS

DEBRA LEVINE, Co-Executive Director

LEWIS HOPPE, Co-Executive Director

ROMAN HEDGES

WELQUIS LOPEZ

INDEX

	Page
PANEL: JOHN. J. MCENENY CO-CHAIR	6
NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	
SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO CO-CHAIR	8
NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	
SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	10
ROBERT OAKS	13
ASSEMBLY MEMBER NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	
WELQUIS LOPEZ NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC	14
RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	
ROMAN HEDGES NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	15
INDIVIDUALS TESTIFYING:	
ALICE GREEN, PHD EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CENTER FOR LAW & JUSTICE	16
YVETTE D. CLARKE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS	26
MONICA M. ARIAS MIRANDA, MPA PRESIDENT& CEO THE HISPANIC COALITION NY, INC	33
ESMERALDA SIMMONS, ESQ EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, CENTER FOR LAW & SOCIAL JUSTIC MEDGAR EVERS COLLEGE	41 CE
MEDGAR EVERS COLLEGE BLACK NEW YORKERS FOR FAIR REDISTRICTING	

ALBANY BRANCH NAACP

(The public hearing commenced at 10:10

3 a.m.)

2.2

CHAIR, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: --decade. My name is Jack McEneny. I'm the co-chair of the New York State Legislative Task Force on Demographic Research and Reapportionment. And this is a redistricting hearing, one of twelve, and we are joined today by my co-chair, my--we'll have speak in a moment, Senator Mike Nozzolio from Central New York, also Senator Dilan from New York City, representing the minority in the Senate, and Assemblyman Bob Oaks, also from upstate New York.

on the LATFOR Commission, and on the Senate side Welquis Lopez, whom we often call Ray Lopez, represents the Senate, and on the Assembly side is Roman Hedges. The executive directors, codirectors, are one each, from the Senate side is Debra Levine, who is up here, and Lew Hoppe on the Assembly side. I noticed earlier that Assemblyman Denny Farrell had dropped in, and I

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011

expect there will be other members who will drop

in from time to time to watch the proceedings.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

The function that we're going through now is a reflection of the requirement of the New York State Constitution and Federal Law, that as soon as practical, the results of the decennial census comes out, the Federal census, then the legislature must redistrict itself. I know there are some other proposals out there that someone else should do that; even those proposals constitutionally would have to be finally be approved by the legislature with new districts, and then assigned by the Governor. This year we have more pressure than in past years. We still anticipate twelve preliminary hearings on the existing lines that are there, people with suggestions as to how to reflect appropriately and fairly the shift in population. We will end these on the 5th of October and will have had these sessions across the State. During October and November, lines will actually be drawn with proposed Senate and Assembly Districts, and then twelve more public hearings, most of them in this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

exact same location, where people will come in and speak more specifically, criticizing the lines as to what they do or what they fail to do in respect to the communities that are represented. I would like to ask the members of the panel if they might make some opening comments, and then I'll start with my co-chair, Senator Michael Nozzolio.

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, CO-CHAIR, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Thank you very much, Assemblyman. And it's very good to be with you again as we are becoming fast friends. We've always been friends, but we're spending more and more time together in the weeks past, and certainly in the future as you well stated, Assemblyman McEneny, that there are a dozen hearings that we are conducting. And those hearings are our efforts to establish the fairest, most open, transparent, and bipartisan redistricting process that ever has occurred in this state. We are dedicated to that objective. I know you share it, I share it. I need, though,

24

to emphasize that we are, as the Senate majority, clearly and crystally focused on complying with every appropriate State and Federal statute, particularly the Voting Rights Act, the Constitutions of our United States and State of New York, and that we are dedicated to establishing the letter and spirit of compliance with those laws. And that we have other matters that, logistic and managerial, that the Task Force will have to wrestle with in the days and I look forward to those weeks ahead. discussions, and that we certainly are united in our focus on strict compliance with the laws of the state and nation. I'd also like to emphasize to our speakers that we are here to hear from you, and the more specific our speakers are, the more testimony, the more--whether it be today at the hearing or those who are viewing our proceedings, and I indicate -- amplify what Assemblyman McEneny said, our proceedings are videoed for the first time in our redistricting histories of this state. The video is made available on the LATFOR website for all to view,

2.2

and that as you view this, we would very much appreciate having specific suggestions. In Onondaga County and in Monroe County that we conducted our hearings on two weeks ago, those hearings presented some very specifics for representation, and we hope that plans are put forward to the Task Force, sharing with the Task Force specifics in terms of what the public wishes to see in their redistricting product.

With that, I'd like to thank you again, Assemblyman, and the members of the Task Force for your participation, and look forward to the testimony here today.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Senator Dilan?

SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN, NYS LEGISLATIVE

TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND

REAPPORTIONMENT: Thank you very much, sir. I'd

just like to say good morning, and again, I'd

like to thank the co-chairs for calling today's

meeting, and thank all those that came out to

testify, and look forward to hearing their

remarks. I would like to reiterate the

importance that the 2011-12 redistricting process

24

be the most transparent and participatory in the state history. I feel that the citizens have made it clear that they expect no less. believe that it is in LATFOR's best interest to adopt fair and objective criteria rules for redistricting. Our co-chairs have said that they want this to be a fair and transparent redistricting process. Outlining objective criteria will help achieve this goal. criteria should reflect public comments made during our first two public hearings, including minimal population deviation within a plus or minus 1% range where possible. The formula is simple. Take the state's population, 19.3 million people, and divide it by 62 Senate districts. The average Senate district should have at least 312,550 people. Every Senate district should be as close to this number as possible. Over-populating and under-populating district invites regional bias, and could violate the one-person/one-vote rule. Better defined district contiguity, you shouldn't have to go outside a district to get back inside, nor should

2.2

you have to take a boat to get from one part to the other. And districts recognizing communities of interest. Communities of interest grouped together can provide better representation for Latino, African-Americans, Caribbean-Americans, and Asian-American populations. Other excellent criteria can be found in Governor Cuomo's program bill for redistricting reform. We should consider all of them.

There are some additional issues I believe should be addressed at this time. I believe that this task force should set the number of State Senate districts now. I believe that this—I would also like to—like this Task Force to also address the matter of geo-coding prisoners to their home districts of record in compliance with the State Law of 2010. I will be submitting a resolution for the Task Force's consideration confirming this through the body, intent to comply with the State Law. Since LATFOR does not have rules of procedure, I believe we can even convene a Task Force meeting today, at the conclusion of today's session, to

Thank

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 move on these resolutions, and I look forward to 3 hearing testimony from everyone. And as you 4 speak, I hope to develop some of my points. 5 Thank you. MR. MCENENY: Thank you, Senator. 6 7 Assembly Member Bob Oaks. ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS, NYS 8 9 LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: I'll just be very short so 10 11 we can get to hearing from our people. I just 12 want to say that it's my pleasure to be a part of 13 this panel and task force as we go about this 14 state receiving people's comments and input. 15 Clearly we need a fair and open process, and 16 hopefully your comments today will include that, 17 and I look forward to hearing them. Thank you. 18 MR. MCENENY: Thank you, Assemblymen. 19 Dr. Lopez--Mr. Lopez, I'm sorry. 20 WELQUIS LOPEZ, NYC LEGISLATIVE TASK 21 FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND 2.2 REAPPORTIONMENT: Thank you for the doctor, I 23 appreciate that very much. Thank you from both

chairmen. (Speaking in foreign language.)

24

2.2

you for attending this public hearing. This Task
Force committed to conduct in a fair and
bipartisan redistrict process, and your testimony
here today is a critical part of the process, so
testimonial (speaking in foreign language).
We're conducting this hearing in different
regions across the state so that we can truly
listen to the many different voice of the people
of New York. We ask that you please concentrate
your comments today on how you think the State
Legislative and Congressional District should be
drawn. We want to hear your recommendation on
the composition of the District in your areas.
(Speaking in foreign language). Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Dr. Hedges.

ROMAN HEDGES, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE
ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT:
Thank you. I look forward to today. I am
hopeful that we will get good ideas and that we
will get lively presentations from those of you
who are speaking today. It is important, as
others have said, for you to give us an idea as
to how we should be doing this work. It's

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 difficult work; it's got lots of legal and other constraints that need to be addressed. 3 4 Population equality is certainly amongst them. 5 The Voting Rights Act and its requirements are paramount. We can talk about a lot of details 6 7 with respect to those two policy areas, but we also have to think about things like community, 8 9 and we need to think carefully about what it is that community means. We're looking forward to 10 11 hearing from you. Thank you. 12 Thank you. We will call MR. MCENENY: our first speaker. We would ask our speakers to 13 14 identify themselves, particularly if they 15 represent a particular group, and to give a home 16 address, at least a--actually, the right home 17 address, in case we have to get back in touch with you. Dr. Alice Green. 18 19 ALICE GREEN, PH.D., EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, 20 CENTER FOR LAW AND JUSTICE: Good morning. 21 MR. MCENENY: Good morning. 2.2 MS. GREEN: My name is Alice Green, and I'm the Executive Director of the Center for Law 23 24 & Justice, which is at Two Pine West Plaza in

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

Albany, New York. And I'm here today to implore you to comply with New York State Law and count state prison inmates at their address prior to incarceration. None of the reasons given by LATFOR members at prior hearings, that you view the new law as unfair or you think the new law may be overturned in Court, or that you don't have time to determine the home addresses of inmates, permit our law makers to flagrantly violate the law. In August, 2010, Section 83M of New York State Legislative Law was amended to require LATFOR to reflect incarcerated populations at their respective residential addresses prior to incarceration. At the same time, Section 71 of New York State Correction Law was amended to require the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision to deliver for LATFOR the information required to make these determinations. This is the law. You cannot violate it. ramifications of violating the law are dramatic. According to DOCS data, at the end of 2010, there were 56,315 inmates in State prison, nearly half

24

of whom were from New York City. Of the more than 27,000 inmates from New York City, nearly 90% were housed in DOCS facilities outside of New York City. If LATFOR chooses to violate the law, more than 24,000 New York City residents will be counted as residents of upstate communities. This is tantamount to airlifting the population of ZIP code 10039 out of Harlem and dropping it somewhere in the middle of the Adirondacks. demographics of downstate and upstate communities are markedly different. When downstate residents are counted as part of upstate communities, the results are often alarmingly discriminatory. quote from the new Jim Crow by Michelle Alexander, "Because most new prison construction occurs in predominantly white rural areas, white communities benefit from inflated population totals at the expense of the urban, overwhelmingly minority communities from which This has enormous the prisoners come. consequences for the redistricting process. White rural communities that house prisons wind up with more people in State Legislatures

2.2

representing them, while poor communities of color lose representatives because it appears their population has declined. This policy is disturbingly reminiscent of the three-fist clause of the original constitution, which enhanced the political clout of slave holding states by including 60% of slaves in the population base for calculating Congressional seats and electoral votes, even though they could not vote."

Let's take a look at how Alexander's narrative applies to New York. At the end of 2010, Senate District 45, an upstate district on the Canadian border, was home to 11 state prison facilities. And according to DOCS, housed in these facilities were 5,571 African Americans. According to the LATFOR website, Senate District 45 was home to total of 9,092 non-Hispanic blacks in 2010. If we divide the number of incarcerated African-Americans by the total African-American population, we find that an astonishing 60% of the African-American population in Senate District 45 is incarcerated in state prisons. A mere 31% of Senate District 45's general

2.2

population, is incarcerated in State prisons, but 61% of the African-Americans are incarcerated.
61%, an astonishing figure, and an eerie one, three-fifths. Three-fifths of the African-American population of Senate District 45 cannot vote. Yet, they are counted as Senate District 45 residents for the purpose of determining upstate clout in the New York State legislature. This is nothing short of shameful.

Now let's take a look at the reasons offered for violating New York State law, and allowing the shameful circumstance to persist. It has been intimated that the law is unfair because it allows Congressional and Legislative Districts to be determined in different manners, and that there is the possibility that it will be overturned in Court. It doesn't matter what anyone thinks of the law's fairness or future. It is the law today, and we still, we must follow the law today. Another reason given is the need for speed in getting this redistricting done. There may not be time in order to count inmates at their home addresses. Given that New York

2 State Correction Law specifies that DOCS must give LATFOR the data it needs in a form specified 3 4 by LATFOR, time should not be a problem. 5 LATFOR's responsibility by law to have these data analyzed before redistricting. A compromise of 6 7 sorts has also been suggested. If inmates can't be counted at their home addresses, let's not 8 9 count them at all. This, too, would be a violation of law, as New York State Municipal 10 11 Home Rule Law, as well as the New York State 12 Constitution specifies that nobody is to be 13 deemed to have lost or gained a residence by 14 virtue of being incarcerated in DOCS facility. 15 If we don't count inmates at all, they simply 16 don't exist as people, much like slaves before 17 the three-fifths compromise. LATFOR members, this is simple. You don't have a choice. 18 19 LATFOR, like everyone else in the state, is bound 20 by New York State Law. Do your duty and count prisoners at their home addresses. 21 Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: I feel a little bit awkward up here, because I think there's a straw man out there that said that LATFOR was not going

2.2

23

24

24

to follow the law. There has never been either the ability or the inclination to not follow the law or not follow the Constitution. release that was put out yesterday gave a progress report on the counting of prisoners. The information was given to us many months ago, and we hope to have a report as early as the end of this month, or shortly thereafter, which will say which prisoners have been geo-coded to which blocks in what part of New York State. But no one up here has ever said they wouldn't follow the law or the Constitution, and I feel a little bit awkward when somebody is saying follow the law. There's no choice but to follow the law, nor is there an inclination not to. There is a lawsuit, my colleague, Senator Nozzolio, and I signed a letter to the Court as in our LATFOR position, obviously as individual legislators we made, and, in fact, are on the opposite of the voting pattern in sponsorship of that law which was passed and--by the legislature and signed into law by Governor Patterson. There is a lawsuit pending, and we have sent the appropriate

2.2

direction to the Court pleading please give us a decision as quickly as you can. But knowing the added pressure from the Justice Department, and the fact that this process has to move forward, we have been, for several weeks, if not months now, been adding these prisoners from the information given by DOCS, Department of Correction, we've been geo-coding them to block wherever their legal address was before they went into prison and where it is now. And that process has been going on, it is continuing, and unless the Court decides to the contrary, our expectation is to follow the current law and put the prisoners in their home addresses.

MS. GREEN: Well, I'm happy to hear that, but I'm not alone. I think many in the state are under the impression that there are some members of LATFOR who do not take that position.

MR. MCENENY: We don't address the rumors, and we think there are some people who would like to have us do something and then claim credit because they made us do it. The law is

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 what makes us do what we do, and the 3 Constitution--MS. GREEN: Well, I'm happy--4 5 MR. MCENENY: --makes us do--we have no option to not. 6 7 MS. GREEN: I'm happy to hear that. MR. NOZZOLIO: And, Ms. Green, if I may, 8 9 let me add comments to Assemblyman McEneny's well-stated position. This is a bipartisan 10 11 position that the law will be complied with, 12 whatever that law is. That impressions to the 13 contrary, as you articulate them, are just simply 14 not accurate. That certainly questions about 15 laws, and I know you have questioned laws in the 16 past, that questions about law have nothing to do 17 with intentions to comply with the law. And on that premise and position, we are in lockstep in 18 19 a bipartisan way that the Senate Republican 20 majority agrees, as do all of our four 21 conferences, that the law should be followed. 2.2 MS. GREEN: As I said, I'm happy to hear 23 that, and I'm happy to be wrong. 24 MR. DILAN: I find it really refreshing

2.2

to hear the comments of the two co-chairs that we do intend to follow the law. I know that previous—at previous hearings I—this issue has come up. There was no clarity to the issue, and I think they're making it clear at this time. It is also my understanding that at the conclusion of this hearing, there may be a meeting of the task force, and I do intend at that time to present a resolution or a motion that we go on record supporting the law of 2010. Thank you.

MS. GREEN: Thank you.

MR. OAKS: Mr. Chairman, if I just might add a couple words, too. I was one who voted against that law. I don't view in lockstep with certain perceptions to that. It'd be great at some other forum, perhaps, to discuss further some of the things that you've raised today, but clearly this forum and me being a part of this task force, whatever the law is at the point that we move forward and move in drawing districts or whatever, whatever the law is at that point, we will be following, and I'll be voting or, you know, certainly supporting that.

MS. GREEN: Okay, thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much for your testimony.

MS. GREEN: Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Could we hear now from Yvette D. Clarke, Member of Congress.

YVETTE D. CLARKE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS: To Assemblyman James McEneny, State Senator Michael Nozzolio, to State Senator Martin Dilan, and to the members of the New York State Legislative Task Force and Demographic Research and Reapportionment, LATFOR, I'd like to give a special greeting to--and acknowledgment to State Senator, the Honorable Martin Dilan, for his leadership from my borough, Brooklyn. Good morning, everyone. I thank you for providing me this opportunity to testify and to submit for your consideration the map of the Eleventh Congressional District of New York. My name is Yvette D. Clarke. I am a member of the 112th Session of Congress for the Eleventh Congressional District.

My verbal testimony to you this morning

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

is an abbreviated version of the documents in your possession. The proposed Eleventh Congressional District adheres to all Constitutional and voting rights and redistricting guidelines. This district meets the requirement of equal population, compactness, contiguity, and complies with all provisions of the Voting Rights Act. The proposed--the district substantially maintains the same geographic and demographic configuration as the current district, with the exception of it being increased in size by 85,219 persons, changing slightly to achieve population equality with the other districts in New York State. This district is comprised of a total voting age population of 55% black, 28% white, 12% Hispanic, and 5% Asian. So this district would be made a majority minority district.

According to the United States Census

Bureau, the current district is the third most

compact district in the nation. This proposed

district adheres largely to its present

boundaries and still maintains its highly compact

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

This expansion into south central nature. Brooklyn neighborhoods increases the population to meet the 717,707 requirement--717,707 persons requirement. The proposed district is defined by sheer interest, such as social, economic, cultural, linguistic, and other factors that indicate communities of interest. The current district includes many sections of the historic Twelfth Congressional District, which was originally represented by the Honorable Shirley Chisholm, the first African-American female elected to Congress. The proposed district adheres to the voting rights at principles for redistricting. This district is covered by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act.

The Congressional District--this
district has been represented by two other
individuals of African descent other than myself,
and was successful at luring some crossover
voters and receiving a majority of the vote from
predominantly white precincts. The outcome of-the outcome of the election spelled defeat and
further solidified the identity, intent, and

2 purpose of maintaining a majority minority 3 district, and preventing retrogression. 4 I'd like to thank you for providing me 5 this opportunity to address this body today, and as you deliberate, I urge that you give your most 6 7 utmost consideration to this proposed map for the Eleventh Congressional District of New York. 8 9 there are any questions or comments concerning my testimony today, please note that I'm available 10 11 and can be contacted at 12 clarkeforcongress@gmail.com, or at my telephone 13 number which is also listed there. I thank you 14 all once again. 15 MR. MCENENY: Thank you, Congresswoman, 16 and we thank you for your specificity in your 17 testimony. 18 MS. CLARKE: Thank you. 19 MR. MCENENY: Senator Dilan? 20 MR. DILAN: I just want to say thank you 21 for appearing here today, and it's really good 2.2 leadership that -- or initiative that you've taken 23 as a Congressperson to come up to Albany to 24 testify and make your remarks, and I hope that

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011

other members of Congress also let their thoughts

be known and hopefully make this process much

easier for all of us. Thank you.

2.2

MR. MCENENY: Thank you.

MR. OAKS: Congresswoman, just a quick question. In your drafting of what the Eleventh might look like, did you take into account at all how that might impact those surrounding Congressional Districts?

MS. CLARKE: Of course you would have to--everyone in Kings County has to gain population, so in any direction you would go, there's going to be--if you look at, on the larger scale map of New York City, you'll see that what's significant about the Eleventh Congressional District is that it sits square in the middle of Brooklyn, New York. And so any direction you would go have a bearing on the surrounding districts. What we did was we made sure that we adhered to the prescription of the voting rights districts, and bear in mind, the other districts that would have similar regulations regarding their drawing.

2.2

MR. OAKS: Well, Congresswoman Clarke, thank you, and I just—in this prospect of having specific proposals we appreciate, and just with the recognition that trying to make it all fit and work, the implications of what we do one place impacts the surroundings districts certainly is there, but thank you very much for this presentation.

MS. CLARKE: Certainly.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Senator Dilan?

MR. DILAN: I just have one more question. With the map that you presented to us today, can you just give us some insights as to what portion or what areas is your district growing?

MS. CLARKE: Okay, sure. You should all have copies of the maps before you. There is a map that says Comparison Between Proposed District and Current Eleventh Congressional District, and it's color-coded in a way that you can look. You will see that the current Eleventh Congressional District is outlined in blue, and the proposed district is outlined in sort of like

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011
2	an orange color. It should be in your packets.
3	I don't know if you all have them.
4	MR. DILAN: Okay, yes, we do.
5	MS. CLARKE: Okay, so you can see that
6	we're looking at predominantly what we call south
7	central Brooklyn, where you would pick up more
8	population. But for the most part, the district
9	stays intact, and it's just a matter of expanding
10	southward in order to pick up the numbers that
11	are required under the 2010 census.
12	MR. DILAN: But you're moving more into
13	Bed-Stuyvesant?
14	MS. CLARKE: No, the community would be
15	the communitiesthere's also a neighborhood
16	boundaries map as well, so the neighborhoods
17	we're talking about would be a slight adjustment
18	in the area known as Flatlands, Brooklyn.
19	MR. DILAN: Okay.
20	MS. CLARKE: Some adjustment in the
21	Midwood sections of Brooklyn, and slightly, very
22	slightly, in the Canarsie area of Brooklyn.
23	MR. DILAN: Okay.
24	MS. CLARKE: But very few census tracks

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011
2	there. So each of those little adjustments add
3	up to the population that would be required for
4	equal population for the State of New York.
5	MR. DILAN: Thank you very much,
6	Congresswoman.
7	MR. MCENENY: Thank you.
8	MS. CLARKE: Thank you all very much.
9	MR. MCENENY: Aaron Mair. Is Aaron
10	here? Anyone who can't make it, will be
11	accommodated at the end of the list or fit in
12	later on. Monica M. Arias Miranda.
13	MS. MONICA M. ARIAS MIRANDA, MPA,
14	PRESIDENT AND CEO, THE HISPANIC COALITION NY,
15	INC.: Good morning, everyone.
16	MR. MCENENY: Good morning, Monica.
17	MS. ARIAS MIRANDA: Nice to see you all
18	again.
19	MR. NOZZOLIO: Nice to see you again.
20	MS. ARIAS MIRANDA: Again, thank you so
21	much for the opportunity to speak in front of
22	this panel. I really appreciate it. The United
23	States Constitution requires an enumeration of
24	all persons be made every ten years as a way to

24

not only establish adequately represented districts, but also allocate Federal funds used for projects ranging from education to road construction. Minorities have historically been undercounted and underrepresented in this process, and we know this -- oh. Did you hear any Minorities have been historically of it? Yes. undercounted and underrepresented in this process, and we know this directly impacts the funding received by those municipalities. During the 2010 census, New York State Government actively worked to increase the participation rate of all communities to ensure its fair share of Federal funding was received. As the fastest growing minority group in the state, it was clear that ensuring a complete count of the Latino community would be beneficial to the many municipalities where Latinos reside. Elected officials asked community members in their districts for help in ensuring all persons were Today I stand before this panel to urge counted. for a fair and transparent redistricting process that takes into consideration the growing

	ı
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

Hispanic community and their need for representation in the electorate process. The Latino community in New York State increased by 19.2%, or 2.9 million over the last ten years, with a total population of 3.4 million. Hispanics account for 17.6% or 3.4 million of the 19.4 million people in New York State. Hispanics increased significantly, the non-Latino population grew only slightly be 2.1%, from 19 million to 19.4 million during the same period. The capital region counties of Montgomery, Schenectady, Rensselaer and Saratoga are home to some 41,000 Latinos. Montgomery County has a Latino population of 5.--5,654, or 11.3% of the total county population; Schenectady, 8,827, or 5.7%; Albany, 14,917, or 4.9%; Rensselaer, 6,080, or 3.8%, and Saratoga, 5,279, or 2.4%. Within those communities, the City of Amsterdam has the highest concentration of Latinos in the capital region for a city of its size with 4,873 Latinos, or 26.2% of the population, which is significant, considering the size of that community. Followed by the city of Schenectady with 6,922, or 10.5%;

2	Albany with 8,396, or 8.6%; and the city of Troy
3	with 3,984, or 7.9%. The City of Saratoga
4	Springs has a Latino population of 839, or 3.2%.
5	And I bring these numbers up to your attention to
6	show that the capital region has, indeed, a large
7	growing Latino community, and as noted,
8	Amsterdam, the City of Amsterdam, has the highest
9	Latino population with 26.2%. These numbers
10	represent only a small percent of the larger
11	Latino population who lives in New York State.
12	As a community, what we seek is the opportunity
13	to exercise our right to vote and elect
14	candidates of our choice. Our community is
15	phased by many challenges, and only when we are
16	able to elect candidates who understand these
17	needs and work with us as a community, will we be
18	able to fully participate in our Democratic
19	process. One of the things that I see
20	increasingly in the capital region and across the
21	state is that the Latino community is growing,
22	but in many cases, for example the capital
23	region, we don't have Latino representation. And

it doesn't necessarily mean that we need a Latino

24

2.2

who is going to be in office, but someone who is going to represent our needs, and if it happens to be a Latino, then that would be the case, but we don't have that type of representation And so I hope that, as you consider the information that is presented to you, you will look at that information and take the Latino community into consideration.

And in closing, I just want to say again that what we are asking for is a fair process, one that considers communities of interest and does not seek to dilute the Latino vote by splitting our communities. Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much.

MR. NOZZOLIO: Ms. Miranda, it's very good to see you again.

MS. ARIS MIRANDA: Nice to see you, too.

MS. NOZZOLIO: Thank you for your testimony, I believe it was in Rochester, and again today. Will you or your--the organization you represent be submitting any specific plans for State Legislative or Congressional District representation lines to the Task Force for

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 consideration?

2.2

MS. ARIAS MIRANDA: We may be able to put something together. We're working on that right now. As I had mentioned before, the process of drawing maps and—it is costly, and we, as a non-for-profit organization, don't necessarily have the resources for doing that type of project, but we are working to see if we are able to draft some maps for you to present.

MR. NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

MR. MCENENY: Senator?

MR. DILAN: Yes, again, thank you for your testimony, and I believe what I heard is that the Latino community in this region is basically growing, and what you would like to see is that they are somehow maintained within an Assembly District or Senate District,

Congressional District, so that they could influence at least the outcome of who is going to represent them, and you feel that that's the best that this Task Force could do?

MS. ARIAS MIRANDA: Correct.

MR. DILAN: Okay.

MS. ARIAS MIRANDA: For example, the City of Albany has a high population of Latinos, so splitting that particular community or that city would not be advantageous to the community, because it would dilute the vote. That's just an example.

MR. DILAN: So with the various counties that you mentioned, you believe that this is possible, to do, let's say, an Assembly District that might be smaller, or are you talking more about the Senate and Congressional Districts, and where possible, to do it within an Assembly District?

MS. ARIAS MIRANDA: In the capital region, I am not sure if there--the Latino community is large enough by itself--

MR. DILAN: Right.

MS. ARIAS MIRANDA: --to create a district.

MR. DILAN: Okay.

MS. ARIAS MIRANDA: But what I'm saying is for a City like Albany, to--it would not be advantageous again to split that city for

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 whatever reason because it would dilute the Latino vote. But again, in the capital region, I 3 4 don't know if there are enough Latino--if the 5 Latino community is large enough to create its own district. In areas like upstate New York or 6 7 downstate where the Latino community is larger, there may be opportunities to look at that. 8 9 MR. DILAN: All right, thank you. Thank you very much. 10 MR. MCENENY: The 11 reason Albany was split for the last three 12 redistrictings, particularly the last one, and 13 the one in 1990, was to maximize minority 14 concentration by taking essentially downtown 15 Albany, which was heavily minority, and combining 16 it with downtown Troy, and that's the reason that 17 It was done for voting rights was done. considerations, not to break it up. We'll take a 18 19 look at the numbers this time around, too. 20 you very much. 21 MS. ARIAS MIRANDA: Thank you. 2.2 Esmeralda Simmons. MR. MCENENY: 23 MS. ESMERALDA SIMMONS, ESQ., EXECUTIVE

DIRECTOR, CENTER FOR LAW AND SOCIAL JUSTICE,

24

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011
2	MEDGAR EVERS COLLEGE, BLACK NEW YORKERS FOR FAIR
3	REDISTRICTING: Good morning, gentlemen, and
4	ma'am. It is good to be here, it's good to see
5	so many of you who have been involved in
6	MR. MCENENY: Would you give your
7	address and what organization
8	MS. SIMMONS: Of course, I'm so sorry.
9	MR. MCENENY:just for the record.
10	This is all televised, and people do watch it on
11	the website.
12	MS. SIMMONS: My name is Esmeralda
13	Simmons. I'm the Executive Director of the
14	Center for Law & Social Justice of Medgar Evers
15	College, City University of New York. My center
16	is also a member of a new coalition formed in New
17	York called Black New Yorkers for Fair
18	Redistricting.
19	I'd like to start off by saying I'm very
20	happy to be here today, very happy to speak to
21	all of you, and particularly to some of you who
22	I've worked with for more than three
23	redistricting rounds. I'm going to start off in
24	the middle of my testimony and not go into the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

history of the Center for Law and Social Justice, who has been involved in the last two rounds of redistricting in New York State, heavily involved, and myself, this is my actual fourth round, believe it or not. But some of you I know believe it, 'cause we've been in there together.

I'm going to address, #1, something I have not heard as yet, and that is what I consider to be the time crisis. There is an extreme need for urgency at this point. We are now in August, 2011. In less than 10 months, maybe 12 months, New Yorkers will be heading to the polls for the 2012 primary elections on the new district lines. But will the lines be ready? Voter tabulation districts are the bedrock data for drawing new lines. However, at this point, the adjusted voter tabulation districts that include the DOCS last address files have yet to be released by the Task Force. I find it curious that you're asking some of us for maps when we don't have this data.

Even if the Task Force draws lines by January, or December, the likelihood is slim that

24

the plans will be finalized by June. First, as we all know, Governor Cuomo is promising a veto, so that means it will have to be an override. Second, the Federal Voting Rights Act requires a minimum of 60 days. Sometimes we can ask for--I say we because we're all in this together -- can ask for expedited preclearance, but New York State has not been really the beneficiary of that in the last couple rounds. Third, New Yorkers can all count on extensive litigation, both in Federal Court and sometimes even in State Court. It appears to my center that the major players in New York State may, in fact, be advocating their Constitutional responsibility to redistrict the State's districts. This slowing down process actually appears to be a punt to the Court. There is a Federal Constitutional mandate to conduct timely redistricting. In the past, when New York State has not moved swiftly enough on Congressional redistricting, legal challenges have been brought to force the State to act. With all deference to the Courts, this is a legislative and executive function, counting to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the Federal Court's smacks of an attempt by New York State to avoid Justice Department voting rights preclearance. It may also be an attempt to avoid the political nuance of having to draw districts in a difficult situation. Section 5 preclearance is a stringent, anti-discrimination preview, one that the State has often failed. The Center for Law and Social Justice strongly urges LATFOR to take all steps necessary to complete the redistricting process in a timely fashion, and I understand and I'm very heartened by today--what you said today about where you're Immediately implement the law that ended prison - - . Make the adjusted VTD data downloadable and available online. Chart out a comprehensive timetable for completing State redistricting, and release such to the public, including when the LATFOR maps will be ready for review. Give notice now of the second and third set of public hearings.

I'll now move on into the protection of the voting rights of black New Yorkers. As the Task Force is well aware, the Federal Voting

24

Rights Act specifically protects the voting rights of black New Yorkers, a well as Hispanic New Yorkers and Asian and language minorities and Due to New York State's long history of others. voting discrimination, three counties in New York City are covered by the Voting Rights Act, Section 5. Black voters in our communities are protected against retrogression during the redistricting process. Black folks now make up 15.9% of New York State population which is over 3 million people. Notwithstanding that, for the first time in three decades, there is not a single black member serving on the Task Force. Very shocking. We also note that there is not an Asian member. Such blatant disregard for diversity on the Task Force does not indicate a level of respect that is needed in the redistricting process that has to be sensitive to culture diversity. We call on LATFOR to undertake its duties with a heightened respect for black communities throughout the state, and the communities of all cultural minorities, and those protected by the Voting Rights Act.

Obviously the Center for Law and Social Justice will be actively engaged in the process.

Needless to say, we and our colleagues will serve

as watchdogs during the process on behalf of

black New Yorkers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Now turning to the last point, public access procedures. As a New Yorker, I am ashamed of the fact that New York State is seriously lagging behind so many other states in providing real public access to its redistricting process. Like voting, redistricting is a foundational basis to Democracy. LATFOR should be leading, or at least keeping pace, with states like Florida and California, or even municipalities like New York City. This redistricting cycle, the Florida public have online access to the State's data and mapping and drawing software. There was a coordinated electronic access system whereby the Florida public was able to draw districts and submit plans online to the Redistricting Commission for study and use, without spending thousands and thousands of dollars on software, experts, statistics and data. As early as 1991,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the New York City Districting Commission, which I co-chaired at the time, had public access terminals and an available staff to assist the public in drawing lines and reviewing data. Black New Yorkers for Fair Redistricting does intend to submit complete maps for at least the City of New York, for the State, Senate, Assembly, and Congress. But we would like LATFOR to do the following: To set up a 21st century public access system. Give the public access to LATFOR's redistricting database. Establish dedicated, user-friendly, interactive and multilanguage -- compliance with the Voting Rights Act -online mapping system software that is linked to LATFOR, where the public can submit maps electronically so we don't have the conversion problems, and review and alter LATFOR produced Make the drawing criteria public and explains such in plain language easily understood by lay persons. Have online assistance, and a live helpline.

In closing, I thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today. I will

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011

happily answer any questions you have, and I can
be reached at the numbers indicated on my
statement.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Senator Dilan?

MR. DILAN: Good morning, Dr. Simmons,
how are you?

MS. SIMMONS: I'm great.

MR. DILAN: Okay. I'm pleased to hear that you intend to submit maps with respect to Congress, State Senate and State Assembly, and I'm sure that the Task Force will be making that data available to the public. I was just wondering, with respect to the State Senate, what would you be basing the number of Senate seats, are you going to base it on 61, 62 or 63?

MS. SIMMONS: Listen, we can flip a coin. We will be basing it on the current number of 62, but it would be very helpful to all of us, before we spend thousands of dollars, to know what the number is going to be. That is something that only LATFOR or perhaps the State Senate can give us. We can't even lobby you about that, so we would appreciate that number to

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 be given to us, and obviously a copy of the statistical compilation on how you actually 3 arrived at that number. That's still curious 4 5 from the last time around. We'd like to take the mystery out of all of this. Can we please have 6 7 that as soon as possible? Can we please have the adjusted data as soon as possible? 8 9 obviously, putting this online will continue to have us stay looked at as the Empire State, and 10 11 not like some lag-along following other folks. 12 MR. DILAN: No, I just wanted to 13 comment, with respect to that, that it's my 14 understanding that within the Constitution of the 15 State of New York, there is a formula that will 16 determine that, and I hope that we do follow 17 that, thank you. MS. SIMMONS: As I said before, there is 18 19 a formula, I know. We would like to see how it 20 was actually applied, since there was some 21 questions last time.

MR. NOZZOLIO: Before you leave, great

Thank you very much.

Thank you very much, sir.

NOZZOLIO:

MS. SIMMONS:

MR.

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

suggestions that certainly it's--I took notes and appreciate the input. I also appreciate your sense of urgency, and I share that sense of The clock is ticking and that these urgency. issues have to be resolved quickly. On the issue of the number of the Senate, I depart as somewhat from my colleague who's asking for a determination by the Task Force or the Senate. We're asking for input from the public. would like to eliminate the entire legislature, some would like to decrease the number, but in terms of these hearings, that's the point, and that the point is to have public input. And certainly we're taking that input and asking from time to time in trying to put it before people in terms of their concerns and what they would like to see in terms of the size of the State Senate. But your suggestions were very well taken, and thank you for making them.

MS. SIMMONS: Thank you very much.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much. Pete Healey is next, and I see in the audience we've been joined by Assemblywoman Amy Paulen. Thank

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 you for coming, Assemblywoman.

MR. PETE HEALEY: Good morning. My name is Pete Healey. I live in New Paltz in Ulster County, about 75 miles south of here. Ulster County is exits 17, 18 and 19 on the thru-way, and this is the closest you're all going to come to Ulster County. Although I've talked with several people in my home county, and they would really love you all to take a visit down there and listen to how, over the last ten years, our county legislature has developed an independent redistricting commission, and they've set up lines and candidates are all running campaigns based on--

MR. MCENENY: Excuse me, was it independent, or did it have--was it bipartisan plus some independents?

MR. HEALEY: Well, let me just say this, this bipartisan business. I'm politically active, and in neither of the bipartisan parties, neither of the two major parties, so--and I know that the Governor, therefore, believes I don't exist. And I want to thank you all for allowing

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 me to come here and dispute that contention.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

The Independent Redistricting Commission in Ulster County is seven members, none of whom are legislators. I wasn't completely happy with the final composition of the Commission itself, but four members were chosen by the legislature in a bipartisan fashion, and the other three were chosen by those four, supposedly in a multi or non-partisan fashion, however you like to describe it. But we'd like to issue you--we Ulster County people would like to issue you an invitation to any one of those thru-way exits, 17, 18 and 19. We'll find a place for you and we'd love to have you come down and hear some other members of the public who might not be able to--might not have been able to take the day off and put out of pocket like I did.

And just to tell you a little more about Ulster County, 180,000 people may be an Assembly District and a half, but we have four Assembly Districts, none of which are wholly within the boundaries of Ulster County. Two Senate Districts divide Ulster County in that unusual

24

sort of vertical way that doesn't make sense to anyone but some people in a back office somewhere. And out of those six state representatives, only one lives in Ulster County. Only one is a resident. Out of those four Assembly Districts, those four Assembly people represent ten counties in the state. The net got cast very far and wide when it came to Ulster County. So what I did on Sunday night and part of Monday night was I took all the county census data and I developed county groupings that fell within the standard deviation. And I didn't have to--I didn't have to put ten counties together. I've attached it to my written comments, my written prepared comments, this whole listing, and all of these 18 groupings of the 62 counties are all within, as far as I can tell, all within the allowed deviation. And there are lots of counties that can stand alone. Oueens can stand alone, Kings--this is all for Assembly District purposes--Bronx, Westchester, Putnam, they might as well be one county anyway. There are lots of such groupings. And, you know, I think it's

24

worth, at least for us, that you all take a look at, you know, at the idea of compact. I've heard it mentioned before. And we'd also--I'd also like to echo the comment--two comments from speakers previously, Alice Green, and I've got a request in my prepared comments about how you all might use your website to show how populations would be different, depending on the outcome of the lawsuit over the prison populations. seems like it's a doable thing. You could show-you could show what the population would be in this situation, and what the population would be in this situation. And we--and those of us who are interested in this sort of thing, we could sort of try to draw up districts based on--and take--and be able to take those numbers into account. And I'd also like very much to follow on Dr. Simmons' request for a much more userfriendly and interactive website, and much more public access to information that you're all using. Your budget is much higher than mine, but still, I'm going to continue to work on it. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Dr. Una Clarke. I'm assuming, being that you're submitting testimony with your address, that we'll need that.

DR. UNA CLARKE, CO-CHAIR, WOMEN FOR FAIRNESS AND EQUITY IN POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT: Good morning to the distinguished members of I am Una Clarke, and with me are Women LATFOR. for Equity and Fairness in Political and Public Service. We're a group of Central Brooklyn women who started out when I first run for public office and have remained together since 1991. am here today with my co-chair and want to say to you that we are alarmed, first of all, that the State of New York is 52% female--have 52% female and on your committee there are no women, that I saw one today and I guess somebody knew that I was going to be complaining, and so there is at least one woman on the side, on the side. So let me just start out by saying I come today as a cochair, and also embrace the Black New Yorkers for Fair Redistricting. I have testified at least three redistricting exercises. The most

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

memorable of these exercises was the exercise at the Caribbean-American Community in Central Brooklyn, mounted--that created the 40th and the 45th council districts in Brooklyn when the City Council expanded from 35 to 51 members, and my colleague, now Senator Martin Dilan, was the colleague in the City Council at that time when I arrived at the City Council.

I am here today as a strong advocate on behalf of the proposed Eleventh Congressional District. I'm here as to the Voting Rights Act which created it, and which representative Shirley Chisholm became its first female Congressional Representative of Caribbean ancestry. Our community--and especially the women of our community have sought counsel with advocates and professionals to really understand the requirements of reapportionment process, and to advise us on the Constitutional as well as the Voting Rights act and these guidelines. community is moved, and I am repeating that the population of women in the state is not reflected in the thinking of women. Women are completely

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

voiceless in the process and the representation, and therefore I feel that you should find a way to have that corrected, even to have women as advisors, would at least give a sense that women have value outside of just pulling the level on election day. The proposed district, the Congresswoman has already testified about the district and its compactness and all of that. We strongly endorse the proposal. Our committee was--I will skip--since I need the other two women who are members, my co-chair and the other women to say a few, but I just want you to recognize that we're sitting here. We are a diverse group of women. We are from - - nations of the Caribbean. All of us are immigrants who became citizens of the United States and are impacting the process, and we're not going to sit by, especially in Brooklyn, not to have fairness and equity as it's proposed, and, in fact, to encourage women to participate in the process. Bishop Gonzales?

BISHOP SYLVETA HAMILTON-GONZALES, WOMEN FOR FAIRNESS AND EQUITY IN POLITICS AND

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

GOVERNMENT: Good morning. My name is Bishop Sylveta Hamilton-Gonzales, and I am the co-chair for Women for Equity and Fairness in Politics and Public Service. Honorable members of the New York State Legislative Task Force in Demographic Research and Reapportionment, I extend my gratitude to all of you for this privilege and opportunity to address you today. I am a long constituent of the Eleventh Congressional District in Brooklyn. Today I am representing the organization, and I'm here to endorse the proposed district as presented so eloquently by our Congress member, Yvette D. Clarke, and it has been traditionally a voting right district. And the proposed changes satisfy the requirement for the Voting Right Act, while accommodating the growing population. The communities of interest are preserved, and the vitality of the community, in areas such as socio-economic, cultural, linguistic, and other factors, are being maintained. According to the United States Census Bureau, the current district is the third most compact district in the nation. The total

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

land area of the current district is 12.05 miles. And, of course, we have an attachment. The proposed district adhered largely to its present boundaries, and it depicts an overlay of the proposed district and the present district. The most significant area for change is that of the southeastern border. As a result, in order to ensure adequate population growth to meet the new 717,707, lovely number, population total, as well as maintaining and protecting community of interest. It is geographically feasible to facilitate the required increased in population with inclusion of south central Brooklyn in the proposed district. Of course, we have heard a lot from our Congresswoman, and I would like to turn it over to the other member of our group, but I'd like to, in conclusion, reiterate my endorsement for the proposed map that is being presented, which complies with the Voting Right Act of 1965. And thank you all for the opportunity to address this body today.

MS. THELMA MOREY, WOMAN FOR FAIRNESS AND EQUITY IN POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT: Good morning,

2.2

my name is Thelma Morey. I'm from Trinidad and Tibego. I'm an American Citizen. I've worked for the New York City HRA for the past 35 years. I retired last year. I belong to the district, the Congressional District 11. I've been a member of District for over 30 years. I'm also a member of the Mel Basin Association [phonetic], Women for Fairness and Equality in Politics and Government, and New Yorkers for Fair Redistricting. I am here to strongly endorse Congresswoman Yvette Clarke's proposal for the Eleventh Congressional District plan to keep the district together.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you.

MS. CLARKE: Again, and in conclusion, I would just like to say I hope that you have listened to us. We sound a little bit different because we come from different regions in the Caribbean, but we are determined, as part of the African-American community, to make sure that our votes and their votes together give us the kind of empowerment that we need as a people in the State of New York, and again, for women, finally,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

52% women in the State, you will appeal to women to vote, so I ask that you find some way to give women a voice in this process. Thank you very much.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Senator Dilan? MR. DILAN: I would just like to make comments with respect to Brooklyn. I think that when it comes to the Congressional representation in Brooklyn, I think that 50% of the Districts in Brooklyn are represented by women. We do have two Congressional members that are women, and I do think we have a long way to go when it comes to the State Senate and the State Assembly in terms of representation for women. In terms of providing more opportunities for women even for Congress, I think it should happen with women, or any particular group of interest, that they should have the ability to choose who they would like to represent them. So with that said, I'd like to thank you very much for your testimony today, and I wish that the Eleventh Congressional District would move even a little bit further Thank you. south.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MS. CLARKE: Thank you very much.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Judith Brink.

Is Judith Brink here? Council Member Anton

Konev.

COUNCILMAN ANTON KONEV, CITY OF ALBANY: Thank you very much. Honorable Chairman McEneny, Honorable Chairman Nozzolio, members of the Task Force, I've been advised that I have to put on a different hat today because of a State law, so I will speak as a legislator director for Assemblyman Peter Rivera on the statewide issues of dividing communities of color, and specifically not dividing worse. You will be hearing more from the Assemblyman Peter Rivera's office in the hearings downstate, specifically in Westchester. There is a report that is coming out by Assemblyman Peter Rivera highlighting certain districts and issues, and municipal districts and issues in Westchester as it pertains to at large elections. And I know Senator Dilan and - - legislation that addresses that very shoe as well on the municipal levels. I--since this is a capital region hearing, I'd

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

like to address it as--particularly as a legislative director, but I'm also a resident of a capital region. I'm also an elected official here in the City of Albany. I present about 5-roughly 5% of the Assembly District. And I'd like to speak about keeping communities of interest together. And an example--a good example is the City of Albany. The City of Albany is a one community with uptown and downtown, yet it is currently split amongst two State Assembly members. The, specifically, Hispanic population grew throughout the city in both uptown and downtown, so to--and so did the African-American population. While the white population has decreased -- and the Asian population has also increased in both uptown and downtown city of Albany, while the white population has decreased in both. And I have, in my testimony, I have given some numbers, City of Albany population in ten years has gone up from 95,658 to 97,856, and that particular growth could only be contributed to a growth of minorities. The Hispanic community grew from

24

5,349 to 8,396. The Asian community grew from 3,116 to 4,850. African-American community grew from 26,915 to 28,479, while the white population went down from 60,383 to 52,857. In my written testimony I have outlined the census tracks and words to show this growth, this proportional growth throughout the city of minority populations everywhere. To go into specific Assembly Districts, I realize the justification for the ten years ago redistricting. However, the current lines or the current percentages show that we have a current 104 District is 5.6% Hispanic, 74.18% white, 12.97% black, 6% Asian, or 24.59 combined minority percentage. The 106 Assembly District currently is 6.15 Hispanic, 70.97 white, 18.79% black, 2.87 Asian, with 27.81% minority. When you consider the size of a City of Albany population with--again 8,396 Hispanics, 28,479 black and 4,850 Asian, it is clear that splitting the City in two Assembly District dilutes minority population. If you-for example, if you put the City of Albany into one of four Assembly Districts and the total

24

number remains the same, which you know is naught but just hypothetically, and assuming the rest of 35,000 residents that we need to make up that entire Assembly District are all white, which we know is not going to be the situation, you get a district that's 39.45% minority versus, as I quoted earlier, 27.81% or 24.59% minority. would only be fair to keep current homogeneous conveniences together, and give the City of Albany, as a City and its minority populations, Hispanics, African-Americans and Asians, more of an opportunity to have a representative who would be 90%--whose 90% of attention or who will be towards weigh particular needs. Again, throughout New York State, in communities that have a growing minority population, it is incumbent upon this body to try to keep communities of interest together. That means the cities. We know that some people would like to split our cities in half, have a tale of two cities, when we only have one city. But we do have one, in many of our--throughout New York State, we do have one city, one city that has a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

minority population that has grown tremendously, including the City of Albany and many other cities, so I urge this body to do the right thing statewide, and to take care to make sure, but the--while you might night be able to create a majority minority--more majority minority districts upstate New York because the minority population might not yet be here, to create a district that the minority population could influence, certainly is - - of this body, and I know you will be hearing later from Council President Carol McLaughlin, former Councilman Corey Ellis, with the exact--and AACP representative, Anne Pope, with exactly the same message, to keep communities of interest together, to keep the cities together.

MR. MCENENY: Do you--well, first of all, the number that you gave was one that came out in following the past census, in the 2000 census. That was corrected within two months.

The correct number is 94,000, two or three hundred. The 95 number included a dormitory that was totally contained in the town of Guilderland.

2.2

Needless to say, Guilderland brought that to the attention of the census. The correct number is closer to 94,000. When you say minority, do you combine all minorities?

MR. KONEV: There is case law specifically with Albany County in challenging the county lines in 2002, that it's said that you can't just count African-Americans as a minority, you must include other communities of similar interest, and include the Hispanics, African-Americans at least together. And at the time, the Asian community was not here-was not as much-did not have as much numbers. But now we have to ensure that we consider them also as part of the equation.

MR. MCENENY: I know that for AfricanAmericans, if Albany is kept whole, then either
Guilderland or New Scott--or Bethlehem have to be
added, which would be less than 23% AfricanAmerican, because those two suburban areas have
very few minorities of any description. The
reason that this was split--and personally, by
the way, I'm indifferent to how it's done. But

the reason it was split was to combine a significant minority population in downtown Troy with the very disproportionately significant minority population in downtown Albany, West Hill, South End, and so on. If the numbers are put together and there's a higher minority percentage including downtown Troy, versus putting Albany in with a predominantly white suburb, would you say that there's greater merit in keeping the city as one community than having two voices from different cities and counties?

MR. KONEV: I'd say keeping the City of Albany whole is a lot more important, because in order to combine it with another city, you're going through a lot of communities that have completely different, sometimes rural, interests. So I give—if you put the entire city of 98,000 in the rest, all the rest of the 35,000 that you're adding, that you know neither Guilderland nor Bethlehem are 100% white anymore, you would still get 31.45% minority, which is higher than the current percentages.

MR. MCENENY: That would be counting

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 Asians and Hispanics? MR. KONEV: Counting Asians and 3 4 Hispanics, yes. 5 MR. MCENENY: Okay. Thank you very Any members of the panel have a question? 6 7 Thank you. MR. KONEV: Thank you. 8 9 MR. MCENENY: I don't see Assembly Member Peter Lopez here yet, though he has 10 11 expressed an interest in speaking. Corey Ellis? 12 Is Corey Ellis here? Jay Knoll? 13 MR. CHEJIN PARK, STAFF ATTORNEY, KOREAN 14 AMERICAN VOTERS' COUNCIL: Good morning, my name is Chejin Park. I am delivering this speech 15 16 instead of Mr. Knoll. My name is Chejin Park, a 17 staff attorney for Korean American Voters' Council. Korean American Voters' Council is a 18 19 non-partisan, non-party community education and 20 advocacy organization devoted to empowering 21 Korean-American and Asian-American community in 2.2 New York City. The Asian-American community is 23 one of the fastest growing group in New York, now

comprising of 1,420,000 people, or 7.3% of the

24

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

total state population. Within the diverse Asian-American population, South Asian Americans, Chinese Americans, - - Americans and Korean-Americans are the largest groups. Despite the rapid growth in population, Asian-Americans are vastly underrepresented in the State legislature. There is only one Asian-American Assemblywoman, and there is no Senate Member now that -- it's only .6% of the State Assembly, and .4% of State legislature as a whole. We highly recommend that New York Legislative Districts are redrawn to reflect a fair proportion of Asian-Americans in the state, to reflect a growing ladder of viability of Asian-American State Legislators, to eliminate the potential for disenfranchisement of growing population of the State's residents, and to keep the communities of interest together. have elected to recommend that a five to six Assembly District to be redrawn to increase the opportunity for an Asian-American candidate who is seeking the state offices. The following tables may have the table, and we have the 13 districts that may have the significant Asian-

2.2

American population in the District. By reorganizing those districts, we may have a list of five Assembly Districts with Asian-American -- .

And we also would like to recommend that LS2 State Senate District be redrawn to increase the opportunity for Asian-American candidates.

We have a five-senate district there, and by redrawing that, by--we can have at least two Senate Districts with Asian population.

Why we are asking for a fair share of representation for Asian-Americans, we are always asking members of LATFOR to - - at the State Legislature, to call a special legislative session to - - redistricting the format. We strongly believe that the best way to ensuring the fair Asian-American representation is through an independent commission, that which drove State Legislative and Congressional Districts boundaries, according to fair and objective criteria, while allowing for - - public input into the process. We're sending--we have witnessed that LATFOR cannot be objective by

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011
2	itself, when it decided to violate a new State
3	law which requires prisoners to be counted in
4	their home district, not where they are serving
5	time. For the last ten years, Asian-American
6	votes have been diluted by gerrymandering
7	practice in Albany. The fair share of the
8	representation for the Asian-American community
9	should be gone through a fair and objective
10	process, not by a new instance of gerrymandering.
11	Asian-Americans cannot wait another ten years to
12	reform. Thank you.
13	MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Thank you very
14	much.
15	MR. PARK: Thank you.
16	MR. MCENENY: Mr. Brian Paul?
17	MR. NOZZOLIO: Excuse me, excuse me,
18	sir. I'm sorry
19	MR. PARK: Yes.
20	MR. NOZZOLIO:that I have to call you
21	back, but thank you very much for your testimony.
22	MR. PARK: Thank you.
23	MR. NOZZOLIO: In terms of the
24	districtsand I don't know if I understood your

testimony to say that—did you have any specific—

I mean, you quoted the census data. Is there

any specific types of lines for Senate and

Assembly and Congress that you have in mind for

maximizing the opportunity districts for Asian—

Americans?

MR. PARK: We gave a list of the districts, but, you know, this is in the district that was joining together, so we are thinking by drawing that some kind of map with this district, we can have some Asian majority district. But we are working on that. You know, we wanted to have the specific maps for that. When we have the hearing in the New York City, we will try to put it on, some of that.

MR. NOZZOLIO: Well, that would be important here that we certainly would welcome your input to submit plans, to submit your thoughts about plans, and thank you very much for your testimony today.

MR. PARK: Yeah. Actually, the AsianAmerican community - - but we are preparing
together the map, so when we have the hearing in

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 September, we will provide that.

2.2

MR. NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

MR. PARK: Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Brian Paul?

And I am remiss and Senator Dilan reminded me, I did not see her here, but Senator Ruth Tassle
Thompson has been with us for some time now. Is Brian Paul here? Okay, Barbara Bartoletti?

Okay, Maritza Martinez? Maritza Martinez here?

Susan Lerner?

MS. SUSAN LERNER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
COMMON CAUSE NEW YORK: Thank you. I know that
Barbara Bartoletti was here just a few moments
ago, so she may have stepped out momentarily.
Thank you for allowing me to testify. I don't
know whether you have my written testimony before
you, and I'm not planning to read it, but I would
like in my discussion to refer to some of the
maps which I have attached. And I'm Susan
Lerner, I'm the Executive Director of Common
Cause New York, and we are a non-partisan, nonprofit advocacy organization, and we work on
redistricting issues across the state and across

24

the country, and I am very proud that our colleagues in California were instrumental in the passage of Prop 11, which is the initiative which set up the Independent Redistricting Commission there, and have been very actively involved in helping the Commission and helping the public in California to be involved in the redistricting We have established a website of our process. own, citizensredistrictny.org, and we've set up a blog mapping democracy, and the maps that I've attached to my testimony are up on our blog, and starting last week and going through the hearing schedule, it's our intention to post maps that set forth some of the demographic changes for each of the regions in advance of your hearings for the benefit of the public. And to the extent that I will be testifying in other locations, it will be a little preview of what I would say to you about the maps. We are in the process of drawing statewide maps from a reform, a nonpoliticized perspective, and we expect to be able to share those with you at sometime in the fall. We'll be drawing the State Senate, the Assembly,

24

and Congressional Districts, applying the principles which I've included in my testimony, where we're emphasizing, obviously, the Federal requirements of one person/one vote, and the Voting Rights Act requirements, and particular emphasis on respecting communities of interest, as those are broadly defined with various demographic factors as well as the things we're learning through the hearings from people who are testifying in different regions, and the community groups that we're working with throughout the state, who have approached us because they know that we are interested in redistricting. Obviously we are cognizant of the traditional redistricting factors, and after this cycle is over, I think it will be very important to revisit the question of constitutional amendment, because the 1894 standards really, I think, tie everybody's hands in terms of really respecting how our communities actually exist in today's modern world, and we believe that it is very important in the process to follow an incumbent lined process. We think that the

24

public is particularly concerned that there be a fair, non-politicized resulting map, and we think that having incumbency residency driving the process is a factor which is not one that we believe should be adhered to. And I would like very much--we also are strong proponents of reenumerating incarcerated persons back to their districts of last residence. So those are the standards that we believe should be applied. are working with some academics to come up with a clear set of objective guidelines that we are--we will be using in drawing our maps. We have licensed maptitude and are almost have completed our process of collecting the necessary data. And I would very much like to echo the comments of Dr. Simmons. Certainly our experience in California shows that when user-friendly information is provided to the public, the public will respond, and are interested in the process of how the district lines are drawn when they understand that it does, indeed, affect how their communities are represented in the legislature. And New York is behind the curve. As Dr. Simmons

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

pointed out, other states have done a much better job of inviting the public into the process and providing them with the information. And one of the reasons why we did start the blog and we are posting some maps up there is to invite community members to become more familiar with redistricting and the interesting issues and challenges which the Commission faces.

Turning to the maps that we brought, regarding the capital region, I would like to echo some of the comments of Anton Konev. The demographics are what the demographics are. capital region is a region which has experienced an increase in population, approximately 5%, since the last census, and as he pointed out correctly, it is the fact that the increase has been in the minority population, so it's been a slight decrease in white population here. when we look at the maps that show the communities of interest, we are struck by the fact that the cities of Albany, Troy, and Schenectady in any number of measures do seem to form their own communities of interest.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

look at the black voting age population, if you look at the Hispanic voting age population, when you look at the distribution of median income, when you look at education levels in the patterns of where people are living, if you look at home ownership, I think it's a pretty stark picture, and certainly when you look at public transit, commuters as well as the occupational breakdown, the cities in the tri-city area do seem to form a fairly distinct community of interest on any number of measures in relation to the surrounding suburbs. And therefore, we believe, certainly, that the Committee--the Task Force needs to consider how to honor those communities of interest, not solely from a racial and ethnic point of view, but those other factors as well. And certainly currently these are communities, these cities are split, particularly in the Assembly Districts, and we suggest to you that you should very seriously look at keeping them in--unified within one Assembly District.

Less flexibility we find in the Senate side because of the county requirements, but we

24

figure that there is a way in which to approach the mapping process, and we suggest that you consider a district, a Senate District which would unify Troy and Schenectady, which we know is a different and somewhat creative approach, but we think it's something that certainly bears discussion. I would like to say that we feel strongly that it is possible to draw fair maps which satisfy the necessary standards of both the State and the Federal Constitution with a deviation that is somewhere between 4 and 6% total deviation, between the largest and the smallest. We have subscribed and supported a bill which would have a 1% plus or minus, a 2% total deviation. In all honesty and practical terms, since we have gotten into the mapping process, on the Assembly level we find that that is a bit too tight to fully honor the requirements of the Voting Rights Act, and, of course, we've got the other restraints of the State Constitution. But we do think that the 5% or the total 10% deviation is too large of a deviation, and we're strong supporters of an

2 independent redistricting process, but our concern at the end of the day is that the maps be 3 4 fair and non-politicized to whomever draws them, 5 and we realize with the time constraints that this may be the only opportunity, these hearings 6 7 may be the only opportunity for the public to weigh in before whomever is drawing the lines 8 9 draws the lines, and we think it's important that the public participate as much as possible in 10 11 this process and share thoughts with you. 12 we're looking forward to, you know, this process 13 of the hearings, of engaging with groups across 14 the state, and helping them to find their 15 communities of interest and understand the 16 redistricting we'll be offering. We've been 17 invited to conduct some workshops in different places, and we hope that we will be testifying 18 19 less at these hearings, and community members who 20 are actually resident of the areas will be 21 testifying more, hopefully with information that 22 we can help--help them develop.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you, we were very pleased to hear that you are drawing maps. We

23

24

2 encourage this of all interested groups and citizens, because this is the age that we live 3 4 It's much more possible, it's easier to do 5 than it was 10 and certainly 20 years ago. Once as I said to councilman Konev, you have the wrong 6 7 numbers for Albany, they were down at 94.3, and that number that came out stuck, but it was not 8 9 accurate. So I don't think it makes a lot of difference, but it does when you're trying to 10 11 show percentage of growth. And I'm happy to hear 12 you knocked the 1894 Constitution. I think it's 13 horribly anti-urban.

MS. LERNER: Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. MCENENY: It's inflexible. It
doesn't allow us to split towns even when there's
a village at the edge of a town, regardless of
the size of the town. It's all well and good to
protect small communities, but some of our towns
are enormously large compared to 1894, and the
Governor's bill on the 1% variance would
ultimately be unconstitutional, because you'd
have to split a town to do that, which is not
allowed. And I agree with you, 4 to 6% is much

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

more realistic. These public hearings, I assume, are useful to common cause as well, and that you're looking at the testimony that comes here and the ideas that come here?

MS. LERNER: Yes, absolutely. We're finding it very helpful, and it's also very helpful for us in our outreach in the different areas as a way to focus attention. community groups and activities know that there is a public hearing coming up, then they're interested in finding out what the demographic changes have been in their community, and exploring how one properly discusses how to define their communities of interest. And so we find that the hearings are very helpful. I would like to second the comment that it is difficult, I think, for the public when the information is not easily obtainable. And we were able, thanks to our grassroots supporters, to invest in a license for the Maptitude software, which is not inexpensive and is not easy to use. So we have somebody on staff who has a Master's and is familiar with the software. But there are, as

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 other states have shown, there are tools out there which are easier to use. We, on our 3 redistricting website, actually have a sheet 4 5 which is a set of simple instructions for how to use Google maps to at least help you think about 6 7 what your community of interest is and think about boundaries. It's obviously not the elegant 8 9 tool that the mapping software that the Commission -- that the Task Force is using is, 10 11 but it is available to the public on a free 12 basis, and people in this computerized day and 13 age are much more familiar with it. I like to 14 say that if you are familiar with the video game 15 Sim City, then you can actually engage in redistricting, because people are now much more 16 17 familiar with these multi-factored kind of analyses in a graphically based world. 18 19 MR. MCENENY: I think if we've allowed 20 people only under the age of 25 to do this, 21 they'd be finished by now. 2.2 MS. LERNER: I'm not touching that one.

MR. NOZZOLIO: I wish to associate

myself with Assemblyman McEneny's remarks, except

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

for the last one. The issue that you bring forward is extremely helpful, relative to providing your organization to expand the potential input that can be brought to this process. Then I reiterate a suggestion, and I'm pleased to hear that you're considering drawing proposed legislative lines for, I assume, the State Legislative Districts and Congressional Districts?

MS. LERNER: Correct.

MR. NOZZOLIO: And that I welcome that, and I know members of the Task Force welcome It's exactly the kind of input we need, that. and we appreciate that very much. That our efforts are to make this as open and accessible a process as possible. I would also ask that you do the same and make sure that as you're providing these inputs, that you share with us how you came across the process. I think that it would be more helpful and strengthen the proposal to understand the methodology and process that your organization had undergone to have this input, who provided it, how was it provided. And

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I do appreciate, also, your comments about deviations, and implore you to--as my colleague and friend is representing an urban area, I know many of us represent more rural areas, and the Constitution does not allow the severance of towns for State Legislative Districts, does make a mathematical difference when you're trying to achieve exactly. So be mindful of that. It is something that we have to be, and I then I hope that in your proposals you will be, as well. that again, I hope that you'll be participating in other hearings that we'll be conducting prior to the development of maps, and those hearings that are going to be scheduled once the maps are developed.

MS. LERNER: Thank you. I would, certainly. We are committed to explaining the criteria that we use, and the specific choices that we make. Because, as anybody who is engaged in this begins to even engage in this process is aware, the thing that's most fascinating about it is that there is no one perfect map. There are a lot of good possibilities as well as a lot of bad

24

possibilities, and choices have to be made. are committed to providing the kind of information that explains the criteria and the choices that we made, the factors that we weighed, and we would urge the Commission -- the Task Force, when it releases its maps, to similarly explain some of the decision points, so that the public can understand the basis on which your maps, which, after all, will be the official maps, are drawn, and allow people to comment and hopefully make helpful suggestions. So we very much want to have this be an open process, and one where we can explain, at least, how we have gone about it. I would like to say that one of the challenges we face right now is in properly handling the data relating to prison-based populations. Obviously, with the census data, we can identify and remove the blocks, but we're eager to have the task force complete the analysis so that we are better able to do an adequate job of the re-enumeration, and not just simply the removal of those individuals from the places of incarceration in the process of drawing

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 the maps.

2.2

MR. NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Senator?

MR. DILAN: Yes, I, too, am pleased that you will be submitting or drawing your own maps, and I understand that the criteria that you will be using are those, wherever possible, those that are contained within the Governor's program bills. But I am also curious to know, when you go to draw the Senate lines, I will ask you the same question that I asked Dr. Simmons. Based on what number will you be drawing the State Senate?

MS. LERNER: Well, we are—we are looking at that wonderfully arcane formula, and trying to do an analysis, or it the initial computation that we are familiar with suggests that it probably would result in a 62-District House. From a pure good Government point of view, if we were not restrained by the Constitution, we would be advocating for an odd number of districts, but that's out of our hands. That's for a later discussion when we revisit the 1894 provisions. And I would like to second Dr.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Simmon's request, which is it would be very helpful to all of us who are spending the time and the effort to try and make suggestions as to actual boundary lines, to know what the ultimate conclusion of the Task Force is in its application of the formula. Because if--well, if we had to scuttle everything and change the drawing, probably it would scramble to do that, but it does seem as if it would be more helpful if there could be a discussion and some quidance from the Task Force of the computation that you would use. My understanding is that the straight application of the formula as it has been applied in previous redistrictings, not the immediate one, but earlier cycles, and then validated by the Court of Appeals and other Courts, would lead to a 62-District map.

MR. DILAN: Okay, I agree with you in terms of the number of 62. Unfortunately, the numbers that we have indicate that the number should stay the same. But, however, I would advocate an odd number also. But the Constitution and the formula that's there now

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 dictates, apparently, that we stay at 62.

MS. LERNER: But in all fairness, I mean, we know that there was a calculation made in the last redistricting cycle, which was a different interpretation of how to apply the formula, and that's why it would be helpful if the Task Force were to work out among itself what rubric they are going to use so that we can be more participatory and helpful in the process by providing maps that comport with what you are expecting to draw yourselves.

MR. DILAN: Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. I see Assemblyman Peter Lopez is here now.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER PETER LOPEZ: Thank you, Chairman. I won't--I'll try not to read testimony, but just basically address the primary premise, and my reflection is primarily on the issue of rural districts in particular, and we heard Chairman Nozzolio make reference to rural communities, and many of our colleagues on this panel are very familiar with the challenges demographically, but also geographically--

24

logistically in serving rural districts. And the main premise that I'm submitting for the Task Force consideration is a filter that may not have been--that may not be one that's been assessed as a matter of statute or requirement, but one which is a very practical consideration, and that issue being that of serviceability of a district, given the relative resources available to an individual And clearly, as we look at rural areas, member. and irrespective of who's serving a given district, regardless of party affiliation, when you move into rural communities, part of the challenge is recognizing that when you sever a town from the basic unit of government, which I would call from a critical mass perspective a county level, where services and necessary attention to economic development and other aspects of servicing a given community emanate largely from a county seat, and when you separate a town or two from its parent county, particularly in rural areas, you run the risk of isolating those individual towns as you attempt to address economic development, housing, any

24

number of critical issues that are import to that respective community. And I highlighted the 127th, just as a poster child, if I may, and if you'd turn to page two of your--of the testimony I provided. I just gave the map, and just for illustrative purposes, as you look at that district -- and there are many other districts that are equally big, but this particular district is additionally complex by the aspect of including seven counties. So if you start to the southeast corner, and you'll notice Columbia and Ulster County, you'll see Saugerties, the town of Saugerties in the lower right-hand corner, and Columbia County on the far right adjacent. You follow the arc of the district, roughly a threehour drive, to the southwest corner where you arrive in Chenango County. And just by illustration, and many of you are members, others are staff, imagine the complexity of serving seven County Boards of Supervisors or County Legislatures, seven County Farm Bureaus, seven County Chambers of Commerce, seven County--the list goes on, offices for the aging, with roughly

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

a 2½ FTE equivalent on staff, and rules of the House that allow you only one office to service that district, only one office that's provided support. Now, certainly in Congress, and this just leads me back to the issue, is one, the compactness and the serviceability, given the resources at hand and the logistic challenges that go with it. Certainly Congress has addressed it by providing equal staffing. know that the rules in the Senate have changed somewhat to adjust staffing, and what I would also say is, as you look at this other rules of-and again, I am departing a little bit from script, but it does have bearing for the Task Force's purposes--travel. By--out of necessity to serve this district, I literally travel, oh, about 1,000 miles a week. My 2½ staff I can only push so far, so I--and it's a privilege, nonetheless. But a I do that, the Assembly funds one office, my office in Greene County, which was under contract, if you can imagine, trying to service on the far right-hand corner in Catskill, try to service the rest of that district from the

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 one office that's supported formally by the 3 Assembly. So, again, not to belabor the point, I 4 just bring this to the Task Force's attention,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

respectfully. I am not alone in this, and regardless of party affiliation, if you are in a rural area, you are at a disadvantage in terms of meeting the full spirit, really, of what the task force is about. Proper representation, full representation, one person, one vote, but also the requirement and expectation that the member is in a position to provide that service on an equal basis, regardless of urban, rural, or So with that, I'll conclude my suburban. observations. I'll leave you my notes, and

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Someone else at one of the western and central New York hearings also mentioned that normally what happens is people come in from business communities, the political community, keep my urban community together.

> MR. LOPEZ: Sure.

certainly take any questions.

MR. MCENENY: And when everybody has

Ubiqus/Nation-Wide Reporting & Convention Coverage 22 Cortlandt Street - Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

2.2

their urban community together, then, at least one person mentioned, what happens to all the rural communities? And the answer is these huge, sprawling districts. The example is the district where Senator Gellibrand's--first it was Congressman Sweeney, then Gellibrand, then Murphy--

MR. LOPEZ: Sure.

MR. MCENENY: --now Gibson.

MR. LOPEZ: Sure.

MR. MCENENY: The district, if you looked at it statistically, has a lot in common. They're all rural, and to some extent suburban, with three small cities. But on the other hand, it goes from Lake Placid down through Saratoga, it goes in back of the City of Troy, goes to Hyde Park, crosses the river, gets the town next to Cooperstown, then it's 20 miles from Binghamton. So they may have a lot in common in some ways, but they're almost impossible to work for constituent services. And on a smaller level, you're showing what happens here, and I don't think we have an answer. But that is one of the

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 considerations, that as you create nice compact contiguous urban and densely suburban districts, you get into that none-of-the-above category

which can be really a disservice to constituents.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. LOPEZ: And thank you, Mr. McEneny. I would dare say, though, that it's a two-edged sword, and when you reflect on the demographics in the state, and just the nature of state policy and budget making, we understandably have an urban state. And so rural areas are overshadowed, budgetarily, policy-wise. There's a bias towards urban-based models. And so the whole premise of having a rural voice and representation, to me, is a critical consideration. And demographically, and I'm not sure with the current census, but I had worked years ago for a Commission on Rural Development. Charlie Crook was the chair, Bill Parmet was the first vice chair. And in their assessment they had, through a State definition of rural area, had identified as many a 2½ million people representing rural communities across the state of New York, overshadowed by the urban and

24

suburban populations. And the whole premise there was that the one-size-fits-all mentality could be detrimental to rural community issues. So even as we reflect on the sprawling nature, there is an advantage to having a commonality of interests and a rural voice. So your assertion certainly has merit, but I would dare say going too far in the other extreme. You risk eclipsing rural issues by attaching them to an urban center, because, on a smaller scale, you'll see an exact duplication of what happens on a statewide basis. So there is merit to retaining rural areas, my point being that, by retaining them and retaining the rural voice, there also has to be some attention to the support, the necessary financial support that goes into that in an urban center. And I'll use an example. If you're looking at the rent of a building, there's adjustment for the relative property value. if you're an urban center, rents in my town are So what the Assembly pays for my rent is small. puny by comparison to what may happen in Manhattan or elsewhere. So, respectfully, what I

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 would suggest is, as we make adjustments for urban and suburban offices, there should be some 3 4 reflection on what the adjustments might be to 5 fully serve a rural district. So it may not be rent, but it may be some other factor that helps 6 7 the member serve that constituency more effectively. 8 9 Very good. MR. MCENENY: 10 MR. LOPEZ: Thank you. 11 MR. MCENENY: Members? Bob? 12 MR. OAKS: Just a quick comment. We had 13 an earlier presenter go through and, you know, 14 looking at the 127th, which sprawls across six 15 counties, he was--had proposed, you know, kind of 16 his own regions, in essence, but suggesting that 17 Duchess, Columbia, Ulster, Green, and Scoharie could kind of make five districts and maybe not 18 19 sprawl quite as much. 20 MR. LOPEZ: Sure. 21 MR. OAKS: I don't know how those five 2.2 would go with serving rural versus urban or

suburban populations, but I think your comments,

as well as his types of comments and others, are

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011

all that need to be taken into account as we try
to put this together.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much.

MR. LOPEZ: My pleasure. Thank you, gentlemen.

MR. MCENENY: Jason Planck?

JASON PLANCK, ADVOCATE FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES: Committee members, Honorable Committee members, thank you very much for allowing us to speak. I'm an advocate for persons with disabilities. I wanted to comment after my prepared statements, at least, to Senator Dilan and a couple other things after that of his comments. My name is Jason Planck. I've been a disability advocate for over 20 As such, the disenfranchisement of the years. disability voters in the state has been very real to the point some being not able to vote because of the discrimination. I have experienced and seen others being discriminated--have been discriminated against to the point of having a hard time to vote because of my disability. In March of 2006, the Catskill Independent Living

24

got a grant to do a training for different New York State counties on building accessibility training for polling sites. I was asked to attend the training as a person with a disability for my own county, Schenectady. The training didn't include the possibility of persons with disabilities serving as poll workers. I was able to convince my county to look at the situation from both points of view, building access and employment. As a result, a disability person still is not able to serve as poll workers, because the income from that would result in countable income, and will lose some or all of the current benefits that that person with a disability has received for that month. needed is at both Federal and State level, is to add to the non-countable income section, poll worker income, not to be counted in calculating our benefits. The experience of discrimination also occurred from not having disability parking, accessible routes being blocked, and the voting area too small to vote in. When you try to call the County or the State Election Commission, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

only answer is that we're too busy today, call us back in a couple days. So I ask that you make sure that the polling sites become more accessible so you can have a chance to vote and be counted.

In redistricting, the State and Federal Districts need to be done in a way that does not disenfranchise the minority and disability voter. In my own State Assembly District 105, we have two different types of interests, both rural and inner city, and where the inner city is being disenfranchised by the rural area by having a higher voting block. We need to create minority majority districts so that all have a chance to be heard, and different ways of doing things will The minority majority districts don't come out. have to be done in according to race, sex, ethnicity, disability, but a combination of all types to form a minority majority district. These types of districts need to reflect the population of the state as a whole.

Two other recommendations that I hope that you'll send to the New York State

24

legislature is the following: 1, we need a county-wide school district which results in 62 school districts, not the current over 750 school districts in the state. At the county level it's so political, the people are not seeing the bigger picture, which means lower property taxes because the property tax base will be spread out over the entire county, not just a small This has no bearing on teachers' loss, district. just on management loss from which most of them are double-dippers anyway. Second, we need to eliminate the campaign petition drives in which they disenfranchise both the voter and the candidate, for which any person has the right to challenge a signature, and if successful, could have the candidate thrown off the ballot, and not have the voter to choose the candidate of their I, as a person with a disability, it's choice. very hard to gather signatures, for which there are architectural barriers in forms of stairs, so I'm not able to gather enough signatures to help anyone. Campaign petition drives serve no purpose in our elective process, and it costs

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011

taxpayers too much money to handle the process,

and therefore eliminate it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I have two other things--comments that I wanted to add. In Schenectady County, and I know you have no jurisdiction over them, but I'm hoping that this Commission will not take the route that Schenectady County did. They went from--to a--what is called a weighted voting. Instead, in Schenectady County, we have about 4% Asian, about 2%--no, 2% Asian, 4% Hispanic, and about 15% African-American, which is about 22% minority population. We have about 17% population of persons with disabilities. half of them are minorities. So you add that together, we have about a 30% minority population. Currently right now we have two legislative districts in the City of Schenectady, and they're dividing the minority vote down, so that brings to less--they're almost about 15% of the minorities that are actually in So we've got a 15% to 85% white. And the that. legislature decided to, after 46 years, to keep the status quo. I'm hoping that this Commission

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

will take a look at, when they're doing minority majority districts, that they're combining it together.

That leads me into Senator Dilan's question that he asked, which is I hope we go to 63 districts--Senate Districts. And the purpose of it is that we don't have the circus and the fiasco that we had when we had--when the Senate was down to 32 to 32, and everybody was jumping ship from one side to the other. We need to have an odd number, because then we don't have to rely on whether we have a Lieutenant Governor or not have a Lieutenant Governor. Also, too, is you were suggesting that at 62 we would need about 330,000 registered voters in each one of those Senate Districts, if I was remembering from the beginning of what you were saying to (indiscern.) this morning. By going to 63, that number will be lowered back down, and that will--probably around 250,000. That will make it much more easier to have a compact minority majority district. So we'll kill two birds with one So, you know, I'm hoping that this stone.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 district can take a look at it.

24

2

The other piece of the puzzle, somebody was talking about the computers. We have what's called Google Earth, and you can be able to adjust the lines and readjust the lines with kml.format files. And you can download them right onto Google Earth. And then if you wanted to take a look at what the community looks like, all you have to do is click on the little man and bring it down, and you can have it even street views, and you can actually look at the street This is a free program that would be much available. Also, the New York State website has not been accessible and nor is in compliance with Section 508, which is accessibility for persons with disabilities. And this needs to be moving forward to be more accessible to persons with disabilities. Also, too, is when I was looking on your website, most of the data, when I was reading on the front page, it said 2002, 2000. Ι need new--more update--new information. you guys are staffed, and I know it's kind of hard, but we need to have some of this

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 updateness. I hope I was able to help you a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. MCENENY: Senator Dilan?

little bit from the disability point of view.

MR. DILAN: Yes, I would just like the clarify the record with respect to the number of I never suggested that we go to 63, the Senate. so first I would like to make that clear. What I did indicate is that the numbers that we do have from the census, the numbers indicate, and based on the formula that's in the State Constitution, that we stay at 62. The numbers--I don't decide the numbers. The numbers are based by the State population, which is 19.3. You divide that by 62, you get 312,550. But based on the formula that we have in the State Constitution, that number would seem to indicate 62. I, too, prefer an odd number, so we could prevent things like what happened during 2009. But the formula is there and we have to go based on numbers. If we had to go with an odd number, if we had too, I would prefer the lesser number.

MR. PLANCK: The one question I wanted to follow up on that is that if the State

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Constitution is in violation of Federal Law, so therefore the State Constitution can--is no longer valid, so, you know, when you're taking a look at the 1965 vocational--sorry, the 1965 Voters Rights Act, and you're looking at Section 2 and Section 5, if the State Constitution is not valid to those two sections, then you can override that State Constitution, and then you can be able to put in what would be valid. And. in this case, a 63-seat State Senate seat. you need to take a look at, can't--is the State Constitution in compliance with Section 2 and Section 5, and that would be another question that you guys may need to take a look at, instead of blindly going down one pathway of saying the State Constitution is correct. It may not be. It may be completely--

MR. DILAN: Well, those are all things we'll have to look at as we move along. But I just wanted to clarify that at no time am I suggesting 63. Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much.

MR. PLANCK: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. MCENENY: Rachel Fauss?

RACHEL FAUSS, POLICY AND RESEARCH MANAGER, CITIZENS UNION: Good afternoon, members of LATFOR. My testimony, I will say, says morning, but it is now afternoon, and I appreciate the long time you're spending here listening to all the testimony of the public, and I appreciate the ability for me to present my thoughts as well. My name is Rachel Fauss, and I'm the Policy and Research Manager of Citizens Union of the City of New York, an independent non-partisan civic organization of New Yorkers who promote good Government and advance political reform in our City and State. Earlier this year, Citizens Union launched a statewide campaign, Reshape New York, comprised of a coalition of over 37 organizations, including civic groups, issue advocacy groups, unions, and business groups, united and reforming our State's redistricting process. We testify here today in recognition of the overwhelming and diverse public support for redistricting reform. At this third public hearing of LATFOR, it is clear from

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

previous hearings in Syracuse and Rochester that the public is continuing its call for redistricting reform. 16 members of the public, more than half of the 29 participants who presented their views at the past two hearings, specifically testified in support of independent redistricting. And, of course, today there have been a number of speakers who have made that same point, and I expect more will later this afternoon. And many others favor the use of sensible criteria for drawing lines, such as not splitting communities and conforming to existing political boundaries such as cities. independent redistricting and defined and objective criteria are fundamental elements of the major redistricting reform proposals that have been supported by the legislature. The public has spoken loud and clear in favor of reform, and will continue to speak in favor of an independent redistricting commission to draw State Legislative and Congressional District boundaries according to fair and objective criteria, while allowing for robust public input.

2.2

And on the public input piece, I'd like to echo comments about making software available to public to draw their own maps, perhaps using LATFOR's technical expertise to allow the public to learn a little bit more about how it works.

But I'd also like to add another piece, and that is webcasting of the public hearings. I think while it's great that you've got the video posted on after the fact, as well as the transcripts, I think real time posting of the information and of the testimony that others are giving would be invaluable.

It is past time for the legislature to heed the public's call for an independent commission and return to Albany, where we sit today, in a special session in past redistricting reform before the 2012 elections. Had the legislature done what 184 of its 212 members pledged or co-sponsored to do, create a new impartial process for drawing state legislative lines, this hearing today would have been held before an independent commission. And instead of doing what is right and beneficial for both

2.2

parties in the long run, whatever the political fortunes are today, it seems that our elective leaders have thought the majorities can't be built through policies that are appealing to New Yorkers without the electoral insurance policy of gerrymandering. And New York State has one of the lowest voter participation rates in the nation, coming at 47th among states in the 2010 elections. This is not surprising, as meaningful discussion of public policy and read debate that comes from competitive elections have taken a backseat to partisan interests.

And I'd also like to address some of the comments that have been made about the timing of an independent commission. We believe that there is still time for an independent commission to be put in place, particularly since, you know, if legislators were to come back very soon in a special session to pass reform, an independent commission could finish the public hearing process that LATFOR has started, and complete the job in time for legislators to vote on its plan s before the 2012 elections. And, indeed, we would

2.2

hope that LATFOR would not currently be drawing lines without considering the public input given at these hearings, the last of which is scheduled for October 5th. And given that, under the State Constitution, the legislature will have a vote on the plan from whatever commission is drawing the lines, simply switching to an independent commission should not create substantial delays.

And concerning the Move Act, the legislature itself has not come to an agreement on a new date for the primary elections, and we understand it may be possible for the Department of Defense to issue a waiver for the 2012 elections. The delay in complying with this Federal Law for overseas and military voters is no excuse for inaction on other important issues, such as redistricting reform.

We would also like to comment on the counting of prisoners in their home residences. Citizens Union supported the change in law in 2010, and those we recognize that there is a lawsuit pending, there is no reason that LATFOR cannot be preparing the data necessary to place

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 prisoners at their home address for whatever eventuality occurs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

The Governor recently reiterated his pledge to veto lines that are not drawn in an independent or a non-partisan manner. Further, he does not believe that LATFOR can be nonpartisan, and if the history of redistricting is any quide, the public should not expect anything different this time around. New York has had a long history of partisan gerrymandering, with communities being carved--or being divided, minority groups being under-represented, competitors' homes being carved out of districts, and districts varying widely in population size to favor one region of the state over other The system has, for decades, regions. prioritized the re-election of incumbents, resulting in a 96% re-election rate. We ask that history does not repeat itself this redistricting cycle, and for a fair an independent process to be put in place immediately. We ask that legislators honor their words and commitments to redistricting reform by returning to Albany in a

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 special legislative session, to finally end 3 partisan gerrymandering in enact redistricting 4 New Yorkers have already waited many decades for reform, and we and they refuse to 5 accept postponing reform for another ten years. 6 7 Thank you. MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Does the 8 9 Citizens Union consider these LATFOR hearings to be of use to it? 10 11 MS. FAUSS: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the 12 last part of it. 13 MR. MCENENY: Do you find these hearings 14 to be of use, regardless if the system changed as 15 to who held the pen that drew the lines, are 16 these hearings valuable to you? 17 MS. FAUSS: Well, I think we certainly appreciate that, you know, the public is--the 18 19 public that is able to attend these hearings, I 20 think that it's important for them to be able to 21 present their views, and absolutely necessary for 2.2 them to present their views to this commission. 23 But, you know, I think we would certainly think

that an independent commission might be more

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 attuned to some of the interests of different 3 communities that aren't represented. 4 MR. MCENENY: But in the absence of 5 legislative--legislation having been passed, are we wise to have started when we could, just as 6 7 soon as we could after the session was over? MS. FAUSS: No, absolutely, I think 8 9 that's--10 MR. MCENENY: Okay. 11 MS. FAUSS: --that's correct, and it's 12 something that, you know, given the time 13 constraints mentioned by other speakers, it's 14 something that is needed to have started. I 15 think our argument is that an independent 16 commission should have been in place certainly 17 earlier, but given that some work is being done by LATFOR now, we don't--some of that information 18 19 could certainly transfer to another commission. 20 MR. MCENENY: Do you support Governor 21 Cuomo's proposed legislation? 2.2 MS. FAUSS: Yes, we do. 23 MR. MCENENY: Are you aware that 1% 24 would probably be unconstitutional, and would you

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 be more in line with other speakers that if that was revisited, a higher percentage to allow for 3 town on borders should be allowed? 4 5 MS. FAUSS: Well, I--just as a matter of clarification, the Governor's bill actually lists 6 7 prioritized criteria, and it--up front, before any of the criteria listed, the State 8 9 Constitution and Federal Law is presumed to be paramount. So following those, the 1% deviation 10 11 then follows. So in certain instances, it's 12 certainly possible that it would not be poss--13 that it wouldn't work for every single district--14 MR. MCENENY: Okay. 15 MS. FAUSS: --but I think the most 16 important thing to Citizens Union is that the 17 plus or minus 5% is way too much. 18 MR. MCENENY: What--19 MS. FAUSS: It provides way too much 20 leeway, and, in particular, because of 21 advantaging one region of the--over the--of the 2.2 state over another. MR. MCENENY: 23 These independent members, 24 what criteria would be placed on them in the

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 Governor's bill? What would their background 3 have to be? MS. FAUSS: Well, the bill has a number 4 5 of pieces. One is that lobbyists cannot serve on the Commission, current legislators cannot serve 6 7 on the Commission, or former, people who have-party leaders is another one, and then--and then 8 9 I might be forgetting every single piece--That tells it--10 MR. MCENENY: MS. FAUSS: --but there's a number. 11 12 MR. MCENENY: I've heard all the people 13 who can't serve on it, including anybody that 14 worked in the mail room five years ago and then 15 got a Ph.D. in sociodemographics and 16 redistricting from Harvard, because they worked 17 in the mail room five years ago would be ineligible. What I've not heard is who is 18 19 eligible. I mean, the lobbyists aren't eligible. 20 I haven't heard anything about donors not being 21 eliqible. 2.2 MS. FAUSS: Well, something I'd like to just mention is that -- has been discussed at this 23

hearing is that members of the public are now

2.2

increasingly being empowered to draw their own maps. So I think the pool of people who are gaining the knowledge of the process, who are learning the tools, is steadily increasing because of technology. I think California is an example of the Commission where the same sorts of criteria are used in terms of the membership of the Commission, something that's, you know, certainly new, but they're doing the work, and Commissioners can also be—their work can be supplemented by professional staff.

MR. MCENENY: Okay.

MR. NOZZOLIO: In the state of—I
believe it was New Mexico—I believe it was New
Mexico, I may be wrong, but I believe it was New
Mexico that just had a huge controversy about the
so—called independent commission, and an
independent member on that commission who was, in
fact, a contractor for political campaigns across
the country, all of one political party's
persuasion. So it's—I think Assemblyman McEneny
is correct, in pursuing the details necessary
from those who are advocating independence to

state and what we should not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

define independence. Define it appropriately.

Define it concisely and strictly. And that I

think you put a label on someone that says

they're independent, in effect, that's just prove

in one state to not be the case. So it is, I

think, imperative as we learn from these examples

of other states, what we should utilize for this

The testimony today from the Brennan Center was very clear, that we are behind the eight ball in terms of timing, and that we appreciate your advocacy, better made to other members of the legislature than us at this table, in a sense, because we are simply trying to do what everyone, I know, believes in, and that is complying with the law as it exists. And that we have a legal and moral responsibility, certainly a fiduciary responsibility, as we've been assigned to this task force to follow the law. And that's why we hope that this is a good forum for you to discuss issues of interest, but at the same token, we have to focus on the task at hand, the responsibility at hand, and the law as

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 they're currently written.

MS. FAUSS: And I'd just like to mention one thing in response to what you said earlier about, you know, New Mexico in terms of—or whatever state it might be, in terms of who would be appointed to the independent commissions. In the Governor's bill, the legislative leaders select from a pool of candidates, and presumably the legislative leaders entrusted all of you to be qualified and appropriate and up to the task of redistricting, and we'd hope that given the legislature's authority under the constitution over certain elements of redistricting, that the Cuomo bill would also allow for the legislative leaders to pick qualified candidates.

MR. NOZZOLIO: And I guess that, as you proffer the suggestion, I guess I wonder if what you're saying is not independent redistricting, but non-legislative oriented redistricting, or non-but the so-called plan--independent plan, still requires the legislature to appointment the members of a commission, as well as to accept or reject the plan proffered by those commissioners.

2.2

Are there any states that you have looked at that, in effect, do not provide the legislature with the opportunity to decide who's on this Commission, or the opportunity to accept or reject a Commission's plan?

MS. FAUSS: Well, California is one example. I could certainly look at other examples to provide to you at a later date. But you know, I think we've--there's been a lot of discussion today about the State Constitution, and there are a number of things that we think would be wise to revise and, you know, I think that is, you know, in terms of the--not dividing towns, I think, you know, right now, the legislature is authorized under the Constitution to approve of the redistricting plans. But, you know, other states, that's not the case.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Senator Dilan?

MR. DILAN: Yes, thank you. I'd like to make two points. One goes to the point of deviation, and the second point is with respect to a comment of the previous witness. But first, you indicate that the criteria that should be

2.2

used is that criteria that is in the Governor's program bill, and that bill calls for a plus or minus deviation of 1%, where possible. That's allowing for flexibility, so that means wherever it's not possible, then we go to--maybe to 4% or 5%. But wherever possible, we go to 1%, is that correct?

MS. FAUSS: Yes, that is the language in the Governor's bill, and like I mentioned, similar to the piece before the criteria, that the State Constitution and Federal law has to be followed. There is some leeway there in that specific language, but--

MR. DILAN: So the Governor's bill provides for flexibility where, for some reason, because there's a town at some borderline or something like that, then we follow that Constitution, but where possible, we stay at 1%, is that the way you want to extend it?

MS. FAUSS: You know, I can't speak to an exact number that it would be, and, you know, Citizens Union's position has long been, you know, however--you know, if it's a change in the

2.2

Constitution that's necessary, as the districts need to be as near in population as possible between each other. I mean, with the Congressional seats, as you all know, they're within one person. While we can't do that under the State Constitution now, I think the closer we get to that, the more we're going to have equal and fair districts.

MR. DILAN: All right, thank you. My second point, Mr. Chairman, was with respect to the previous witness, Mr. Jason Planck, who was citing Federal sections, 2 and 5, I believe, of the Federal Voting Rights Act. And I just want to indicate for the record that the Federal Voting Rights Act would not require the state to create 63 districts. Federal law does not determine the size of the legislature. The Voting Rights Act determines whether the plan developed by the State provides proper minority representation based on State law. So I think he had that reversed, and I just wanted to clarify that point for the record. Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: I like the hearing we had

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 in Western New York where someone suggested that we give it to the Canadians, because they would 3 4 be neutral. 5 MS. FAUSS: Well, that we would not 6 support, so--7 MR. MCENENY: We very much appreciate 8 your coming forward. 9 MS. FAUSS: Okay, thank you. Thank you very much. 10 MR. MCENENY: 11 have Bishop Sylveta Hamilton-Gonzales. I believe 12 she testified with an earlier group. I also 13 believe that Brennan Center was mentioned. 14 wasn't the Brennan Center, I think it was Common Causes representative. Is Latice Monique Walker 15 16 Latice Monique Walker? Allison Tupper? 17 Ms. Tupper, as you're sitting, I would just like to reflect that the comment by the last testifier 18 19 regarding California, I've been informed by 20 counsel that California does require legislative 21 approval of their redistricting plan. Thank you 2.2 very much, welcome. 23 MS. ALLISON TUPPER: Good afternoon,

Task Force members. Thank you for this

opportunity to speak. I have two points to make. I'm going to try to make my remarks shorter than I had planned because—and just to reiterate what some prior testifiers have said. Of course, you are now the Constitutionally charged body to come up with the new redistricting, and we thank you for these hearings and for all the work that you have been doing, we're glad that you have been doing. At this same time—

MR. MCENENY: Ms. Tupper, excuse me, would you pull the microphone just a little closer to you? Thank you.

MS. TUPPER: Okay. We are glad that you have this process well underway, and that you are having these hearings. At the same time, you are in a conflict of interest position, so I'm also going to make the case for an independent commission.

First, non-partisan criteria. As has been said, we want the voters to choose their representatives, not the other way around.

Ultimately, we're going to need a Constitutional amendment, but that can wait until 2013. We want

24

the criteria to be clear and to be published, and, of course, they're going to comply with the Constitution and laws and the United States Supreme Court decisions. We want Districts to be contiguous and compact without small peninsulas or bays, and we want them--and we want them to keep communities together. By community, I mean racial and ethnic, I want to take into account income and racial and ethnic and language considerations. In the New York--in the cities, that means traditional neighborhoods. Outside the cities it means villages and townships and counties. I think, to the extent possible, Districts should vary from each other no more than 1--vary from the average no more than 1%. It's clear that that's not always going to be possible. I would suggest a maximum of 3%, but I do think there should be a clear maximum, and I think that 5% is too much. A 10% difference is The word decimate means reduce by 10%, so just, in the history of our language, we consider 10% to be huge. So we have to get it lower than Lines should be drawn without considering that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

party enrollment at all. I know that some people are saying that we should try to make them competitive with equal numbers of Democrats and Republicans. I think that that would be--I think that would be inconsistent with keeping communities of interest together, so I am against Another--and prison population should be counted where the prisoners live, not where they're imprisoned, consistent with New York State law. Another reason to be consistent with all of these criteria is that we don't want the Governor to veto this. We want the -- we want it to work as drawn, and it looks as though you're going in that direction. I'm really very glad to hear that.

Second, the case for an independent commission. The current system puts this Task Force in an unavoidable conflict of interest situation. Of course, legislators want to be reelected, and are loyal to their parties and their colleagues. But these are—but at the same time, we want independent criteria. These are contradictory demands, and historically, I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

afraid to say, the party and the incumbents have had more weight than the representative democracy. The current system favors incumbents, but there are other reasons for incumbents to have a great advantage in elections. There are lots of other reasons. My guess is that a fair and honest non-incumbent related and non-party related redistricting would not change the legislature drastically. There might be a few changes, but it would essentially be the same body. The current system leaves substantial parts of our population under-represented, and one result of that is lower voter turnout, because too many prospective voters believe their votes don't count. I'm going to put in a word for having 61 Senate Districts, because it's an odd number, and because it would be more efficient, I would try to--I would rather try to work to get 33 Senators or 32 senators to vote my way than 35 Senators--than 34 or 35 Senators, and I think it should be odd numbered, if it's possible.

I understand I'm make--in conclusion, I

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011
2	understand I'm making two seemingly contradictory
3	requests, but I'm making sure that if an
4	independent commission is created, whether by the
5	legislature or by the Governor, as others are
6	suggesting, that I know this task force would
7	make its work available to them, and that the
8	procedure would continue. And I hope that we're
9	going to have our new non-partisan district lines
10	in good time for our 2012 elections. Thank you
11	for your time.
12	MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Did you give
13	us an address, or do you represent an
14	organization? Do we have it on the testimony?
15	MS. TUPPER: Yes, I have submitted
16	testimony that has my address on it, yes.
17	MR. MCENENY: Well, for the benefit of
18	MS. TUPPER: My name is Allison Tupper,
19	I live in New York City, at 526 West 46th Street.
20	MR. MCENENY: Thank you. That's for the
21	benefit of the television camera behind me.
22	MS. TUPPER: Yes.
23	MR. MCENENY: Yes, Senator?
24	MR. DILAN: Yes, you indicated that we

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011
2	should have independent criteria. I've been
3	calling for criteria of this panel, and thator
4	that we have procedures as to howprocedures or
5	rules as to how we proceed. I don't know whatI
6	understand what you mean by independent criteria,
7	but I think that if we at least had criteria that
8	the public could work from and advocate groups
9	could work from, I think that would be a good
10	beginning, so we could all participate in this
11	process, and even rules as to how we proceed
12	would be a good thing for us to do. So I think
13	that's what you meant?
14	MS. TUPPER: Yes.
15	MR. DILAN: That we have an open and
16	transparent
17	MS. TUPPER: Open and transparent and
18	MR. DILAN:procedure, because we can
19	repeat that all we want
20	MS. TUPPER:objective criteria.
21	MR. DILAN:but if we don't have
22	criterias to work with, I don't know how
23	transparent we are.
24	MS. TUPPER: Yes.

MR. DILAN: Okay, thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much.

4 Ivonne Hanks?

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

IVONNE HANKS, COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVE,

6 LATINO VOICE: Good morning.

7 MR. MCENENY: Good morning. Good 8 afternoon.

MS. HANKS: Good to see everybody in here. My name is Ivonne Hanks. I'm a little hoarse, my voice, so my names is Ivonne Hanks. Please allow me to express my gratitude and my appreciation for this opportunity to speak to you. I am here as a New York taxpayer constituent and an American Citizen. I am very proud to be able to participate in this Democratic process which allow me to lift the voice of the Latino community on this important issue, and I thank you.

As I am sure you are well aware, I won't repeat number because I know you will have access to that. In the past decade, the Latino population of New York State has grown substantially, and at a significantly greater

23

24

rate than the non-Latino population. It is no -- that the largest Latino group, Puerto Ricans, have a historically high voter participation. This population growth is having an impact in many areas, in modern education, health care services, jobs, and infrastructure. efficiently ensure that Latinos and all New Yorkers have an equal voice in addressing this many issues, one person, one vote is not only essential, but it's crucial to the future of New York State. And of the many issues where districting can address is inclusiveness. Democracy intends that elected officials should reflect the constituency they serve. benefits all citizens regardless of race, origin, or ethnic identity. District lines should be drawn that will best represent this constituents' communities. Gerrymandering does not--does just the opposite. Only an independent redistricting commission can create district that the citizens of New York State can be confident truly accomplishes this. It is fair, it is democratic, and it's the right thing to do. Do you have any

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 questions? I thank you again.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much.

MS. HANKS: Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Anne Pope. Anne Pope?
Bob Cohen?

BOB COHEN, POLICY DIRECTOR, CITIZEN ACTION OF NEW YORK: Good afternoon Assemblyman McEneny and other members of the LATFOR. This may be the shortest testimony I've ever delivered before a legislative committee. I think it comes from the fact that, one is I'm #23, and two, some of the issues -- the issues that I was going to speak about have been dealt with, and so I'm just going to make very, very brief remarks and submit some written testimony tomorrow. First of all, I'm Bob Cohen. I'm the policy director of Citizen Action of New York, which is an organization that advocates for racial, social, economic, and environmental justice. We have chapters in seven communities throughout the state, including here in the capital district. Our interest in this, obviously we have a concern, as do so many other organizations, with

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

fair and ethical Government, and open and transparent process in addressing the difficult task of drawing legislative districts. So I have really only two things I'd like to touch on very briefly, and I'm hoping to make one or two comments based on some of the discussions that happened earlier today. Citizen Action would like to join with the other non-profit organizations and others who have said today and at other hearings that we support an independent commission. I know that has met with some resistance or at least skepticism by the members up on the panel today, but I, you know, to say something maybe slightly different as has been said before, it's not only a practical issue of--I think it's important to remember that the legislature does have to come back anyway, so it's not really a case of, you know, even bringing the legislature back for a special session because at least it's publicly reported, the legislature has to deal with the PEF contract. Wearing my other hat as a healthcare activist, we hope that you'll act on the health

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

insurance exchange, and that seems to be the intention of both house and legislature. So, you know, there's not even the issue, frankly, that you can come back—that you'd have to come back just for this issue, and I would echo what other people have said, that it's not an issue of time, that there's time to do it.

I also want to say--respond, hopefully, to Assemblyman McEneny's comments about have these hearings been useful. I think the answer is clearly yes. I've learned a lot from just sitting here today, but I don't think, with all due respect, that that's the issue. You have a professional staff, there's expertise among legislators, obviously, enjoying legislative districts. But the issue is, is--and it's not even an issue of whether we think or the public thinks that you're going to vote fairly in the end in designing district lines. It's an issue of public perception, and I don't think it's anything original for me to say that, you know, the legislature, and as legislators generally are not always held in the highest regard, and I

24

think there are many principles of law that simply talk about the perception. And I'll just give one analogy that affects my organization directly. With the health insurance exchange, which has to be set up under the Affordable Care Act, the coalition that Citizen Action is a member of, Healthcare for All New York, came up with a position that said that nobody is directly impacted financially should serve on the exchange board. Well, quite frankly, Citizen Action, when we read the proposal by one of our coalition partners who started it, we didn't realize, at first, that this excluded us from potentially membership on the exchange board, because our 501(c)(3) side public policy and education fund received a minimal amount of funding for consumer advice under the Affordable Care Act. So, although we weren't terribly happy about that, we instantly took the position of our coalition that we and other coalition partners, frankly, should be excluded from the board because of the perception issue. So I'd just like to move on, but just make that observation.

2 On the second issue, I was prepared to talk this morning about what Mr. McEneny 3 4 characterized as rumors that some members of 5 LATFOR, and it was also reported in the media, were at least skeptical about following the 6 7 prison gerrymandering law, which Citizen Action played a vital role in getting passed, in terms 8 9 of mobilizing people throughout the state, along with other organizations. So I would like to 10 11 reiterate the importance from the perspective of 12 our organization that that law be followed, but I 13 was very happy to hear that members from both 14 sides of the aisle, including, I believe, Mr. 15 Oaks, who said he voted against the prison 16 gerrymandering law, or would have voted against 17 it, have indicated that your intention to follow the law, and if so, that's great, we praise you 18 19 for that, and I appreciate that that issue has 20 been clarified in the context of these 21 That's all I had to testify. I'd proceedings. 2.2 be obviously to take any questions.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. The reason I asked the question on the usefulness of these

23

24

hearings is that someone fairly prominent in the State made a comment to the press it was all a sham, and I thought that was very insulting to the people who come to completely public forums, and come up with some very sincere and very useful suggestions. I thought it essentially put down the people who came forward knowing, you know, time is wasting, we have to get ideas out there, we can't wait until everything is perfect from one person's point of view or another, and I thought that was unfair to those people. So I thought, if some of our good Government groups who are in fact drawing up plans, and many of them still advocating that we do this in a different way, that this is useful, and we can't stall it off until maybe somebody comes back and maybe they don't come back, that it was important to give people a very public outlet, and I was-and I know my fellow members here feel we were very much refreshed with the quality of the testimony of the individuals that have been coming forward so far and have signed up to come up for future meetings.

MR. COHEN: I totally agree, and again, the analogy, again, with the health benefits exchange is there, which is we don't have a board, I hope you will act on it. I'm not trying to get away from the topic of the hearing, but the professional staff that's been hired to address that with Federal grants is doing its work, and from our perspective, is doing an excellent job. So I would agree with that sentiment.

MR. NOZZOLIO: Will Citizen Action of
New York, Mr. Cohen, be submitting any
suggestions to the Task Force regarding lines of
Assembly, Senate, or Congress?

MR. COHEN: I mean, I have to say,
Assemblyman Nozzolio, I think--we don't have the
expertise, I think, to do on that level as common
clause has indicated, so just--we may have some
general comments, but we don't have the capacity-as far as I know, I will certainly check and
correct this if I'm misspeaking, but I'm not
aware that we have plans to do that.

MR. NOZZOLIO: Okay.

MR. COHEN: Thanks.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much.

LaDon Alamar? LaDon Alamar? Gene Rodriguez?

Gene Rodriguez? Carolyn--oh, Gene, you're here.

GENE RODRIGUEZ, COMMUNITY ADVOCATE: I said good morning to you this morning.

MR. MCENENY: I know, we spoke earlier, three hours ago. Sorry, Gene.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And on that note, I'll have to say--I'll have to change good morning to good afternoon. Good afternoon Senator Nozzolio, Assemblyman McEneny, and the Task Force. I would like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to discuss with you today the impact of redistricting within the communities of color, and more specifically, the Latino community. It is throughout our history where the majority or the people in power have gone to great extent to hold onto that control. We know and it is documented that many Americans were denied their right to participate in a political process because of their race, income level, and education or cultural and language

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 and apprehension is justified. We can no longer accept a practice of political or racial 3 4 gerrymandering. We must remember that voting is 5 a right, not a privilege. But I also want to 6 include that if there is anything that I can do 7 to make this a more all inclusive process, I am 8 at your service. Again, I thank you for your 9 time and for the opportunity to speak. I look forward to assisting this Task Force in enforcing 10 11 the Voter Rights Act, and reaching its ultimate 12 goal of the equality concept of one person, one 13 vote. Thank you. 14 MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Mr. Lopez? 15 MR. LOPEZ: I just want to ask him a 16 question. Gene, if you were going to submit a 17 plan? MR. RODRIGUEZ: 18 Yes. 19 MR. LOPEZ: When? 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Later on in the fall, as 21 many of you may know, there is going to be a 2.2 Latino upstate summit where a lot of the

compilation of information will probably be

prepared for that time. Obviously there are

23

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011
2	steps to be taken so we will notify the
3	Commission on our progress, and hopefully, as you
4	all know, you are all welcome to attend that
5	at Utica. I think it's October 21st.
6	MR. LOPEZ: When is that?
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: October 21st.
8	MR. LOPEZ: October 24th?
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Up in Utica.
10	MR. LOPEZ: Thank you.
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
12	MR. NOZZOLIO: Senator Dilan?
13	MR. DILAN: Yes. You're listed as a
14	community advocate. Do you represent any
15	particular organization or group?
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Not at this time. I
17	have another hat, but that would be inappropriate
18	at this time.
19	MR. DILAN: So right now you're acting
20	as an independent individual?
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I would say I'm
22	dependent on the progress and the successability
23	of my community that I serve.
24	MR. DILAN: And you just made reference

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011
2	to some future meeting. What is that about?
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: The Latino Upstate
4	Summit is an annual event. Last year it was held
5	here in Albany, the year before in Syracuse. So
6	what we do isit's usually the I-90 New York
7	State thru-way. The cities from Buffalo,
8	Rochester, Utica, Syracuse, Amsterdam, Albany.
9	So the community-based organizations get together
10	to promote certain agenda that may positively or
11	negatively affect the Latino community throughout
12	New York State.
13	MR. DILAN: All right, thank you.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
15	MR. DILAN: Thank you. Any other
16	questions?
17	MR. MCENENY: I should point out,
18	Senator, that Mr. Rodriguez is well known as a
19	very effective community advocate in a number of
20	areas.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
22	MR. MCENENY: Thank you so much.
23	MR. DILAN: Pleasure to meet you.
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, it's a

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 pleasure.

2

MR. MCENENY: Ana Lorena Diana?

4

ANA LORENA DIANA, VICE PRESIDENT,

5

HISPANIC COALITION, BOARD MEMBER CENTRO CIVICO OF

6

AMSTERDAM: Good afternoon. I will be brief. To

7

all members of New York State Legislative Task

8

Force on Demographic Research and

9

Reapportionment, my name is Ana Lorena Diana. I

10

am here today as the Vice President of Hispanic

11

Coalition, New York, and also a board member of

12

Centro Civico of Amsterdam. For the last 18

13

years I've been a resident of Montgomery County

14

and Amsterdam, New York resident, and I've been seeing, I've been a witness of all the changes in

15 16

our community that affect and also reflects who

17

we are as a member of this beautiful fabric of

18

our society. Historically, after every decennial

19

census, we have been seeing all the changes in the redistricting, and many concerns have been

2021

taking place today about all the different venues

22

that--or much rather the honesty, the fairness of

23

the process. I am confident about your--I am

24

confident about what you are going to do, but as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

a member of a Latino, I truly want to express my concern that Latinos, we are members of many different counties and countries -- much rather countries, that we speak one language, but we also are integrated into an American dream. be part of the process, counting us as part of the process is make us aware of our responsibilities and duties as American citizens. According with the data that all of you are aware, and I am very glad that finally, finally we are taking some census number into considerations, the increment of Latino population has been not only in the place where I live, you can see many professionals, according with the census release, in counties considered white professionals, as Saratoga County. So that means that Latino, we reflect different backgrounds and different - - , not only in professional, but as well as in the economical.

So I am standing here today, much rather as a citizen, to urge all of you for a fair and transparency redistricting process. I am confident of that, but I wanted to make sure that

a little part of our voice is heard today. And I am thank you to all of you for your consideration. Thank you very much.

MR. NOZZOLIO: Thank you for that. Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you for coming.

MS. DIANA: Appreciate it.

MR. MCENENY: Guiermo Martinez? Guiermo Martinez? Paul Weidner?

PAUL WEIDNER, ACT NOW: Thank you for hearing me. My name is Paul Weidner. I live in New York City, and with your permission, I'm going to be a lot less specific than most of the testimony today, but I'm also going to be a lot briefer. I worked several years in developing countries, so-called developing democracies, and I had a chance to see some elections that were taking place there, and in which a winner would come out with a 98.8% majority of the vote. And everyone would say, "How wonderful, what unity we have here." Actually, the wonder was that he didn't get 100% of the vote, because his name was the only one on the ballot. It wasn't a real

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

So I have come to appreciate all the election. more the fact that here in the United States, we have real elections, the simple act of me casting a vote where it will count. The actual process, of course, is what's crucial, and the devil is in the details: voter registration, getting your name on the ballot where it's appropriate, the proper running of polling stations on the day, all of those details being run in a non-partisan management of the entire election process. needless to say, that includes the way we constitute our voting districts. So it doesn't seem unreasonable, then, in this case, and with all respect for you and the work that you are doing and have done, to support Rachel Fauss in her call from Citizen Union for a special session to address and work for the old cause of redistricting reform by a strictly independent, non-partisan body, in an effort to get another piece of the puzzle, another detail that much realer in our election process. Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much.

MR. WEIDNER: Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Jackson Chin?

Good afternoon LATFOR members. My name is

Jackson Chin. I have some prepared testimony

that I submitted to the Committee, and I will

JACKSON CHIN, LATINO JUSTICE PRLDEF:

7 amend some of my comments. But first of all,

8 thank you for holding these hearings. They're

9 very important, and I believe that since

10 attending this morning, I have learned many

things, and I am very happy to hear that you will

12 be having additional hearings for the post-

release maps that this LATFOR body will apply

for. And I think that it is important that the

public know about it, 'cause otherwise we just

learned about it today.

2

3

4

5

6

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I wanted to say that my name is Jackson Chin, I'm senior counsel at Latino Justice PRLDEF. PRLDEF, formerly Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Education Fund, which is an independent, non-profit, non-partisan civil rights impact litigation and advocacy organization. Our mission is to promote the civic participation of Latinos in the United

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

States, and to promote equal protection under the law. Now, for the past three decennial recycles-districting cycles, Latino Justice has worked with communities to defend the civil and voting rights of the Latino community. We have filed litigation in some cases to challenge redistricting plans which violated the rights of the Latino community.

I come here today to respectfully talk about two important points. The first matter is that we believe that, in terms of the Congressional plans that you will be drawing, we urge that the core Latino Congressional Districts be preserved, and I understand that in the cycle you will be required to eliminate two Congressional Districts. Some speculate one district eliminated from the downstate area, and the other from the upstate area. recognizing, incontrovertibly, that the growth of the Latino population in this state has been very substantial and significant over the last ten years, we feel that we must preserve the four Latino core Congressional Districts, which are in

the five counties of New York City, and those four Congressional Districts are minority—-I'm sorry majority minority and plurality Latino population districts. They are currently the districts held by Congressman Jose Serrano in the Bronx, Nydia Velasquez in Brooklyn and Manhattan, Charlie Wrangle in Central Harlem, and Joseph Crowley in Queens and the Bronx.

We at Latino Justice have looked at data and analyzed various mapping options. We believe that eliminating the Ninth Congressional

District, once held by Congressman Andrew Weiner, offers LATFOR the flexible and solid path in satisfying a number of important redistricting objectives in 2011. The diverse populations within the Ninth Congressional District, we believe, can be readily absorbed into the surrounding Congressional Districts, and as we all know, in this round, we need to add population to all of our Congressional Districts so that they amount to the new equal population district size of 717,707 individuals.

I think the Ninth CD option is also

2.2

going to be very useful in your needing to satisfy a number of legal objectives. We believe that these objectives are that the Ninth CD option can preserve existing Latino and black Congressional Districts, which would avoid dilution claims. This opportunity to avoid truly disruptive, and some other options may be even more disruptive, but this seems to be the best way to preserve many of the downstate districts. And then we also avoid the risk of retrogression in Latino and black Congressional Districts, which are covered under the Voting Rights Act Section 5 counties. They fall within these Section 5 counties.

Now, the Ninth Congressional District can easily support the adjoining Velasquez and Crowley districts, as they have all seen expansions in growth in the Latino populations. The Congressional District that Mr. Crowley currently has in Queens and the Bronx is covered under the Voting Rights Act, Section 5. We have seen large increases of Latinos during the decade and in his district. We expect that that

2.2

district would grow into a minority majority district in the coming decade. Similarly, in the Velasquez Congressional District, which straddles the two Section 5 counties, some minor adjustments to her district could be done with relative efficacy, by keeping intact within her district the two Asian communities of Manhattan's China Town and Sunset Park, as well as the Latino communities found within the lower East Side, and Sunset Park and other parts of Kings County.

So we also feel that this option would enable us to shore up additional Congressional Districts, also protected under the Voting Rights Act, Section 5. Those are the Sixth Congressional District, currently held by Congressman Meeks, the Tenth Congressional District, currently Congressman Towns, and the Eleventh Congressional District, which is Congresswoman Clarke's district. So these will ensure that the maps for the Congressional downstate areas would comply with the Voting Rights Act.

We will intend to submit maps,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Congressional as well as the State legislative maps at some future point, but we wanted to make sure you heard our suggestions about the Congressional map, and concerns that the Latino communities have in ensuring that they do not become split up, that they are compact, and they are preserved in the bet way that we see possible.

The second issue that I came prepared to talk about, before I heard the announcement that the Committee intended to follow the law that was passed to address prison gerrymandering, something called Part 20, I'm heartened to know that that is indeed what this Committee intends I was certainly about to, as you'll see in my submitted testimony, lambaste the Committee for failing to follow the law and to neglect its duty as it proceeds to state under this redistricting process, but I see--I would also urge that I think the idea of having a resolution or some sort of commitment to using geo-coding data that the Department of Corrections will supply to you as required under the law, I think

24

that is important. As you may know, Latino Justice and six other civil rights organizations ran to State Court to attempt to seek the compliance of LATFOR in following the requirements of Part 20, and so I'm encouraged to hear that this is what this committee says it will do. I think from the vantage point of the Latino community, the prison gerrymandering problem has been a very--has been very impactful in a very adverse way to the political rights of Latinos over the last three decades, and with the waging of the war on drugs, and the Rockefeller drug laws, the communities of color and low income individuals have been--have suffered tremendously. And I think, with Part 20, we start to address the political issues that have hindered the democratic rights of these communities. And so, again, Part 20 is a very critical law that was passed last year to deal with the problem of prison gerrymandering and have--and people who are incarcerated are not being counted from the pre-incarceration districts and addresses that they came from.

2.2

I think the other comment that I would make is simply that, you know, the Latino population currently makes up 18% of the State's residents, and accounts for about 25% of the State population—prison population, so it is a very important matter that Part 20 of the law is complied with by LATFOR in its efforts to do the state redistricting. So anyway, I want to just say thank you, and we look forward to presenting our maps at future hearings, and we would proffer our assistance in any way to help this body in its obligations to create fair maps.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Will you be submitting maps?

MR. CHIN: Yes, we will be submitting maps. I don't have a particular date, but we are working on them.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ MCENENY: Will you do it for the whole state or just up - -

MR. CHIN: We intend to have, yes, maps for the whole state as well as Congressional districting.

MR. MCENENY: Very good. Members?

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011

Thank you very much.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. CHIN: Thank you very much.

MR. MCENENY: Ramon Velasquez?

RAMON VELASQUEZ, LOCAL CITIZEN: Hi. Му name is Ramon Velasquez. I'm here from - - New You see I have nothing written. reason I'm here is to tell you I was a product, for 17 years, I was counted as an inmate in the Wyoming County, okay. I never knew that I was being counted as an inmate at Wyoming County, but really I'm from Brooklyn, and I never knew that, that I was counted. So we originally - - New York came here to disrupt this whole thing, but we find out--as we come in here we find out that you guys are going to count through the county, and we appreciate what you guys are going to do. You know, it's not right that we'd be counted at--myself, as a Wyoming County inmate, when I'm from Brooklyn, being used for political purpose up there, you know. And I appreciate that you guys are going to count the inmates up there now from where they come from. So we're here to say we appreciate that it's going to be done.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 that, I'm done.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much.

MR. VELASQUEZ: You're welcome.

MR. MCENENY: James Hong?

JAMES HONG, CITIZEN, QUEENS, NEW YORK: Good afternoon, members of the Commission. name is James Hong, and I am a resident of Queens in New York City, and I am just here as an I just want to make a quick comment individual. on the time that these hearings are held throughout the state. 10 a.m. on weekday mornings are not really conducive to public participation. Senator Nozzolio, you mentioned that your goal was to have as an open and transparent process as possible, but openness means accessibility and I don't think the time of these hearings are really helpful in that regard. And being from Queens, I note that the Queens hearing is on September 8th, and--I'm sorry, the 7th, and that is the day before public school begins for all New York City. That is a very bad time for people to be attending any hearing. Ι think it's going to be a very hectic time in the

City for many, many families, so I think those two converging, for Queens, that is an especially bad timing. So if you can, I would ask you to consider rescheduling those hearings—or that hearing, and all the hearings subsequent to this one, to be in the evenings or on the weekends, so the public can truly participate. That's all really I wanted to say. And I think the 2.2 million residents of Queens would be delighted. Thanks.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much.

Members? All right, we're going to go through
the list again. People I know, a couple of them
have come in. Let's start with Aaron Mair.

AARON MAIR, ARBOR HILL ENVIRONMENTAL

JUSTICE: Ladies and gentlemen of the Committee,

I want to thank you for your indulgence and your

time, and I got bound up this morning, part of a

reapportionment litigation lawsuit here in Albany

County. It began yesterday; we're seeking

injunctions. This is the third time. We

anticipate—again, there's no certainty, but we

anticipate we shall prevail. The facts are still

24

the same. And I want to talk a little bit about that, as well as the broader considerations, because while all politics are local, just like the Governor's offices and the State houses determine who is going to be present, and they count those State houses when we talk about the present national elections, it is the local elections at the counties, it's the county executives that determine the Assemblymen, the Senators, and the Congressmen. And it is the climate at the local levels that ultimately drive and shape this process which then shapes our State house, and so on and so forth. So the lawsuit that I was tied up yesterday is indicative at the grass--the real grassroots level where the citizen is actually at of our experiences, the considerations, and this is even before we start to get into the considerations that this legislative task force has to deal I understand and I am aware that there are with. other proposals of independent commissions. Again, if we were to look at climate levels, you have the higher atmosphere, you have a prevailing

24

wind, a jet stream, and you have what's the climate at the local level. And before we start even considering the bigger disenfranchisements, we have to start tackling and addressing the local ones, or at least be aware that in how they play out in shaping this body, which ultimately shapes our Congressional and our gubernatory So it is quite important. And my notes-body. by the way, I apologize, I submitted only five because of the hearing and the lawsuit, I was tied up all day, so the time to get to Kinko's, it was enough to run there, print those out and at least get some of those, in a much more detailed one I will leave with the Commission which has, actually, a copy of our memorandum of law, which I want entered into the record, because that detailed memorandum of law is telling about some of the tactics and strategies that counties and local municipalities engage in to systematically disenfranchise and disempower and play off ethnic minorities off one another. And let's be crystal clear. It is about power. And the most important piece of literacy that any

Most

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011

2 citizen, any American can have, our power to enfranchise the citizen, is understanding the 3 4 most fundamental element, which is 5 reapportionment, which is for two purposes. 6 people don't know this. I gave a talk 7 approximately a month ago before a bunch of attorneys and asked him why do we have 8 9 apportionment, and many of them pointed immediately to representation. That is only 50% 10 of the answer. The other half of it is revenue, 11 and that should jar you, because that's what 12 13 we're dealing with at the national level. 14 Revenue is a piece of this as well as 15 representation, the issue of taxation and 16 representation. So, in other words, we do this 17 with the anticipation of not only yielding up revenue for our national or state and local 18 19 operations, but we also yielded up, so we can 20 have effective voices to re-present--again, 21 represent is the word, re-present, so you're re-2.2 presenting the ideas and the concerns at the local level. And it is deeply disconcerting when 23

we find newcomers or those who have been

24

2 systematic -- what I call the forced nations.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It's' the first nations, Native Americans, the forced nations, those who are slaves, whether you landed in the Caribbean, Latin America, America, you are a part of the forced nations. There's a systematic effort to keep these peoples in their place, to disenfranchise them and come as a wedge between them and their power. This is what we are experiencing here in the county. And this is important, because it takes the form of patronage, jobs. These things are very critical inducements, and these go right to the core of So when you talk about a fair reapportionment process, it is important that you look at what's happening right now. talking about the work that's gone--the reapportionment that's happening right now. agendas relative to reapportionment are being set We are a society--one of the right now. beautiful things about our democracy, again, without all those papers, is factions. So those factions are being set right now. And understand, all politics are local. A lot people

24

there--everything's immediate, but they don't really connect the dots on--especially in the case of minorities, Hispanics and African-Americans in particular, and I think one of the interesting playouts, when we talked about the Pedro Spada incident relative to the Senate and that struggle, 'cause when new arguments came up, blacks don't respect Latinos, Latinos don't respect blacks, and this was the ritual division from the same people, 'cause ethnically they're all African descendants. The question is where they fell at and what's the linguistic stripe that divides them. The language is not enough, it is the experience. It is what we fought for in civil rights with Dr. King, et cetera, and the long litany of civil rights heroes. And civil rights are not black rights, civil rights are not Hispanic rights. Civil rights is basically us getting down to a common denominator of equality in citizen. And if you can understand that citizen's right, then you transcend a lot of the things that divide you and you come together and you build coalitions that are effective. My big

24

concern, and we've just talked a little bit about the Congressional seat, and one's got to disappear upstate and one's got to disappear downstate, and we have to protect turf or existing--my point is, that is not what you want to look at. Again, going back to my county example, going back to those county executives, those local examples, what you are there is to protect the rights of the citizens. You're there to protect the civil rights. It's not about the gangs of New York or the gangs of upstate, it is about the people. And so to the extent that this body can come up with a clear set of rules that a) educates the citizens about their rights and their franchise, and, more importantly, their power under reapportionment. This is the most significant power for any citizen, if they understand their power. And more importantly, that any of them have the right to draw our plan, and that every one of them have a right to submit that plan. And one of the most important tools that you can do is make sure that there are resources like they have down in Florida.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

actually have software that's online that people in communities can go and draw plans and try to come up with ideas on how their community -- or how best to serve them, without necessarily having to be a member of somebody's Democratic club, Republican club, Hispanic club, African-American club, or any kind of club or whatever, because those things tend to revert or distort the real needs and the real concerns. But more importantly, they don't build, they divide. if we are talking about true representation, and we look at it at the national level as it's playing out, meaning when we talk about the tea party, which is predominantly white, not all, but it is predominantly white, and anti-people of color. Now borrowing Glen Beckism, I think they don't like colored people. And I say that because the language is systematically cloaked and coated in such a way that it disempowers or comes up with legislative policies that disproportionately impact people of color and the poorer citizens. So to the extent that the reapportionment process brings together New

Yorkers of all stripes, and New Yorkers that are of minority - - so that they can understands their power, so they can effectively, at the local level, whether they're electing a county executive or electing a mayor, build that fundamental block so that they can build effective assembly and senate districts, which they can also no fair that these in Congressional districts, they will absolutely have a much more significant say with regard to the rights being stripped away.

Right now is the most critical hour in this country. This reapportionment process this-this point within the next couple of years across this country is going to shape the power of rich America versus poor America, and how they use and exploit and stratify their dominant group, 'cause again, what happens with the elites, they buy the poor, they tell them that the minorities are coming, this is where your tea party backlash comes up. It is we are taking it from them, which is not true. It is a revenue-going back to the original intent of

24

apportionment, it is a revenue problem when at the national level our Congressional delegates collapse the revenue uptaking stream, it's less resources down. As these resources become much more scarce, political coalitions at the local level and at the county level become even that much more acute and sensitive. We talk about the butterfly effect, the power at a local level to have an asymmetric response due to what we perceive is a simple action, and these are very, very, very critical times. So paying attention to the litigation that we have in the Albany County in particular, I won't bore you with the details, but this is the third time in 30 years that I had to prove that I'm in there, 'cause under their definition by which they apportion and created majority minority districts, President Obama is not black, under their rule. I, because I self-identified as two-race black--Jack knows my family history and knows it quite well, I have three. And my daughters from--my ex-wife is from Columbia, South America. By the way, the two first Hispanic sisters attend West

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

Point, already combat veterans, 3227 and also out at Fort Jackson. They were not considered black, And then on top of that, even though with historic redlining and discrimination and a lack of access to decent housing, education and credit, they said that the black and Hispanic community, basically the blacks who speak English and blacks who speak Spanish, Portuguese or whatever, or black and Asian, we do not have a shared history, even though the common history of slavery is very apparent and very clear. So all these denial factors are designed to delegitimize, to fragment--we call it crack-groups, fracture groups, and divide. Now, some of our folks, for political and advantage and economical opportunity will exploit that. have the Clarence Thomases of the world, and that's okay, it happens. Or the Malenches of the Okay, it happens. world. Some people internalize - - and do interesting things. the consequence of it is at the local level it exacerbates the systematic discrimination that comes in the form of malapportioned plans that

24

under-represent and systematically decennial census, at the decennial census, to disenfranchise people of color. Again, this was the third decennial census lawsuit in Albany County in which we had to prove we are people of color. Now, just the quicker slide and I'll be I say Albany County 'cause Albany County is very significant in American history. It is the place in which the Emery family, Albany Agriculture Works, Jack knows about this. They are the equivalent of IBM versus the transistor radio, the IBM mainframe versus the transistor-what they did to the cotton gin, they created the condenser and automate--they're the ones that revolutionized the actual process of cotton, which basically intensified and made slavery profitable. They patented it in 1850 and it came They also are the founding bedrock, from here. or - - according to first, of the copperhead These were basically pro-southern movement. anti-union empathized with the Confederate states or those who were seeking disunion. That party, or descendants of folks that are tied to that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

culture, are still in action today. We had Rasmus Corning, who was one of the longest tenured mayors--and we're only two mayors out from him. But that culture and the attitude and the lack of sensitivity and the disrespect that goes right back to the antebellum slave period, is still pervasive. And that war, the Civil War, we're 150th anniversary, we're still fighting the civil war in Albany County. It is serious. understand folks are coming, recent immigrants, and they're not--this sounds boring to them, but the most elemental enterprise of our citizenry goes right to the Constitutional interpretation of what is a citizen, what is a human being, and most importantly, fair representation.

I will give you the details of the suit. You will see the details. I have two pages of what they did and how they concern—and how our population has grown. The main point in takeaway for my African brothers and sisters of Spanish and Portuguese descent, is that there's a bigger fight. And the local houses, the counties, the mayors, are very important in determining the

2 Assembly Districts and the Congressional 3 Districts. It's not enough to say one 4 Congressional District upstate and one downstate 5 must disappear. The way it's been done, the area with the most significant decline of population 6 7 So if it means that two people upstate disappeared, the two people upstate disappeared. 8 9 If the area of the state where they've increased is the metropolitan area, Westchester area, then 10 11 they should be increasing by two. This is not an 12 issue of fairness. It's always amazing as our--13 the poor, the working class, start to ascend. 14 All of a sudden these rules of liberal democracy 15 start popping out. Remember, before the Senate 16 was taken back to the Republican majority, before 17 they were talking about a fair reapportionment process, now all of a sudden they're silent. 18 19 again, I don't mean to sound partisan, but these 20 things are tied to very old policies and very old 21 traditions.

I thank you for your time. Please consider what's happening local. Please look at these definitions. Please learn from these

2.2

23

24

2.2

mistakes that are clearly going on before they're amplified amongst yourselves. Reach across the lines, help and support one another, and again, thank you for your time.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. I'm going to wait until you go back to your seat before I get on the history thing. The first, Rasmus Corning was a big-time abolitionist, and when Vanderbilt finally dumped him from New York's Central Railroad labor--organized labor gave him a torchline parade. So saying anti-labor, he's also a champion of immigrant rights, so I would just correct that since we're on TV. Continuing down, Judith Brink? Judith Brink? Corey Ellis? Corey Ellis? Brian Paul, Common Cause? Barbara Bartoletti?

BARBARA BARTOLETTI, LEGISLATIVE

DIRECTOR, LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS, NEW YORK STATE:

Good afternoon, and I don't really cherish having
to follow that. That was an academic lesson, and
so much more. But I made the mistake earlier
today of going to the ladies room, and when I
came back—so here I am. And I'd like to say now

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

good afternoon to Senator Nozzolio, Senator

Dilan, Assemblyman McEneny, Assemblyman Oaks, and
the rest of the Task Force members. My name is

Barbara Bartoletti, and I am the legislative
director for the League of Woman Voters--whoops,
is this on yet, still? Can you hear me? Okay.

I am the legislative director for the New York

State League of Woman Voters.

The League is a non-partisan, as you know, organization which encourages informed and active involvement in Government, and influences public policy through education and advocacy. For this current redistricting cycle, we are also members of a statewide coalition, dedicated to reforming New York's redistricting process. called Reshape New York, and it consists of 35 organizations, including civic groups, issue advocacy groups, unions, and business organizations. The league, as all of you sitting up there, especially my friend, Roman Hedges, are aware, the League is not a newcomer to this subject. Since 1966, we have proposed guidelines for redistricting. In the 1970s, we organized

2.2

the Committee for Fair Representation, and in 1982 we wrote model legislation that was introduced in both the Assembly and the Senate. We sat at a similar hearing ten years ago, and here we are again today. I will tell you with some amount of assurances that I will not be sitting here ten years from now doing this. Hopefully, hopefully the people involved in the ability to change the redistricting process will have done their work in the intervening ten years. We'd like to see it done this year, but certainly I hope that none of us are sitting here ten years from now still doing redistricting in the same way we are doing it now.

Behind the League's position on reapportionment is a conviction that a population standard is the most equitable way of assuring that each vote is of equal value in a Democratic and representative system of Government. The term "substantially", used in the U.S. Supreme Court decisions, also allows State Legislature adequate leeway to provide for any necessary local diversity and to protect minority

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 representation. The League has a longstanding support position on the Voting Rights Act of 1965, so I think--and I think all of you are very, very much aware of that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Over the past year, and I think this is where the League really has had a great deal of impact, the League, including the State League, have organized community forums, educating the public on the issue surrounding redistricting in over 35 localities. Partly as a result of this effort, leagues around the State have become active and influential players in local redistricting efforts. The League was involved in creating independent redistricting commissions for county redistricting efforts in several counties, including our most prominent county, Suffolk County, where this happened a number of years ago and Suffolk County now does -- has an independent commission for doing their local redistricting. Ulster County this year, and Tompkins County. In addition, I think also they just cleared one in Duchess County. I think they've also just gone to an independent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

redistricting commission. In addition, League members have also been asked to sit on these newly formed Commissions because of our non-partisan commitment. In Suffolk County, the redistricting commission, as I said, and association legislation, has become a model used by others around the state to create new procedures and independent commissions.

While we appreciate the opportunity that today's hearing provides to comment on the redistricting process, we believe that New Yorkers have already spoken loud and clear on the drawing of district lines. The public wants an independent redistricting commission to draw the They want them for legislative districts and Congressional districts, according to fair and objective criteria, while allowing for public input into the process. An independent commission drawing district lines would maintain the--would, I'm sorry, would maintain the political impasse created by putting partisan interests before the public's interest. I think we have talked a great deal today about changing

23

24

the Constitution in some way. There has been, you know, the 1% deviation issue and, by the way, we do support the Governor's program bill, allowing for a 1% deviation. We do understand the Constitutional problems with that, and what we would recommend is that once this -- and we do think there is enough time do a non-partisan commission, but what we would recommend, and have been recommending in the middle of very decade since I have been legislative director, and that would be to come up with a very thoughtful constitutional amendment to do this so that we can have two passages, and put it on a -- the ballot for the public. We did this in 1995. We did it again in 2005, and I will tell you, not to chastise any of you sitting up there individually, but I can tell you, the response we got every time we went in mid-decade to start trying to educate legislators about the need for a Constitutional convention, to clear a Constitutional amendment to clean this up--we also have had problems with the Constitutional convention--however, what we got, the same

24

response from both Senate and Assembly was, "Oh, please don't bother us now, that's down the road. We'll take time, we'll do this, it'll get done." It never does get done, and we know why that is, and I think if any of you were really--and Roman Hedges and I have talked about this for years and years and years, this is how you keep your powers in each of the houses. It is political incumbency protection, and certainly what you see not only here in New York, which I will tell you, after what happened in Washington this past week, I don't think anybody is going to call Albany the most dysfunctional legislature in the country any longer. It has now passed to Washington. what we have found, and what I am very fearful for all of the citizens of this country in a representative democracy, is with continued political gerrymandering and letting the affects of this enter into the fringes of both political parties, what we will continue to see is this disinclination to do anything that is as the word in Washington was last week, compromise. because they know their seats are secure, you put

24

on top of that campaign finance, and the Citizens United decision, and you will continue to have the type of gridlock that will make us an embarrassment in the rest of the country. I know you can't do anything about Washington. We feel very frozen about being able to actually do anything to help the citizens of this country get beyond this issue and get back to some kind of good representation where the voices and the needs of the citizens of this country are better represented than are the needs of the politicians. We can do something about New York State, hopefully, and it is our hope that if you come back for a special session in what I'm told now is perhaps early October, you will consider passing the Governor's program bill. You will go, there is still time, you will go to an independent and let them take what you've already done and perhaps not impose the need to have the lines drawn to again, for another ten years, extend the political gerrymandering to keep the powers as they are. We also recognize that you are never going to have, and I think it was my

24

colleague, Blair Horner, so I will give Blair his due, who said years ago, "You are never going to have more--or in"--let's take upstate--"you have more cows upstate than--in some areas--than you do Democrats, and in the city, you have more lampposts than you do Republicans. You are never going to be able to make those districts truly competitive, but in--competition in this--in our democracy is that lifeblood of democracy, and if we don't at least give some opportunity for an exchange of ideas, with only the look of the same partisan over and over again, we are not going to have this dynamic democracy that we so have fought to protect over the last 250 odd years. So I would implore you, before it's too late, allow a special session, allow your work to be carried on by a non-partisan redistricting commission, and then, because we must address the constitutional issues that I'm--I know Jack talks about quite a bit, we will have to have a Constitutional amendment, but we can do it in a thoughtful way, we can look at the deviation -- the population deviation, we can get Mr. Hedges'

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 political science expertise, and we can have a 3 good constitutional amendment and put these 4 matters to rest so that, never again, do people 5 that will succeed me for the League of Woman Voters, do not have to keep doing this decade 6 7 after decade after decade. So I thank you very 8 much for sticking in there for a long time today, 9 and I would entertain any questions you might 10 have. 11 MR. MCENENY: Thank you, thank you, Ms. 12 Bartoletti. 13 MR. NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Barbara, very 14 much for your testimony. I have to point one 15 thing out. I know you were quoting Blair Horner-16 17 MS. BARTOLETTI: He's moved on. 18 MR. NOZZOLIO: And I guess I really--I 19 don't know where you're from, but I think to say 20

that you've got more cows than competitive districts just simply belies the facts. Utica, I'm just making some mental notes here, in Utica in the last four or five cycles, the Congressional District went from Republican to

21

2.2

23

24

Democrat to Republican. In Syracuse it went from Republican to Democrat to Republican. north country it went from Republican to In the western part of the state, it Democrat. went Republican to Republican, and other retirement, but Republican, and then a Democrat in the special election this year. The southern tier went from Republican to Democrat to I think that that's just a small Republican. example in about 60% of the geography of the state where there have been a great amount of competitiveness and turnover at the Congressional District level in particular, and not that I--I think that it's--I don't think you meant to leave the impression that those--I think you did leave the impression, frankly, that it was the Republican areas or the upstate areas were less competitive. I'd ask you to--that when you go home to look at the State, look at the urban areas of the state, and particularly the New York City area and see if that type of turnover which we saw in upstate, very significantly over the past decade, in fact, was not replicated in the

City regions and more urban areas of the downstate area in the state.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. BARTOLETTI: I think, then, what you do is make my point. If we--if there is no fear of incumbency protection as far as independent redistricting, and I agree with you, many of the seats in that part of the state do change depending on, perhaps, campaign finance, perhaps outside groups that are funding, perhaps seats that now--I know Bill Parment's seat, he retired, I think he could have had that seat for the next 20 years if he'd want of it, so--but that became an open seat. I think there are very great extenuating circumstances that come in on top of the redistricting. However, if, indeed, what you say is true, then it should not matter whether an independent redistricting commission actually draws the lines rather than the politicians who, there is a public perception, you must admit, that the public perception is that legislators sitting on the redistricting commission do that to provide--draw these bizarrely shaped designer districts to protect their incumbency and their

24

house's incumbency, and therefore they're the And I would really--I don't think you can power. convince me that the election of 2010, and that itself had extenuating circumstances. goodness, we went from being a terribly dysfunctional legislature, and I think the public heard that, and so that entered into the election of 2010. However, I would submit to you that nobody would seriously, if they looked at this as long as the League and the other good Government groups have looked at, could say that those districts are not drawn with the packing and the cracking and all of the things we know that go into drawing lines, would not say that they are drawn to protect as closely as possible the incumbents, and therefore retain the power in both the Assembly and the Senate. Now, what I was--what I think Blair was getting at, and I would not--never would speak for Blair, but I think what we were getting at is that you cannot always create competitive districts, but you can't just look at redistricting in isolation either. You must look at all the other variables

24

that are coming into our political system, that of the--I don't know what exactly we're calling them in campaign finance lingo these days, but the Citizens United group of folks that are coming in with their vast amounts of money, whether it's in the southern tier and it has to do with hydrofracting, or whether it's in New York City and it has to do with something else. So I think there are other variables, but I think never would you convince me or any of my other good government colleagues, that there isn't a better way to do this redistricting every ten years, and that is with an independent redistricting commission, taking the politics, as much as possible, out of the actual drawing of the lines. It might not change, I think one of the other speakers today--it might not change the way the lines are, much at all. But it would give the public the confidence that it was no longer a rigged system by people, politicians, legislators, who wanted to maintain their own power base. And I simply would say to you, for the good of this state's representation and the

2.2

nations' democracy, that we begin to look at the perception and we get back to having the voter confidence that we don't seem to have. As all of you know, and we can dispute the exact number, whether it's 30, 32 or 36% voter turnout this last election, we were 47th in the nation. It was abominable. People no longer think their voice is heard. They no longer think their vote counts. And when that happens, and I've worked in places in Central Asia where that's the case, people shut down, and what you then get is an - - . I don't think anybody sitting up there or anybody in the state wants us to go down that road.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you.

MS. BARTOLETTI: You're very welcome.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you very much.

Continuing on the list, Maritza Martinez? Latice Monique Walker? Anne Pope?

ANNE POPE, PRESIDENT, ALBANY BRANCH

NAACP: Good afternoon. My name is Anne Pope and

I am currently the regional director for the

Northeast Region of the NAACP, and the former

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

President of the Albany branch. Like Aaron, I am coming here from a Court hearing where I am the lead Plaintiff in a lawsuit against Albany County and their redistricting process regarding a fifth majority minority legislative district. Unfortunately, this is the third time that we have had to sue the county to get what we deserved. I'm here today to testify on behalf of the NAACP and the community--the minority community, because our community is split between two Assemblymen, Assemblyman Jack McEneny, who sits as chair of this group, and Assemblyman Ron Canistrarie. And I believe that this is unfair to the minority community because it does dilute the minority vote. I also believe that it is out of compliance with the Voter Rights Act of 1965, and the Civil Rights Act of 1964. So I am going

One of my heroes, the beloved American Statesman Frederick Douglas, said that power concedes nothing without a demand. It never has

to briefly voice the concerns felt by the African

American communities across our region and

upstate New York.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and it never will. One--as he uttered those words a century and a half ago. So I'm here today to actually demand that this government body acknowledge the fact that there are huge minority communities across our state that want and need to have representation in government that looks like them. You and your staffs here all have the U.S. census numbers that validate this point. Of great concern is the current situation of the lack of minority members in the New York State legislature who are African-American or Latino, from areas north of Westchester County. Our communities constitute over 46% of the populations of the cities of Buffalo and Rochester, and over 30% of the City In the capital region, both of Syracuse. minority groups have also seen increased growth. In all of the - - there is a clear absence of elected officials that resemble the ethnicity and the race of the residents of these communities.

Let me be clear. How is it that New York State only has three Assembly members who are African-American, and none who are Latino

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

from upstate counties, while our numbers continue to grow significantly, and already make up a significant percentage of the population? And I don't expect you to answer that today, but I do expect, as does the NAACP, that the new legislative districts drawn for the 2012 elections will adequately represent the diversity of this State.

As you all know, the Courts have already threatened legal--these groups have already threatened legal actions if Congressional districts are drawn to dilute minority voting strength, and I just left the national NAACP convention in Los Angeles, and redistricting was one of the main topics there. The coalitions of Asian, African-American, and Latino groups have formed--come together to watch this process and are prepared to ask the Federal Government to intervene if the lines you draw disenfranchise our communities and do not allow for more minority candidates to have a fair opportunity to run for public office. Here in my home city, the African-American community has, for decades, had

24

to rely on the Court system for fair treatment in the process you are undertaking. Here at Albany we have a situation where the minority communities are divided into two Assembly Districts, instead of concentrating that population into one and increasing the political and electoral process of this large and growing population. The people here are very, very frustrated over that. Unfair practices like this one must stop. The City of Albany cannot and should no longer be divided up and carved to dilute minority voter strength. This is an issue of fairness, and I call for one city, one district, and ask you to end this process that has marginalized minorities in a very clear and detrimental way, and it has clearly disenfranchised minority votes. In addition to this local issue, we see that there are no blacks or women on LATFOR. There is a clear lack of diversity in New York. There are 3.1 million African-Americans, 1.5 million Asian-Americans, and the state is 52% female, but they have no voice on LATFOR, who draws the lines for their

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 political representation. This situation should 3 be corrected. There are no public access 4 computer terminals for the public to use. 5 closing, I also ask you to continue that there would be hearings such as these held in the 6 7 evenings as well as during the day so that more 8 people can have access to the hearings and will 9 not have to take time from their work. Thank you for this opportunity. 10 11 MR. MCENENY: In fairness, the 12 population percentage of African-Americans in the 13 City of Albany has changed over the years, and in 14 1990, a decision was made to split the City based 15 upon race. 16 MS. POPE: Okay. 17 MR. MCENENY: I think you may recall that, and it was done with many other places 18 19 across the country. In the case of Albany, it 20 was to maximize the African-American vote. The 21 Hispanic vote or population was not as 2.2 significant in 1990 as it would be today. 23 MS. POPE: Correct.

MR. MCENENY: And it was sought at the

time to find another concentration of AfricanAmericans. The nearest practical concentration
was downtown Troy, and that's why the two were
put together. When that—when the year 2000 came
with the new census, again, the numbers had not
changed that radically, and once again, Troy and
downtown Albany, at this time more expanded as
minorities moved up the hill, was combined again.
Now we're dealing with very different numbers.

MS. POPE: Okay.

MR. MCENENY: But the division was not done to hurt the African-American community, it was done to help it at the time. If numbers have changed today as they have across the state, then we should look at it in today's numbers. But the history of creating the maximum minority participation in downtown Troy and downtown Albany was done for all the reasons the NAACP was demanding across the country at that time when those numbers were that way.

MS. POPE: So could I feel that there will be the opportunity taken to look at that again--

	Page 19 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011
2	MR. MCENENY: Yes
3	MS. POPE:and to reconfigure it?
4	MR. MCENENY:everything is on the
5	table.
6	MS. POPE: Okay.
7	MR. MCENENY: And
8	MS. POPE: People are very frustrated
9	MR. MCENENY: Well
10	MS. POPE:and I just hate to go back
11	to it, but I met Delilah prior to her
12	passing, and not very long before that spoke to
13	me about that, so
14	MR. MCENENY: No, other people have
15	spoken about keeping cities together.
16	MS. POPE: Okay.
17	MR. MCENENY: But in many cases those
18	cities were broken into black and white
19	districts, and then added to other areas that
20	were nearby to maximize minorities.
21	MS. POPE: I think we just feel that we
22	haven't had representation. You look at Troy and
23	Albany, Green Island and Albany together is
	II

hasn't made sense for us.

2.2

MR. MCENENY: Okay, I just wanted to say that was the reasons, and it happened around the country in the 1997 challenge to the Congressional District in North Carolina, race became a important consideration--

MS. POPE: Right.

MR. MCENENY: --not the, and people began to look at other commuting patterns, traditional boundaries, traditional neighborhoods, much better than they did back in 1990. The year 2000 was pretty much perpetuated. This is now 2010 census and we're taking a look at that.

MS. POPE: Okay, thank you very much.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you. Yes?

MR. NOZZOLIO: Just a quick question.

You'd mentioned across upstate, the limited

number of African-American representatives, and I

know there's one in Buffalo, Rochester, and

Syracuse. Other people have been asked, and you

talked about possibly greater representation.

Other people have asked about maps being

presented. Have you looked in at all as a

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-4-2011 2 possibility to present the Task Force with proposals that you think that might make that 3 4 more likely? 5 MS. POPE: I have not done that, only because I've been dealing with the county and, of 6 7 course, the national, but I could certainly do I think I could do that. What is your 8 9 timeline? MR. NOZZOLIO: Our timeframe? 10 11 MS. POPE: Yeah. 12 MR. NOZZOLIO: Well--13 MS. POPE: Tomorrow? 14 MR. MCENENY: We don't--assuming things 15 stay as they are, we don't expect to be actually 16 drawing anything that's a near finished product 17 until after the last public hearing, and the public hearings are in two phases. The last 18 19 phase one public hearing is on the 5th of 20 Then drawing will take place during October. 21 October, early November, and then when you've 2.2 actually got draft maps, that's always a much 23 more contentious --

Okay.

MS. POPE:

2.2

MR. MCENENY: --the same 12 hearings will be held all over again, but this time with actual proposed districts that people will weigh in on. So if something were to come in here over the next month, month and a half, that would be still useful. If it comes to us on November 1st, that's going to be late.

MS. POPE: So it's before--thank you, I will try to do that.

MR. NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: Thank you.

MS. POPE: Thank you.

MR. MCENENY: LaDon Alamar? LaDon
Alamar? Carolyn McLaughlin? Carolyn McLaughlin?
Guiermo Martinez? Guiermo Martinez? I believe
that concludes. Is there anyone here that wishes
to speak? We will, of course, welcome any
subsequent participation in other hearings, or
submit it in writing as to your thoughts, and
this applies to the general public of the State
of New York, and suppose and beyond. With that,
do we have a motion to adjourn?

MR. NOZZOLIO: Motion to adjourn.

Demographic	Research	and	Reapportionment,	8-4-2011
-------------	----------	-----	------------------	----------

MR. MCENENY: Adjourn?

MR. OAKS: Second.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

MR. MCENENY: Now, the members will be meeting--it's now 2:15--at quarter of 3 at the latest. We're going to take a break and we'll be meeting here in this room. And that will be a regular meeting.

(The public hearing concluded at 2:15 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Julie Davids, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 207, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Julie Davids, Transcriptionist

August 12, 2011 Date