NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

PUBLIC MEETING

TASK FORCE CENSUS DATA RELEASE

Queens Borough Hall

Meeting Room 213 - 1 & 2, 120-55 Queens Boulevard

Kew Gardens, New York

Wednesday, September 7, 2011

IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING LATFOR PUBLIC HEARING

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

TASK FORCE MEMBERS:

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, Co-Chair

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, Co-Chair

SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS

DEBRA LEVINE, Co-Executive Director

LEWIS HOPPE, Co-Executive Director

ROMAN HEDGES

WELQUIS LOPEZ

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

INDEX

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY CO-CHAIR, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON	Page 4
DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	
ROMAN HEDGES NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	4
SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO CO-CHAIR, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	5
ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	6
SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	7
DEBRA LEVINE CO-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	8
WELQUIS LOPEZ NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT	46

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

(The public meeting commenced at 3:33

2.2

p.m.)

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, CO-CHAIR, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: I call the meeting to order. Note that all members of LATFOR are present, as well as the co-directors of the task force.

And we've just completed our seventh public hearing in which 40 people came in and participated directly and others continue to send testimony and suggestions and maps and we encourage that throughout the entire process.

To come up to date on some issues that we had before, the first issue is the question of census and election data; election data joined to the census data on the web site. Does someone care to enlighten us that on the staff? Roman?

ROMAN HEDGES, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE
ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: I
think that what was left unresolved at our last
point of discussion was whether or not we were
going to provide the census data and the election

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 1 2 data in a single file that was linked so that you could in fact, individually or however you 3 4 wished, do an analysis of voting with race as a 5 factor determining those votes. And what I believed that we had 6 7 concluded was that we would, in fact, release the data that way and there was some lack of clarity 8 9 on that matter. And I would urge that we do 10 release it that way. SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, CO-CHAIR, 11 12 NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC I think that this 13 RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: 14 is an issue we could move forward in. 15 earlier concerns that we evidenced I believe have 16 been taken care of and so we believe it's 17 something that we can move forward in 18 expeditiously. 19 MR. HEDGES: If anyone would like to do 20 that as a formal motion, I will make that as a 21 motion. 2.2 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Would you present

MR. HEDGES: Yes, I would move that we

that as a motion, Roman?

23

24

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 1 2 release to the public the census and election data that we have compiled in a single, unified 3 file. 4 5 ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH 6 7 AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Second. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: All in favor. 8 9 MIXED VOICES: Aye. 10 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So moved. 11 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Unanimously 12 carried. 13 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: There's a question of 14 request for additional data; the data we already 15 have in our database and/or other requests of 16 data not presently in house. 17 MR. HEDGES: And I think the nature of the question there really was coming from Senator 18 19 Dilan and it was asking us to take a look and see 20 what historical other election data we could make 21 available. And I think that the technical issue 2.2 that is embedded in that is that the link of that 23 data to census is really only possible using the 24 2000 census.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 1 2 And so, insofar as someone wished to do 3 an analysis of those elections that are more 4 historical prior to 2006, those data that we have 5 can only be linked to the 2000 census. I don't know if they are already 6 7 available in that form. I never thought to ask the staff, but I know that even if it were, it 8 9 would be to the 2000, and it may not be already It might be a big project. 10 done. 11 SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN, NYS LEGISLATIVE 12 TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND 13 REAPPORTIONMENT: I believe that the way it was 14 originally proposed is that we were going to 15 release data going back to 2006. Am I correct? 16 MR. HEDGES: That's correct. 17 SEN. DILAN: And then I had requested 18 that that go back to 2002. 19 MR. HEDGES: Correct. Exactly. 20 SEN. DILAN: And I believe that the 21 response I got from you at the West Chester 2.2 meeting I believe it was, was that there was an 23 issue with geography or--

MR. HEDGES:

That's right.

24

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

2

SEN. DILAN: or collecting those. And I

3

thought that if that is the case, if we do have

4

the data, if there's a request for it, that

5

perhaps we could release the data.

6

And if, let's say perldoc [phonetic],

7

for example; it would be incumbent upon them to do whatever conversion may be necessary. Because

8

I think it would put a burden on our staff or our

9

-

10

resources to do that. But at least we could have

11

the data available if it's requested.

MS. LEVINE:

12

MR. HEDGES: Then I guess I would just

13

ask, could staff tell us what we've got?

14

we do have - - all the data - - 2000. We do have

I actually can do that and

15

16

election and enrollment data going back to the

17

year 2000 based on the 2000 census and geography

18

file. And the list would include, for 2000,

19

enrollment, for all counties, Democratic Primary,

20

Congressional District 17, 5 and 19, the general

21

election for President, U.S. Senate, Congress,

22

Senate Assembly, all counties, non-New York City

23

local races, the county legislature for the

24

general election in Suffolk District 5.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

22

23

24

2001, Democratic Party Mayor, all city counties, borough President, District 5, 47-81, City Comptroller, all city counties, Public Advocate, all city counties, City Council, all city counties, Districts 1, 2, 4, 6-7, 37, 40, 42-47, 49, 50, the Republican Primary Mayor, all city counties, the Democratic Run-off Mayor, all city counties, Public Advocate, all city counties, general election Mayor, all city counties, borough President, all city counties, city council, all city counties, all districts, City Comptroller, all city counties, Public Advocate, all city counties. For non-New York City local races, there's a Trustee, general, Hempstead Village, Council, general, Hempstead Village at large, Nassau Judge, general, Hempstead Village, County Clerk, general, West Chester, County Legislature, general, West Chester District 17, Town Council Democratic

That's 2001. Do you want me to read all of this?

Primary, White Plains at large.

SEN. DILAN: My position is that I

1	Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011
2	believe that we already voted to release data up
3	to 2006. Is that correct?
4	MS. LEVINE: Yes.
5	SEN. DILAN: So my request simply is
6	that if we have the data and someone requests
7	that data, that we make it available to them. If
8	we do not have the data, then I believe that that
9	data would be readily available at their local
10	Board of Elections.
11	All I'm saying is whatever we do have in
12	house, that we provide it. If we do not have it,
13	we can't give it to them. Is that fair?
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: That's seems
15	we shouldn't be responsible.
16	SEN. DILAN: I agree.
17	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And I don't believe
18	we need a separate motion for this Senator,
19	unless you feel like [Background Noise].
20	SEN. DILAN: Well I mean, if we can just
21	go on record that if we do have data available in
22	house and there's a specific request made and we
23	do have it, then we provide it. If we do not

have it, then we can say, "Well, you would have

24

	Page 11
1	Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011
2	to get that from your local Board of Election."
3	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I don't object to
4	that at all.
5	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: None at all.
6	If you
7	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: You want to make it a
8	motion?
9	SEN. DILAN: I so move.
10	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Second.
11	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: All in favor.
12	MIXED VOICES: Aye.
13	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Does that
14	bring us to the Tiger files or do we have to
15	handle that as a separate issue?
16	MR. HEDGES: I think it's resolved in
17	what we just did.
18	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Which brings
19	us to the question of the American Community
20	Survey data. And for somebody watching this on
21	the web, this is a statistical sample which gives
22	information on education and on income and a
23	number of other socio-demographic, ethnicity,

etc.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

And one of the things it gives is, whether you're a citizen or not--and the question was whether we should accumulate that data in a useful form.

And I think what we saw here today in Queens is the desire to create Asian districts or at least concentrations of Asian-Americans that would not be divided unnecessarily and whose aspirations could be respected to really find out whether something could be produced at the poles.

It is important to know who's a citizen and who is not. I think it's useful information.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I share your thoughts that the data is certainly important and should be utilized. The situation though is changing rapidly and there will be new data put forward. When are we expecting the new data to arrive?

MS. LEVINE: Before the end of the year; December, January--

Sometime within the SENATOR NOZZOLIO: next 90 days or so there will be a whole new series of data that will be forthcoming. It's been our position that we wait until that data is

2.2

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 received from the Census Bureau to then go forward because it's this data that we will end up having the draw the appropriate lines from.

MR. HEDGES: The thing is that what the Bureau has made available that's unique with respect to citizenship is that the data are available at what's called the Block Group Level; a much finer geography than is normal for the American Community Survey. I don't believe that there's any plan on their part to do that detail in the next release, so that that actual comparable data might be months and months away as opposed to days away.

And I would prefer to put that citizenship data at the Block Group Level out into the public arena, knowing that there are some issues with it. It uses the 2000 census geography, not the current geography and it is a one-time kind of special release of something at a much finer level of geography than otherwise will be available through the Community Survey. And that's really why I thought it would be good to make it available.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 1 2 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: What I don't like about that data is that we as a task force will 3 4 be validating it when, in fact, we're not certain 5 of its own validity and of its own accuracy. My view is a compromise should be 6 7 achieved and that let's link the appropriate web Let's put a link to the Census Bureau. 8 9 This is available from the Census Bureau. And let those who are seeking the information be 10 11 assisted by the task force. 12 But I think that to have us state that 13 this is endorsed by the task force in terms of 14 its accuracy and its data, I think is a leap that 15 I believe is inappropriate. 16 MR. HEDGES: I would certainly be 17 comfortable with whatever kind of disclaimer is 18 needed to say these are the Bureau's data and 19 they have, described by the Bureau, many 20 weaknesses and users should beware. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: We talked about this-21 2.2 23 Yeah, right. MR. HEDGES: 24 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: at the last meeting;

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

that the type of disclaimer that we should put on
the information. I think it would be much easier
to say the data is what it is. You can get it
from the Census Bureau and here's the way to do
it in an easier way.

And so with that, my distinguished cochair, what's your recommendation?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I think we have to agree to disagree on this because I don't even endorse the census numbers for Queens. I think there's an undercount, but it is what it is and we're stuck with it unless something comes out of the clouds to rescue Queens and add more people and obviously that's highly unlikely to happen.

But I'd just go on record; I think if you put a disclaimer on it and you make it convenient to people--I happen to be maybe too close to it, having taken the census as a Census District Director some years ago. I think it's useful and I think it gives information which goes beyond simple race and age.

And so, I'd like to see it on our web

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 1 2 site, but if we don't have a consensus there, then I think the fall back is to refer to it and 3 4 let people look it up themselves, at least for 5 the moment. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Is this one that we 6 7 could agree to disagree precisely, but I think in terms of the objective, would someone entertain a 8 9 motion, someone develop a motion? It looks like I'm on my own here. 10 11 me proffer this as a suggestion. The American 12 Community Survey data is available at certain locations that we can--rather than fight to parse 13 14 the language of a disclaimer, why don't we just 15 indicate on the task force, and this is a motion,

> MALE VOICE: Second it.

that information is located?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Second it. It's a It's been seconded. Any discussion? motion. MALE VOICE: You want to vote? SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Let's call a vote.

that the task force place the fact that this data

is available and provide the link so someone with

a click of the button could be directed to where

	Page 1
1	Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011
2	All in favor?
3	MIXED VOICES: Aye.
4	MALE VOICE: I'm a no.
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I'm a no as well.
6	Two no's against 4 aye's.
7	SEN. DILAN: Can I just make a comment
8	please?
9	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Certainly.
10	SEN. DILAN: You know, at this point I'm
11	going to vote for it, but I would respectfully
12	request that at some point before the release of
13	the proposed lines that we address this issue
14	again and if that's the case, then I will vote
15	for it; that we could, as we get closer to the
16	release, revisit it. Then I would vote for this
17	at this time. Is that fair? If that's the case
18	with that condition, I will vote yes.
19	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I'll believe
20	that we're going to get useful information in
21	December when I see it. I think more likely what
22	you see is the only thing you're going to get.
23	But let's move on here in the question of the

prisoner data. I'm sorry; election data to

24

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 1 2 voting tabulation district equivalencies. 3 do you want to take item three there? 4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. HEDGES: I thought that we actually had agreed to do this in a--I believe that it was going to try to be up already.

MS. LEVINE: Well, I thought it was up already and along with the field data - - the election data, but for this discussion, this will identify to the public what 2008 election district comprised each 2008 voting tabulation district for which census data - - and so basically somebody - - determined - - .

Just to repeat; what we're talking about here is the identification of 2008 election districts to the 2008 BTD voting tabulation geography. And so the task force is going to put on its web site so that this might be more so for local redistricting, but you will able to identify by county what 2008 election districts make up the 2008 voting tabulation districts.

And I know the data was already submitted to the Assembly, who is responsible for uploading this to our web site, along with the

2 el

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 election joint to the census data. So if it's not there already, as we've all agreed, it will be there I would assume by tomorrow.

MR. HEDGES: Great.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I don't think we need a vote on that. Item four is Part 20 of Chapter 57 of the laws of 2010; the issue of the prisoner data and repatriating, if you will, those that we can back to their homes.

MR. HEDGES: What I'd like to do is to spend a couple of minutes and describe what work has been done and then at the conclusion of that make a suggestion for sort of next steps.

What we did, and the "we" is important here, because the we was Assembly only without anyone else's input that we desperately need to have. We sat down and took the work that we were give by the Department of Corrections, which was a list of all inmates without a name identifier; but a list of all inmates and their address prior to incarceration. The list also had on it the facility that they were located in at the time that the census was done, which was April 1st,

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011
2 2010.

2.2

We got from them 58,237 records and they were identified as being from 68 facilities.

There are only 67 facilities today and about to be fewer than that, but the 68 that they gave us, which was the number on the census day.

The Census Bureau gave us a different document. They gave us group quarters and in the classification of group quarters they had a category called adult correctional population.

There were 186 blocks in New York identified as having adult correctional population in them and those blocks had a total population of 117,717.

That having been said, there was no facility identifier on that block. There was only this block has got this many people in it that are in a group quarters called adult correction facilities, or adult correctional population, excuse me. It's got federal prisons in that number, it's got state prisons in that number, it's got county jails in the number, it's got other kinds of local facilities, it's got immigration facilities; a whole bunch of things

2.2

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 that we didn't think were all covered under Part 20 of the laws.

And that having been said, the task then became can we match these? Can we figure out which one is which thing? And we spent a fair amount of time doing just that.

We started out with that list of blocks, the 186, and said, "Well, only 75 appear to have adult correctional facilities of the sorts that DOCS is talking about; the 68 that they gave us." And that's in the form of some blocks only have one facility on them; some blocks are actually reflective of portions of a prison. There's one prison that's on four blocks as best we can figure it out and so on.

I can go through all that excruciating detail but the net effect is there were 75 blocks that we could do that link and when I say, "Do that link," we not only used the DOCS list that we got, we used information that we got from Cornell University that had a contract with the Census Bureau to help them identify where the prisons were.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

And so we had that list of things to work with. We had our own institutional knowledge about where the prisons were and we also employed Google Map and Google satellite pictures and discovered some things like the following.

2.2

This block that is reported by the Census Bureau as having an adult correctional population to it is actually a parking lot next to the prison. And they've reported nobody in the prison but a whole lot of people in the parking lot. We assumed, an assumption that we made was that we could make that identification and go with it.

In every instance where we had those kinds of mistakes, we used all of the various tools that we had to try to nail them down. We recorded every one of those judgments so that we'll be able to have the staff look at them and second guess them as appropriate and we could work on coming to an agreement that those were the right determinations.

That all having been said, in every

1 Task
2 insta
3 they
4 to sa
5 redis
6 never
7 not,
8 facil
9 impor

2.2

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 instance where those kinds of mistakes occurred, they were always within a municipality; that is to say, within a town. So it, from a redistricting at the state legislative level, never matters. Whether we get the right block or not, it's important that we got the right facility and the right number, but probably not important that it not be the parking lot and be the DOCS facility next door.

In addition to that, it never crossed a state legislative line either. It was never any instance where that misidentification or mislocation or error or whatever term you wish to use for it, would actually have any material importance.

That all having been said, we went through, we did all of that identification. We then did the next piece of the task which is to say, "Okay, here's the report from the Census Bureau. Here's the count from DOCS. We're charged under the statute to do a subtraction. Subtract these people out of that block for the purposes of redistricting. So let's take a look.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

reported number and the DOCS list.

Do those blocks make sense? Is the population that's reported always bigger than the DOCS list says it should be?" And the answer is: almost always. Most of the time, it's bigger. Some of the times, it's actually equal to the Census

There are a few instances where it's not and there are a sum total of 38 people in all of those blocks where we've got more people on our list from DOCS than the Census Bureau reported people on that block. And in those instances, the conclusion that we came to was, "Well, you can't end up with a minus number. You can't have negative population. So let's call it zero and say that there's a small error." It affects 38 individuals.

That having been said, in all instances where the number was bigger than the list said it should be, there's usually a pretty straight forward explanation for why that could happen and it makes sense to say there are still people left on that block. An easy example is in many of the prisons, the warden actually lives at the prison.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

His residence is there. He should have been counted there and he shouldn't be subtracted.

He's not a prisoner.

2.2

In some instances we have other kinds of facilities on the same block. In upstate New York, in the Utica area, we have on the same block a mental health facility. We have a facility that is kind of in the middle. It's the sexual predators' facility and a traditional prison. And they're all in the same block.

The part that we believe that we were supposed to be subtracting out was the DOCS population. So that's what we did. And there are still several hundred people on that block, as we think there should be.

That having been said, that's exactly the kind of stuff that staff has got to look at together. This shouldn't be something that we've just done by ourselves and we would welcome somebody second guessing us on that. And if there are other mistakes that we've made in the course of doing this, we'd welcome those corrections be made to our work as well.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

2.2

That having been said, that's kind of half the exercise. The other half of the exercise is now that they've been subtracted, we've got to go figure out where they are supposed to be places. And what that requires is looking at those addresses and actually doing the thing that the technicians call geocoding those addresses.

Well for starters, what DOCS gave us wasn't one address for each person. They gave us six. And they gave it to us in a particular form and that particular form isn't always completely in compliance with our software's requirements.

For example, all the words have to get spelled out. Well, DOCS didn't always spell the word out. BX is what we thing they used as their abbreviation for Bronx. Well, there's another place where we've got to have somebody else looking at that with us, but we said, "Okay, every time they said BX, we should write out the word Bronx because the software won't let us look it up as BX."

We've got about 32,000 of the 58 that

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

were in the category of there no ambiguity.

There was a complete address in the right format.

Those we can look up. There were 2,433 where the

5 address given was an out-of-state address. Well,

that's pretty easy. The statute says don't add

7 them in anywhere.

We had another 1,276 where there was not a clue as to what the address was. It said nothing. It was completely blank in all six versions of the address. Or it said something like homeless. Or it said unknown. Things that were clearly not anything you could work with.

So the group that was clean; 32,000, that's part one. The group that's clean the other way you're not going to do anything with. That's part two in those two pieces.

The next group was 14,154 records where we think it was only that minor editing kind of thing; BX becomes Bronx. And we recorded that as a distinct category. And in each instance where we made what I would call a reformatting kind of decision, we've kept them as distinct. That's what we did here. We even wrote down which part

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 of the address we reformatted.

So, for example, if it said 1333 5th

Street and 5th was 5 th instead of five written

out, we made that amendment and we noted that it

was in the street address that we made an

amendment. When we change Bronx; BX to Bronx, we

said, "Well, that was in the city field that we

did that." And in each instance, that detail is

recorded line by line. We went through every one

and did those kind of detailed things.

The next group is more problematic. We saw something in the record in a field other than legal address and we used it. And we used it because we thought in the context of reading the entire bit of information that we had about that prisoner, that that substitution was merited.

Those questions all have to be looked at and again, we took a stab at it. We think it's very good, but we also think that other people need to look at it as well.

So those are kind of the big picture categories. 32,000 clean, easily in the format that we need them to be in. 14,000 need to be

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 amended a little bit. 8,000 need substitution of some sort. And a few that we are going to say from the get go just aren't going to make it. All of that then constitutes the beginning. Now, let's go look them up.

Now, it took us several different passes to get to that point that we had addresses to go look up, but that's the bottom line. We've now got a bunch of addresses to go look up. did that, we were able to locate 40,000. to say, we could get a block assigned to that address. There was such an address in today's world. That doesn't mean that that address that we couldn't find wasn't there ever. It means we couldn't find it. And we're going to say them, "They're not a match. We don't have an address. We can't do anything with them."

So if you want to kind of do big picture there; we started with 58,000. We've got about 3,500 that fall into the category that statute says you are not going to look them up because they're out of state or because there's nothing there to look up.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

In the group that we could figure out the address for when we looked them up, we could find 40,000. So that constitutes the group that we believe needs to be added back on the appropriate block throughout the state. And when we've done that work, we've recorded at every step of the way, "Here's exactly the determination that we made and here's exactly which part of the address that we have done something with, if we've done anything at all with." The 32,000 there's nothing to write down about.

So, that constitutes the bulk of the work. There are a whole bunch of details on the editorial process that we went through. An example of some of that complexity that is worth citing and then moving on; when we went to look up an address and we were looking for 115 Main Street and the software told us there isn't such an address; 115 Main Street, because in that town they call it North Main Street. We took a look at that and we made those kinds of changes too and that was in that 14,000 where we made those

1

4

3

6

5

7 8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 minor editorial things. We felt pretty comfortable with those kinds of changes as well. We don't think we were providing a guess there. I think we thought we were doing good, solid research to make sure that we weren't locating

somebody incorrectly.

When we found in a particular community that there was a Fourth Street but not a Fourth Avenue and it said Fourth, we said Street. when we get to Queens, where there are 14 things each with their own made up name, we couldn't figure out what to do, so we didn't. You know, in Queens there's a Road, there's a Place, there's a Street, there's a Avenue and sometimes they're actually right next to each other. pretty hard to do anything with that and so we didn't.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: With that--

MR. HEDGES: One more thing. So in our mind, what we would like to do is give this detailed work in the form of a spread sheet to the joint staff and see if we can work on this together with us having done what we think of as

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011
a first draft.

2.2

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you. First of all, as a co-member of this task force, I want to thank Roman Hedges individually for his efforts in this endeavor. It is obviously a large endeavor; one that the Census Bureau would have trouble with and they're a bureau. And they're a bureau that's funded by the federal government and is extensive in terms of the resources they have available to make these types of call.

So from that standpoint, Roman, I wish to reiterate my thanks to you for starting this process and for your attempts and your staff's attempts to push this forward.

I have a number of questions. I have a number of concerns. I think that one of the ones that comes to mind immediately is how long did it take you just to geocode the prisons in this state?

MR. HEDGES: Since we were kind of doing both of these things at the same time, I'm not sure that I could give you a precise, but it was several weeks.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Several weeks just to locate the facilities; extracting them from the census. So I think that what I'm--let alone the 58,000 individuals. I assume it took more than several weeks to even begin that process.

Your suggestion is one that I want to try to put in the form of a motion; that is, this task force has a lot of different elements to it and this is one. We're finding that at the very least there are approximately 20,000 people that just have, at this point, no location to them.

Is that--

MR. HEDGES: That's correct.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And that to me means 20,000 people are not being counted and that to me is a large town in upstate New York equivalent, or a major neighborhood in the city of New York. It's something that—we need to take your work further. We need to have deployed more resources to this. We need to have a joint—so let me try to put this in the form of a motion.

The issue of all data, all methodology,

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 review of all the consultants--I understand you used one of my alma maters, Cornell University, as a check. Maybe you could just explain for the record what deployment was made; what type of services were elicited from Cornell University.

MR. HEDGES: What Cornell had done is they have actually made public the fruits of their labor; which was to say, they were under contract with the Census Bureau to help the Census Bureau locate the prisons. And so they've actually made available on the web and we used they're resource in that sense. We didn't hire them--

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: This was not a contractual or personal services contract or anything like that?

MR. HEDGES: No, not at all. But we--I had actually gone to a conference that was hosted by our Department of Economic Development; our meaning the state, with a person who was on this project for Cornell doing a presentation. And based on what I learned at the presentation, I said in regards to this upcoming project, "Gosh,

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 this is a resource that we need to tap."

So I had some conversations with one of the faculty members who was involved in it and then we sent a staff person of ours over to Cornell to talk to him at greater length. found out that they were going to make this stuff all available on the web, so from that point on, we simply used the web resources that were there. And in several instances they still are in dispute with the Census Bureau. They say the prison's across the street and the Bureau is still reporting it as not in the right place, according the Cornell.

That was part of the reason that we got comfortable with the notion that we need to go look these things up ourselves. We're going to use Google as a tool because they're got that resource available, so it's public information there as well. But that's really what allowed us to do the triangulation. Here's what looks like a group quarters, adult correctional. the block the Bureau's got it on. We've got Cornell saying, "No, it's not. It's across the

2.2

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 street." Well, then let's go look ourselves and see what it says. And it looks to us like it's across the street just as our knowledge of DOCS and the Cornell knowledge of DOCS would have suggested it was. And since it didn't affect districts or municipalities, we said that that's

an error we can correct and move on.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Let me try to make this again in the form of a motion. The review and verification of this entire prisoner counting is necessary because, unlike the census data and the election data, this will be a product of LATFOR. This is something that needs to be completely as accurate as we can possibly make that.

So, my recommendation, again in the form of, or try to put it in the form of a motion, is that a total review of the process, in terms of reviewing it as well as cataloging it, needs to be part of this record. All the data utilized, all the methodology, all the software used needs to be chronicled and that the staff the LATFOR be determined by the two co-executive directors

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

should put together a task force now to work in

conjunction with the work that has been done to

provide its verification and report to the LATFOR

committee. Can I have that as a second?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I'd like to

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I'd like to second that.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Co-chair seconds it. For a discussion, Senator Dilan?

SEN. DILAN: If it's acceptable to you,
Mr. Chairman, I would also like to request as
part of your motion that we also include the two
minority conferences staff in this review also.
As you know, for the past two years I was a cochair and my staff did start to do some of this
work last year and they do have some expertise
and I believe that they could also be of
assistance and ensure that the adequacy of the
data is 100% and I believe that all members of
the task force and their staff should be involved
in that review.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I agree and have no objection to that modification of the--let's put it right in the form of that resolution.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I second - -

3

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So McEneny seconds

4

Senator Dilan's amendment. Let's vote on the

5

whole process at once. All those in favor?

6

MIXED VOICES: Aye.

7

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Okay. Anyone

8

opposed? Thank you. And let's set a date

9

certain. This task is much more complex than the

10

legislature, the movers of this, in terms of the

11

resources and the direction and the entire

12

process and again, Roman, I'm complimentary to

13

you on the extensive nature of this project and

14 15 for you to get it through. I think that we would

16

earnest by this time next week; that we do not

like to see though a full review beginning in

17

have hearings next week. I think next week would

process and then let's give a two week window to

18

19

20

Is that sufficient for, in terms-having that.

be a good opportunity to begin that review

21 2.2

the end of the month which would coincide with

so that within two weeks, let's say a report by

23

our hearing date and next meeting date in the

24

first week of October.

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 1 2 SEN. DILAN: First week of October? 3 want it - - at the Suffolk meeting or --SENATOR NOZZOLIO: At the hearing. 4 So 5 then, let's--SEN. DILAN: At the Suffolk meeting? 6 7 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: At the--our next 8 meeting will be held, or our last meeting of the-9 -our first meeting after the end of this month would be in Nassau, so why don't we suggest that? 10 11 Nassau, Suffolk. 12 ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: Just two quick One is kind of a side note. 13 Is there any 14 update at all on the court case that's still 15 outstanding on this issue at this point? 16 MALE VOICE: Nothing. 17 ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: Okay. And number two; I know what was given today I think was in 18 19 PDF format of information. Is there any chance 20 to have that in excel or not? Is that--21 MR. HEDGES: I think the staff working 2.2 on it is going to have to have it in excel 23 because they're going to have to be able to move

it around. So I see no reason not to do that.

24

2

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

The reason that we were interested in making it in PDF form is so that someplace it was frozen that no one could inadvertently do anything to. And I think that we're confident that we've got that now and that we can use that as a starting point and you're going to have to be able to manipulate it or you can't do that

9

7

8

work.

MALE VOICE: A vote on your resolution as amended. I don't think we voted.

12

11

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I think we said all.

13

MALE VOICE: Did we? All right.

14

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Yes, I think we did

15 16

scheduled meeting, which will be after the

and the agreement is a report at our next

17

hearing in Suffolk County.

in the North Country.

18

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: We have one

19

more item on here. We've had requests from

2021

Senator Dilan and from Assemblyman Oaks for additional hearings, specifically in Nassau

2.2

County and not just Long Island and someplace up

23

I would like to recommend in the

24

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 interest of time and cost that we compromise on that and put both of those hearings in the schedule that we'll be making up now to do the final set of public hearings. So instead of doing 12, we'll do 14.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And I have no objection to that concept. If I may though, there may be other areas of the state that also would like to be reached during that process and that again, I have no objection to those two that are listed, but I would want to have, I would like to remain the option open for that to be cleared with potentially additional sites after the maps.

2.2

ASSMELBY MEMBER OAKS: Just a quick item from my perspective; obviously I understand the concern of time and trying to move forward. I'm sure that your consideration is appreciated if, in the next round, we are able to do that, I think--you know, it's not perfect, but in the interest of making everything work, I think that that--I appreciate your consideration of it and look forward to having that schedule be

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011 inclusive.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much.

SEN. DILAN: You know, I did make the original request with respect to Nassau County. I think that the census data that was recently released indicated that there is communities of interest in that area and I think that they have the same right that every single other city or town or county deserves and I believe that there should be a hearing with respect to Nassau County prior to the release of the plans and I believe that they should have input. A hearing after the proposed maps are drawn is really, it's a compromise and at some point they'll have input, but really as the co-chair in our house has indicated that he does want to hear what the citizens of the state of New York have to say. And I think that Nassau County has never had a hearing with respect to redistricting and I think that they're due that just like many counties throughout the state of New York. And Nassau County is a very populated county that merits a

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011
hearing of its own.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I think some of us have always thought of it as a Long Island hearing and this is not something that's being held out in Montauk or in Riverhead. It's being held right on the boarded of the two counties, but unfortunately it has to be in one county or another, so I don't think it's a case of dissing Nassau. We're right on the boarder as far as I can tell from the map.

MALE VOICE: I'm not leaving Nassau and I'm - - everybody can get there.

[crosstalk]

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Excuse me a second. If you want to be recognized, you'd speak into the mic so this could be recorded; so we could make it part of the record and not turn this into a circus.

I understand what you're saying

Assemblyman, however I can use the counter

argument, and I hope I don't upset anyone in New

York City. But here in New York City, we're

holding a hearing in each county. We're also

Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011

holding a hearing in Staten Island, which is a
very small county. Nassau County is a very large
county and we're going to be holding five
hearings in New York City. I believe that Nassau
deserves its own hearing.

2.2

WELQUIS LOPEZ, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK

FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND

REAPPORTIONMENT: With all due respect to Senator

Dilan, I live in Nassau County and I've been

living in Nassau County since I was basically 15

years old. And Nassau and Suffolk County are

basically in the middle of it. That's the best

way you do so you can attract both participants

to come in.

I think that people won't have any problem coming to that area. We have done it in many occasions in different things with education and we get everybody involved and they come right there.

So personally, if you talk about the other; the city, how many millions of people the city has. So I think that if you have it on the borderline, which is Nassau and Suffolk, you're

	Page 4
1	Task Force On Demographic Research, 9-7-2011
2	hitting both at the same time.
3	SEN. DILAN: So, let's do it on the
4	borderline of Nassau.
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you all very
6	much. I look forward to seeing everyone tomorrow
7	at our hearing in the Bronx and without further
8	ado
9	MALE VOICE: So, what's happening with
10	this issue?
11	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: You want it as
12	a motion?
13	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: There was a
14	discussion; my understanding, a discussion that
15	the hearing schedule for next time, there would
16	be a general agreement that those additional
17	sites would be considered. But I also would like
18	to see some other sites considered too. So, I
19	guess this is, for lack of a better word, tabled
20	until then.
21	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: And at the
22	very least, there will be a North Country and a
23	Nassau added to the list.
0.4	

Thank you.

MALE VOICE:

24

Committee on Governmental Employees, 3-3-2010

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Trisha Ruckart, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 47, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Trisha Ruckart, Transcriptionist

Trish Ruckes

September 19, 2011