NEW YORK STATE

LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

PUBLIC MEETING

LATFOR Data Release

Westchester County Board of Legislator's Committee Room 800 Michaelian Office Building, 8th Floor

148 Martine Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

Wednesday, August 10, 2011

2:00 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 LATFOR MEMBERS:

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, Co-Chair

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, Co-Chair

SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS

CITIZEN REPRESENTATIVE WELQUIS "RAY" LOPEZ

CITIZEN REPRESENTATIVE ROMAN HEDGES

INDEX

Page

LATFOR MEMBERS 1:

MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO - SENATOR

4

CO-CHAIR

NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

JOHN J. MCENENY - MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY

4

CO-CHAIR

NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

MARTIN M. DILAN - SENATOR

5

NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

ROMAN HEDGES

7

NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

ROBERT OAKS - MEMBER OF ASSEMBLY

24

NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

(The public hearing commenced at 2:00

3 p.m.)

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO: Ladies and Gentleman the Legislative Task Force on Demographic Research and Reapportionment LATFOR, will come to order. Our scheduled meeting today, the 10th of August in the Westchester County Legislative Offices. The members of the Task Force are present. Co-chair and good friend, Assemblyman Jack McEneny along with Senator Martin Dilan and Assemblyman Bob Oaks and Citizen Representatives Ray Lopez and Roman Hedges. This meeting will come to order. Assemblyman?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY:
Nothing to comment on other than our last hearing that we just finished. We seem to be getting good responses from the public. We're averaging somewhere around 20, 25 people showing up. I think the lowest was 19 people. The word is getting out, people are checking into the web site, and little by little I think the people of New York are grasping onto the importance of the project, and also the urgency because of the

3

4

23

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011

State.

impending June primary, something we've not known literally for a generation or more in New York

5 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That certainly compacts the challenges of the Task Force. 6 7 any other members of the Task Force wish to make Hearing none, we'll move 8 a statement or comment? 9 to the agenda. I count half a dozen issues that 10 are appropriate for discussion today. Election 11 data and which election data will be used for 12 placement on LATFOR's web site, the census data 13 that we can agree would be appropriate to place 14 on the web site, the citizen--the Census Bureau 15 citizen voting age population data that we 16 discussed somewhat at the hearing today in 17 Westchester, also an issue--a number of issues 18 raised by Senator Dilan that I believe we should 19 address and that we should discuss. With that, 20 let me turn it over to Senator Dilan. Were there 21 any specific comments that you had, Senator, that 22 you would like to see addressed this afternoon?

> SENATOR MARTIN F. DILAN: Well, based on the testimony that we heard today with regard to

21

22

23

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 the citizen voting age data that would seem to make more sense to me so if we would look at that at least we would be providing more accurate I think that would be more logical to utilize than just simply the voting age population based on the testimony that was given by Dr. - - I believe it was. So if that's more accurate data and we could substantiate that my recommendation would be that we would do that. Also, based on our preliminary meeting or the meeting that was rightfully adjourned until today I know that we had some numbers with respect to going back to 2006, and I would respectfully recommend that we go back to at least the last time we redistricted and recommend that we go back to 2000 or 2002, whichever makes more sense, and there was also election result data that we talked about with respect to the federal election data or state data, and I also recommend that if it makes sense that we would also provide local election results to the pubic.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Comments? Yes, Mr. Hedges?

23

24

1

MR. ROMAN HEDGES: With respect to election data, maybe as a place to start, only because as we put the agenda out to the public that was the first item on it. I would recommend that we have two different groups of election data if we're going to try to go back very far into the decade, and let me explain. The Task Force, as they were preparing for the work that we're doing, have collected the election results each time there's been a general election related to the offices that some of you hold related to the congressional position, related to top-ofthe-ticket kinds of positions, governor, president, and so forth, and they've done that each two years and they've done that very well. They've collected from around the State, they've got comprehensive collection of data, but there's an interesting problem that I will present in just a second that suggests to me that perhaps our window might be different for different years because of a complication that we are aware of as we think about how we are going to analyze it. As a general matter, the reason we've collected

23

24

these data I think are related to the fact that there is frequently a need to do a detailed election analysis in conjunction with census data for voting rights compliance purposes. order to do that you've got to do a very complicated thing. You've got to specifically link election results to that census data. The census data that's the most contemporary is 2010. So the linkage that's most useful is to the 2010 It turns out, just in terms of how the election. data are collected and the work that the staff has been able to do, that that linkage is already worked out and known in precise format with respect to the 2006 election, the 2008 election, the 2010 election, and as it relates to the City of New York the 2009 election. So that all those general election results for things like State Assembly, State Senate, Congress, Governor, President, in those respective elections we've got that data and we've got the ability to link it to 2010 census results and do that very I would recommend that those data be nicelv. made available to the public. As it relates to

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 each of those, that linkage is actually fairly complicated because in the administration of the local elections they change the election districts fairly regularly. We have a law that says they're not supposed to do that but as we've done things like change the law and implement HAVA, we created havoc at the local level and we in statute created some flexibility in the administration of the election that allowed them to make those adjustments to implement that HAVA All of that work has been done as well statute. so those linkages are well documented. So I think all of those elections are pretty straightforward, the linkage to the census is pretty straightforward, and whether we present it that way or not I think those elections are well done, well in hand, and we should make them available. Senator last time raised the question of could we go back further. And it turns out that for the 2000, 2002, and 2004 election they had already done the linkage but to the 2000 So that's pretty well established census data. but almost in a different world.

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011
suggestion would be that we have those as two
groups of data that we make available, 2006 and
forward--

SENATOR DILAN: Okay. What I think what I'm hearing you say is that the data--the additional data that I would be requesting is really irrelevant to the process that we're going through or least irrelevant--

MR. HEDGES: It's least relevant. I don't think that there's any good reason to make it available, but I also don't think there's any good reason to not make it available.

SENATOR DILAN: So my point is I'm going to take you at your expertise in this process I would say that I would agree to the two sets of data if there's anyone that wants it and you would make it available, that's acceptable to me.

MR. HEDGES: And the only caveat that I would sort of urge on all of us going in is that I know for sure that 2006 and more recent is doable. I think that 2000 to 2004 is doable but I would ask staff to look into it and make sure and make sure they're confident, and that we not

make that available if they're not, and that we revisit that question if it's not available.

SENATOR DILAN: Okay so if you're telling me that 2006 to present is more relevant to the 2010 census that's acceptable, but if we do it in two stages where if the public wants the information and we can provide it, that also works.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And the way I would suggest for this group to make a decision would be let's actually agree to make the 2006 and more recent available no question asked, and that 2000 to 2004 we ask the staff if it's readily doable that they do it and if they say that it's not that they come back to us and we revisit it as a group.

SENATOR DILAN: For the purpose of ensuring that we get data out to the public as soon as possible I would agree with that.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Before we vote on
Senator Dilan's motion I think what we should
have is just a preliminary talk about the
confusion that we need to avoid and what we can

	Page 1
1	LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011
2	do to rectify that. So this is more a statement
3	to the staff. I think that Senator Dilan's
4	motion be the years 2006, 2008, 2009, and 2010
5	data be placed on the LATFOR web site and made
6	available to the public. Is that accurate
7	Senator?
8	SENATOR DILAN: Well, I would say that's
9	the motion of this body because I think that's
10	the intention of what you wanted to do
11	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: The second step then-
12	_
13	SENATOR DILAN: The second step the
14	amendment that I had offered.
15	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Which I guess I'd
16	like to clarify just is that the prior to 2006
17	which would be 2002 through 2005.
18	MR. HEDGES: 2002, 2004, and I believe
19	2000.
20	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: What I would ask that
21	that data be collected and staff report to us
22	what appropriate disclaimers, or informationI
23	don't mean disclaimers, I mean information that
24	should be accompanying that data thatso there

3

4

6

7

is different.

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 would be--people would understand this is an orange not an apple, that we need to tie it to certain geographic consideration so people won't be confused thinking that '05 is the same as '06 data, the geography of which that data comes from

MR. HEDGES: Very much so, very much different. And I would actually suggest an additional clarification that in many ways is really technical but simplifies things a great The Task Force was involved in a project with the Census Bureau so that election data could prospectively be available at what's called a voter tabulation District level. And in that back and forth with the Census Bureau related to the geography of the census and related to the geography of New York State elections the Task Force suggested to the Census Bureau, Here's a unit of reporting, a voter tabulation district, and we'll freeze it--we'll use it for all elections and all census data, and we'll freeze it to the geography that they call the 2008 VTD. And that means that elections for 2006, 2008,

	Page 14
1	LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011
2	2009, and 2010 are reported by exactly the same
3	geography. The VTDs are stable and all election
4	district data are forced to fit. So that if
5	you're looking at the 2010 election and you would
6	like to compare it to something from 2008
7	SENATOR DILAN: It makes sense
8	MR. HEDGES:it's got the same
9	geography, there's no mix-up, there's no
10	confusion, and it's public.
11	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Did you
12	include the City of New York in that?
13	MR. HEDGES: The 2009 city elections
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Would be
15	included?
16	MR. HEDGES:are included in that same
17	thought and use that same geography.
18	SENATOR DILAN: So it's agreed.
19	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So that we knowto
20	clarify the motion so there'll be no
21	misunderstanding that the motion before us is to
22	put forward election data beginning with years
23	2006, '08, '09, and '10 as well as joining the
24	2010 census data?

	Page 15
1	LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011
2	MR. HEDGES: Yes.
3	SENATOR NOZZOLIO:with the election
4	district data and that Let's just take that up
5	as aour first motion. All in favor?
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Second.
7	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Second, I'm sorry. I
8	should know better, with a parliamentarian
9	expert.
10	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: It's your
11	resolution.
12	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It's your resolution.
13	You're moving it Senator Dilan?
14	SENATOR DILAN: Yes Sir.
15	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Moved by Senator
16	Dilan, Seconded by Assemblyman Jack McEneny. All
17	in favor say Aye.
18	MULTIPLE VOICES: Aye.
19	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Aye. Opposed?
20	Hearing on that motion is carried.
21	MR. HEDGES: Can I just make one
22	observation just so that the public will know
23	what we're talking about? What that translates
24	to is the governor's election, the congressional

1 LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 elections, the State Senate, State Assembly, for 3 all of those years that are relevant, that it includes enrollment data for that same set of 4 years and that in addition the presidential 6 primaries from 2008 are also available using a 7 slightly different geography because of the confusions related to HAVA, but available and 8 9 usable and analyzable in both party presidential 10 primaries. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: The issue with prior 11 12 data that Assemblyman Dilan had put forward--13 SENATOR DILAN: Senator Dilan. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: 14 I'm sorry, Senator 15 Dilan, that -- the issue that Senator Dilan had put 16 forward earlier that I believe we should take a 17 vote on is the dissemination of prior data. 18 Would you like to make that motion Senator? 19 SENATOR DILAN: I just need one more 20 clarification. I believe I had made an 21 additional request with respect to local election 22 results. What is your position - - ? 23 MR. HEDGES: What I know to be true is

that is that except for the 2009 New York City

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011
elections that the Task Force staff has not
collected local data, so that it would be a major
task for them to take that on. It's something
that the staff should I think take a look at but
I don't have any high hopes that it would be
available quickly because local boards of
elections are not terribly responsive. We don't
have it in-house.

SENATOR DILAN: Can this be an issue that we could look at later and address?

MR. HEDGES: Yes. Yes.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: We're going to need to have the qualifier language put in anyway and maybe we could have some recommendations from staff at a later date so let's--

SENATOR DILAN: For the purposes of carrying out--first getting out the 2006 plus data as soon as possible which we already voted on and my additional request which I really appreciate that you're also providing that, we can at least do that and have an understanding that if we have the ability to provide local election data that we can address this at a later

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011
meeting.

MR. HEDGES: And what I would recommend in light of your request is that the staff actually be directed to provide us a briefing on what they can do.

SENATOR DILAN: Okay. Fine.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: There are two issues regarding the Census Bureau citizenship voting age population data and requiring that to be put forward by the Task Force, that Roman would you please articulate the proposal that you had put forward.

MR. HEDGES: What I had in mind was that the Census Bureau has released for the very first time in the context of redistricting, information about citizenship. In the past that always came out after redistricting was done with, and as we heard earlier this afternoon in testimony at the hearing, the fact that it's available is really quite exciting. There are some real issues related to the fact that it's available. It's based on a sample. It's a good sample. It's got good statistical properties. I've looked at the

22

23

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 larger study that it's a part of and it's a very good study and it's very helpful. Citizenship is particularly important in the redistricting contest, and the Bureau has released a specialized product related to it separate and apart from everything that they had previously made public. At the national level for every what's called block group--that means literally group of blocks, city blocks is the right equivalent -- they have said, Here is the breakdown on citizenship for this little area. It's got statistical properties that are pretty good, they're not perfect, and they're important qualifications to it that are very technical and probably not worth getting into, safe to say there's uncertainty related to the use of this That's part one. Part two is the data is data. only available using the geography of the 2000 census, so it doesn't line up at all in any reliable block-by-block way with the census that we're using for redistricting. It's not a bad approximation and it's not terrible but it's far from straightforward. And my recommendation

23

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 would be that despite that ambiguity, some statistical issues, and some mismatches in geography, that we make available the census data with the idea that the census is responsible for all the problems, we're not going to be able to explain them, and that people use them sort of at their own risk. However, that all having been said, it's still very, very important in my mind that the Task Force make this available because the Bureau only made it available for the country as a whole and that requires an additional level of user sophistication to go from this very, very large file that's got New Mexico in it to, Oh I really only want to look at this area in New York City or this area in Buffalo. And so I think it would be very helpful to the public to limit it to New York but I also think very important that we not put ourselves in a position of vouching for the Census Bureau. So my recommendation would be that it be made available with an appropriate disclaimer. I also think that if others would be concerned about it that we should ask staff to look at it and put it on our list of

1 LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 things to do if that makes other people more 3 comfortable. I'm very comfortable going forward but I know that it's a complicate question, as we 4 heard this afternoon from Professor - - . 6 SENATOR DILAN: What did you mean by limited to New York? You mean to New York State? 7 New York State. 8 MR. HEDGES: 9 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Is this 10 information that the Justice Department will be looking at in their own right when they review 11 12 our civil rights counties or - - ? 13 MR. HEDGES: No one has gone through any 14 reviews by either the Justice Department or the 15 court system with this data available to them 16 because it's never been available before. 17 assumption is that everyone will in fact need to 18 look at it, that the Justice Department will in 19 fact ask about it, that we will have to kind of 20 make our own peace with that problem and figure 21 out how we're going to deal with the technical 22 and very real uncertainties related to the data. 23 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Well I'm

inclined to support the idea but it's very

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011

complicated and I wonder if we could put this off and revisit it at one of our subsequent meetings before we make that commitment.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I appreciate that comment very much, Chairman McEneny that what we've got is a large number of--not large number of issues. I think what Roman is explaining is very logical. The problem that I have we brought out at the hearing in that first of all this data that will be used is the data that will be forthcoming at the end of the year, and I know that that is a problem because that in effect corrects data that may have been placed either in prior years or -- I believe that Roman's suggestion that we have an analysis of this done in preparation for one of our next meetings makes a lot of sense and I move that -- I place a motion before the Task Force that this issue become tabled to provide the opportunity for staff to review it, to give us appropriate disclaimer language, to also give us the option of establishing the link to the Bureau of Census' database and see what the pros and cons are of

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

establishing simply a link to that data as

opposed to placing that data on the Task Force's

4 web site.

MR. HEDGES: And in the way of discussion, I would only make these two observations -- I strongly urge that we actually do I think it would be a mistake for us to this. not do it. I also think important to know that the Census Bureau in a going-forward fashion is going to update this on an annual basis. This is data that was for the first time made available at this small geography level. The fact that they're updating it is also a brand new thing in the world of the census. I think that's exciting and I think that's great. I think given our timeframes we're going to need to use the product that's available right now and not wait until the next release which will occur annually. But with those two things as observations I'm comfortable with the thought that we put it on the front burner and make sure that we're ready to address it quickly.

SENATOR DILAN: I would right now tend

to agree with Mr. Hedges in terms of how we should move forward. I understand the Co-Chairman's unreadiness at this time because I think this also brings on another problem and that problem is that when we finish the first round of hearings what data are we going to use? Are we going to use the citizen voting age population or are we going to use the voting age population that historically has been done? So I think at some point we also have to make that decision. So in view of that for now if there's an agreement that we will be addressing this sooner than later then I would agree to a link at this time until we hear back from staff.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS: Chairman, I would just offer a couple things--One, I think it might be helpful as opposed to just giving it to staff is delineating maybe some of the questions we might have so that it isn't just staff coming back and then we say, Oh what about this, this, and this? So I think as members if we might funnel that type of question or information so that we have that. For instance,

like what geography level are we going down to.

If we provide that data are we doing it in something we're more confident with? The

professor talked today--there is some question--

6 how big are the margins of error? How

7 comfortable are we putting this information in?

8 Are there ways to--bring that down? And if we

give the staff no direction--we just say, Can it

10 be done and we walk away, we may have less than

11 what we want from that, so that would just be my

12 thought.

3

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

asked by now.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I'd also be interested in what's coming out presumably in December as an update because I know the Census was thinking in the American Community Survey that one question might be asked every other year, and so there might not be an update in every category. I think specifically of housing but I don't know how useful the later update is going to be to us. It might not be apples and apples. We could look into that too, and so then they certainly know what questions are being

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So with that the 3 motion that we have on the floor, if I can remember it and reiterate it is that we will take 4 this issue and ask for our staffs to come up with 6 a more detailed analysis, an examination of the 7 pros and cons of putting the data on our web sites versus establishing a link. I don't think 8 9 there's any argument, from me anyway, that this data, we should try to make the public 10 knowledgeable of it and available to the public 11 12 but it's a question of putting data that may be 13 questionable in terms of its accuracy on the web 14 I think that was my concern but that could 15 be one of the discussion points for the later 16 Could we have a vote on that motion? date. 17 MULTIPLE VOICES: Aye.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: All those who have voted Aye unanimously. We'll move forward to the next issue. The Task Force--we may have decided this already. The Task Fore will make available the conversion list of the 2008 election districts which make up the 2008 voting tabulation districts in the Census Bureau's PL

1 LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 Is there any issue regarding that that we 3 still have outstanding? MR. HEDGES: I don't think so. 4 are a couple of local jurisdictions that have 6 asked us for this kind of information, as they're 7 trying to do their own work. And essentially what it is is the translation--Here's your local 8 election district. Which voter tabulation 9 10 district did you put that number into? And it's really a very detailed technical document, but I 11 12 think it's something we should make available. 13 think it's very readily usable by local 14 professionals, probably not all that interesting 15 to most people, but no reason to not make it 16 available. 17 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Should we put that in 18 the form of a motion? 19 MR. HEDGES: I would so move that. 20 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: All those in favor? 21 Put in motion. Wait a minute, wait a minute. 22 have the expert parliamentarian in the State

We cannot -- A

Legislature here with us.

motion's been moved. Seconded.

23

24

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: He so moved,

3 somebody else - - second it.

4 | SENATOR NOZZOLIO: All in favor?

5 MULTIPLE VOICES: Aye.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you. All right, motion's passed. The last issue that is on the agenda is the issue of the analysis of prison--prisoners and how that process is undergoing. And I believe at the last meeting--at the end of the last hearing Roman Hedges had some outlines of the way the process was beginning. I think it would be very helpful if you would Roman, to go through that process and advise as part of the official record what's being done.

MR. HEDGES: Yes. At this point people working for the Assembly side of the Task Force have been working on the basic clerical and detailed backroom analysis that needs to be done to translate what was received by the Task Force from docs a list of prisoners into a series of files that can be used to make the adjustment for the prisoner count. And the two sets of files

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that are in process at the moment are in the first instance where are the prisons and in the second instance for those prisoners who have addresses that are usable where should they be relocated if the adjustment is to go forward? the first group the work is nearly complete. would expect that within ten days that work will be complete in a form that we can provide it to the Task Force and people can review it and make the kinds of decisions that need to be made about it as a work product including, Here's a way to change it, here's a way to fix it, and so forth, but at the moment we're I think about ten days away from that point. We're a little bit behind that same timeframe as it relates to the other side of the equation. I would expect that that's--within a week or so later that will be available as well. So I think that it's fair to say that a product available for the Task Force to look at, examine, and work on, will be available before the end of the month, and that it's looking very good in terms of the quality of

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011

the work and looking very good in terms of the

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011

issues that need to be addressed being identified so that others can make whatever appropriate conclusions they would like to make.

This is a daunting SENATOR NOZZOLIO: task.

It is a daunting task. MR. HEDGES: SENATOR NOZZOLIO: How many inmates preliminarily have you been--

There are 58,000 entries in MR. HEDGES: the file--the docs provided to the Task Force. That 58,000 entries is literally a list of addresses and the addresses are parsed into separate components, and the number of addresses for each identified individual--there's a legal address, there's an address at time of arrest, there's an address related to the spouse of the individual, an address related to each of the two parents of that individual, and an address related to something called next of kin. all of those bits of information as sources people are going through and examining the actual detail and trying to look at them and classify them in terms of things like. Is this an out-of-

22

23

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 If it is the state individual by the record? statute says you're going to throw it out. So let's put together a group of those individuals. Let's put together a group of those individuals for whom the legal address which seems to be what the statute suggests that you use -- that that legal address is absolutely clean, unambiguous, straightforward, there doesn't appear to be anything unusual about it. That needs to be then geocoded is what the technicians would call. need to go look it up and find out which block is that address on. That work is going forward as well. There's another group that are a little less straightforward--not terribly complicated, but if for example the address is 22 North 10th Street and North is abbreviated N. and Street is abbreviated St. most of the software will reject that as an incomplete address. Probably we could straightforwardly write out the word North and write out the word Street and make that editorial change and count it as a clean address, but that's another group. Somebody's actually gone through and done all of that kind of detailed

20

21

22

23

24

work and we're in the process of trying to document that so that someone else can look at it and say, Oh no, no that's not a straightforward translation. That abbreviation isn't what you said it was. In the case of N. for North Street I think we would all agree, but that should be in a group that others could look at. And so that kind of classification work is going on. I think when we're all said and done for those addresses that are usable addresses -- If it's a blank and there's no even hint in the rest of it or if it says homeless all the way through those are clearly addresses that nobody's going to be able to geocode and nobody's going to be able to look up, so let's put them all under those categories and let's finish that work and let's give that to somebody else to look at, us being the somebody else.

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Roman, without a template, without guidance, without even the statute giving you--giving any of us true methodology for the process, I think you certainly have done yeoman work and should be

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011

24

thanked for taking a leadership role as a member of the Task Force in moving this issue forward. I have a concern that I believe it's important the Task Force hear. With all due respect, and certainly this is not meant to be in any way a criticism. It's meant to bring us all to an understanding. Right now you have deployed certain members of the Assembly staff to do this work, and again without the guidance that really should have been forward [phonetic] in the statute, but you've begun that work. I think if LATFOR is going to be subscribing to this process, which it will have to, and subscribe to the conformance with the process there needs to be LATFOR staff engaged in this process with you and the other Assembly staff and somehow we need to establish that type of relationship and mandate if you will. Again, this is--you've got the ball rolling, you got it started. commendable in every step of the way, but we I believe from going forward need to somehow expand this to have confidence that this was done by the entire LATFOR process as opposed to one House and

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011
one conference.

MR. HEDGES: And I certainly agree and I'm looking forward to that. I only think that it would do everyone a disservice if we, as it were, turned over the stack of paper and said, Why don't you sort through it, and I think that give us a couple of more days and we'll be in a position where we can actually help people walk their way through and do exactly the kind of work that you're talking about together.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That may—— Good. And that may require——Co—Chair McEneny that may require us spending an entire meeting just reviewing that process publicly so that we can make sure that those types of questions are out there. Anyone else have a comment on this issue?

SENATOR DILAN: The only thing-- At this point we're just getting an update as to what's going on in terms of the implementation of the law and at some point we'll be sitting down to determine where we go next? Is that what we're talking about here?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Right.

course--

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SENATOR DILAN: Okay, and then of 3

> SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And how LATFOR is going to be engaged--

SENATOR DILAN: Together with the Assembly to a lesser extent because I know how the staff, the LATFOR staff operates their charge with this mission, however, I do have some redistricting staff whatever possible that you can share information for the purpose of when we get together it can facilitate making the proper decisions. If you could share information with us we would appreciate that. I know that when I was the Co-Chair last year - - did send us some preliminary information so we do basically probably have the same database that you have in our possession also, but wherever we could be helpful we would also like to just say that if we can be helpful we'll be there.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And this is done by the United States Census Bureau, the type of work that is engaged here by just a handful of dedicated employees. We need to make sure that

	Page 30
1	LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011
2	there's adequate help, assistance, and review of
3	that work.
4	MR. HEDGES: And I couldn't agree more.
5	I really want to compliment the folks that have
6	been doing this work with me and for me. They've
7	been doing great work, but I think in the end
8	it's got to be a joint product and we want to
9	make sure that it is. What I don't want to do is
10	slow that down by giving you the mess as opposed
11	to, No here actually is the work and oh yes
12	somebody took that scribble that was handwritten
13	and typed it out so that somebody else could read
14	it because I can't.
15	SENATOR DILAN: I clearly understand
16	what you're
17	MR. HEDGES: But that's really all we're
18	talking about.
19	SENATOR DILAN: I understand what you're
20	talking about because last year we did see those
21	problems in the data that was turned over to us.
22	MR. HEDGES: But I look forward to
23	dragging everybody else in.
24	ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: And I just

Just as long as we're talking through this I
guess both Senator Nozzolio's and Senator Dilan's
comments I would reflect both of those as far as

making this process a full LATFOR process.

MR. HEDGES: And as I said a few minutes ago I'm hopeful that we're talking about a period of a just a few days before we can embark on that joint activity.

SENATOR DILAN: Okay.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any other issues members wish to report?

MR. HEDGES: Just one thing in the way of explanation as it relates to the census data that we're going to be making available. The Task Force historically had done a bunch of tabulations as it relates to the way the Census Bureau provides the data on the individual blocks to make it a little easier for people to work with. The Census Bureau provides racial data in 63 categories of race. That's not really workable at the block level. And what has been done in the past was really a kind of standardized amalgamation of that data so that

21

22

23

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 for example a distinction that's built in which is a distinction between Hispanic and other racial groups that the Census Bureau uses, that the Office of Management and Budget and Department of Justice have respectively mandated and accepted, the way that was done in the past is pretty straightforward and the Task Force has used that formulation for the last 30 years. the last decade the Office of Management and Budget and Department of Justice had suggested a different way of presenting that information, and that different way is really again fairly straightforward, fairly well accepted. We're all going to need to use-- I'm going to suggest that the staff as they're presenting the census data present it in both forms, both as they used to, and as the Department of Justice is now suggesting. And here's an example of the In presenting the racial breakdown distinction. the category non-Hispanic Black as an example. What do you men when you say that? There are a lot of different possibilities here. world of 30 years ago when you were asked the

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011 question, What's your race you were given one choice. In the world of 20 years ago they gave you--Oh you could answer more than one answer. And as we've gotten used to that thought the Justice Department and the Office of Management and Budget in Washington said, Well let's refine what we mean when we say non-Hispanic Black then. We used to say non-Hispanic Black meant if you said you were Black and no other answer and you said you were not Hispanic then you were non-Hispanic Black. The Department of Justice and the Office of Management and Budget are now saying, You know, the group of people that say they're Black and White both, how should they be Their suggestion is they should be counted? counted as non-Hispanic Black. So the group that is non-Hispanic Black under the new construction of the Department of Justice would be those who answered Not Hispanic, Black Only, and those who answered Non-Hispanic, Black and White. the group that they mean. That--shorthand of that is the DOJ, Department of Justice tabulation.

	rage 1
1	LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011
2	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Is that the
3	codification made in 2001?
4	MR. HEDGES: It was made subsequent to
5	2001 and it is now in the regulations the
6	Department of Justice puts forward as to what
7	your documents should look like when you submit.
8	It is what the National Conference of State
9	Legislatures recommends. It's a pretty doable
10	tabulation. I'm suggesting that when we make
11	that census data available we make it available
12	in the "Department of Justice format" that would
13	do the things I just described, but for
14	historical purposesbecause the Task Force in
15	particular always did it the other way
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: So you can do
17	a comparison.
18	MR. HEDGES: You can do comparisons. It
19	would be nice if you had both.
20	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Sounds good.
21	MR. HEDGES: And I think the Task Force
22	staff is prepared to do it that way, and I just
23	wanted to make sure that was what we were doing.
24	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Do we need a motion

	Page 4:
1	LATFOR Data Release - 8-10-2011
2	for that, Assemblyman, you think so?
3	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Let's play it
4	safe.
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Go ahead.
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I so move that
7	the dual system of counting that the Justice
8	Department now uses and that we have historically
9	used that both be made available to the public.
10	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Moved and seconded.
11	All those in favor?
12	MULTIPLE VOICES: Aye.
13	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: With that motion
14	concludes the deliberations. Thank you.
15	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Move to
16	adjourn.
17	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: We're adjourned and
18	we'll see you all in Binghamton.
19	(The public hearing concluded at 2:50
20	p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Nina Weldon, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 42, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Nina Weldon, Transcriptionist

Min Milden

August 19, 2011