NEW YORK STATE ASSEMBLY LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

PUBLIC HEARING

CONGRESSIONAL AND STATE LEGISLATIVE REDISTRICTING

Wednesday, August 17, 2011
City Hall Council Chambers
Government Plaza
38 Hawley St., Binghamton, NY
10:16 a.m. to 12:14 p.m.

TASK FORCE MEMBERS PRESENT:

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, Co-Chair

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, Co-Chair

SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS

DEBRA LEVINE

ROMAN HEDGES

WELQUIS LOPEZ

INDEX

		Page
MR.	JERRY MARINICH CHAIRMAN BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE	9
MR.	MICHAEL LANE TOMPKINS COUNTY LEGISLATURE	27
MR.	EUGENE FAUGHNAN COMMISSIONER BROOME COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS	47
MR.	DALE WESTON TIOGA COUNTY LEGISLATURE	66
MS.	JANE PARK PRESIDENT LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS - BROOME	67
MS.	LEA WEBB COUNCILWOMAN CITY OF BINGHAMTON	84
MS.	CECILE LAWRENCE	89
MS.	WANDA CAMPBELL CITIZEN ACTION OF NEW YORK	95
MR.	PAUL BATTISTI ATTORNEY BATTISTI, GARTENMAN AND THAYNE, P.C.	100

2 (The public hearing commenced at 10:16

[Music]

a.m.)

2.2

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, CO-CHAIR,

NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC

RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Good morning,

ladies and gentlemen. The New York State

Legislative Task Force on Demographic Research

and Reapportionment will come to order. This is

the Binghamton public hearing, and that I, New

York State Senator Mike Nozzolio, co-chair with

Assemblyman Jack McEneny of this task force call

this meeting to order, and are very pleased to be

here in Binghamton this morning. This is the

now--we've had five public hearings.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, CO-CHAIR, NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: This is the fifth.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: This is the fifth
that the hearings began in Syracuse and
Rochester. Continued into Albany and
Westchester, and now into the southern tier, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

we are very pleased to be here. Without further ado, I'd like to introduce members of the task force. I had mentioned my co-chair, Assemblyman Jack McEneny. Assemblyman McEneny and I are getting to--we've known each other for many years. We're getting to know each other a lot more closely over these last few weeks in taking testimony across this state.

On my right, I would like to indicate the members of the task force and the staff of the task force. To my immediate right is Senator Martin Dilan. To his right is our--one of our citizen representatives Welquis Ray Lopez, and that on his right is staff director, Debra Levine. to Assembly McEneny's left is New York State Assemblyman Robert Oaks, and then to his left is another of the citizen representatives of the task force, Roman Hedges. We welcome your testimony, your questions, your comments that we have a list that we will be going through. someone is not present that it will be noted, skipped over, and then called again at the conclusion of the hearing. This is in no

2.2

particular order, but before we begin we'd like to note the presence of New York State

Assemblywoman Donna Lupardo, and that Assemblyman Lupardo, we welcome you and thank you for your attendance. That Senator Tom Libous is represented by at least two members of his staff, and that we appreciate Senator Libous' presence and leadership in the State Senate.

Without further ado, let me ask if
Assemblyman McEneny has any comments that he
would like to make, and then any other member of
the panel wish to have any introductory
statements.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: All right.

Thank you, Senator. We would remind you that
these hearings are televised. The record then
goes on the LATFOR, which is the code for the New
York State Legislative Task Force on Demographic
Research and Reapportionment. You'll hear that
come up now and then, that word, LATFOR. It'll
be on the web site, so you'll be able to--you
actually see what people say and how they say it.
After that, it's produced as a transcript, and is

2.2

submitted to the Justice Department and conceivably to courts that might want it. Also, on the LATFOR web site is a great deal of information regarding redistricting. As we proceed some of you know the rules intimately. Others may not. We are bound by the civil rights—the Voting Rights Act of 1965and also by the New York State Constitution, which tells us except for exceptionally large towns, for example, that towns may not be broken, but cities, once broken have to be exact for block on border.

Also, in the creation of the 27 new congressional districts, the numbers have to be exact. It can vary for the numbers of assembly and senate as high as 5% above or below be realistically, we try and—try and keep below that. And I think that's probably enough for the ground rules. Senator?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you,

Assemblyman. Would any other member of the panel
wish to make a statement? Senator Dilan?

SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN, NEW YORK STATE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH I would just like to say AND REAPPORTIONMENT: good morning, and I'm really happy to be here in Binghamton this morning. And I'm looking forward to listening to your testimony. And the only thing I'd like to reiterate is that in the previous hearings, I have made remarks with--in terms of the 2010aprisonal [phonetic] law, and the size of the senate. And I encourage everyone here to visit the LATFOR web site where we do have archived copies of the previous hearings. And you could also hear testimony from other individuals at that time. So with that said, I look forward to hearing from you. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any other member wish to--Assemblyman Oaks.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS, NEW YORK
STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC
RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Thank you very
much. Just my pleasure to be here today, too.
Really, this has been an interesting and
informative process, and appreciate hearing from
people from the southern tier and the Binghamton

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 2 area today to help us in the process going

forward. Thank you.

2.2

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

It--with that we'll proceed. wish to first thank
the City of Binghamton for the use of this
council chamber, and we'd like to call up the
chairman of the Broome County Legislature, Jerry
Marinich. And good morning.

MR. JERRY MARINICH, CHAIRMAN, BROOME COUNTY BOARD OF LEGISLATORS: Good morning.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Mr. Chairman, and we thank you very much for your participation. I'd just like to emphasize, before you begin, for the audience, the comments of Assemblyman McEneny, that we are creating a video record of these proceedings. That this is a testament that will be placed on the LATFOR web site for others to view. Each of the hearings is receiving this same designation and will be placed on the LATFOR web site. But thank you, Mr. Chairman, and very nice to, to see you this morning, and thank you for your attendance.

MR. MARINICH: Good morning, Senator

Nozzolio, Assemblyman McEneny, and members of the task force. As you know, my name is Jerry

Marinich. I'm the Chairman of the Broome County

Legislature, and I appreciate this opportunity to share my thoughts with you on redistricting. We appreciate that you're holding a hearing here in Broome County. Seeking input from all over the state, not just in New York City or Albany is a sign that you really do care about the residents of the whole state.

Having attended a lot of hearings over the years, I'll try to be brief. No one likes to sit up in front of people and talk for 15 minutes. Having served as both Chairman of the Legislature in the past—and in the past, minority leader of the legislature, I have a good perspective on working with members of both parties. Broome County is a place where both Democrats and Republicans are competitive in many elections, and that dynamic means there has to be cooperation between political parties to get things done. Much the same way that you have to do in Albany.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I want to primarily discuss three topics as they relate to redistricting. First, I want to address the process of redistricting. been a lot of media attention on independent redistricting. I'm here to tell you, there's no such thing. Everyone has an opinion or bias of re--and simply selecting people to make decisions who have been a different--who have a different perspective does not make for independent redistricting. Additionally, both tradition and the state constitution gives responsibility for setting district line to the state legislature. Like it or not, just passing a law or a budget, it's the role of the state legislature to compromise, make tough decisions and get the job done.

Here in Broome County, we're working on a redistricting plan ourselves. In order to work through the difficult decisions we have to make, we set up a bipartisan ad hoc committee, much like your task force, to do research, solicit public input and then make decisions. And that process can work to make fair districts. Just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

like our state officials, Senator Libous,
Assemblywoman Lupardo, Assemblyman Crouch and
Assemblyman Finch work together in a bipartisan
way in Albany now, I'm confident that members of
this task force can work out a plan that takes
into account the needs of all areas of our state.

Second, I want to address the congressional districts in Broome County. While Broome County's a major population center in Upstate New York, our voice is currently being diminished by having two congressional seats based hundreds of miles away. These districts sprawl through many counties and communities in central New York, the Finger Lakes, the southern tier, the Mohawk Valley and the Hudson Valley. It makes no sense. My past three congressional representatives, a Democrat and two Republicans, have lived in the Utica area. Nothing against Utica, but Binghamton is ten minutes away from my home, and Utica is two hours.

Also, Broome County's other congressional representative lives almost three hours away in Hudson Valley. Again, while I'm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

sure Woodstock and New Paltz are nice places to visit, they don't have much in common with Broome County. At the very least, I ask that you join all parts of Broome County together in one congressional seat. Broome County is a very diverse county politically, and putting all of Broome County in one district would not create a gerrymandered situation for either party.

Lastly is the issue of the state senate. After last year's impasse, I can think I can speak for many people around the state in saying we need odd number of state senators. Since the population of New York has grown by 400,000 people since 2000, I would suggest adding a seat in the senate to make 63 senators. That would ensure we don't run into the nightmare scenario of a tie, which would lead to gridlock, lengthy legal battles, and ultimately the business of the state grinding to a halt. Again, I thank you for your time. I thank you for coming to Binghamton. I thank you for coming to Broome County, and good luck as you tackle the tough decisions ahead of you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much,
Mr. Chairman. Any questions? Assemblyman
McEneny?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: observation. Because we have grown, and thank you for acknowledging that. A lot of people say we've lost population. We haven't. We've gained 400,000 people, but we have not grown as much as the rest of the, of the nation. And that means that we'll have no longer 29 members of the House of Representatives, but 27. When you mention, as has been mentioned at other hearings, the sprawling, long distance, and, and inclusion of communities that seem to have little in common with one another, which is, I assure you, a nightmare for constituent services for congressional staffs and the members themselves, the hard truth is that we'll be going from 650, 660, something like that, up to--the new number is 717,707, which means there are districts-every, single congressional district in the State of New York, even where there's been a lot of growth out around Suffolk and in Eastern Long

bemographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 Island, every, single one of them no longer qualifies to be a congressional district. 3 4 They're all too small. Some will have to gain as 5 many as 70,000 people, and that's probably one of the biggest problems facing the task force and 6 7 the people of New York. The new number is enormous, so when 8 9 you're planning--and we encourage everyone here to send in maps of what they think a district 10 11 should look like at any level, Assembly, Senate 12 or House of Representatives, that's the magic 13 number. 717,707, and the federal government is 14 about as flexible as flint on that number. 15 They'll split towns, which we tend not to do. 16 They'll do anything to make that number come out 17 exact, to the person. And thank you so much for 18 your testimony.

> MR. MARINICH: Thank you.

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any other questions? Senator Dilan?

SENATOR DILAN: Yes, I, I, I would like to address your third point with regard to the size of the senate. I know that you make a

2.2

comment that we have grown by 400,000 in the State of New York, but is there an actual formula, or is there any basis for going up to 63? I know you also said that that state senate should be an odd number. Why not go down to 61?

MR. MARINICH: Again, I think that'll be--because the population has grown, you know, 400,000, and we hope that all of our economic machines that we put in place will continue to have New York State grow. I think at this point here I think 63 is a better number than 61 because I hope--and I--and I know it's the vision of everyone up there to make New York State grow. And if it's going to grow, we're going to need that representation. We certainly cannot stay at 62. I think 63's a better number because I, I see growth in the future, and I see--I see growth as a positive thing.

SENATOR DILAN: I believe that at this time, the way that the census numbers did come out, and based on the formula that we do have in the Constitution of the State of New York, I believe that number would call for the Senate

2.2

staying at 62. And if we were to take your logic into account and say 400,000, then it would be appropriate to create that senate seat wherever the population grew. Is that correct?

MR. MARINICH: Again you know that's very--your, your tough decision to make. And I would just like to see it. I'd like to see it get off the 62 because as, as we can see, if we--if we end up with a 31-31, then we know things are going to--will go to stalemate. There's going to be--

SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] But if-but if an odd number is what we're calling for--

MR. MARINICH: There's going to be-there's going to be decisions made to--made to
who, who's going to be in charge, and things like
that. And that doesn't do--bode well for the
state.

SENATOR DILAN: Well, I think in view of all the fiscal problems we're having, I think going to 63 is going in the wrong direction.

Perhaps we really have to take a look at that formula, and I'm sure we'll do the right thing.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
Thank you.

2.2

MR. MARINICH: I wish you the best.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I certainly appreciate your comments about trying to establish a number in the state senate that will prevent future gridlock, and that's something that that I note and is well-noted in your testimony. I have a question about your comments very interesting comments about your decision herein Broome County to establish a bipartisan ad hoc committee for the redistricting process. Mr. Chairman, could you elaborate on that endeavor?

MR. MARINICH: Certainly. At the beginning of the year when we took the majority back, I knew that we would have to have this decision done based on the census. And I knew that in the referendum two years ago that the voters passed, we had to reduce from 19 to 15, an odd number. We still stayed at an odd number from 19 to 15. So I knew that was going to be a very difficult task, and so I had the chairman of the County Administration Committee put together a committee, and he selected two from the

2 majority party, two from the minority party.

4 outside of government to sit on, on, on the

5 committee. We also had an independent or retired

They were each allowed to select someone from

6 government workers on it, and we had a

1

3

7 representative from the League of Women Voters on

8 the committee. And we went through this whole

9 process, and at the end of the day, it passed.

10 There were nine people on the committee, and

eight people voted for it. And even the League

of Women Voters voted for our redistricting. So

we were--we were able to do that, I think, in a

fair and, and bipartisan way, and we also

included the public. We had interactive maps

that were available on our web site so they could

go ahead and pick different districts, and it

would give us the plus minus--plus or minus the

19 5% each way. And so they were able to put

20 together different districts, and so--and they

21 could submit it to us. We had public--all our

meetings were open to the public, like--much like

23 this is here for comments from the public. We

took comments through e-mail, telephone, anyway

they wanted to get it to us, and we're--we listened to all those things, and we came up with a formula and a map that that the committee came up with. It was approved by the committee, and it was approved by the legislative body. But unfortunately, the, the executive vetoed it.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Hm. Well that is unfortunate, particularly the, the amount of work that you put into the process. But I--the work, nonetheless, is a template for other work that we are working here on a bipartisan basis.

MR. MARINICH: Yes.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And I appreciate your own reference to the local process here in Broome County with the process that we are engaged in right now at the state level. So I--without any further questions--Assemblyman Oaks.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: Yes, just one, I appreciate your comments, one on the independent redistricting, as well as the senate numbers.

Just a quick think on Congress. I guess you talked about the issue of sprawling and keeping the county whole. I would say to you that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

reflecting on Co-Chairman McEneny's comments, sprawling is very difficult not to do because of the size of the numbers. And also because of having to go to the single person, keeping counties whole sometimes is also difficult. your sensitivity toward that I think is something that this task force is looking to take into account as it impacts across the state. But if you look at Broome County's population, around 200,000, and you even take in your neighboring that gets to about 450, maybe, the people around There's still 260-or-so-thousand people even if Broome was the center, having to go out and find those. And so the impact of putting that, that together with all the other districts to be drawn, I think that's just part of the implication of the difficulty that I--it's important that you make your comments so that as these are drawn, they can be taken into account. So thank you very much.

MR. MARINICH: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Mr. Chairman, I have one more question. A series of questions.

1	Page 2. Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	Whereabouts is your district? Where do you
3	represent?
4	MR. MARINICH: I represent the town of
5	Chenango, which is currently District 10 in
6	Broome County.
7	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Do you have the
8	entire town?
9	MR. MARINICH: I have theI have
10	currently in our current district before we go to
11	redistricting, I have allI have nine out of the
12	ten election districts in my town.
13	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: One of the concerns
14	we have that is the state constitution. That's
15	not a conthe constitution isn't a concern.
16	Following the constitution is the concern we, we
17	all have. And the constitution is designed to
18	protect the integrity of, of towns, and prevents
19	towns from being cut in this process for state
20	legislative lines.
21	I just looked up your congressional
22	districts, and they do not cut towns, apparently.
23	in the information we have.
24	MR. MARINICH: But it does cut the

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 county.

2.2

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: But it does cut the county. And that in some areas where it cuts towns that has—others have testified, in Monroe County, in particular how that has wreaked havoc with administration of that town, the ability to get grants and the ability to bring attention to their, their needs. Are—do you find that to be the case, or could be the case here in Broome as well?

absolutely. It's--as you know, it's a lot easier to deal with one entity than, than multiple entities. You know what I mean? If you have one congressmen versus two congressmen, as we do presently, it seems to me it's easier to go to one office and, and try to explain your needs and, and what you would like to see for the county as a whole. Right now, we're split up in two. I mean, they're, they're both doing the best that they can, but they're, they're spread out so far. The southern tier Broome County has the largest population base. Let's try to keep

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

at least that whole for at least one congressmen instead of splitting it up into two.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And that was Assemblyman McEneny's point earlier that a number of years ago, I recall, when I was a--first an intern in politics, and I remember finally Howard Robison represented this area. At that time, the districts were around the same size as our senatorial districts now. In the past number of decades -- I won't say how many, but in the -- since those decades have gone by, the districts have almost doubled, if not more so. the congressional districts, and that has created, I think what you're saying the, the, the sheer mass of--and Assemblyman McEneny said it earlier, the sheer size of these districts makes it difficult to have--also the requirement at, at the federal level, or the non-requirement at the federal level, if you will, that the towns can be broken for congressional districts presents upstate, I believe certain disadvantages for those towns that need to be cut.

MR. MARINICH: I agree. I agree. It,

2.2

it certainly does because you're right. We, we're more rural. We do have our centers. We do have an increased population base, but other than that, in Tioga, in Delaware, in Chenango, they're more of a rular--rural type of county. But again, I just want to go back. I think Broome County needs to be the center. Start from there, if you can, and work your way out, you know, if that--if that's possible as you're looking at the map so that at least Broome County can have one representative, which is the major population base, which was the engine that drives, you know?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: In terms of community of interest I know Senator Libous advocates for this every day that I hear him in the state senate, and that is the needs of the southern tier. Senator Libous said that the prime advocate for the southern—have always been a prime advocate for the southern tier. How do you relate with the, the southern tier communities, the county legislatures in Tioga, Chemongand moving west to Bend [phonetic]. Is there, in fact, a southern tier community?

MR. MARINICH: Well, there is, and I, I think--I think maybe the governor rec--recognized that in the fact that he made one of the economic develop al--development regions is the southern tier, going all the way out to Corning, New York, and in, into Delaware County, and he made that one economic region. So do we all work together? Yes, we have to. We all have to work together. I mean, one job is one job.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, for your very insightful testimony. We appreciate it, and with that I'd like to, on behalf of the task force, thank you very much for your participation.

MR. MARINICH: Thank you for the opportunity.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It--Michael Lane,
Tompkins County Legislator. Good morning, Mr.
Lane. Would you, for the record, state who you
are, where you're from and what you do?

MR. MICHAEL LANE, TOMPKINS COUNTY

LEGISLATURE: Certainly. My name is Michael

Lane, L-A-N-E. And I'm a Tompkins County

2.2

legislator from the town of Dryden in Tompkins

County. And I currently chair for our county

legislature, the government operations committee.

In, in real life, I am a lawyer.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Welcome.

MR. LANE: Thank you. And I want to thank you, too, for holding a hearing on this important topic here in the southern tier, and for affording me the opportunity to comment on your important task. By way of background, as I said, I'm Michael Lane, a resident of the village and town of Dryden in Tompkins County. I formerly served in village government. I was a mayor of the village of Dryden for ten years, and I'm now in my 14th year of service as a Tompkins County legislator. I current—as I said, I currently chair the county's Government Operations Committee.

Back in 2001, I chaired its redistricting committee after the 2000 census. Like Congress and the New York State Legislature, most counties must be redistricted as well. For the redistricting process after the 2010 census,

2.2

the Tompkins County Legislature has made a thoughtful and considered decision to establish a local, independent redistricting commission to redraw districts to equalize populations. By a bipartisan and nearly-unanimous vote, a resolution was adopted by our legislature by which a nine-member commission was established. No elected or appointed officials and no officers of any political parties or committees were eligible for appointment to that redistricting committee.

The commission was directed not to take into consideration the residences of any incumbents and to try to establish districts that respect municipal boundaries and communities of interest. There are copies of the resolution in the memorandum establishing the redistricting commission next to my written testimony.

I believe that Tompkins County may be the only one in New York that has established an independent redistricting commission. We did it for two reasons. The first was to provide fair divisions to make sure everyone's voice is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

equally heard. And the second, as emphasized by many county legislators at the time of its adoption, was to send a message to the New York State legislature that an independent process can be constructed for redistricting and to do so is the right thing to do. Even though we are a junior partner, we chose to set an example.

It matters to our democracy how we redraw our representative districts, whether at the congressional, state or county level. process should not be about the personal power of incumbents or loading the dice for one party or the other. It should be about establishing fair play. You all know the abuses. For a frank assessment, I commend to you a book by the former New York State senator, Seymour Lachman, entitled Three Men in a Room. Mr. Lachman, a Democrat, describes the sait -- State Senate gerry -- describes the State Senate gerrymandering after the 2000 census, and in particular, an incident in which the Republican majority leader promised him a safely-constructed district, if only he would change parties or do what the majority leader

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

told him. An excerpt from that book is attached to my written testimony.

Tompkins County has been the recipient of substantial gerrymandering abuse. Take a look at the map. The county is shared by two Before 2000, it was only in one congressmen. district, but it's now divided in two. Most of the county, including where I live, is a part of District 24, represented by Richard Hanna. narrow wedge, consisting of the towns of Danby and Ithaca and the City of Ithaca, pierces from the south and attaches to District 22, represented by Maurice Hinchey. gerrymandering was done for just one reason. To keep the city and town of Ithaca attached to the 22nd District for Congressman Hinchey because it had given him the margin of victory in at least one election.

The rest of the towns in Tompkins County found themselves connected to a district extending east to Utica and Rome, and then well into the north country, areas with which there is almost no community of interest. Because the

2.2

county boundaries were not respected and the

Ithaca population center was stripped away, those
towns have very little influence in District 24.

The gerrymandering of Tompkins County for the New
York State Senatorial Districts is even more
offensive. Tompkins County is nearly unique, and
upstate is the home of Cornell University and
Ithaca College. It has a majority Democratic
registration and often votes that way. It ought
to be part of a single district, but has been
split into three parts to dilute its voting
power.

The west part of the county, including the City of Ithaca, is attached to the 53rd District represented by Thomas O'Mara, which is a heavily-Republican district. The east part of Tompkins County is attached to District 51, represented by James Seward, and then there's the lone town of Lansing, that is chopped off and annexed to District 54, represented by Senator Nozzolio.

Senator Seward's district is a remarkable feat of gerrymandering. As you may

24

1

have heard, the irregular shape of that District looks like the outline of Abraham Lincoln riding a vacuum cleaner. So much for regular, compact districts. To reach to the west and eventually to Cortland and Tompkins Counties, a row of towns is connected that are obviously there only to minimally comply with the legal requirement that the district be contiquous. This dysfunctional system of gerrymandering is fueled by the domination of incumbent state legislators. legislators appear rarely, except when there are vacancies caused by death or retirement. incumbents are followed by hand-picked replacements. Two of Tompkins County legislators got their jobs after having been employees on the staffs of retiring popular legislators, who of course endorsed them. There are many reforms that are needed to correct the deficiencies in the New York State Legislature, but none is more fundamental than to correct the process of how Senate, Assembly and Congressional districts are Since the 1970s and even more so since drawn. the redistricting that took place after the 1980

2.2

federal census, there has evolved a fundamental deal in New York. It goes like this. The legislature must redistrict every ten years, and the governor must approve the plan.

Three counties in New York City have to meet federal muster for representation of minorities under the Federal Voting Rights Act.

The Senate is controlled by the Republicans and the Assembly by the Democrats. Republicans draw district lines to favor their incumbents and to keep voting control of the senate, and the Democrats do the same in the assembly districts to keep control there.

The congressional districts because both houses must agree are slightly fairer, but their irregular shapes make campaigning in them very difficult for challengers. It's called gerrymandering, and it's done as a science, complete with the latest computer technology.

I support Governor Cuomo's call for an independent, nonpartisan redistricting commission. It's time to act. The details need to be agreed to, and I'm not minimizing the

2.2

difficulty of that discussion. There is time to do that if the legislature wants it. The process needs to start now. I would like to see all of New York State legislators pledge to support this, as most of them have pledged in the past. It's the right thing to do for the people.

I would conclude by saying that the current process is undemocratic. Let's do it the right way. If this task force or the legislature as a whole recommends districts in the old, corrupt fashion, then I hope governor—the governor will keep his word and veto them. It would not be pretty, and court actions might have to come into play. Whatever occurs, it's definitely time that self—serving partisan gerrymandering come to an end. Make it happen. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any questions, members of the panel? Assemblyman McEneny?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yes, I was interested in the comment, which I heard at another hearing on the Abraham Lincoln on the vacuum cleaner analogy for the district.

	Page 3!
1 1	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	MR. LANE: Yes.
3	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Which is, in
4	the absence of more elegant language, it's a glob
5	along the Mohawk Valley with a huge stovepipe hat
6	on top of it.
7	MR. LANE: Yes. And and
8	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: You're giving
9	that as an example of gerrymandering?
10	MR. LANE: Yes, because it's act
11	obviously with the, the string of towns to
12	connect the east and west parts of that, which is
13	the, the broom, if you want on the, on the
14	picture, or the Abraham Lincolnon the vacuum
15	cleaner, pardon me. It's, it's simply put
16	together to do that. There's no community of
17	interest in that district from one end to the
18	other.
19	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: So
20	MR. LANE: districts ought to be
21	compact, and people ought to be able to travel
22	across them in a reasonable way.
23	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Do you know
24	why that hat goes up into the Adirondacks?

2.2

MR. LANE: I would assume because that is a large county up there, and it's--it probably has a Republican voting record.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Then I would think you would owe an apology to somebody. It's called the era--the Herkimer County, County Line, and the governor, who recently carved up the state into economic development districts--I'm not sure what independent advisory group he used to do it has that same Abraham Lincoln on a vacuum cleaner shape, and that's because that's what Herkimer County looks like. And it's looked that way for 200 years, I would think, more or less.

There are other examples in our history that aren't pretty on maps. The State of Maryland, for example, with the huge panhandle that's probably about ten miles wide before it gets a little bit, a little bit wider. There are reasons why some of these lines are drawn, which have nothing to do with politics, unless it's purely coincidental.

The, the, the Herkimer County Line is

2.2

troublesome, but that's their county. And it's been that way for 200 years, and one of the things we try to do is to respect municipal boundaries when they can be respected.

MR. LANE: Assemblyman, I appreciate that--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Especially counties.

MR. LANE: But the, the, the figure that I was re--referencing on the map is, is larger than Herkimer County. That's just a part of it.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Well, we've also seen others that—where there's a, a rush to judgment. There's one down in the Bronx, which the same group that comes up with these rather memorable statements describes as oops, I spilled coffee on my map, and the district goes in and out and about, etc., etc. That's a civil rights county. That's under the jurisdiction of the Justice Department, and if you're trying to establish an African-American opportunity district and a Hispanic District, they don't all

2.2

live in little square boxes. It's not all going to look like Colorado or Wyoming.

And consequently, the product to satisfy the voting rights act of 1965is often going to look very awkward on a map for people who have particularly never been in that county and don't understand the patterns of commuting the history patterns of settlement and so and so.

I would be a lot happier if people would go into the districts that they feel are badly drawn and then come up with evidence that they're badly drawn. The Herkimer County one especially throws me. It's usually from people who have never been in Herkimer County and have no idea why Lincoln's stovepipe hat has been put in there. There's actually very few people that live there, something like 1,300 people in the entire hat. But because it's in the same county, same county seat, same county government, the tendency is always to include it, as Governor Cuomo just did with his economic development district.

MR. LANE: I take your point,

2.2

Assemblyman, but I think you're missing the larger picture. We're not talking just about the hat. We're talking about the whole district.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: But if that's the example, it makes me wonder about the other examples.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Senator Dilan.

SENATOR DILAN: Morning, sir.

MR. LANE: Good morning.

Mention that Suffolk County--Suffolk and Ulster Counties have recently created independ--independent commissions. And the question that I have for you is, do you think that we still have time to create in--independent commission? I know that there have been arguments with respect to the constitutional issue of having two legislatures pass it, and a ref--public referendum. But do you believe that if there was a will in the state legislature to create an independent redistricting commission, that we could still do that?

MR. LANE: I believe so. I don't

bemographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 believe it has to be through a constitutional 3 amendment process. 4 SENATOR DILAN: Um hm. And can you 5 elaborate? Can we do that, legally? MR. LANE: I believe that the New York 6 7 State would, would be able to pass a law that 8 would be approved by the governor setting up an 9 independent communication. I know there are bills that have been in the legislature for years 10 11 setting up a very detailed process for that. 12 think they could be taken up. I think they sh--13 ought to be taken up, and they ought to put the 14 process in place. 15 Sadly it's, it's, it's approaching the 16 time when, when things can't happen that way, and 17 I think that that may be from, from neglect at the legislative level, and it and that's too bad 18 19 because this is the right thing to do. I think 20 you could still get it done. 21 SENATOR DILAN: Um hm. Thank you. 2.2 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Mr. Lane thank you 23 very much for your testimony. Before you go, I

have a couple of questions.

24

MR. LANE: Certainly.

2.2

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That--for the record it's my understanding you ran for the New York

State Legislature at some point--

MR. LANE: I ran for in a primary election for Assemblyman, yes. That was in 2002.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Andin what, what primary--was that in a--what party was that a primary for?

MR. LANE: I was a Democratic primary.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It was a Democratic primary. in terms of your support for independent redistricting, and this is not--I, I think we, we did not state this at the outset, but I think it, it certainly bears repeating, that decision is not the decision of, of the four state legislators who are here today. It's a decision for the entire state legislature. I guess, though, that your definition of independent is, is not the same definition as--that I would have as independent particularly when this election process as outlined by some of the proposals is heavily weighted in favor of one

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 party, that's the Democrat party, over another. 3 Doesn't that bother you at all? 4 MR. LANE: I'm, I'm sorry Senator. 5 is weighted toward them? SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It's weighted--6 7 MR. LANE: What is weighted? This election process SENATOR NOZZOLIO: 8 9 to choose who would be the proposed legislation that you endorsed in your testimony weights the 10 selection of the so-called independent body where 11 12 some have characterized it at other hearings as 13 being eight Democrats and two Republicans. 14 that -- is, is that the type of independent process 15 that you are s--espousing here today? 16 I'm espousing a, a fair MR. LANE: 17 The, the details of the legislation process. would have to be agreed to by, obviously the 18 19 Senate and the Assembly, and Governor Cuomo. 20 the last I knew, the, the Senate was still a--

> Ubiqus/Nation-Wide Reporting & Convention Coverage 22 Cortlandt Street - Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

Republicans were still in charge of the Senate,

Assembly. So I think that if they really wanted

to work something out, they could come up with

and Democrats were still in charge of the

21

2.2

23

24

Page 43 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 something fairly held that was--that was 3 bipartisan. 4 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Your comments about 5 the Constitution, and I, I, I point out, before I get to that point, that there is a--both parties 6 7 equally represented here at the task force. bipartisan fortune -- fashion. But the comment 8 9 about the Constitution is what--of all the comments you made, troubles me the most. You are 10 11 an attorney, are you not? 12 MR. LANE: I am. 13 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: you believe that the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Constitution of the State of New York would not be in any way superseded by the establishment of a--and violated by the establishment of the proposals now before us, calling for an independent redistricting process?

MR. LANE: No, because the final approval of the process is going to have to be at the legislative level.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: But the deviations that are suggested, required by this proposal are such that would require the cutting of towns.

2.2

And that is the, the constitutional provision we discussed with the Chairman of the Broome County Legislature at the prior testimony, and it's one that bothers me, concerns me the most that to achieve the deviations suggested in that legislation that towns would likely have to be cut in upstate. The issue about the legislative approval then doesn't that contravene your whole message about independence? Then how can an independent communication be established that still requires the approval as the Constitution requires, by the legislature?

That would not an independent commission be established that did not require, should it—an independent commission be established that has no legislative approval process in it?

MR. LANE: Well, you could never take--I agree with the Chairman of the Broome County
Legislature. You can never take everything out
of it that has to do with politics because our
government has to do with politics. But we can
do better. We can--we can come up with a system
of appointing a commission that is not populated

1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	by incumbent legislators that can take a look at
3	it. Other states have done it. Other states are
4	doing it. California has gone to a different
5	process. New York used to lead the nation in
6	good government. Why aren't we doing that here?
7	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any other questions
8	of Mr. Lane? Hearing none, thank you very much
9	for your testimony.
10	MR. LANE: Thank you for the
11	opportunity. I appreciate all you being here.
12	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: GeneI apologize if
13	I'm mispronouncing this name. Gene Faughnan?
14	How bad was that?
15	MR. EUGENE FAUGHNAN, COMMISSIONER,
16	BROOME COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: Not too bad.
17	It's Gene Faughnan.
18	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Faughnan?
19	MR. FAUGHNAN: Yeah.
20	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you for your
21	participation. Could you please, for the record,
22	indicate who you are, sir, and what you do, and
23	MR. FAUGHNAN: Yes.
24	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: What you represent?

2.2

MR. FAUGHNAN: I'm Gene Faughnan. I am the Republican Election Commissioner in Broome County, and I'm also a practicing attorney and a partner at Hinman, Howard and Kattell, LLP.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Welcome.

MR. FAUGHNAN: Thank you. Good morning. I'd like to thank you for taking the time to come to Binghamton to hear the views of our community on the difficult task that you have been charged with. At the Board of Elections, we recently undertook a rebalancing and a consolidation of election districts here in Broome County, so I do have a small understanding for what you're going through. I'd like to express my appreciation for your willingness to undertake this thankless, but vital mission.

Over the past number of months, this task force and many legislators have received criticism in the media and from so-called good-government groups regarding this particular process. And for not going with the Governor's so-called Independent Commission Plan. This plan calls for a committee to choose the members of

2.2

the commission. The committee of eight is chosen as follows. Four are appointed by the governor, and one each by the Senate Majority Leader, the Senate Minority Leader, the Assembly Speaker, and the Assembly Minority Leader. As was just spoken about, in my view, that stacks the deck in favor of the Governor. It ensures that he and his partisan allies have six of eight votes.

It's not even so much a Republican and Democratic issue. Whoever the governor is, is going to have the stacked deck when it comes to the redistrict—or the reapportionment. The proposals of this so-called independent commission would then have to be voted on by each house of the legislature, without amendment, and only after failing twice can minor amendments be made.

As might be expected from my opening remarks, I am here for voice my support for this task force. I'm recognizing the bipartisan nature of the task force, and I have difficulty understanding why it is viewed as not being an independent task force. I mean, this is a group

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that is representing both parties. We have individuals from both government and outside of government, and to me, that looks like an impartial and independent body that's making the decision.

I believe that any district-redistricting and reapportionment plan must be done in a way that is both fair and legal. The fair I just spoke about. To me, you have elected officials. You have non-elected officials. a bipartisan task force that you have here today. I believe that this structure ensures that your findings and representations will be the product of a fair and reasoned, if not contentious, As previously noted, the governor's process. plan seeks to stack the deck in favor of the executive branch. I believe it is neither fair, nor bipartisan. It is not a suitable replacement for LATFOR.

Now the legal. Under the state constitution, it is the duty and obligation of the Senate and Assembly to reapportion legislative and congressional districts every ten

2.2

years. The provisions of Article 3, Section 3 and 4 make clear that this is a non-delegable duty of the Senate and the Assembly. The Senate and the Assembly may and indeed have chosen to delegate fact-finding responsibility for the adopted plan--or, excuse me, to this task force. But in the end, the responsibility for adopting a plan goes back to the legislature. This responsibility cannot be avoided or delegated. It is my belief that the governor's proposal runs afoul of the Constitution. It would not withstand constitutional challenge.

The Constitution provides specific powers to the branches of state government. Such powers cannot be altered by mere legislation. I am of the opinion that the governor's proposal seeks to unconstitutionally limit the powers of the legislature. I am of the belief that current provisions regarding redistricting in the state constitution should be amended. The Senate passed a reform several months ago. That reform would begin by amending the Constitution.

The reform transfers the legislatives--

2.2

legislature's authority for reapportionment to a five-member commission. That commission would be comprised of four individuals selected by the majority and minority leaders in each house. The fifth member is chosen by a vote of at least three of the other four members. And none of the commission members may be current or past office holders.

The Senate's proposal strikes a partisan balance, while ensuring an ultimate resolution, and by definition, by being a--in en--en--encompassing a constitutional amendment, it's going to be constitutional. Now, amending the state constitution is, is appropriately no small matter. Proposed amendments must be passed by a majority in both the Senate and the Assembly in two consecutive sessions. And then place--then placed before the voters. For this reason, it is not a change that can be effectively pursued for this reapportionment.

For this reason, I support the current bipartisan approach to LATFOR, and would encourage the Senate and Assembly to pass a fair

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 and constitutionally sound reform. One final observation. It was mentioned a little bit 3 4 earlier, we all recently witnessed the 5 difficulties in the state Senate with the evenlydivided Senate. For this reason, it is my belief 6 7 that any redistricting plan should envision a Senate having either 61 or 63. Not an even 8 9 number. Again, I want to thank you all for 10 11 coming here today and for allowing me to express 12 my views on this important matter, and I want to 13 thank you again for your service to our state. 14 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, 15 Commissioner. Any members of the panel wish to 16 ask the commissioner any questions? 17 Dilan? So you're advocating for 18 SENATOR DILAN: 19 an odd number, and you're saying that that odd 20 number could be 61? 21 MR. FAUGHNAN: Sure. 2.2 SENATOR DILAN: All right. So you're 23 just advocating for that. And basically the 24 state constitution does have a formula that

2.2

determines the size of the Senate. So you do believe that we should follow the Constitution and whatever that formula is?

MR. FAUGHNAN: I, I think you have no choice but to follow the Constitution.

SENATOR DILAN: Thank you. Thank you very much. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: commissioner, before you leave, I wanted to highlight some of your testimony. I appreciate your comments regarding the, this constitutional issues, and speaking as an attorney on the constitutional issues confronting changing this process as well as the partisan aspects of the authority so delegated. And so thank you for that.

I'd like to ask you to take your lawyer hat off and put your commissioner hat on. that—Assemblyman McEneny are—and I are concerned, as I believe other members of the task force are, with the potential of having an earlier primary required by the federal government. that primary has yet to be determined. The speculation is that it would be sometime as early as June of

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 2012. What we are trying--one of the lines of 3 questioning we're trying to ask for the record, 4 is to understand the dynamics of what that June 5 primary would mean in a redistricting year where the Board of Elections would be confronted with a 6 7 massive amount of work in a very short period of Do you have any sentiments on that issue 8 9 to date? MR. FAUGHNAN: Oh, I definitely think 10 11 it's a significant factor. I mean, we--having 12 re--just changed--13 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [interposing] And 14 If I could also indicate, Assemblyman excuse me. 15 McEneny just whispered in my ear, as well as a 16 presidential primary in April of this year. 17 MR. FAUGHNAN: Absolutely. Absolutely. 18 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: You have an April 19 primary, a June primary and a redistricting 20 process along with that. 21

MR. FAUGHNAN: As I indicated in my testimony, we just went through a process of resetting election districts within Broome County. A largely apolitical enterprise.

2.2

23

24

mean, just where are people going to go vote, and in what groupings? And even in doing that, it took a long time. So I appreciate the time that it takes to do this.

When we look at the change in the primary, I think—I think in part, yes, we would get squeezed by any sort of reapportionment, but I am—I'm actually more concerned about the presidential primary, to be honest with you.

That's, that's something that's more real to me because it's right in front of my face. And the—we have requirements under the Move Act to get military ballots out in a way that requires that we get them back. So obviously the primary has to be moved earlier.

I'm assuming that there is some belief that we can't have a primary during the summertime because people are on vacation, and so we then skip over the summer and move to perhaps June. What that does do is compress our political calendar, especially on a presidential primary year in that we are just wrapping up a presidential primary, and then we have to already

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

be passing petitions. In fact, as we started looking at the calendar, it appeared to me that we would be passing local petitions possibly in the middle of the presidential primary season in order to backdate everything enough. Because really, we, we end up backdating--everything backdates three months from the primary date, when we start passing petitions, collecting petitions, dealing with objections and stuff. So I mean, I think it's a very real problem, and then it certainly would be compounded by obviously reapportionment. And what I began to-what, what I began to say and didn't really finish my thought on is that in moving people around election districts, with the technology that we have, which believe it or not, is still very limited it actually is a painstaking, hand process to move people from one district to the next. And then it takes re-rechecking and, and in doing so we were able to fix some things with We found some people's addresses weren't 911. right and this sort of thing.

But to understand the undertaking of, of

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 moving people from one district to another, and then obviously to be undertaking that with a 3 4 reapportionment and then combining it with a presidential primary, an early local primary and 5 the general election it would be daunting. 6 7 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Commissioner Faughnan, earlier they testified--it was 8 9 testified that the county had redistricted itself, and this was vetoed by the executive. 10 11 MR. FAUGHNAN: Yeah. ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: 12 What are you 13 doing for this primary coming up? Are you going 14 to use the old lines, the new lines? 15 MR. FAUGHNAN: We, we actually had to 16 create temporary districts to--actually, does--it 17 doesn't affect us right now because we don't have 18 a legislative election this year. 19 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Oh, okay. 20 MR. FAUGHNAN: However, however, we did 21 have a legislator pass away, and so we have a 2.2 special election. And in that one legislative

district, we had to create temporary districts.

We had to add I think three extra districts to

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 recreate that former legislative district that we thought would be going away, and we created 3 election districts around. 4 5 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Commissioner, I'd like to come back to the task of having to do 6 7 this work. The west--the Chairman of the Westchester County Legislature testified last 8 9 week that he believed this was a huge, unfunded mandate for the county in its ability to have 10 11 this work done in a very short period of time and 12 it's getting shorter by the minute. What's your estimate of the scope of the job? 13 14 MR. FAUGHNAN: It, it took us three full 15 time employees approximately three solid months 16 to move people into their new election districts 17 here. 18 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: For the county 19 legislation? 20 MR. FAUGHNAN: No, no, no, just for the 21 entire county, moving them into their new 2.2 election districts, not, not the legislative 23 districts, which haven't been--24 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So then with the new-

2.2

-a series of new congressional districts, a series of new Senate districts and Assembly districts at the state level, what type of scope would that be for the county?

MR. FAUGHNAN: It would be the same thing all over again. We would do the same thing again when the new districts are created. And, and the other thing, I think, from a cost standpoint, and this goes to back to Chairman Marinich had mentioned about having one congressman for the county, and I understand the difficulties with that. It's, it's like--it's like squeezing a balloon. You know, you, you squeeze it in one spot, and it pops out in the other. And I understand that.

but one of the costs that we face in elections is the cost of printing ballots, and every additional sort of cut into our county of a senator, of an assemblyman or a congressman creates more ballot styles that we have to then create and print, and it adds significantly to our costs of elections, in addition to all the additional work of, of, of making sure that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

people are in the right districts and that sort of thing.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much for those insights.

MR. FAUGHNAN: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Assemblyman Oaks?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: Yes thank you very much for some of your comments, especially about the structure of--and the problems with some of the redistricting proposals and I guess I'd just like to echo something said earlier about we as the task force are carrying out the law as it is today but as we sit here, I think some of us have different perspectives or would support something or would maybe have a change. And I know the governor's proposal, which you listed out some of the, the problems with that, I think it's not a big surprise that it inserts the governor more as the process of independence, and I think Senator Nozzolio alluded to in this case, that would then have one party having more influence in the process. If you had a Republican governor at the time of redistricting,

2.2

the Republicans would have more influence in determining who the people are under the governor's determination.

Some of us have other proposals. For instance, I actually took the governor's proposal, lessened his influence, still included him, but made then, also, a super majority, which in other words, Republicans and Democrats would have to agree to who went on the, the task force. So I think you were right to list a number of the concerns. But I would, again, suggest there are views and varying views on this committee, perhaps, of what this whole process should look like. But today and going forward in the foreseeable future, we're doing what's required of us, and perspectives such as yours are greatly appreciated. So thank you.

MR. FAUGHNAN: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Commissioner, one last question. In terms of primary date and the timing what would you recommend for an appropriate primary date?

MR. FAUGHNAN: I believe it has to be at

least 30 days earlier than it currently is. And I guess I'm not so convinced by the thought that people go on vacation, and therefore we can't hold a primary in that timeframe. People go on vacation year round.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So that the September primary could easily be an August primary in your--let me ask this question another way. That when, in order to do your job, assuming there is a June primary, when would you have to have ideally the lines for the Congress and state legislature established?

MR. FAUGHNAN: [Laughter] Well, I would say at least in October, November of the year before, honestly, because I, I think otherwise, you are pressing up against the rest of the process. And like I said, the process—I mean, to, to the voters, the process begins on primary day. To the candidates, the process begins three months earlier. To the Board of Elections, it starts about five months earlier.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: with the petition process beginning at least three months six

2.2

weeks, eight weeks earlier, at least a couple months earlier. We also have another requirement, a very significant one, where there is an independent review of the congress and state legislative districts, and it's because of the requirements of the national voting rights act that requires a preclearance process, as you well know. So you're saying at least 60 days before the petition process begins, you need to have--is, is that appropriate?

MR. FAUGHNAN: I, I think that's correct, yeah.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And if the petition process began in March for a June primary, then obviously working backwards, you'd have to have it by the 1st of the year.

MR. FAUGHNAN: At least. And, and like I said, I mean, we found that the moving around of voters within just election districts took us three solid months of three people. Yeah, two to three months. But I mean, it was a significant effort for Board of Elections that has six full time staff.

2.2

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well, and, and again, the cost to the county are, are also staring it in the face.

MR. FAUGHNAN: And, and the thing is, it's not--like I said, you know, to the voters, this process begins when they go to the polls, but the reality is before that, in addition to doing whatever we're going to have to do to get people in the correct congressional, assembly or senate districts we're in the process of training inspectors and updating our training materials, and testing inspectors and testing machines and doing all the federally-required testing that we have to do quarterly in addition to the ones that come up with, with each election.

So I mean, it's, it's, it's not just that we can allocate people to that particular task. We have other tasks that are going on year-round that I don't think are readily apparent, but they're, they're clearly happening. And I think any, any, any, anyone in the elections business will tell you that.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much,

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 2 Commissioner.

2.2

MR. FAUGHNAN: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Donald Barber,

Supervisor, the Town of Caroline. Donald Barber?

Dale Weston, Tioga County Legislator.

MR. DALE WESTON, TIOGA COUNTY

LEGISLATOR: Start? [Clears throat] Members of
the legislature, thank you very much for
permitting me to speak. My name is Dale Weston.

I am chairperson of the Tioga County Legislature.

I would like to speak to you with regard to
redistricting and ask you to take my point of
view into consideration when you are deliberating
on this issue.

I believe it would be in the best interest of Tioga County that the county be represented by one elected member of each respective legislative body when drawing state legislative and congressional district boundaries. [Clears throat] My position is predicated on the following points. The current system for redistricting has been in place for many years, and although it may have some

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 weaknesses overall, it has served our county very 2 3 well. 4 Currently, our district includes parts 5 of Tioga, Broome and Chemung Counties. Retaining this structure is important to us because the 6 7 residents of these counties not only have contiguous boundaries; they share many common 8 9 ideals, cultures and workplaces. And finally, redistricting is a constitutional responsibility 10 11 of the legislature, and I feel that it should 12 remain that way. Thank you. 13 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very 14 much. 15 MR. WESTON: Thank you. 16 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I appreciate 17 Go to Warren Brown of Cornell University. it. 18 Warren Brown? Okay. All right. Move on to Joan 19 M. Park, President, the League of Women Voters, 20 Broome and Tioga Counties. 21 MS. JANE PARK, PRESIDENT, LEAGUE OF 2.2 WOMEN VOTERS-BROOME: Good morning, members and 23 staff of LATFOR. My name is Jane Park, actually. 24 [Laughter] I am a resident of the Town of Owego,

2.2

but I am here as President of the League of Women Voters of Broome and Tioga Counties. The League is a nonpartisan organization which encourages informed and active involvement in government and influences public policy through education and advocacy. We are also members of a state-wide coalition dedicated to reforming New York's redistricting process, Reshape New York, which consists of 35 organizations, including civic groups, issue advocacy groups, unions and business organizations.

Over the past year, Leagues in more than 35 localities, including ours, have organized community forums educating the public on the issues surrounding redistricting. Partly as a result of this effort, Leagues around the state became active and influential players in local redistricting efforts. The League was involved in creating independent redistricting commissions for county redistricting efforts in several counties, and we've heard mention of Suffolk, Ulster and Tompkins. And Broome County we worked with the bipartisan commission.

2.2

In addition, League members have been asked to sit on these newly-formed commissions because of the non-partisan commitment of the League. The Suffolk County Redistricting Commission and its associated legislation have become models used by others around the state to create new procedures and independent commissions.

While we appreciate the opportunity that today's hearing provides to comment on the redistricting process, we believe that New Yorkers have already spoken loud and clear on the drawing of district lines. The public wants and independent commission, not LATFOR, to draw state legislative and congressional district boundaries according to fair and objective criteria, while allowing for public input into the process. An independent commission drawing impartial district lines would maintain the legislature's ability to give input on the plan and ultimately pass legislation in accordance with the state constitution.

Voters across all parties believe an

2.2

independent body should draw the lines. The governor has proposed legislation to form and independent commission. 61 of 62 senators, and 123 of the 150 assembly members have either signed onto his legislation or legislation proposed in their respective houses, or otherwise publically supported reforming the redistricting process.

We believe that the redistricting process under the new independent commission should be transparent in all respects, both through multiple public hearings throughout the state, and by using technology to have citizens participate at all stages of the process. All data on which the commission relies should be available to the public, both written and electronically, including all draft and proposed final maps of districts, criteria used to generate each draft and proposed final map of districts, software used to generate each draft, and final proposed map of districts, all proposed plans.

In all of its 90-plus years of history,

2.2

the League has stood for fair and equitable representation for the people of our state. We believe that the overriding concern in drawing new districts is to provide all New York residents fair representation in Congress and the Legislature. To do otherwise discourages participation in the political process and increases voter cynicism. A key element of reforming the redistrict process is limiting the allowable population difference between districts that in the past has created districts that vary widely in population size, thereby favoring one region over another.

The current redistricting process has also historically protected incumbents, including carving incumbents' competitors' homes out of districts. This has discouraged competition in our electoral system. In the 2010 election, New York State had one of the lowest rates of voter participation in the nation coming in at 47th among the states. This is no surprise. Why should people vote if they face no real choice in candidates?

2.2

The League believes that it is imperative that an independent redistricting process be adopted so that people, not partisan interests, are protected. Ignoring the public will and continuing with LATFOR proceedings, the only legally mandated process at this time, will end in Governor Cuomo vetoing the lines it draws, making this process a waste of time. Legislators should return to Albany during a special legislative session to end partisan gerrymandering and enact redistricting reform by handing the power of the pen to an independent commission to draw impartial legislative and congressional lines.

New Yorkers can't wait another ten years for reform. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much for your testimony, which is pretty much the same that the League has been expressing at all of the hearings that we're having across the state.

MS. PARK: I know. Uh huh. - - be consistent and persistent.

2.2

body?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: The

legislation that the League supports, is that the

governor's, that's creating this independent

MS. PARK: Yeah, the bill that's currently in the Senate and Assemblies.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: And I think we've mentioned there are some flaws in that bill--

MS. PARK: You've raised issues about that. Um hm.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: The 1% is, is unreasonable. So were it to pass would these hearings be useful hearings? Have these hearings been useful to the League, to Citizen's Union, to some of the others? The testimony of people coming in here, talking about the shape of districts, whether they want one legislator or two, whether they want to keep the community together, is this a useful function in the minds of the League?

MS. PARK: I think the public hearings serve a useful function, yes.

	Page 72
1 1	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yes, thank
3	you. The issue of timing
4	MS. PARK: Yes, I wasyes.
5	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: If, if the law
6	had passed, we'd be in a different position.
7	MS. PARK: I know.
8	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: But there's a
9	lot of laws that didn't pass, or only passed one
10	house, or if they came back, would have tohave
11	to be changed.
12	MS. PARK: Right.
13	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Is it the
14	responsible thing to just move ahead with the
15	law?
16	MS. PARK: I was talking with people
17	yesterday who are more into all of the
18	intricacies.
19	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah.
20	MS. PARK: The, the existing proposed
21	legislation has room in it for condensing the
22	timetable, but theyit might have to be revised.
23	But the sense is that it could be revised and
24	still be accomplished if there's a special
	ii

1 I	Page ' emographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	legislative session, either late this summer or
3	early in the fall.
4	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Well, you've
5	seen the, the legislation that the governor has.
6	MS. PARK: Yes.
7	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: In which
8	anyone who ever worked for the legislature in the
9	last five years could not serve on that
10	commission, 50% of which would be appointed by
11	the governor.
12	MS. PARK: 50? I thought there were to
13	be 11 on that group.
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Well, just
15	going with the, the previous testimony. Is it
16	11?
17	MS. PARK: I believe that in addition to
18	the eight appointed by the governor and the
19	legislators, there are three additional people
20	appointed.
21	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: You're right,
22	and I misspoke. With the governor having a role
23	in it, I'm assuming he would appoint his own
24	individuals that he felt were of a like mind.

1 I	Page / Page / Pemographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	What are the qualities
3	MS. PARK: That's to the nominations
4	pool I'm talking. Are you talking about the
5	nominations pool?
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Well, I
7	wonderI know who can't serve on it.
8	MS. PARK: Um hm.
9	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: So if you were
10	a PhD in demographics, but you had served for
11	three months as an intern in thein the Senate,
12	you could not be on that committee. What are the
13	qualifications for the people who can be on the
14	committee?
15	MS. PARK: What are they now?
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Would they,
17	for example be
18	MS. PARK: No, I don't know any details
19	on that.
20	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Could be a
21	major donor to the governor's campaign? Would
22	that be legal?
23	MS. PARK: I don't think that's covered
24	in the, the law as proposed. The donors.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I would--I would suggest that were that legislation to be taken as a, a real possibility, that the strictness that, to my point of view, goes to an extreme, if you worked in the mail room four-and-a-half years ago, it doesn't matter. You can't possibly be there if you have a connection with the legislature. When you go over to the alternative, there are no qualifications. We don't even know if those people need an eighth grade education, or if they've ever traveled outside one county in New York State. It, it seems uneven.

MS. PARK: Are there standards set for the LATFOR members who are not legislators?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Well, at least four of them have to be elected by the people.

MS. PARK: No, I meant the ones that are--yeah, right.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: That's a pretty strong standard for two-thirds of them. After that it's, it's private citizens.

MS. PARK: Yeah, with--not questioning

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 their credentials. I'm just saying, have--have there been formal standards established for 3 those? 4 5 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: You've got minimum standards for two-thirds of LATFOR. 6 They 7 have to be elected by the people in either a Senate or an Assembly district. I don't know 8 9 what the standards are for the governor's people, his three appointments, for example. 10 11 MS. PARK: Well--12 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Do they need 13 more than an eighth grade education? I would 14 think that if the League is to support this 15 legislation, they should point out some of its 16 low standards that are apparently there by 17 default and correct that. MS. PARK: I'll take that under 18 19 Thank you. advisement. 20 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I'm, I'm a sponsor of that bill. So is the Speaker, but 21 2.2 realistically, were that bill to ever take on 23 life, and it's getting awfully late for that.

MS. PARK: It is getting late.

24

	Page 7'
1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I, I would
3	think there would have to be an element of
4	fairness for the alternative, that there would be
5	equally strict limits on who could be appointed.
6	Thank you.
7	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I have a couple of
8	questions. Are you submitting for the record,
9	any of the any proposed legislative lines for
10	congressional or state legislative districts?
11	MS. PARK: No, we are not. I am not.
12	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Is the League of
13	Broome and Tioga Counties anticipating that you
14	will be submitting any lines for congressional or
15	legislative districts?
16	MS. PARK: No.
17	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Did the Leaguehow,
18	how many years have you been a member or a leader
19	in the, the League in the region?
20	MS. PARK: Ten.
21	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: A decade?
22	MS. PARK: Yeah.
23	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you for your
24	service and interest. Is there in this position

1 1	Page / Page / Pemographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	that the League is taking now regarding change in
3	the, the redistricting process, did the League
4	advocate for this during the last redistricting
5	process of 2002?
6	MS. PARK: My LeagueI'm not sure
7	aboutI'm not sure.
8	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Not sure.
9	MS. PARK: I could check on that and get
10	back to you.
11	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Let me ask you some
12	questions aboutand I hope you had the
13	opportunity to hear the Elections Commissioner.
14	MS. PARK: I did.
15	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That it's a
16	monumental task, and I know the League is very
17	concerned about the integrity of elections.
18	MS. PARK: Right.
19	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: The ability to inform
20	constituents of particular polling places and
21	the, in everything that the League has done to
22	try to enhance voter participation. What are
23	your views of those comments of the commissioner
24	regarding the timeframe necessary to make sure

2.2

that everything in Broome County is resolves in an orderly fashion?

MS. PARK: I think it has to be factored into the county's planning on how they're going to fund and support the work of the Board of Elections.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: What I'm concerned about is how the Commissioner told us loudly and clearly that unless these lines are established by the end of the year--

MS. PARK: Right.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: At the--at the latest, that with the new primary, with the presidential primary, with an updated legislative primary date that there's going to be enormous chaos and cost associated with that chaos at the Broome County Board of Elections. Did that not concern you?

MS. PARK: It concerns me, but it concerns me more that we would have to wait another ten years to fix this if we don't do something now. And the information I have is that if we were to be able to have the special

2.2

legislative session, and it--and arrive at some legislation, that there would be still time to deal with that process as outlines in the proposed bills.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And I wish to echo a concern that Assemblyman McEneny indicated about timing. That we are legislators that are trying to establish, and citizen members that are trying to establish public input and to get the process going. We are, we are exist—we are dealing with the laws that exist and then I know that you want the law to be a different way but we have no choice but to pursue—

MS. PARK: I understand that, and-SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And that we hope that
during this time--

MS. PARK: I appreciate your position.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That the League will certainly take our invitation as members of LATFOR to share with us communities of interest things that you would like to see in the redistricting of Congress and state legislative lines, regardless of who does that in your view.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 Eventually completes those lines. So thank you 3 very much for your testimony. 4 MS. PARK: Thank you. Thank you very 5 much. Best wishes. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It was very nice of 6 7 you to be here today. Monica Arias Miranda [phonetic]. Fanny Vileria [phonetic]? 8 9 Councilwoman Lea Webb. As Councilwoman Webb is coming to the podium, I just would like--10 11 Assemblyman McEneny reminded us for the record, Monica Miranda has submitted testimony to us on 12 a--to the LATFOR committee on a couple of 13 14 occasions. Her written testimony is always 15 helpful, and most welcome. So with that 16 Councilwoman Webb thank you for the use of your 17 chambers. MS. LEA WEBB, COUNCILWOMAN, CITY OF 18 19 BINGHAMTON: Yeah, it's a little different 20 sitting on this side. 21 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I'm sorry? 2.2 MS. WEBB: It's a little different 23 sitting on this side. I'll be on your side later 24 on this evening. [Laughter] well, welcome to

2.2

Binghamton, and thank you for the efforts that your committee is doing in going across the state with garnering committee input on this very important issue. So today, I'll be speaking on behalf of the committee that I represent here on the city council, and the broader community work that I do as a community organizer with Citizen Action of New York in this area.

I just want to draw your attention to some of the elements of the current district maps, which I feel are good and, and important to protect, as well as some things that I feel may need to be changed. So to the members of LATFOR, I'm under the assumption that you'll be drawing the maps for the Assembly and Senate and Congress this year. I know it is possible that a special session of the legislature could create a new independent commission to do this work, and if they do, I hope that you will support that. Since separating the legislators from the process of creating their own districts can only improve our citizens' confidence in our government.

But if the law remains as it is today, I

2.2

hope you will consider the following points when you make the maps for 2012 and beyond. First, something positive. With the current districts, we feel that it must be contained in the new maps, the City of Binghamton is not split, as some other cities of Upstate New York are and at any of the legislature levels. We must keep that intact in the Assembly, Senate and Congress. I cannot stress this enough. No city the size of Binghamton deserves to be split in the maps for any level of state or federal legislative office.

Secondly I would also like to see the valuable trait of the current assembly map continued. It properly connects the City of Binghamton with the closest communities of shared interest, the Town of Union and Vestal, which is the current 126thDistrict. And third there is something, I guess, unnecessary unfair about the current Senate map and some of the previous speakers have spoken to this, for the southern tier. It divides the nearby communities of shared interest. Binghamton and Ithaca are in separate districts. And Ithaca is especially

bemographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 divided. Three senate districts take a portion 3 of Tompkins County. I feel that these communities should be 4 5 united and at the very least, the university centers of Binghamton and Ithaca should be 6 7 linked. A senate district that included, for example, Tompkins, Broome and the eastern half of 8 9 Tioga, would fulfill the population requirements and would unite these areas of shared community 10 11 interest, both economic and academic. 12 And lastly, I would like to advocate for 13 keeping the 22nd Congressional District as it is. 14 Similar to my previous statement, it currently 15 unifies the academic interests in the area as 16 well as manufacturing interests, and so I would 17 advocate keeping the district as it is. 18 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, very much. 19 I was listening to you pull together districts, 20 and you had mentioned the eastern half of Tioga. 21 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Um hm. 2.2 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Does that mean you 23 advocate cutting Tioga? 24 MS. WEBB: If we--if you--if you have to

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 keep with the population requirement, if you had to split the county just to make some level of 3 4 consistency, that would be my recommendation. 5 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It's my understanding the population of Tioga is almost less than half 6 7 the size of Tompkins. 8 MS. WEBB: Um hm. 9 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Is that not correct? MS. WEBB: I believe so, but I think 10 11 with bringing those--how the current Senate 12 district is constructed, you, you're having Tompkins County split. My--the basis for--13 14 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [interposing] I guess 15 my point--and I apologize for interrupting. 16 don't want you to have to go too far afield. 17 point is that you support Tompkins County being 18 one district together as one county, but you want 19 to cut Tioga County in half. Isn't that 20 inconsistent? MS. WEBB: Well, my, my point being that 21 2.2 they have shared interest. The academic centers as well as their economic interests are similar. 23 24 And I believe--like, no one, from my knowledge

1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	and on myidentifies, say, like, Waverly, you
3	know? You want to keep the areas of common
4	interest, both academic and economic, in the same
5	area. That's the reason for my
6	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [interposing] Thank
7	you very much for your testimony. Any members of
8	the panel have any questions?
9	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
10	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much
11	for the use of your chamber, and I hope you're
12	you enjoy the other perspective ofon the other
13	side of the table for
14	MS. WEBB: I like it. It's nice.
15	[Laughter]
16	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
17	MS. WEBB: Just for today.
18	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Just for today.
19	Thank you very much.
20	MS. WEBB: Thank you.
21	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Cecil Lawrence.
22	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Cecile.
23	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I'm sorry. Cecile
24	Lawrence. Good morning, Ms. Lawrence. I

2.2

apologize for mispronouncing your first name. My eyes are not--I should've had my glasses on.

Thank you very much. Welcome, and could you, for the record, indicate who you are and where you're from?

MS. CECILE LAWRENCE: My name is Cecile
Lawrence, and I live in the Village of
Appalachian, in the Town of Owego, in the County
of Tioga which is in the eastern part of Tioga
County, which would be affected by Congress--by
Councilwoman Webb's suggestion. Almost
everything that I have heard so far validates my
own comments. None of this problem of inadequate
or unrepresentative representation would happen
if we had proportional representation.

I should also interject here that I am a member of the Green Party of New York and I ran for US Senate on the Green Party Line last year, so as to facilitate the Green Party getting back on the ballot, which it did. If we had five member districts, it would be impossible for either party to shut out the other by redrawing lines and parties like the Green Party of New

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

York could win a few seats, and there'd be no reason to raise the spectrum of splitting the vote.

Proportional representation is a principle of elections in which like-minded groupings, groupings of voters win representation in accordance to their share of the vote. Currently, I don't feel that I'm being represented by those who are in office right now, and many people who are in the Libertarian Party or in the Green Party, they feel likewise. Winning 20% of the vote wins one out of five seats in a legislature. Winning 51% of the vote earns three seats and the right to decide, but not all seat. Proportional representation contrasts with winner-take-all elections in which winning 49% of the vote is not enough to earn any representation.

Proportional representation is a modern democracy. Most modern democracies in the world today use some form of proportional representation to elect their local and national legislatures. And we, in this country and this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

state, ought to be doing the same. The time has come to replace the old-fashioned, two-party, winner-take-all politics that characterizes US politics, and proportional representation provides a modern, all-inclusive method of doing it.

The, the US and India are amongst the last hold-outs of the old, ancient, British system of first past the post, winner-take-all We need a much-needed national debate about our own switch over to a proportional election system. The time is now, and the place to begin is in New York State. The way--the bipartisan way of thinking is passé. We have a diverse state, diverse in terms of ethnicity, race, economy and geography. What is truly the method of modern democracy is the election of councils and legislatures by the percentage of the popular vote. A change which requires--yes, requires a change in the Constitution of New York State.

Surely if New York State can change its constitution to allow table gaming as appears to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

be in the cards, New York State can change its constitution to allow proportional representation, which is a much more important and crucial issue. Proportional representation is a way out of the morass—is a way out of the morass of our current political culture. Thank you for allowing me to speak here today.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much and only in recent years have I looked seriously at a parliamentary form of government and was in, in Israel with a delegation with my wife in December, and somebody said the problem with your system is that you create losers. The one with the 49% is a loser for a full four-year term in some cases, or less in others, and the one who's the winner is the winner forever. The proportional representation works out in a parliamentary system, provided that you don't have residency because what happens is the parties dominate, rather than an individual. You're not going to have a cult of personality. People go in there, and they vote for that party. At the end of it, they take whatever the size of

2.2

the body and divide it up according to the overall vote, which means that the idea that if you live in Albany, Jack McEneny is going to represent you, or if downtown Albany, it's going to be Ron Canestrari, and there's their office, and they live there. That might not work out. If you--you'll be assigned a constituency. You can run in a constituency, but you don't have to live there. As it is now, technically, you don't have to live there to run for Congress.

What you're talking about would be a radical difference from the way we have heretofore and presently do business. It would be a constitutional requirement. I think you've got a ways to go to convince people because they're very unfamiliar with that system, but it does guarantee a voice for the person who is in a party where they feel they're not getting the services or recognition that they need, and they—their voices sometimes should completely out in debate or a Senate or Assembly floor because there's not one person that belongs to that party.

	Page 9
1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	It's, it's a very interesting concept.
3	It's certainly worth a look, but I think that's
4	for a, a full-blown constitutional convention
5	•
6	MS. LAWRENCE: [interposing] And I'm
7	sure you, you are concerned also by the
8	decreasing numbers of people who are voting, and
9	I think a lot of it has to do with people not
10	feeling that their voices are being heard.
11	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Especially in
12	New York State because of that low-voting turnout
13	just this last fall. That's not uniform
14	throughout the state. Some areas vote much more
15	than others.
16	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
17	Any other questions, members of the panel?
18	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
19	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
20	MS. LAWRENCE: Thank you.
21	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Wanda Campbell.
22	MS. WANDA CAMPBELL, CITIZEN ACTION NEW
23	YORK: Good morning. My name is Wanda Mead-
24	Campbell, and I'm a volunteer with Citizen Action

2.2

of New York, and I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to comment on redistricting. I was hoping that my comments would be in front of an independent redistricting commission, as was promised by many of our state legislators.

Unfortunately, that is not the case. An independent redistricting committee is important to help restore confidence in government by taking some of the politics out of the process.

However, given the reality of the current situation, I advocate drawing districts more in line with how communities relate and function together, irrespective of political interests. I would like to comment on our local assembly district, 126, currently represented by Assemblywoman Lupardo. I feel this district is practical as drawn. It represents both the urban and suburban core of Broome County, thus matching like communities with similar needs. Placing rural communities together in one district and the city and suburban communities together as is now drawn works well. The current 126th District made up of Binghamton, Vestal and the Town of

2.2

Union makes sense, and I urge you to keep it that way. I have heard discussion of dividing parts of the City of Binghamton, which makes no logical sense at all, and I urge you to keep this district as is.

In regards to the Senate District, the 52nd, it would be advantageous to unite our university centers together in one. I know our current district is lacking in numbers and needs to grow. My suggestion is that we look at putting Tioga, Broome and Tomkins Counties together in one district, and placing Chenango, Delaware and other rural counties in another district. In addition to our Senate District—in addition, our Senate District should mirror our congressional district so more can be done in the context of advocacy at the state and federal levels, particularly for our universities in both Ithaca and Binghamton.

If this district was slightly too large,
I would suggest putting Western Tioga with
Elmira, rather than Ithaca with Elmira, since
that reflects the way these communities now

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 relate. I would like to advocate keeping the 22nd Congressional District as it is. Our 3 4 current congressman has brought the District 5 together in a functional manner. For the most part, we are communities bordering Pennsylvania. 6 7 This district unites universities through the area as well as major manufacturing interests, 8 9 and I would advocate keeping the District as is. Thank you for your attention. 10 11 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Ms. Campbell, thank 12 you very much. Before you leave, could you 13 explain for the record--you mentioned your 14 involvement with an organization. Would you 15 state for the record what that organization is 16 and what it does? 17 MS. CAMPBELL: I'm a volunteer with 18 Citizen Action of New York. 19 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And could you explain 20 for the record what is Citizen Action of New 21 York? 2.2 MS. CAMPBELL: it's a community 23 grassroots organization, and my role usually is 24 in speaking on healthcare issues.

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And it, is it myis
3	my understanding correct that Citizen Action is
4	an organization that is focusing on progressive
5	legislative measures?
6	MS. CAMPBELL: well, it depends on your
7	definition of progressive. We areI'm out there
8	defending social security, Medicare and Medicaid.
9	I never thought of it as progressive, and I guess
10	it has moved into that category, but yeah. If
11	people's needs are progressive, that's what we
12	advocate.
13	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: ItI noticed you
14	believe that Tompkins, as did Councilwoman Webb,
15	indicate that they believe Tompkins and Broome
16	County should be put together.
17	MS. CAMPBELL: basbasically all
18	the
19	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: In all districtsin
20	congressional districts you indicated itand I
21	was confused.
22	MS. CAMPBELL: It already is.
23	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: For which districts
24	did you believe those two counties should be put

bemographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011 1 2 together, and which district--They already are. Parts 3 MS. CAMPBELL: 4 of it are already united in the Congressional 5 22nd District. Not all. We know it's split up. We're advocating that it be also in the 6 7 Senatorial District, basically because of the two leading universities in the area have a lot in 8 9 common and have a lot in common for the economies of those regions. 10 11 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. 12 Dan Battisti. 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. PAUL BATTISTI, ATTORNEY, BATTISTI, GARTENMAN AND THANE, P.C.: Good morning. How is everybody? It's Paul Battisti, P-A-U-L. Good morning. First and foremost, I want to welcome everybody here to Binghamton. It's a great city. If you've never been here before, I hope you enjoy it. I also want to thank each and every one of you for holding this public hearing today.

Again, my name's Paul Battisti. I'm an attorney here in Binghamton with the firm Battisti, Gartenman and Thayne, and I've also, for the last five years, been Chairman of the

1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	Broome County Independence Party. And I first
3	want to start by saying that I'm very impressed
4	with this task force, this commitment to holding
5	public hearings across the state of New York.
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [interposing]
7	Paul, before you continue, we have a card here
8	that says Dan Battisti, attorney. I don't know
9	if you wrote that or someone else did. Is, is
10	thereyou're the only Battisti in the room?
11	MR. BATTISTI: Yeah, I just filled it
12	out when I came through thecame through the
13	door.
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Okay. Well,
15	then it's Paul.
16	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: We apologize.
17	MR. BATTISTI: If a Dan shows up, I'd
18	love to meet him. Yeah.
19	[Laughter]
20	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And Paul, for the
21	record, PaulMr. Battisti, for the record, could
22	you please indicate yourtheI think you said
23	you were an attorney. Are you in private
24	practice?

2.2

MR. BATTISTI: Yes, with the firm

Battisti, Gartenman and Thayne. We specialize in state and federal criminal defense.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And that is located in--

MR. BATTISTI: Binghamton, New York.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

MR. BATTISTI: Yes. Again, just starting from the top, I want to say and stress that I'm very impressed with this task force and its commitment to holding public hearings across the State of New York to ensure that many voices from different regions are heard during this redistricting process. We all realize the tight timeframe you're under, and especially since we hear the primaries are being moved in June of 2012--up till June.

Therefore, under this tight timeframe, the task--the task force, in my opinion, must do four things. One, they must conclude this round of hearings. Two, they must draft district lines for entire state legislature. Three, they must then hold another round of hearings, and four,

2.2

they must then make changes to all district lines, all before necessary votes on the proposed redistricting plan are heard this February.

Thank you very much for starting process early because you have a lot of important work to accomplish in a very short period of time. My most important request to you as members of the task force is to do your best to assure that we have competitive districts for the state legislative and congressional seats after redistricting. Before coming here today, I did some research online, and I noticed online that at various hearings, various questions were asked about the size of the state senate. I doubt there are many people in New York that believe it should remain at 62, based upon the past.

Obviously the state, in my opinion, would be better served having 63 senators so that we will not run into problems with ties in the future surrounding votes for leadership. New York's population, based upon my research, has increased over the last ten years, and I understand that the number of senators can be

2.2

increased when the population of New York increases by the census as well.

I wish to strongly state that I prefer that the current state law be followed and that this task force perform the drafting of the district lines this election cycle. I strongly support a bipartisan redistricting, and it is clear that this specific task force is premised upon a bipartisan redistricting, as there is equal representation from the two major parties.

I oppose changing the process in the middle of the game to an independent redistricting commission as proposed by Governor Andrew Cuomo. While, while I am not opposed to the concept of independent redistricting, Cuomo's bill, in my opinion, is flawed. I believe that the independent commission can only be implemented by a constitutional amendment since the procedures for redistricting are clearly spelled out in the state constitution, and the procedures mandated by that state constitution can only be changed by an amendment voted on twice by the state legislature and then by us,

2.2

the people of the State of New York by referendum.

I also believe that the proposed Cuomo independent commission is flawed, and that the composition of the nominating committee and the commission are very favorable to the Democratic Party and unfavorable to the Republican Party, especially when we compare it to this specific task force, which is truly, in my opinion, fair and bipartisan.

Finally, the independent commission that was passed by the State Senate back in March, which includes, includes—excuse me—the necessary constitutional amendment is much fairer to both major parties in this composition. Once again, the state government is under tight time constraints to complete redistricting over the next six months, and we certainly don't have time to reinvent the process right now with a new, independent commission. Again, I want to thank each and every one of you for your time, and I wish you the best of luck with the task that you set out on, the task of redistricting. Thank

1	Page 10 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	you.
3	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much
4	for your insights and perspective as an attorney.
5	Questions?
6	SENATOR DILAN: Yes.
7	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Senator Dilan.
8	SENATOR DILAN: you talk about the size
9	of the Senate, and you indicated that in your
10	opinion, you believe that it should be increased
11	to 63 because of ties in the past. I don't know
12	if we've had any ties in the past, but that
13	notwithstanding, what are you basing the 63 on?
14	Say, any particular formula?
15	MR. BATTISTI: You know, myselfI'm
16	sorry.
17	SENATOR DILAN: [interposing] Before
18	you answer that, you also said that you believe
19	that we should follow the procedures that are
20	indicated in the State Constitution with respect
21	to this panel, right?
22	MR. BATTISTI: Correct.
23	SENATOR DILAN: So if there's a formula
24	in the State Constitution, you shouldyou also

1 I	Page 10 emographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	believe that we should follow that formula?
3	MR. BATTISTI: If there is a formula, as
4	it relates to the State Constitution regarding
5	the number of senators for the State of New York,
6	then I think it's something that definitely
7	should be taken into consideration. Any time
8	SENATOR DILAN: [interposing]
9	Consideration, or we should follow it?
10	MR. BATTISTI: Well, I think it should
11	be taken into consideration. However
12	SENATOR DILAN: [interposing] And, and
13	when we do procedures, we should then follow the
14	procedures that are there for the basis for, for
15	this panel, but only consider in terms of the
16	senate size?
17	MR. BATTISTI: No, no, not at all.
18	SENATOR DILAN: Is that what I'm hearing
19	you say?
20	MR. BATTISTI: No, what I'm saying is we
21	have a constitution. And I think we all can go
22	back to third grade, fourth grade, and we talk
23	about separation of powers where each branch is
24	delegated with certain rights. We've got the

1 I	Page 10 Pemographic Research and Reapportionment, 8-17-2011
2	executive branch, the legislative branch and the
3	judicial branch. If we have a law, we must
4	follow it. However, if we want to modify that
5	law, change that law, we must go about it the
6	lawful way, and the lawful way
7	SENATOR DILAN: [interposing] Yeah, I
8	understand that part. I, I, I was referring to
9	the size of the senate because I thought I heard
10	two different things when you said that there's a
11	procedure in the State Constitution that this
12	panel should be the one drawing the lines. I
13	believe that's what you said. Then we should
14	follow that procedure according to the
15	Constitution. So therefore, it would only go to
16	reason that if there is a formula within the
17	Constitution, that we also follow what's in the
18	Constitution.
19	MR. BATTISTI: If there's a law, yes.
20	We must follow it.
21	SENATOR DILAN: Thank you.
22	MR. BATTISTI: Any other questions from
23	anybody?
24	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Hearhearing none,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

we just want to thank you very much for your insights and comments. Appreciate it very much.

SENATOR DILAN: Thank you, though.

MR. BATTISTI: Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR DILAN: Thank you very much.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That concludes the number of individuals who asked to testify. Let me read the ones that did not answer when called. Warren Brown, Monica Miranda, Fanny Vileria and Don Barber. With that on behalf of the LATFOR task force, Assemblyman McEneny and I wish to thank all of the participants in today's hearing. A video record of this hearing will be made--will be made available on the LATFOR web site. that we appreciate the diversity of discussion, and that all who are interested in this process are encouraged to submit plans and further comment and testimony through the LATFOR web site in order for us to have as complete a picture as possible.

We want to thank the City of Binghamton, and the--particularly the City Council for the use of their facility, and that with that

appreciate this hearing and the members of the panel for their participation. Assemblyman?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Likewise, we thank the City of Binghamton and the people from this area for participating. We know that some of the people who were not here today, but names were here, have already submitted proposals, and we encourage other people throughout this process particularly at this early stage of the first 12 hearings, to send in proposed maps, suggestions, whether it's on one house or the other, or all three, whether it's on your area or the entire state. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any other members of the task force wish to make a comment? Hearing none, the meeting's adjourned.

[Music]

(The public hearing concluded at 12:14 p.m.)

${\color{red} \textbf{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{R} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{F} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{A} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{E}}$

I, Kayla Jessen, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 110, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Kaylo Jahan

Kayla Jessen, Transcriptionist

August 31, 2011_____ Date