NEW YORK STATE

LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

PUBLIC HEARING

CONGRESSIONAL AND STATE LEGISLATIVE REDISTRICTING

Wednesday, October 5, 2011
Farmingdale State College
Little Theater, Roosevelt Hall
2350 Broadhollow Road
Farmingdale, New York
10:00 a.m.

TASK FORCE MEMBERS PRESENT:

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, Co-Chair

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, Co-Chair

SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS

WELQUIS LOPEZ

DEBRA LEVINE

LEWIS HOPPE

INDEX

		Page
MR.	PHILIP RAMOS ASSEMBLY MEMBER 6TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	9
MR.	PHILIP BOYLE ASSEMBLY MEMBER 8TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	15
MR.	AUBREY PHILLIPS EDITOR ELMONT.ORG	17
MS.	JOYCE STOWE RESIDENT TOWN OF ELMONT	24
MR.	TODD BREITBART	26
MS.	SUSAN LERNER EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR COMMON CAUSE, NY	37
MS.	PATRICE BENNEWARD PRESIDENT GLENWOOD/GLEN HEAD CIVIC ASSOCIATION	49
MR.	TERRY GIPSON RHINEBECK VILLAGE BOARD MEMBER SMALL BUSINESS OWNER PRIVATE CITIZEN CNADIDATE FOR NYS SENATE	52
DR.	MADHULIKA KHANDELWAL DIRECTOR ASIAN-AMERICAN CENTER OUEENS COLLEGE	58

MS.	CSARENA DUTCHEV STUDENT ON BEHALF OF PREMILLA NADASEN ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF HISTORY QUEENS COLLEGE	68	
MS.	NANCY MARR MEMBER, SUFFOLK COUNTY REDISTRICTING COMILEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS, SUFFOLK COUNTY	М.	73
DR.	DILCIA GRANVILLA		84
MS.	MICHELLE SCHIMEL ASSEMBLY MEMBER 16TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT		87
MS.	JOAN GIBBS, ESQ. GENERAL COUNSEL CENTER FOR LAW AND SOCIAL JUSTICE		90
MR.	MIKE REICHERT		97
MS.	ELISE HARRIS COUNTERPUBLIC		101
MS.	REGINA CALCATERRA		105
MS.	H. SCOTTIE COADS CHAIR CIVIC ENGAGEMENT, NAACP NYS CONFERENCE		113
MR.	LUCIUS WARE PRESIDENT EASTERN LONG ISLAND NAACP		118
REV	. RODERICK A. PEARSON PASTOR AND PRESIDENT ISLIP TOWN NAACP		120
MR.	RICARDO MONTANO LEGISLATOR SUFFOLK COUNTY 9TH LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT		124
MR.	DAVID STONEHILL, ESQ.		141

MS. LISA PINKARD

152

2.2

2 (The public hearing commenced at 10:07 a.m.)

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, CO-CHAIR,

NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASKFORCE ON

DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: - - of

the Taskforce, along with my colleague and good

friend, Assemblyman Jack McEneny. With us today

are members of the Taskforce. Senator Dilan is

not with us at this moment, but he, I understand,

is en route and will be here as soon as he is

able to be. Assemblyman Bob Oaks is a member of

the Taskforce, as is Welquis Ray Lopez, who is

also a citizen participant on the Taskforce.

Roman Hedges, a member of the Taskforce is not with

us today.

This is a series of 14 hearings that we are conducting across New York State to take input, to hear from our constituents from Long Island to Buffalo to the North Country, as to their concerns, their issues, their thoughts, their proposals regarding the redistricting process. We are a statutory commission that the

2.2

laws of the State of New York require this
Taskforce to be formed. We have, as members of
the Taskforce, a fiduciary obligation to conduct
these hearings, and we look forward to the
hearing today in Suffolk County. We will be in
Nassau County later this month and our first
round of hearings will conclude with a hearing in
Plattsburg on the 2nd of November. There will be
also another round of hearings after plans are
developed and put forward. I'm very honored to
work on this project and serve on the Taskforce
with Assemblyman Jack McEneny, Assemblyman, good
morning.

CHAIR, NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASKFORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Good morning and we thank the Farmingdale State College for hosting this public hearing. We have received both at these hearings and also online and in the old-fashioned way, through the mail, we have received input from citizens across the state, from good government groups, from various groups throughout the state, proposals to improve

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the redistricting in New York State, improve the districts. We have the federal government's legislative body, the House of Representatives; there is not one Congressional District in the State of New York that has enough people to stand legally. We are dropping from 29 down to 27 members of Congress, which means that new Congressional Districts, instead of being 650 or 660, whatever they were the last time, will be 717,707. So obviously that's a great deal of change for us, as well as the Senate and the Assembly, and we want to hear from the people, as we have been hearing, from several hundred through public hearings and also through correspondence with LATFOR with maps and various We need to hear what your proposals. recommendations are to improve the present system, which is stale and not in compliance with the law, how should the new districts be drawn.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Assemblyman Bob Oaks.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS, NEW YORK
STATE LEGISLATIVE TASKFORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC
RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: I'd just like to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

welcome everyone today, excuse me, and look forward to hearing your input, both from citizens, from some of our colleagues that we see in the audience, and from anyone that's attending. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you,

Assemblyman. Each of the testifiers today will be video-recorded and we're asking that witnesses, the testifiers, when their name is called, to come up to the stage and the cameras will capture their comments. This is part of the official record of the Taskforce. This record is available to citizens or anyone who wishes, across the world, to access the LATFOR website can do so by going to www.latfor.state.ny.us to access the website where the hearing record is available. So that we make this official record, we ask you to suffer the inconvenience of coming up to the state to hear. We have well over 60 witnesses today and we ask everyone to put their prepared remarks, submit those to the record and summarize them for the video. We're going to hold each speaker to five minutes of prepared

remarks or oral testimony and then, of course, they'll be no time limit if any of the members of the panel have questions. So with that, I know there are some of our colleagues in the audience. I see, first and foremost, Assemblyman Phil Boyle. Assemblyman, thank you very much for your attendance and your participation in providing citizen input into this process. Also Assemblyman Phil Ramos who's here, Assemblyman Ramos, I thank you for your participation and thank you very much for being here today. Did you wish to testify, Assemblyman? With that, then, you are our first witness. Please come forward.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER PHILIP RAMOS, 6TH
ASSEMBLY DISTRICT: Thank you, on behalf of my
community, for this opportunity to speak on
behalf of the constituents that I represent in
the Town of Islip and in Suffolk County and to
present you with this unique viewpoint when it
comes to this important issue. In 2002 I was
elected representative of the Sixth Assembly
District in the New York State Assembly, which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

encompasses the communities of Brentwood, Central Islip, Bayshore, North Bayshore, Islip, and The district was created with the Islandia. purpose of giving an under-represented and underserved community a voice in their state government. A large percentage of the constituents I represent come from minority backgrounds, and before the creation of the Sixth Assembly District, this population, which had a common heritage and common interest, was split amongst several Assembly Districts, and it was diluting their voice and disenfranchising our community. The creation of this new district gave them, for the first time, a strong, active voice in state government. Not only was it the right thing to do, but it is the law of the land. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 was passes, partly, in order to prevent the disenfranchisement of minority groups through political gerrymandering and to avoid and prevent political gerrymandering.

As a result minority communities have been given a voice in their government, and they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

have experienced tremendous results with an improved quality of life. In my district alone we have seen a difference in the amount of government resources that have been directed to our community. An unprecedented amount of funding has now come to our schools as a result of the new district. Over three million dollars was invested in the Brentwood State Park, as well as towns that were cleaned up completely of graffiti. These are resources that in the past had never been directed to our community because it was a community perceived as being one of low wealth and low political power, and as a result of the division of our district, resources were not directed in the manner that they are now. Right now Hispanic voters are the fastest growing segment of the U.S. population.

At the time of the 2010 Census, the Hispanic share of Long Island's population has grown to 15.5 percent. Furthermore the 2010 Census figures released this spring counted more than 441,594 Hispanics on the Island. This represents a 56.2 percent jump since the year

24

1

2000. This year, as you well know, we will be drawing new districts. These districts should represent the ethnic and cultural diversity of New York's many communities and should continue to give the minority groups a voice in their state government. Any new lines should reflect the growth of the minority communities on Long Island. Therefore I would like to see similar equitable representation amongst minority areas in Suffolk County, as well as Nassau County. have the potential, both in Suffolk and Nassau, to draw lines that would create minority districts, and I ask that you consider one thing with the issue of redrawing lines in a district. It should not be all or nothing, it should not be either we have a district that's a majorityminority or we have the right to divide the minority community. There are districts that could be drawn that perhaps would have a 30 percent minority. It wouldn't be a majority, but 30 percent minority. That needs to be kept; those communities need to be kept together, because they will have a powerful influence, even Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 though they are not a majority of that district.

2.2

So I ask that we please move away from the all or nothing, either it's a majorityminority district or we don't pay attention to that issue and we allow communities to be divided. My district is an example of the success of the redistricting process.

Communities with common interests should be united and represented by one elected official, not divided up and silenced, as has been done in the past. We have come so far in terms of extending a political voice to under-represented, under-served minority communities in New York and across the country. I urge my colleagues to preserve this measure of fairness and justice

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much,
Assemblyman. Any questions? Assemblyman
McEneny.

when considering the new district maps in its

upcoming legislative session. Thank you very

much for your time.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: It's good to see you here, Assemblyman. Will you be

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 1 2 submitting maps or do you have a group submitting maps that you would endorse those maps for 3 4 consideration by LATFOR? 5 ASSEMBLY MEMBER RAMOS: Yes, we've examined the numbers in both Nassau and Suffolk, 6 7 and we have some suggestions that we will be submitting. 8 9 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Now this is like putting the pieces of a puzzle together, so 10 11 we would caution against tunnel vision, looking 12 at just one district. You have to look at the 13 effect it has on the adjoining districts, as 14 well, so a comprehensive map of both counties and 15 Long Island would be very helpful. 16 ASSEMBLY MEMBER RAMOS: Okay, we will do 17 that, thank you. 18 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. 19 We have been joined by New York State Assemblyman 20 Charles "Chuck" Levine. Thank you, Assemblyman, 21 for being here today. We appreciate your input 2.2 and assistance to the Taskforce. Thank you very 23 Aubrey Phillips. much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY:

I'm sorry,

24

2.2

Aubrey, would you mind if we put Phil Boyle on first? Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Did you ask to speak, Assemblyman? Certainly.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER PHILIP BOYLE, 8TH

ASSEMBLY DISTRICT: Thank you and I will be

brief. I just wanted to thank the LATFOR

panelists for coming to Long Island and for the

time and effort you've put into this very big

task. Officially, I'm supporting a non-partisan

commission, but understanding that these new

lines, very important lines, could be done either

way coming in months ahead. I'd like to just

give some input, and I think my comments would

follow on Assemblyman Phil Ramos' because the

districts he was talking about went into my

district, the Eighth Assembly District in New

York.

I urge you to look at the Eighth

District, as I refer to myself as the poster boy

for redistricting reform. The last time, ten

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

years ago, they brought, they invited communities of interest in the Islip and Bayshore communities and took from Central Islip and Brentwood where Assemblyman Ramos represents and completely divided the communities in half. It was bad at the time for the constituents. It was bad for me personally, because I had to sell my house and move, but that is really a situation where the communities of Islip and Bayshore felt that they were divided and they felt the representation should have been along the lines of the people in similar communities along the south shore of Long It also, obviously, had an effect on the Island. minority communities of Central Islip, North Bayshore, and Brentwood, and I urge you to look at this as a sample case, really. I'm sure there are other instances across the state, similarly done, and I wish you the best of luck in creating these new lines. Thank you so much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you,

Assemblyman. Aubrey Phillips. Aubrey Phillips.

As Mr. Phillips is coming up, I know he has

2.2

traveled a long way to get here and that as he arrives on the stage I want to welcome our Senate Democrat member of the Taskforce, Senate Martin Dilan. Welcome, Senator Dilan. If you'd suffer a brief interruption, Mr. Phillips, that Senator Dilan just informs me that he'll reserve comments in a few minutes, later time. Thank you very much.

MR. AUBREY PHILLIPS, EDITOR, ELMONT.ORG:
You're welcome. Good morning, as you know my
name is Aubrey Phillips. I hail from Elmont, New
York, and I'd like to just have a few brief
remarks, which I've sort of titled "Lines
Matter". Lines matter. Elmont has not voted as
a community for a single Senator as long as I've
lived on Long Island. We have always had two
Senators. The lines do matter.

It was not until ten years ago that the Elmont community voted as a collective to elect former Assemblyman Tom Alfano. In fact it was testimony presented at the hearing like this one, by members of the Elmont community, led at that time by the Board of Education of the Elmont

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Union Free School District, that brought to fruition the unification of the 21st Assembly District around what was arguably or unarguably the community's strongest common interest, that of public education. The lines do matter, because my community is divided into two Senate districts. Approximately 85 percent is in the 7th Senate District, the remaining 15 percent in the 9th, and from about 2007 to 2010, Democrat Craig Johnson represented the vast majority of the Elmont community, while minority leader Dean Skelos represented a narrow strip from Dutch Broadway South to the southern border of Elmont. Very few constructive things happened in our community during those days. Infighting among Democrats, cross-aisle fighting for control of the Legislature and the Assembly, appeared to the community as incompetence. But was it incompetence or were we simply victims of the lines? History matters.

Todd Breitbart points out that, and I quote, "Long Island's black and Hispanic populations have been systematically split in the

2.2

State Senate redistricting plans enacted in 1972, 1982, 1992, and again in 2000." He further emphasizes that in every redistricting plan put into effect through legislation, since the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in 1964 that New York State Legislative Districts must have approximately equal populations, that this has been the case in the state. Breitbart looks forward and concludes and basically says, "It remains to be seen whether the New York State Legislature will choose to extend this pattern through a full half-century and whether the Governor will sign a redistricting bill that does so." But the lines do matter.

In reality, Elmont's divide renders the collective politically impotent. It is argued by some that lines do not matter because they, their individual right to vote is un-feathered. I say no, lines matter. Hyper-individualists are easily satisfied once it's clear to them that they are okay, but for me and many in my community, we are part of a collective. Like the grains of sand on a beach that bind together to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

protect the shoreline, or the roots beneath the blades of grass on a hillside that intertwine to prevent mudslides, we are part of a purposeful collective. The four-decade long pattern of dividing minorities is well-established, and I echo the concerns of Mr. Breitbart. Will New York State Legislature choose to continue a pattern of racial and ethnic division for a full half-century? In part my participation here today is to say as forcefully as I know how, no. My fellow Elmont residents will focus on Nassau County's recent redistricting experience, an experience they must share with you for context and an experience that left a dark cloud over our community. They waited and some are still waiting for their county legislator to defend them, but he's silent.

In the end it's not legislative
adherence to its own charter and respect for
constituent action that resolves that issue.
Sadly, like in 1964, it was judicial intervention
that preserved the legislative process, and by
extension, voters' rights in my community. I

would be remiss if I did not take time to say that both Senator Martin and Senator Skelos, Elmont's two Senators, are fine gentlemen, and if I were a hyper-individualist, I may not have come to testify. I like both of them. However, lines matter, and Elmont's strength, like the grains of sand on the beach, the blades of grass on the hillside, when parsed and picked apart, are beautiful but fragile and easily overwhelmed. Together Elmont is a purposeful unit, a growing minority community that takes pride in its United Nations-like look and feel.

I close by saying consider, as this body did ten years ago, unifying Elmont's voting voice wholly into the 7th Senatorial District. Do not preserve the ignoble distinction of four decades of repeatedly splitting the minority vote while overtly suggesting that we as a country, that we as a state or a county, have overcome race matters. Lines matter, history matters, and race matters. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any questions of Mr. Phillips? I have one, Mr. Phillips. You're

	Page 2
1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	quoting a Todd Breitbart?
3	MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.
4	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Where are you quoting
5	him from?
6	MR. PHILLIPS: This was a piece that he
7	had written in, I think it was April.
8	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: April?
9	MR. PHILLIPS: April, I think it was,
10	that delineated the divisions throughout, sort of
11	a historical review of what took place in
12	redistricting over the last 40 years.
13	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Was this a book
14	MR. PHILLIPS: [Interposing] I think it
15	was something I saw on the internet.
16	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: On the internet?
17	MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah.
18	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Do you know Mr.
19	Breitbart?
20	MR. PHILLIPS: Not personally, no, but
21	part of my research led me to that, as I looked
22	over this.
23	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And what publication
24	were you quoting him from?

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	MR. PHILLIPS: If you hold one second, I
3	don't know if I have it. I think I just pulled
4	it out, but would you like me to send to you
5	something?
6	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I was just wondering.
7	You quoted him twice in your testimony. I
8	wondered where you were quoting him from.
9	MR. PHILLIPS: Well, like I said, it was
10	a document that I had, I think, researched, and
11	it came to me.
12	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: In research.
13	MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.
14	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
15	MR. PHILLIPS: You're welcome.
16	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Scotty Coads. H.
17	Scotty C-O-A-D-S, Coads. Lucious Wear, Lucious
18	Wear. Leah Bahm, Leah Bahm. Dennis Jones,
19	Dennis Jones. Melvin Boone, Melvin Boone. Kevan
20	Abrahams, Kevan Abrahams. Roderick Pearson,
21	Roderick Pearson. Councilwoman Dorothy Goosby,
22	Councilwoman Dorothy Goosby. Eugene Burnette,
23	Eugene Burnette. Kerrya Solongus [phonetic].
24	Louis Valenzuela, Louis Valenzuela. Legislator

2.2

2 Ricardo Montano, Legislator Ricardo Montano.

Joyce Stowe. While Ms. Stowe comes before the panel, Assemblyman, excuse me, Senator Dilan for an opening statement.

SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN, NEW YORK STATE

LEGISLATIVE TASKFORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND

REAPPORTIONMENT: I would just like to say good

morning to everyone in the audience and thank my

colleagues for hosting this meeting here in

Suffolk County and also to say hello to my

colleagues in the audience. I just look forward

to hearing testimony regarding the special needs

here out in Long Island, and I wish everyone

well. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much, Senator. Good morning, Ms. Stowe.

MS. JOYCE STOWE: Good morning, my name is Joyce Stowe, and I'm speaking this morning on behalf of the residents of Tudor Manor Civic, one of several civics in the Elmont community. The U.S. Census Bureau conducts a population and housing survey every ten years, as required by titles 13 and 26 of the United States Code. This

24

1

day the federal, state, and local legislators have the power to draw or redraw the boundaries of election districts, as long as they do not infringe on the principle of one person, one vote, which most courts view as the primary standard to produce fair elections. Elmont is a community on the border of Nassau and Queens, with an expanding, independent, political voice. Its population, according to the 2010 Census is 40,507. - - its vote and political power to affect the entire state. The 3rd Legislative District, as we know it, will not include areas east of the Elmont Public Library to Meacham Avenue and Dutch Broadway. Also removed from the 3rd District is a large area from Landford Drive and Dutch Broadway all the way east of Meacham Avenue and areas to the south, to the Southern State Parkway. That area constitutes the Tudor Manor Civic area, with over 701 family homes and which is proposed to be the 19th Minority District. The people of Elmont over the years have demonstrated that they vote for the best interests of the community, not for narrow ethnic

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 or party politics.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

There is a slight temporary reprieve brought about by the welcome decision of the State Appellate Court to stay the 3rd District lines, but the dark cloud of an upcoming battle still hangs over the Elmont community come 2012. The Elmont community is aware that lines governing the 21st Assembly District and the 7th Senatorial Districts are being considered at this We do not know what these lines are or will be, but the Elmont community hopes that there will be effective discussions and consideration as it pertains to drawing lines that will not erode our unified voting strength as a community. We do not want to engage in protracted legal warfare, as was recently experienced in the legislative district. The New York Constitution says imprisonment neither gains nor loses a resident. With the law passed by the Justice Department that inmates should be counted in their hometowns, not where they are locked up, throws a wrench on upstate towns that uses these prisoners as numbers to inflate their Census

1 1	Page 28 emographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	count. We hope that this commission will use
3	that ruling to make a fair case in redistricting
4	on the state level. Thank you.
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much,
6	Ms. Stowe. Any questions by the panelists?
7	Carrying on, thank you very much. Todd
8	Breitbart.
9	MR. TODD BREITBART: My name is Todd
10	Breitbart. For the record, before I proceed with
11	the statement I've prepared, I can answer a
12	question that you asked of Mr. Phillips. I have
13	distributed as widely as I could, to anyone who I
14	thought might find it of interest, a fact sheet
15	and maps similar to the material that I have
16	entered in the record as my written statement. I
17	have placed my name on everything that I've
18	distributed so that my own role should be
19	perfectly open.
20	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Do you know Mr.
21	Phillips?
22	MR. BREITBART: No, I don't.
23	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: You've never met him
24	before?

2.2

MR. BREITBART: No, I never did.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: What internet article was he referring to?

MR. BREITBART: I'm not, I don't whether the material was posted, but I've distributed it to a number of people and organizations who are concerned with these issues, and he may have received it in an email. That question I really can't answer.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

MR. BREITBART: I've submitted a written statement with demographic tables and 20 maps showing that for four decades in a row, Long Island minority communities have been systematically split by the State Senate District boundaries, thereby diluting the voting power of black and Hispanic voters. All nine Long Island districts have repeatedly been designed to have super majorities of non-Hispanic, white voters. The Legislature and Governor must decide whether this practice is to be repeated again in 2012, extending the discriminatory pattern through a full half-century. This issue involves no

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 conflict between the interests of Latino and 3 African-American communities.

> In both counties the black and Hispanic populations are concentrated in the same incorporated villages and unincorporated hamlets. Districts that split one group, diluting their voting power, will split the other group, as Districts that permit one group to well. exercise their full voting power will do the same for the other. A group of voters brought suit to challenge the 2002 Long Island Senate Districts, but a three-judge federal court ruled in Rodriguez v. Pataki, decided in 2004, that they were not entitled to relief under the Voting Rights Act of 1965. Whether the court ruled correctly is not the important question now. The prospects for challenging a new discriminatory redistricting plan under the Voting Rights Act will depend largely on recent demographic trends and on the statistical analysis of recent voting But the decision facing the patterns. Legislature and the Governor is not primarily a question of law; it is a question of justice.

2.2

The courts set limits, some things the Legislature and Governor must do, some that they must not do. Within those limits the Legislature and the Governor enjoy broad discretion to act wisely or unwisely, justly or unjustly. If that were not so, it would not matter much whom we elect or how the districts are drawn. Suppose for the sake of argument the systematic splitting of Long Island minority community by Senate District boundaries may, as a matter of law, be extended through a full half-century. That does not even begin to address the question of what the Legislature should do or what the Governor should approve.

At your hearing on October 27th in

Nassau, I'll address in my oral testimony
specifically the history of Senate redistricting
in Nassau County. Now I'll speak about Suffolk
County. In Suffolk County the 1972 boundary
between Senate Districts 3 and 4 simply followed
the Babylon-Islip town line, which is
unexceptionable. But in 1982, 1992, and 2002,
the large and growing black and Hispanic

2.2

communities in Babylon were divided by a line that was nearly identical in each decade, changing by only a few blocks. The lines runs southward from the Babylon-Huntington town line through Wyandanch and West Babylon, then divides North Lindenhurst, Lindenhurst, Copiague and Amityville. In 1982 the part of the town northwest of this boundary was attached to District 5, which extended north to the sound in Oyster Bay and Glen Cove. In 1992 and 2002 the same area was attached to District 8, a Southshore Nassau district extending to Baldwin Harbor, but the line dividing the minority communities remained fixed.

Senate Districts 3 and 4 changed extensively from 1982 to 1992 and again in 2002, except in one place. The portion of the Senate District boundary that divides Brentwood, and thereby splits the Latino and African-American communities in the Town of Islip, is precisely identical in the three decades, running from the town line at Morehead Road in the north to the intersection of Commack Road and Candlewood Road

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

in the south. The consistent pattern suggests that the portions of the Senate District boundaries that split minority communities were established first and that any necessary changes, such as to equalize district populations in accordance with the latest Census, were made around those fixed features. The Co-Chairmen of the Legislative Taskforce on Reapportionment have argued that Governor Cuomo should not veto a redistricting bill, merely because it was designed by them, not by an independent commission. They urge the Governor to base his decision on the bill's substance, not its source.

Surely, though, if the racially-discriminatory Long Island Senate boundaries are reproduced in 2012, then Governor Cuomo will have compelling grounds to veto the re-apportionment bill because of what it does, regardless of who has done it. If you do propose to continue this pattern, the potential disruption of the 2012 political calendar will be the least shameful thing about it.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any questions of Mr.

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2 Breitbart? Senator Dilan.

2.2

SENATOR DILAN: My question is, you indicate there is splitting of minority communities, the concentration - - are we splitting a community in order to achieve that - of minority voting?

MR. BREITBART: Yes, if you look at the maps, you will see that it is, in Suffolk County, for example, it's not simply that the minority community in Babylon is in one district and that in Islip is in another. The minority community within Babylon has been split. The minority communities within the Town of Islip have been split by that line that I described that runs through Brentwood.

If I recall correctly, I don't believe that Brentwood is an incorporated village, but it is, generally, has generally recognized boundaries which have been recognized by the Census Bureau, which has made Brentwood a Census-designated place and if someone who lives in Brentwood says I'm a resident of Brentwood, everyone in the area will know exactly what they

2.2

need, what they mean. It is a well-recognized community, and it has been systematically split, dividing, of course, not only the black and Hispanic populations in Brentwood, but in the surrounding villages and hamlets of Islip.

You'll see a similar pattern in Nassau County where the minority communities primarily within the Town of Hempstead have been systematically split, in that case over four decades. The pattern in Suffolk County runs through three decades.

SENATOR DILAN: The reason I ask the question is because I know throughout the hearings we've been talking about not splitting communities, and in many instances — — that we would not split communities, so this, technically, is we're violating our rules just to split up a particular village or a town.

MR. BREITBART: It might not be possible to use a higher deviation to, within in Long Island because I believe that the State

Constitution's block on border rule would require that the adjoining Long Island districts be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

nearly equal in population to one another, but it is possible, while adhering to that rule, to do a very much better job of keeping both the incorporated villages and the generally recognized unincorporated hamlets intact and also to keep intact communities that have much in common with regard to a great deal more than simply race or ethnicity.

I should point out that Nassau and Suffolk Counties are the only part of the state which is almost entirely tiled by Censusdesignated places. That is, that the Census Bureau, I believe, at the behest of Long Island Regional Planning Board, has designated as Census places in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, not only the incorporated units, such as villages and cities, as they do in the rest of the state, but all of those unincorporated hamlets that residents of Long Island regard, would identify as their places of residence, and it is therefore possible in Nassau and Suffolk Counties and advisable for the Taskforce, unlike the rest of the state, to consult the Census place geography

in drawing Senate districts to try to avoid dividing Census places, especially incorporated villages, although you're not required to avoid that by the State Constitution and also to keep together within districts those Census places either adjoining or near to one another that have a great deal in common.

SENATOR DILAN: And if these communities were kept whole, would they have the possibility of electing a candidate of their choice?

MR. BREITBART: I'm quite certain that that, in both counties, certainly, in the Assembly, as Assemblyman Ramos' presence here today and the office he holds demonstrates. In Nassau County, I believe, it would certainly be not difficult to create a reasonably compact Senate District that unites populations that have a great deal in common, not just with regard to race and ethnicity, but with regard to matters such as income, employment, education, and so forth, where black and Hispanic voters would be able to elect the representative of their choice, and following the same principle in Suffolk

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

County, although it would not be possible to create a district where black and Hispanic voters would be in a majority, it would be possible to create a district in which they would be very valuable partners in an electoral coalition, and it is, I believe, a good thing for democracy, both to encourage and to reward inter-racial collation-building, rather than splitting minority communities so that inter-racial coalition-building is not necessary.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Senator Dilan. Any other comments, questions of the Carrying on, thank you, Mr. Breitbart. panel? LaRoy Gadsen. Robert Summerville. I'd just like to acknowledge the presence of Assemblyman Al Assemblyman, thank you very much for your Graf. participation. Did you wish to make a statement? Not at this time? But thank you for your continued input. Sergio Argueta. Wilton Carol Gordon. The Honorable Andrew Robinson. Hardwick. Kenneth Cohen. Frederick Brewington. Roberta Cesar. Julian Jones. Abdul Hakeem. The Reverend Curtis C. Riley. Leo Fernandez.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 1 2 Blair. Ernest Ulysee. Brian Paul. Susan 3 Lerner. 4 As Ms. Lerner comes to the podium, we'd 5 like to take this opportunity to say that whether an individual is present at these hearings or 6 7 not, they are welcome to participate by submitting testimony, be that written testimony, 8 9 whether it be an email, whether it be any other type of communication to the LATFOR website. 10 11 It's www.latfor.state.ny.us. It is always a 12 pleasure to see Susan. I've lost track of how 13 many hearings you have attended. I think it's at 14 least four. 15 MS. SUSAN LERNER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, 16 COMMON CAUSE NEW YORK: And I had meant to be in Buffalo, but health reasons kept me from 17 18 participating. 19 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well, it's very nice 20 to see you again. 21 Well, thank you. As you MS. LERNER:

know Common Cause is involved in a mapping project, and we start before every meeting of LATFOR, at each one of these hearings, we have

2.2

23

24

24

1

done some basic demographic analysis, which I hope will be helpful to you when you think of the testimony that you've heard this morning. of what we have done in our maps that are appended to my testimony, I think graphically illustrates what people have been saying here in terms of the demographics of Long Island, and I think what we've learned at Common Cause, this is our first mapping project, how helpful it is to actually have the graphic representations, because you can see on the maps exactly what people are talking about when they talk about communities of interest. So, for instance, I'm just looking arbitrarily at Map #3, which is home ownership, and you can see how Suffolk County is primarily suburban, with a high percentage of home ownership, and yet there are clusters of places that have low home ownership and, not surprisingly, you've been hearing a lot about some of them today. Babylon and Islip, for instance, are distinct in just about all of the demographic factors that we've looked at, which include median income, particular employment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

patterns, percentage of workers employed in blue collar jobs, and so forth.

I'd like to point out Map #16, which I hope will be helpful to you in considering some of the testimony this morning where we have looked at particularly the town of Islip and the Hispanic community. As you may be aware, the big change in Suffolk County from the 2000 Census to the 2010 Census, as in so many regions across the state, is an expansion in the Latino community. In Suffolk we're seeing expansion in both the African-American and the Latino community, and just to graphically illustrate some of the comments that were made by earlier speakers, you can see how the Senate line that's drawn between Senate District 3 and Senate District 4 very neatly bisects this growing Hispanic community and makes it difficult for them to aggregate their voice and to be influential in having their communities wishes regarding representation respected. Seventeen looks at the black community cracked by current Senate lines in the Town of Babylon. But just a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

couple of summary points, we go into greater detail in our written testimony that will help you understand Long Island.

If you look just at our first map, which is population density, one of the things that's clear and in talking to local folks comes through, is that there really are some interests and some communities here in Long Island, Northshore, and Southshore, and that they are the forks on the map. So it's very easy to see why people talk about the North and the South fork, are considered pretty much to be distinct communities, but because we have low population density, they often end up in the same Senate District just because of the numbers, but they are distinct communities and the far end of Suffolk really is a rural, much more rural, and beach-oriented area, and the more dense areas that are close to Nassau.

The thing I'd like to add, because certainly the local people, you know, the residents here have been very eloquent about how their communities of interests they feel have

24

1

been better served by the Assembly map than the previous Senate maps, is one thing that I would like to point out, because it has been mentioned in passing, but it really struck us in our analysis that here in Long Island, not only the unincorporated villages and the towns are important, but this is an area of the state where school districts seem to have tremendous cohesive power, and what struck us is that for most of Suffolk, the district lines pretty much adhere to the school district lines, more or less, not exactly. But in the areas of Babylon and Islip, the district lines have been drawn in the Senate side in such a way that they cut up a large number of school districts, making it harder for the residents, again, to gather to identify their common interests and to make them clear to their elected representatives, and the figures are really kind of striking. Let's see if I can find it in my written testimony. In the lines of District 4 and District 8 of the Senate in the Town of Babylon, slice through five school districts and five villages. That's really quite

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

In the Senate Districts 3 and 4 the line is drawn, it cuts through six school districts and seven villages, and that contrasts to the way in which the lines are drawn in the rest of Long Island, unfortunately leaving many to conclude that the lines are drawn in a partisan gerrymandered fashion and to disadvantage the minority communities there. I would hope that in this round of redistricting that we can minimize and avoid those kind of allegations and that some attention to what the residents here see as their important cohesive factors, which are not only race, but school districts are a very handy quide, we've found, in thinking through where you would want to place district lines.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Assemblyman McEneny.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I'm just making an observation, particularly in the case of the Latino minority, that in all fairness, if these Senate lines were imposed on the year 2000 map, there would not be such a striking division, that when one group of residents increases by 52

percent in just ten years, we know that our lines are obsolete. We know there has to be changes to comply with the Voting Rights Act and the State Constitution and federal rulings, as well. So in all fairness, we're taking this Census and putting it on old lines and we know that that is going to be radically different.

MS. LERNER: Yeah, that's indeed true, although I think that the African-American community has been outlined, historically, does have some valid concerns about the way in which it has been treated and certainly there's no question there have been major demographic shifts here and we're certainly very pleased to hear that you're, as you would be, cognizant, and we hope that they will be properly handled.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Susan--Assemblyman, are you finished? I want to echo my colleague's comments that we found this, and I believe you were at the Queens hearing, that we saw enormous growth in the Asian population in particular, and you can't base the, looking backward, and not understanding that ten years had gone by, not

2.2

appear as it is. It was not reflective of that growth, because it was done before the growth occurred.

MS. LERNER: Right, although, you know, there's an interesting task in terms of trying to determine in some areas what's trending. I don't know that anybody would necessarily have predicted the size of the expansion of the Latino community, but that's one of the factors, and I'm not saying that, you know, that population in particular, but that has been a unit. It is a 50 percent expansion, but it was a unit back then, as well. It's just larger and more obvious now.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: On your comment of school districts, I found that very interesting. I had not heard that raised before at any of our hearings. I know myself and Assemblyman Oaks, who represent very much identical territory in the upstate and central Finger Lakes region, as a Senator I have close to 26 or 27 all or partial school districts. I know Assemblyman Oaks has at least that, in many ways more, because he has more counties that are split. But it's very

2.2

difficult to, and I think your point's well taken. It would be nice to have that mirror image, but it's harder with school districts, particularly since they, they do cut towns in upstate and I assume even the Island, where you have them crossing town borders. Having an identical match for school districts would be challenging. It would be interesting but very challenging to put forward, because they're developed through, in many cases, no rhyme or reason in their growth.

MS. LERNER: We're not suggesting a mechanical tracing of school districts, we're just saying that one of the factors in this region, in particular, that may be helpful to you in understanding where the communities are is to look at school districts and factor that in. I know, and we've said this over and over again, that the way in which our antiquated constitution requires us to deal with counties and villages and towns makes it more difficult to be responsive to the communities, and I'm looking forward to once this process is over, hopefully

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 1 2 working with all of you on a constitutional 3 amendment that will make the job easier ten years 4 from now. 5 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Assemblyman Oaks and I have a gentlemen's wager as to whether or not 6 7 you're going to make our Plattsburg hearing. MS. LERNER: Well, I'm not going to 8 9 tell you gentlemen, unless--SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] It's 10 11 also great to see you, Susan. Oh, Senator Dilan 12 has another question. 13 SENATOR DILAN: In going to Map 16 again 14 and the Hispanic community, in looking forward, 15 what suggestion, if any, are you making by 16 referring to this map? 17 MS. LERNER: Well, we feel that it is definitely feasible, as various folks have 18 19 testified earlier, particularly folks from the 20 communities themselves, to keep these communities 21 together and that is something that, you know, we 2.2 are looking at in terms of our mapping process, 23 and, as I said, hope to have to you by the end of

the hearings, our statewide suggestions. So we

24

1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	do suggest that with the Senate districts, where
3	you have this kind of really cohesive demographic
4	factors not only raised, but socioeconomic
5	factors that those communities could
6	appropriately be put together and certainly the
7	idea of a coalition district is a very appealing
8	one, I think, from a political and a social point
9	of view.
10	SENATOR DILAN: Thank you.
11	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Assemblyman McEneny.
12	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I do notice on
13	this map of Hispanic, it says Hispanic voters?
14	MS. LERNER: Yes, voting age population
15	is what we're using.
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Voting age
17	population?
18	MS. LERNER: Correct, not the CVAP but
19	the voting age population.
20	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: The population
21	would be, if anything, a little bit higher
22	because of younger families, because of non-
23	voting children and people who are newer that may
24	not be citizens, they may not be enrolled to

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 1 2 vote, etc. Right and I don't remember 3 MS. LERNER: 4 whether on our blog we put up an analysis of 5 percentage of immigrants as we often do for regions. You know, as you know, using the CVAP, 6 7 the Citizens of Voting Age Population data from the Census is complicated and controversial, but 8 9 it's certainly one of the things we are aware of, as well, and it makes, my heart goes out to my 10 11 friends who are activists in the Latino 12 community, because as in the Asian community, 13 that is a complicating factor, often, for their 14 analysis of their communities. 15 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. 16 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. 17 MS. LERNER: Thank you. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Dr. Maria Teresa 18 19 Feliciano. Patrice Benneward. 20 MS. PATRICE BENNEWARD, PRESIDENT, 21 GLENWOOD/GLEN HEAD CIVIC ASSOCIATION: Good 2.2 morning, and thank you for holding this hearing

Okay. My name is Patrice Benneward; I am the

in Farmingdale. Am I speaking into this right?

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

president of the Glenwood/Glen Head Civic

Association and the Vice-President of the

Glenwood/Glen Head Civic Council. Glen Head and

Glenwood Landing are two adjacent, unincorporated

hamlets and Census-designated places located in

the northwestern part of Nassau County that share

a common history and identity.

We are surrounded by the incorporated villages of Sea Cliff, Roslyn Harbor, and Old Brookville. Glen Head is entirely within the Town of Oyster Bay. Glenwood Landing is bisected by the Oyster Bay-North Hempstead town line. are in New York State Senate Districts 5 and 7, New York State Assembly Districts 13 and 15, and Congressional Districts 3 and 5. The Civic Council is an organization made up of eight civic associations in Glen Head and Glenwood Landing that come together to share information and support common interests. I'm speaking today as Vice-President of that organization because we are concerned about how re-apportionment affects the health of our democracy, the confidence of citizens in their government, and the ability of

2.2

neighborhoods.

responsibilities. Today we would like to focus on the importance of community cohesiveness.

Because Glen Head and Glenwood Landing are in a total of six voting districts that I just mentioned, we frequently are aligned with farflung communities of which we have little knowledge and with which we have limited rapport, and we are isolated from our own neighbors and

In addition, we are truly mystified by the highly-irregular and creative shapes our voting districts have assumed over time.

Finally, for us, re-apportionment tends to be quite disorienting, because the lines that divide our community seem to shift after each cycle, a phenomena that is jarring, at best. With these points in mind, when recommending district lines, we urge you first and foremost to respect the historic ties and geographical identities of communities, specifically for our community, we request that whenever possible, all of Glen Head and all of Glenwood Landing be included whole and

2.2

Senate or State Assembly or U.S. Congress.

Furthermore we request that our natural connections with surroundings areas also be respected. We suggest that special district areas and major thoroughfares can serve as reasonable guides for where lines are most logically placed. We have included as an addendum a list of historically— and geographically—related communities in our area and a few other lists that we hope will be helpful.

We are confident that following these suggestions can produce fair, contiguous, diverse, competitive, reasonably-configured voting districts that empower voters and strengthen our democracy. Finally, going forward, we think that establishing an independent, non-partisan redistricting commission well before the next census is important to ensure the integrity of the voting process and the effectiveness of government. We applaud the work of good government groups such

1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	as Common Cause, the League of Women Voters, New
3	York Public Interest Research Group, and
4	Citizens' Union. We also think that the bill
5	proposed by the Governor and the Giannaris-
6	Jeffries bill offer a sound basis for
7	constructive discussion and much needed reform.
8	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this
9	important and fundamental matter, for your
10	efforts on behalf of all of us New Yorkers, and
11	for posting video and transcripts on the LATFOR
12	website, which I personally have found very
13	helpful.
14	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, and thank
15	you very much. Any questions of Ms. Benneward?
16	Thank you.
17	MS. BENNEWARD: Thank you.
18	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Deborah Massir.
19	Terry Gipson. Mr. Gipson.
20	MR. TERRY GIPSON, RHINEBECK VILLAGE
21	BOARD, CANDIDATE FOR NYS SENATE: Hello.
22	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It's my understanding
23	you are a village board member of Rhinebeck?
24	MR. GIPSON: That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Rhinebeck Village?

MR. GIPSON: That's correct. Duchess County, yes sir. My name's Terry Gipson, and I've travelled a long distance from my home in Rhinebeck to address the committee today.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Put the microphone, it seems to be not picking up.

MR. GIPSON: My name is Terry Gipson, and I've travelled a long distance from my home in Rhinebeck to address this committee today, and I appreciate the opportunity to speak. watched a great deal of testimony from previous hearings, and it is clear to me that you take your job very seriously and that you're very thorough in the process. It's just a shame that all of this time and energy could not have been put towards developing a fair and transparent process during the last legislative session. The history of this Taskforce has proven that in spite of your good intentions, the outcome of this process will be decided by the majority leaders of both houses behind closed doors. The very idea that elected officials have the

2.2

opportunity to draw the lines of their own districts really works against the democratic principles that you are all elected to uphold. You must know that no matter how hard you work, it is inherently impossible that this committee will be able to draw lines that are non-partisan. LATFOR is one of the most effective incumbent protection programs in this country. I would encourage everyone to visit the Senate and Assembly websites to see how many officials have been in Albany since the '70s, '80s, and '90s.

I know we like to think that this is a result of their hard work and diligence, but the truth, however, is that this more often than not occurs because district lines are drawn to favor incumbents, special interests, and the reigning majority in both houses. This will not change until you remove the politics from the process and LATFOR is politics at its most political. During the last session you could have shown America that New York is above all the partisanship that has paralyzed this country. Instead you let every New Yorker down when you

refused to enact the timely, effective, and truly independent redistricting reform you all promised. It is particularly disturbing that during the last election cycle, the entire Republican majority Senate as a block supported and signed the New York Uprising Pledge to reform our shameful redistricting process. Yet here you all sit engaging in business as usual. I, for one, refuse to stand by for another minute and let our democracy be held in jeopardy by a process that routinely allows our state legislators to select their voters instead of the voters selecting them.

It is exactly this type of behavior that has inspired me to take on the enormous challenge of running for the State Senate myself, so that we might finally end this type of political gainsmanship. By testifying today, I hope to influence others like me across the state not to wait another minute to mount a campaign against entrenched career politicians at both the state and federal level. Waiting is exactly what they hope we will do. In this partisan process is

2.2

just another wall incumbents have created to protect themselves. There is an important state and federal election just 13 months ahead. Every day that goes by without new and fairly drawn lines increases the weighted chances of an incumbent's reelection. You all know how difficult it is to mount a campaign and raise funds. Now imagine how hard it is to do without knowing where your district lines are or when your primary will take place. This Taskforce is not only engaging in partisan gerrymandering, you are also discouraging many highly-qualified candidates from running for office for both parties.

New York deserves to be represented by the best and the brightest among us. We deserve a non-partisan process that encourages all citizens to come out and take part in their government. Now the best thing that has come of this process is all the information and statistics that the public has shared with you during these hearings. I have no doubt than an independent redistricting commission could

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

benefit greatly from all the information that you have gathered. My request to you today is to halt these proceedings immediately and go back to Albany to pass the independent redistricting legislation that was promised to the people of New York last year. Stand up for democracy and tell your respective leaders that all New Yorkers already know, we already know, this game is rigged. Tell them you refuse to take part in this embarrassing process any longer. Wouldn't you rather be remembered as one who took part in an historic moment to make New York a shining example of democracy? I know that I would, and for the sake of our great state, I hope that you will return our state government to its rightful owners, the people of New York. Thank you again for your time.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, any questions for Mr. Gipson? I have a comment for the record, Mr. Gipson, that the New York State Senate did enact in bi-partisan fashion, an independent redistricting commission and that the record has reflected that in prior hearings that

2.2

the legislation was enacted by the State Senate to establish a constitutional amendment. Thank you very much for your testimony.

MR. GIPSON: I would just like to add to that that I think the constitutional amendment, while I understand how it occurred, to the public it appears just to be another stonewalling process. Thanks.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. Dr. Madhulike Khandelwal, and I would venture an educated guess that I terribly mispronounced your name. Doctor, thank you very much. Would you be so kind as to correctly pronounce your name?

DR. MADHULIKA KHANDELWAL, DIRECTOR,

ASIAN-AMERICAN CENTER, QUEENS COLLEGE: Actually,

I applaud your effort to pronounce it correctly.

I, myself, have gone through so many

pronunciations, that I just kind of say okay,

good, as long as I know it's me, it's fine. It's

Professor Khandelwal, and I'm here from Queens

College. I could not testify at the Queens

hearings, so I'm here, but I'm not speaking for

any particular community. I want to share a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

phenomenon and experience that I go through a lot teaching at Queens College. So my name if Madhulika Khandelwal, and I'm professor in the Urban Studies Department and Director of Asian American Center at Queens College. I teach courses on the changing demographics of the urban and suburban communities of New York City area and my research is on Asian American and immigrant populations. In my work I see a lot of students, you can well imagine, but also my work brings me in very close connection and communication with the communities, the diverse communities around Queens College. This is a larger point I'm trying to make is that these counties are going through tremendous amount of demographic transition, as you all know.

In every census, and actually the

American Communities Survey, brings out like, you

know, ongoing changes. I would like to identify
a population in this, which I call the new

American population, and this is signifying the

kind of demographic changes we are seeing. This
is a national phenomenon, we know that, but of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

course it is felt more in the urban areas, like

New York City, metropolitan area, but also in the

surrounding areas itself. By the new American

population I mean primarily two groups.

One, of course, there are immigrants that have come to this country especially after 1960's, post 1965 immigration, from all over the world, and of course they do represent so many cultures and races and religions and languages and so on and so forth, but also there is a group of younger generation Americans, whether they're children of immigrants or of long-term residents who have been here, the families have been here for a long time, who are growing in this kind of diversity and in my career, I feel that there is this need for them to understand what is going on with our multi-cultured populations and to become fuller participants in this American democracy. So both for the immigrant population and this younger generation, I feel these are the new Americans who are trying to engage with this new American, you know, kind of polity and society that's coming up, and this redistricting process

2.2

is extremely significant for them. I teach, actually, about redistricting, as well, in my classes itself. So in the immigrant and this new American population I feel that we, as professors, our job is not only to teach about these things, I encourage my students to know more about these things, and also to register to vote if they can, if they are naturalized citizens or they are citizens, they should register to vote itself.

So this has, this population has a huge bearing, I feel, on the redistricting process itself, how should we redistrict these things.

Very often in my community experience, in my social experience, I find that this new American population is either lumped together in a homogenous kind of groups, they're all aliens or they're all foreigners, they're not Americans, as such. That's why I'm using the term new Americans. Very often I find in my work and in my social communications or interactions that this population is seen as not only alien and foreigner, but they're so diverse, it's like a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

hodge podge, that they are almost non-descript. Now we don't know who these people are really, so I would underscore the need to kind of work with the demographics, with the changing demographics, and also it'd be wonderful if the commission and the people who make decisions can work with scholars and demographers who are seeing these trends, so that these communities can be encouraged to participate in American society and politics a little bit more than usual. I know the pace of change is tremendous, so it's a huge challenge we are talking about, but I have full faith that, you know, people like you will be looking at these demographic changes more closely and trying to keep these communities as much as possible together. Thank you so much.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Doctor, any questions? Senator Dilan.

SENATOR DILAN: Hello, ma'am?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Doctor, one second.

SENATOR DILAN: On Long Island has there been a significant growth in the Asian community or can you identify any towns or villages?

24

1

DR. KHANDELWAL: Actually my work is focused more on Queens itself, but, I mean, you are all familiar with this that in Oueens there is a very large, very rapid increase in the Asian-American population. I want to mention that first before I talk about Long Island. Queens, in my work, I have seen not only a growth in terms of population, but also a new leadership emerging, and I believe that you saw some of these people testify in the Queens hearing itself. I work with them very closely, many of these organizations, and they're very diverse within the Asian population. They could be Chinese, they could be Korean, they could be South-Asians, different groups of South-Asians and Filipinos and so on and so forth. So that Queens population is coming from the neighborhoods where immigrants are arriving. These are receiving areas, but once immigrants settle for some years, they do move out, as well, So we see this spillover of the riaht? population from neighborhoods like Flushing and Jackson Heights and Richmond Hill and Elmhurst

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

into more eastern Queens and then onto suburban areas of communities of Long Island. So the Asian population that's moving into Long Island is economically, socioeconomically, off uppermiddle class and kind of, there are more homeowners in this, so sometimes - - kind of spread out. For example my own group, Asian-Indian population, is really growing a lot over the last 20-30 years in Nassau and Suffolk, both. In fact I think it's the largest Asian population in Nassau, is that of Asian-Indians itself. So they are not like living in particular towns, as such, but they're pretty scattered all around, and I think the areas adjoining Queens in Nassau would have more populations of Koreans and Chinese, whereas Indian population would be more spread out itself.

MR. W. LOPEZ: In Rockville Center alone, you can see that there is a lot of business that is Asian. You have in Park Avenue and Merrick Road a lot of them. When I mean a lot, you're talking about maybe 15-20 of them right there, and I know that is true because by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Mineola and the Williston area, Glen Cove, there is a lot of Asian, of course, Indian, and they're wealthy, let's put it this way. They are business people. In Rockville Centre we have also our Indian individuals that are doctors and they come to the area meetings and you can see them there. But like you said they're scattered all over the place, you're right.

DR. KHANDELWAL: But I do want to mention, even though I'm very conscious about the Asian populations and my own Asian-Indian population, I do underscore what has been testified by the previous presenters here, that I would like to have the redistricting process support more multi-racial and multi-ethnic coalition building possible among different groups. Very often we see these groups as very fragmented and we just care about our own community, and that's why I said I'm not for any one community here. I am really for the multicultural and the multi-ethnic coalition building, and hopefully that will be represented in the people who are elected, as well, so these

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

communities are represented, as well. Thank you so much.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Doctor, one more question. Assemblyman?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I just wanted to make an observation. We were at the Queens public hearing and we had 40 or more people come and half of them were Asian of various ethnicities, and as one who has a background as an historian, I was amazed knowing the European American history of groups battling and competing each other for generation after generation. said to myself this very diverse group of people, Chinese, Korean, South India, Pakistani, etc. I said there's been some tremendous leadership in this 21st Century to bring these very diverse people representing different cultures, religions, and languages to speak in one voice as Asians on the political and governmental forum, and I think I'm not sure who gets all the credit, but I think it's very admirable to see that some very real and productive leadership has developed within this very diverse group that could be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

wasting a lot of time competing with one another or refusing to identify with one another, and I think a great deal of progress has been made in those ten years.

DR. KHANDELWAL: Can I respond just briefly to this? I appreciate your comments very much, and people like me are very much part of this coalition building and, you know, this new leadership that you have seen. Therefore I want to say that it's not only immigrants. some immigrants in that, but it's also a younger generation of leadership, and many of these younger generation people, especially, are very conscious about the commonalities that they share, not only with their own, you know, they care about their own ethnic group, but they also care about other Americans, regardless of the racial and ethnic divisions and the differences. I have worked personally with many of these people who testified in the Queens hearing, and we have a pan-Asian coalition and a network of these organizations, together with academics, you So Queen College has partnership with know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

these organizations, but also what we are seeing in them is a very heightened awareness, not only about, as I said, about their own ethnic and cultural diversity, but really where America is going forward. I know personally many people, many leaders, who have come back to these communities to help these organizations, even though they were not like living here. Many people have come back to Flushing. Many people have come back from, really, all over the They're coming back to Queens to help country. these organizations. So there is a kind of like new movement that we're seeing, a small movement forward, and I'm hoping that many of these people will also be working with other communities, as well, which they are.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

DR. KHANDELWAL: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

Jerry Vatamala. Premilla Nadasen. Good morning.

MS. CSAREENA DOTCHEV, ON BEHALF OF

PREMILLA NADASEN, ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF HISTORY,

QUEENS COLLEGE: Good morning, my name is

1 I	Page / Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	Csareena Dotchev, and I'll be presenting on
3	behalf of Premilla Nadasen. I'm a student
4	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] I'm
5	sorry, could you repeat that, please?
6	MS. DOTCHEV: My name is Csareena
7	Dotchev, I'm a student at Queens College, and
8	I'll be reading the testimony on behalf of
9	Premilla Nadasen.
10	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Without objection
11	from the panel, I think that it's appropriate as
12	a learning experience for this student.
13	MS. DOTCHEV: Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: And could you
15	spell your name? I didn't catch that.
16	MS. DOTCHEV: Sure, that C-Z-A-R-E-E-N-
17	A. Last name, D-O-T-C-H-E-V.
18	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
19	MS. DOTCHEV: Thank you. My name is
20	Premilla Nadasen. I'm a professor of History at
21	Queens College, City University of New York,
22	where I've been teaching for the past 13 years.
23	I'm currently doing research on domestic worker
24	organizing and have published widely on the

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 subject.

24

1

2

One of my most important research conclusions deals with a multi-racial and multiethnic character of these organizing efforts. The vast majority of domestic workers are They come from all parts of the immigrants. globe, El Salvador, Jamaica, Nepal, the Philippines, Nigeria, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Barbados, Brazil, Mexico, and the list goes on. While much of the literature on immigration focuses on the tensions and conflicts among immigrant communities, their competition for scarce resources or neighborhood territoriality, my research examines these communities from a different angle, from their perspective as Their ethnicity and racial backgrounds workers. are inextricably bound up with their employment Many of them secured their jobs through informal neighborhood networks and most who are working as domestics are able to do so because of their racial or ethnic background. This is a racially and class-stratified occupation, where certain immigrants are deemed most appropriate as

2.2

nannies, cleaners, and caretakers. Nevertheless these domestic workers were able to overcome the barriers of race, culture, language, and develop a multi-racial organizing model that should prompt us to rethink our standard interpretation of immigrant conflict. Indeed what my research shows is that domestic workers, whatever their background, have a great deal in common in their status as a marginalized and excluded worker. These are workers who do not have the right to collective bargaining, who are often underpaid and overworked, who are rarely offered social security or unemployment benefits, who are often verbally and physically abused.

These conditions of the occupation
brought together Latino, African, Asian, and
Middle Eastern workers. They have formed a
multi-racial coalition where they have been able
to find common ground in their interests as
workers. In 2007 at their urging, the New York
City Council passed a New York City law that
requires employment agencies to inform workers
and employers of workers' rights and just last

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

year they aided in the passage of the nation's first ever Domestic Worker Bill of Rights.

They are currently working with the U.S. Department of Labor on national regulations for domestic work and the International Labor Organization on Global Standards. These are no small feats for a group of impoverished, relatively powerless domestic workers. Their victories are a testament to the power they have managed to amass as a multi-racial, multi-ethnic organization that speaks in a distinctive voice in the interest of all domestic workers, whatever their background. It is a testament to significance of class in shaping the interests and worldview of individuals. It is a testament to the ways in which immigrant communities across the boundaries of ethnicity to embrace others who they see as their allies. The implication of this, of course, is that in the redistricting process, it is important that immigrant communities not be viewed as a uni-dimensional but that their class interests and their status as workers also be considered. Thank you.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much. Nancy Marr.

MS. NANCY MARR, MEMBER SUFFOLK COUNTY
REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS,
SUFFOLK COUNTY: Good morning, members of LATFOR,
and thank you for coming to Suffolk County. My
name is Nancy Marr. I'm the representative of
the Suffolk County League of Women Voters, and
I'm also a representative of the League of Women
Voters on the Suffolk County Re-Apportionment
Commission. Our League played a significant role
in drafting the legislation for this independent
commission and supported its adoption.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Excuse me, was it an independent commission, or bi-partisan?

MS. MARR: That's an interesting question. It's non-partisan, and in reality, I think that's become difficult. We had four members appointed by the Republican minority leader, four members appointed by the Democratic majority leader, and we've just begun to meet, but I'm seeing that there is an issue with whether you can be bi-partisan and non-partisan

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 1 2 and independent. But we're not connected to the 3 legislature at all. 4 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Then it was 5 bi-partisan, no legislators on the commission. MS. MARR: Right. 6 7 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Okay, thank 8 you. 9 MS. MARR: And at our meeting the legislators stepped back and the commission 10 11 worked on its own, but we're just really getting 12 started, and I'm grateful to hear all these 13 complicated things that we're going to be dealing 14 with. 15 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. 16 MS. MARR: The League of Women Voters is 17 a non-partisan organization which encourages informed and active involvement in government and 18 19 influences public policy through education and 20 advocacy. We are also members of a statewide 21 coalition dedicated to reforming New York's 2.2 redistricting process, Reshape New York, which consists of 35 organizations, including civic 23

groups, issue advocacy groups, unions, and

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

business organizations. While we appreciate the opportunity that today's hearing provides to comment on the redistricting process, we believe that New Yorkers have already spoken loud and clear on the drawing of district lines. public want an independent commission, not LATFOR, to draw State Legislative and Congressional District boundaries according to fair and objective criteria, while allowing for public input into the process. An independent commission drawing impartial district lines would maintain the legislature's ability to give input on the plan and ultimately pass legislation in accordance with the State Constitution. Voters across all parties believe an independent body should draw the lines.

The Governor has proposed legislation to form an independent commission. In addition 61 out of 62 Senators, and 123 out of 150 Assembly Members have either signed on to his legislation or legislation proposed in their respective houses or signed pledges and questionnaires from good government groups in support of reforming

2.2

the redistricting process. A key element of reforming the redistricting process is limiting the allowable population difference between legislative districts that in the past has created districts that vary widely in population size, thereby favoring one region over another. The current redistricting process has also historically protected incumbents, including carving incumbents' competitors' homes out of districts and discourage competition in our electoral system. In the 2010 election New York State had one of the lowest of voter participation in the nation, coming in at 47th among states. This is no surprise.

Why should people vote if they face no real choice in candidates? Meaningful discussion of public policy has also taken a back seat to years of partisan rhetoric and late budgets because of the political impasse created by putting partisan interests before the public interest. Ignoring the public will and continuing with LATFOR proceedings, the only legally-mandated process at this time, will end

2.2

in Governor Cuomo vetoing the very lines it draws, making this entire process a waste of time. Legislators must honor their words and keep their commitments. They should return to Albany during a special legislative session to end partisan gerrymandering and enact redistricting reform by handing the power of the pen to an independent commission to draw impartial legislative and Congressional lines. New Yorkers cannot wait another ten years for reform.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any questions of Ms. Marr from the panel? I have a question.

MS. MARR: Yes.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I think your testimony would be, not your written testimony, but the testimony you gave us when you started to discuss your own experiences with the so-called independent system that Suffolk is engaged in, that you said it all there. You believe that the challenges of creating something that's truly independent is difficult, nigh impossible.

MS. MARR: It may be possible, and I

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 1 2 must stress that we're at the very beginning of 3 our process. 4 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Are there any 5 limitations on those who can be selected as a member of the committee? 6 7 MS. MARR: Yes. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Are campaign 8 9 contributors to the county executive or county legislature excluded from that process? 10 11 That's not part of the MS. MARR: 12 I know that we cannot have served or process. 13 plan to serve in an elected official position. 14 Judges have to have retired for ten years. 15 think the legislators who selected the members 16 really worked very hard to find people who would 17 be fair, and we're charged with doing that. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I certainly think 18 19 that your selection speaks for fairness, although 20 you may have contributed to a political 21 candidate. You may have been a member of a 2.2 political action committee. You or others on 23 this committee may have been a member of a union,

political activist group. I think therein lies

24

1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	the issue when you're talking about something
3	that's independent, how is independence defined,
4	and how is it regulated?
5	MS. MARR: I think we do have a balance,
6	because it's four judges, either Democrat or
7	Republican, and four members of good government
8	groups or minority groups who are not allied with
9	any political party.
10	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I'm sorry to
11	interrupt, but it's such a good, you're bringing
12	up such important information. Were those judges
13	elected or appointed?
14	MS. MARR: Had they been, ten years ago?
15	Probably elected.
16	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Probably elected, so
17	I guess it's okay for an elected judge to be out
18	of commission, but not an elected legislator.
19	MS. MARR: But they have to have been
20	retired for ten years.
21	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Oh, retired?
22	MS. MARR: Yes, yes, retired judges.
23	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It's a fascinating
24	subject, and thank you very much for your input

	110000
1 I	Page 8. Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	into the commission.
3	MR. LOPEZ: I just want to ask you a
4	question. You said there are eight members on
5	the committee?
6	MS. MARR: Yes.
7	MR. LOPEZ: And there is four Democrats
8	and four Republicans?
9	MS. MARR: No. There were four members
10	appointed by a Republican and four members
11	appointed by a Democrat. Those of us who are not
12	judges are not connected to a party. We were
13	selected by the Republican or the Democrat, but
14	none of us are connected to a party.
15	MR. LOPEZ: So basically the
16	MS. MARR: [Interposing] We are non-
17	partisan.
18	MR. LOPEZ: So you were selected by
19	whom?
20	MS. MARR: I was selected by the
21	Democrat.
22	MR. LOPEZ: Okay, thank you.
23	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Assemblyman McEneny.
24	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I think one

2.2

the, I wish you a lot of luck. It's a difficult thing to do any kind of a redistricting, and your motives for drawing a line to the left or the right are always suspect and some people believe what they want to believe. I would assume that your end product will be as good as you can make it, working with different opinions, different backgrounds of people, and it will then go to the County Executive and then the County Executive, if he feels or she feels, because I know--

MS. MARR: [Interposing] Excuse me, it goes to the Legislature first.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Oh, it goes to the Legislature first, correct. That happened with a bi-partisan group, non-legislative, in Erie County and the Legislature tweaked it a little bit, the County Executive didn't like it, he vetoed it, the Legislature would not override the veto, so it wound up going to the courts.

MS. MARR: In our system we have two chances to make it right and then it goes to the court.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Right, and in

22 Cortlandt Street - Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

2.2

the case of Erie County, the courts cancelled the primary. So if you're on good terms with your political party, you don't have to worry about a primary, you just go to the general. That's one of the worries about throwing things into the courts, is that it's a very unpredictable world.

MS. MARR: We want to avoid that.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: So it's a very serious risk. We'd like to avoid it, too, and right now we have the worry that regardless, if we take all of the input that we've been getting in this first round, and this first round will be over before the election, and we take a look at some of the maps, we've received some very good maps and some good suggestions this morning from Common Cause, and we do the best we can and we come out with a product that looks very good, do you think it's appropriate for a chief executive to say he's going to veto it before it's even drawn?

MS. MARR: He said he would veto it if it seemed partisan. I don't think he said he would just veto in any case.

1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: So if it's a
3	good product, then the Governor should sign it.
4	MS. MARR: He should sign it, yes.
5	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: If it's unfair
6	or blatantly partisan to the disadvantage of the
7	general populace, then he should veto it. We are
8	agreement with that. I appreciate, good luck, I
9	appreciate the hard work that this will take.
10	MS. MARR: Ulster County, by the way,
11	had very good results with their independent
12	commission.
13	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: It was bi-
14	partisan. They testified, yes.
15	MS. MARR: I talked with the League
16	person who was on it and what she said
17	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
18	Bi-partisan but no legislators.
19	MS. MARR: Some political people and
20	some non-political people, and the effort was
21	good.
22	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yes.
23	MS. MARR: Thank you.
24	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much,

Ms. Marr. Larry Silverman. Dr. Dilcia
Granvilla.

2.2

DR. DILCIA GRANVILLA: Good morning, everyone.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Good morning.

DR. GRANVILLA: Thank you for giving me the opportunity to testify. I am Dr. Dilcia Granvilla, Vice-President of the Dominican-American National Round Table in Long Island, and I'm also a resident of Nassau County for the past 22 years. On behalf of the Dominican-American National Round Table, I would like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to address you regarding the redistricting of New York Legislative and Congress.

The Dominican National Round Table is a national organization founded in 1997 with a mission of representing and advocating for the educational, economic, and civil rights of the two million Dominicans in the United States, including the large population of Dominicans in New York and Long Island. We would like you to consider the following in the performance of your

duties. According to the 2010 U.S. Census, in 2010 there were 50.5 million Hispanics in the United States, composing 16 percent of the total population. Hispanics increased by 15.2 million, or 43 percent, between 2000 and 2010 Census, which accounted for over half of the total population growth that occurred in the U.S. over the past years. In Long Island alone the growth was 55 percent, accounting for 441,494. The Census Bureau released will specifically look at the population of a state showing dramatic growth of the Hispanic population.

In New York, for example, Hispanics account for one out of every two individuals added to the total adult 18+ population in the state. Hispanics make up 19 percent of the state's total population and has grown 27 percent of the New York City population. The Bronx has 256,000 Dominicans alone and Washington Heights about 126,000. The emergence of the Latinos are the largest minority in the state as per the 2010 Census requires the creation of majority Latino district or Latino opportunity district that will

24

1

allow us to elect candidates of our choice in numbers commensurate with our population. We propose a majority Latino Congressional District that will unite the communities of interest of Long Island, which would include Brentwood. Bayshore, Central Islip, the community of Freeport, and all the vicinity that was presented by the map, previous map. This map affords this Taskforce the opportunity to add a Congressional District and an Assembly District that will bring Latino representation closer to the proportion our population merits. If this Taskforce intends to provide fair and accurate representation to all New Yorkers, it will draw maps that will afford the Latino population of New York accurate and fair representation of the legislature. This special joint committee of redistricting should consider creating legislative districts in the area we've highlighted as the Voting Rights Act provides for keeping together the votes of minority groups in districts that they may elect candidates of their choice. Thank you very much. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much,

	Page 8
1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	Doctor. Any questions of the panel?
3	MR. LOPEZ: Just a question on the
4	document that you were submitting right now. You
5	also have, that you were talking about Washington
6	Heights?
7	DR. GRANVILLA: Well, we talk about,
8	we've been testifying for in the Washington
9	MR. LOPEZ: [Interposing] One of my
10	questions is, are you going to have, are you
11	going to present the commission with maps?
12	DR. GRANVILLA: We are going to present
13	the commission a map showing the district that we
14	are proposing. They are all the districts that
15	the Latino has proposed, but we have our own map
16	that we'll be proposing soon.
17	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Okay, thank you very
18	much, Doctor. Carmen Julia Pinnerio. We have
19	been joined by Assemblywoman Michelle Schimel.
20	Assemblywoman, thank you very much for your
21	participation. Did you wish to testify?
22	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Please come
23	forward.
24	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Good morning,
	ii

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
Assemblywoman.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MICHELLE SCHIMEL, 16TH
ASSEMBLY DISTRICT: Good morning, thank you and
welcome to Long Island, and I understand you're
going to be also joining us in Old Westbury,
which is my district, so double hit, and that's
very good, because I have to say this is a
wonderful process, and Jack is my seatmate,
actually, in the Assembly, and I know the panel,
and it's a great panel.

I actually hadn't planned on speaking, because I felt as a legislator that this is really for the public, that I don't have a place at the table, because, you know, it would appear self-serving to be here, but I have to tell you, now that you're on Long Island, I felt compelled to say just one brief statement. I did read the bill, there's a program bill, I familiarized myself with it again last night, and I understand the tenants of what wants to be accomplished with this redistricting, to make it independent, to make it transparent, and I understand that and I sign on fully. But I do want to say there are

2.2

things that cannot be codified in legislation, and I want you to keep this in mind, the texture of a community and what it means. In other words it's a wonderfully diverse state, but there are things that are not necessarily understood. I am a Long Island girl, but I was born and bred in Brooklyn, and I went to school in Brooklyn, and I went through the city schools in Brooklyn, and now I am an ex-pat, I am now on Long Island. The two shall never meet. You can't take the Brooklyn girl out of me, but I'm still a Long Island girl.

The government is different, the sensibilities are different, and you cannot forget that. One of the things that concerns me, I am the border, I'm border girl. I am right on the border of Nassau County and Queens County, and sometimes my signs, my campaign signs blend into Queens, and we fight over that. What are you doing in Queens? But keep in mind that it's not the same, and there is talk sometimes of bleeding from Nassau into Queens. It's not the same political process; it's not the same

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 1 2 understanding. I have to say Long Island needs its fair share in terms of representation. 3 Ι 4 feel I represent my district fairly well. I'll 5 know next November. But I have to say, it changes when you cross over the border. Is it 6 7 self-serving? Perhaps, but at the same time it's 8 self-serving, I am a representative and I have to 9 tell you, there are concerns if you bleed a district like Nassau County into Queens County, 10 11 everything changes. So I'd like to, you know, 12 for you to understand that, that there are 13 unwritten borders and unwritten sensibilities and 14 textures that communities have. It was touched 15 upon in the legislation, but I just wanted you to 16 keep that in mind as you go forward. Thank you 17 so much. 18 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, 19 Assemblywoman. 20 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. 21 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Paul Evans. Joan 2.2 Gibbs. Good morning. MS. JOAN GIBBS, GENERAL COUNSEL, CENTER 23 FOR LAW AND SOCIAL JUSTICE: Good morning. 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

name is Joan Gibbs, and I'm the general counsel for the Center for Law and Social Justice at Medger Evans College. Our Executive Director, Esmerelda Simmons, has already testified twice, and I'm not going to repeat what she talked about, but I do want to reiterate briefly four of our concerns, what our concerns for this redistrict cycle is. Namely, - - with respect to one person, one vote rule, the Voting Rights Act, that you respect and preserve the existing communities of interest and not divide populations and communities with common needs and concerns. We also are concerned, of course, and you know that, with the implementation with the new prison gerrymandering law. We believe strongly that incarcerated persons should be counted at their home address instead of their places of incarceration.

Yesterday, along with the Asian-American Legal Defense Fund, Latino Justice Prldef,
National Institute for Latino Policy, we released a joint draft proposal for the New York State
Senate and Assembly District for New York City,

2.2

and I came today principally to respond to questions and concerns you might have about out maps, if you've reviewed them. As long-term voting rights advocates, we work together using established redistricting criteria to create these maps. Our maps adhere to the one person, one vote requirement of the United States Constitution by maintaining a nearly uniform legal level of deviation for all the districts. The deviations are all under five percent.

We have honored the mandates of the federal Voting Rights Act by respecting the voting strength of New York City's large racial minority populations and by avoiding retrogression, thus we have preserved the number of black districts in the Assembly and the Senate while simultaneously expanding the number of districts in the Latino and Asian communities to respect their growth in population. The districts that we have drawn are meaningful for the black community. They give the black community ability to elect the candidates of their choice.

2.2

Further we have drawn lines that seek to preserve and protect communities of interest that share common interests, resources, and institutions of culture. We have also sought to respect neighborhoods, both traditional and emerging. In closing I'd just like to say that the Center, along with the other organizations that we work with, urge you to consider our proposed draft maps and join the Senate and Assembly Districts, and I'd also like to drop a footnote to say that these maps are proposed primarily because we didn't have the prison data.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

MS. GIBBS: The maps were submitted to, okay. Okay, thank you.

FEMALE VOICE: They will be distributed to all the members of the Taskforce, the Justice Department, and it's all part of the submission.

MS. GIBBS: Okay, thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Again, for the record, that each of your submissions will be submitted, I was looking for your maps. I guess you just submitted one copy?

	Dago (
1	Page 9 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	MS. GIBBS: They were submitted
3	electronically.
4	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Electronically.
5	FEMALE VOICE: They were submitted in
6	detail. The geography that makes up the
7	districts, we didn't have time to present them to
8	you, and I don't think this would have been
9	enough time for you to analyze and respond. It
10	was very detailed. I know it was for the five
11	boroughs of New York City, and people need an
12	opportunity to digest what you've submitted, and
13	I assure you we will.
14	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Let the record
15	reflect that we will make sure, staff will make
16	sure, that your submission of mapping will be
17	submitted in hard copy to each, and electronic
18	copy, to each member of the Taskforce.
19	MS. GIBBS: And we could do that, as
20	well, if there's a problem.
21	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I think that it will
22	be, it is directed to staff, and they will take
23	care of it. Thank you very, very much.
24	ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: I just had a

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	question related to the maps. Are they Senate,
3	Assembly, and Congressional?
4	MS. GIBBS: No, they're only Senate and
5	Assembly. Our Congressional maps will be
6	available hopefully before the cycle ends. We're
7	working on them now.
8	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Time is of the
9	essence. We were going to be getting another
10	round of hearings soon, but those can't begin
11	until there are plans that are submitted, so
12	thank you for
13	MS. GIBBS: [Interposing] We're working
14	on the Congressional maps.
15	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Senator Dilan.
16	SENATOR DILAN: Yes, I just have several
17	quick questions. You made the submission, I have
18	not had the opportunity to see it yet, but I was
19	just wondering, you indicated that in all cases
20	the deviation is five percent or less?
21	MS. GIBBS: It's less than five percent.
22	SENATOR DILAN: Less than five percent.
23	Was there any other criteria or methodology that
24	you used to come up with these lines? And, you

know, me not seeing the districts yet--

2.2

MS. GIBBS: [Interposing] All the criteria that we use is the criteria I began with. We used, primarily, we focused on the one person, one vote principal and we focused on preserving communities of interest. That was our main primary focus was communities of interest and, of course, the Voting Rights Act. So that was the criteria.

SENATOR DILAN: Basically or solely based on the, just the data from the Census without any other consideration? Or were you considering, let's say, if there was already a Latino representative or an African-American representative, was that taken into account.

MS. GIBBS: Well, let me be honest, I'm the lawyer in the group. I'm not the person who draws the maps. But I will tell you from what I know, the discussions with those people that they considered, there were a lot of discussions about at the community level about communities. We met with groups—

SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] So you

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 1 2 held your own type of hearings or meetings. MS. GIBBS: Well not hearings, we had 3 4 meetings, like the Latino community, that work 5 was principally done by Latino Justice. They met with a lot of people including incumbents. 6 7 probably met with people who might be thinking about running for office. So they met with a lot 8 9 of people. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. 10 11 SENATOR DILAN: Thank you. 12 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: David Stonehill. 13 Naville Walter. Paul Maloy. Mike Reichert. 14 Good morning. It's good afternoon, good 15 afternoon, 12:01. Are you Mike or Paul. 16 MR. MIKE REICHERT: I'm Mike Reichert. 17 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Could you state your name and address and organization, if there is 18 19 any, for the record. 20 MR. REICHERT: I'm Mike Reichert, 6 Atlantic Avenue, East Moriches, New York. My 21 2.2 affiliation is that I am independent, nonpartisan. I embrace that because it offers me a 23 24 certain credibility with people when I speak with

them. My issues tend to be, come down more on faith than on partisanship. I am, however, aligned and do act in a public forum through various independent groups as the issues arise. On this particular issue, New York Uprising is one that's caught my attention. Former Mayor Ed Koch, I'd like to thank him for his efforts and his work as a good, has been very motivating for me to be involved in this, as well. I'd like to address, also, the cynicism of the electorate in some of the partisan process.

I know that living in a partisan world, as you do, it's difficult to be removed from that, and you have my sympathy in that regard. The effect of partisanship in our process has led to the polarization of the electorate. The way the maps are currently drawn, the districts are currently drawn, means that incumbents have to appeal to the most partisan, often the most radical, members of the party in power in that district. That's led to turn-off to the middle independents. We've lost the middle in our political discussion on a local, state, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

national level. This is a process that began years ago and was addressed by, very eloquently, by Senator Moynahan at that time, a Senator who, by the way, served under five different presidents of both parties. But this partisanship has led to the polarization of the Assembly and the Senate. It's led, also, to representatives and politicians who are not practiced in appealing to the middle, and that's spilled over into our discussions in the public The movement of independents is quite forum. striking. Today, as we speak, Moveon.org has over 850 people joining the Occupy Wall Street movement in New York City. A lot of these independents follow social media and yet our traditional media still serves a very good place.

I call attention to former Mayor Koch's op-ed on Sunday in Crain's New York, and he discusses a little bit about this process, as well. And, yes, we are aware that there was legislation in regard to redistricting. However, that legislation doesn't go into effect until 2022. The pledge that was given by people for,

24

1

to New York Uprising on having a fair process was for this year's process. School districts are where the rubber hits the road for constituents. William Floyd School District is one in which I am an alumni of and it's represented at this time by about three State Senators, possibly four. One example of a pledge and an accountability is that Senator Foley made a pledge to our alumni association of Veteran's Memorial. On that basis we spent money and that money was never forthcoming. Senator Foley is no longer a Senator and Governor Patterson, who is also seen as having reneged on that, is also no longer Governor. However, it is my mission that neither of the three remaining State Senators will not share accountability evenly, but will be held a hundred percent accountable each, because there's not a Senator Foley issue, nor a Democratic issue, it is the State Senate issue. In fact it's the State Government's issue. I will draw attention to the Quinnipiac College poll, it says three to one people are in favor of independent redistricting. The argument that school

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

districts are drawn poorly is not an excuse to fit a square peg into a round hole to accommodate a faulted system with even more faulted district If the school district lines are a problem, they should be fixed, but the districts for the representation should lead the way. There must be balance between upstate and downstate district. Currently the downstate district is currently under-represented. remains is as the Albany Times Union editorial of August 10th suggested, whether this will be a process to serve incumbent partisans or will it These serpentine serve its constituents. districts have to be discarded. Will this panel serve the best interest of the state and our Republic or partisan incumbency? I hope that you'll take the leadership in New York and let New York lead the nation in this process and bring pride to the state, that the lines be drawn where people live and not based upon partisan politics. Thank you very much.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you. Lenny Levy. Elise Harris. Good afternoon.

24

1

MS. ELISE HARRIS, COUNTERPUBLIC: Good afternoon, members of LATFOR, my name is Elise Harris. I'm a member of Counterpublic NYC. is a community-based organization. We do public education for LGBT of color and allies. organize trainings, workshops, and lectures at no cost to the public and we did one about redistricting this year. I applaud the legislature's commitment to examining the issue of redistricting and to holding public hearings to receive testimony from the public. pleased to testify today to share some insights and concerns. My first is that I want to argue that communities of interest in Babylon and Islip should not be cracked by State Senate District A majority of black and Hispanic lines. residents of Suffolk County live in Babylon and Islip. Unfortunately the line that divides the State Senate District 3 from State Senate District 4 directly splits Islip's Hispanic community into two parts. The line dividing State Senate Districts 4 and 8 directly splits Babylon's black community into two parts.

24

1

These district lines also split majority-minority school districts, whereas in other non-minority parts of Suffolk County, State Senate lines don't split school districts. The Babylon and Islip district lines split communities of interest much as district lines split Hempstead in Nassau County. Babylon and Islip's minority communities share important socioeconomic concerns. Over the last decade there has been significant and ongoing political organization on Long Island around issues like wage theft in supermarkets, public transit problems, sub-prime mortgage related foreclosures, violence against immigrants, and the lack of affordable housing. Groups like Long Island Jobs for Justice, New York Communities for Change, and the Long Island Immigrant Alliance organize across racial lines on Long Island including on Suffolk County. Shared socioeconomic concerns create a joint community of interest among black and Hispanic residents of Long Island and I would argue with people of shared socioeconomic background, both within the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Babylon-Islip cluster and in Hempstead, up and down--I respectfully request that LATFOR take note of these Voting Rights Act violations triggered by these district lines.

My second point is that there's been significant population shifts that alter the nature of city and suburb, and both Nassau and Suffolk Counties have experienced significant demographic change over the last ten years. White, non-Hispanic residents have declined in number, while the number of Hispanic residents has increased. Suffolk County is now 22 percent black and Hispanic, up from 16 percent a decade ago. Nassau's Hispanic population has increased by 20 percent since 2000. Many residents in the counties controlled by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act have been displaced by economic gentrification, particularly in Kings County and New York County, Manhattan. Also Oueens might be a little more complicated picture.

So I think that a lot of the increased minority population in Nassau and Suffolk really calls for attention from LATFOR, given that

2.2

historically Voting Rights Act controlled populations are no longer where they once lived and some of these populations are instead increasing on Long Island and I think call very much for Voting Rights Act attention from you, and I also added some maps that have to do with Hispanic populations on the Southshore on the south side of both Nassau and Suffolk County. Thank you very much for your time. Good afternoon.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any questions of Ms. Harris? Thank you very much. Regina Calcaterra.

MS. REGINA CALCATERRA: Hi, Mr. Chairman and members of the Taskforce, good afternoon, and thank you for having me here today. My name is Regina Calcaterra, and I'm a resident of New Suffolk in the Town of Southold in the County of Suffolk, the county where I was born and raised. I'm a partner in the law firm Barrick, Rodez, and Basine [phonetic] where I litigate against egregious and complex corporate fraud, actually bringing back billions of dollar to our public pension funds, including those here in New York

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and for wronged investors, as well. I'm testifying on my own behalf today in this hearing, because I believe the process of reapportionment must be non-partisan and for the people of eastern Suffolk County, focus on three primary criteria.

First, the maps for new legislative districts must make geographic sense. Second, the critical industries to eastern Suffolk County's economy must be protected from political gerrymandering and not split and weakened so that they continue to be heard with a clear voice in Albany. And third, special attention must be paid to leaving communities intact. According to the Census, the districts that significantly represent eastern Suffolk County, such as the 1st Congressional District, the 1st Senatorial District, and the 1st Assembly District, were all among the fastest growing districts in all of New In fact the Town of Riverhead has York State. been the fastest growing town in all of Long Demographically the Hispanic community Island. has been a leader in growth, as we've heard many

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

times today, and I'm sure you've heard many times throughout the hearing process, and economically, eastern Suffolk County's agricultural community has remained a leading industry and employer.

The 1st Senatorial District, from a geographic and practical perspective, presents a unique situation for this panel. This is one of the most populated in New York State and has experienced some of its fastest growth. Yet from a geographic perspective it remains among the largest in terms of square miles. A State Senate District of this size, with this geography, is challenged to meet the needs of its people as effectively as it should compared to the size of other Senate Districts. As of now, more than 20,000 of its residents must be placed into a new district to bring population parity to the 1st Senatorial District, and you cannot - - the lines must be moved from west to east. This must be done with care and respect for voting rights, as outlined in the U.S. Constitution, and this must be done with geographic sensibility. And importantly it must be done with respect to the

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 taxpayer.

24

1

2

Last year Gannett News Service reported that the State Senator for the 1st Senate District led all 212 legislators in spending on constituent amount, more than \$350,000 in just a short period of time, and with the excuse that there were so many households in the 1st Senatorial District that he actually found it In fact the 1st Senatorial District necessary. experienced the highest population increase within its borders than all other State Senate Districts, thus bringing it to the present population of over 341,000, which is now the third most populous district in the state, I believe following Senator Carlucci's and Senator Dilan's and followed by 16 Senate Districts that have between 320,000-336,000. The remaining 45 districts trail behind with 14 of them actually having less than 300,000 within their district, and this disparity between the less than 300,000 in many of the districts in the over 340 in the 1st Senate District is a disparity of much more than ten percent, which definitely needs to be

2.2

addressed this cycle. To the extent that the 1st Senatorial District must lose population to achieve parity with other districts throughout New York, it should be reduced in size geographically by moving vertical lines from west to east. At the same time communities should be left intact where possible. Those who live within the same school districts and towns and neighborhoods and hamlets, where possible, should not be split.

Currently, for example, part of Selden is situated in the 1st Senatorial District and part in the 2nd Senatorial District, which is Middle Country School District, which was previously mentioned. There are times when this may not be avoided as far as dividing communities, but frankly I ask that you just simply try harder to keep communities together. Eastern Suffolk County is also unique from an economic perspective. The heart of this region is the most active agricultural community in all of New York State. There are hundreds of farms in Suffolk County and most of them are in the 1st

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Senatorial District where I reside, and I have an amendment to my testimony, because in my testimony I wrote that our agricultural community on the eastern end of Long Island is responsible for more than 200 million annually coming back to The new number from the Long Island that area. Farm Bureau and Ag Markets is actually 300 million dollars in revenue that it generates. So in addition to bringing back 300 million dollars in local commerce, it also includes tourism from the North Forks renowned wine industry. With our farms taking up more than 35,000 acres in Suffolk County's east end, dividing those farms between Senate Districts would weaken our agricultural communities political voice in Albany at the very time our economy demands their voice be heard These, I believe, are the most loud and clear. important considerations one should take when conducting re-apportionment for eastern Suffolk County.

As a resident of this part of the state,

I hope the commission will pay close attention to
the important economic and representational

2.2

topics I've discussed. Now, as a resident of New York State, there are more general reapportionment topics about which I wish to testify. Most alarmingly, we're now hearing that the Republican Senate majority is considering the addition of a 63rd Senate seat and recently, it has come to my attention, and has been part of the media fodder, that the additions of a 64th and 65th Senate seat have also been floated as ideas. Members of this Taskforce, we have public sector workers who are facing layoffs of about 3500.

We have a state economy that is still staggering with every single school district in the 1st Senatorial District experienced cuts in state aid this year, and the idea of adding even one additional seat to the State Senate is profoundly disrespectful to all state taxpayers. I ask that you take this off the table as an option immediately. And in fact, based upon the recent Census data, where New York lost over one and a half million residents, if we calculate every Senate District to be about 320,000 voters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

each, we could probably stand to eliminate a few Senate seats, as opposed to adding one. Because of this reality, any attempt to add more Senators could only be seen as an act of political expediency rather than a legal, democratic There is also a lawsuit pending in the reform. State Supreme Court on the issue of whether districts upstate in which prisons are located should include prisoners from various parts of the state, including Suffolk County, as residents of those counties. What strikes me as noteworthy about that lawsuit is the plaintiff's classification of Senate Districts as Republican Districts or Democratic Districts. I mean, first of all, this completely regards the tens of thousands of voters who are out there who are third parties or non-affiliated with any party, and second of all, political parties do not own legislative districts, the people do.

Finally, with all due respect to this commission, as a voter and a taxpayer, the best solution for re-apportionment is to take it out of the hands of elected politicians and create an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

independent redistricting commission now, not ten years from now, but now. Legislative leaders have the power to call a special session right now, today, and place such a bill before the legislature for a vote with the requirements that an independent commission complete its work in 30 We could have new legislative lines drawn days. independently by Thanksqiving. With the course you're on now you're risking a veto by the Governor and having district lines determined by the courts and again will be adding to the public's perception of a corrupt Albany. This does not help democracy, and it does not help the perception of Albany. To close I want to take this opportunity to echo those who say voters should select their legislators and legislators should not pick their voters. Thank you for your time.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

MS. CALCATERRA: No questions?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: We have gone through the list. Any questions from the members of the panel? No, thank you very much. We've gone

2.2

through the list as presented. I will now call, as our custom, everyone who was called earlier, but did not testify. H. Scottie Coads. Did I pronounce your last name correctly?

MS. H. SCOTTIE COADS, CHAIR, CIVIC ENGAGEMENT NAACP NEW YORK STATE: Good afternoon. My sheet said good morning, so I should have been here earlier.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Definitely.

MS. COADS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the LATFOR Committee. My name is Hazel Scottie Coads. I'm the Civic Engagement Chair of the New York State NAACP. The National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, found in 1909, and the oldest Civil Rights organization in our country, has seen its share of disappointments, disenfranchisements, and, yes, downright racism. We continue to stay in the struggle, fighting and supporting causes that will benefit all disenfranchised people, especially minorities. Today our fight in on behalf of the minority communities in Nassau and Suffolk Counties. We have been disenfranchised

2.2

since the beginning of these counties' existence, as well as throughout the State of New York. Through the redistricting process each of you have been appointed the legislative responsibility to administer, hopefully, fair and good government to the communities throughout New York. The NAACP is quite disappointed, though, that this legislative body does not have an African-American representative as part of the LATFOR Taskforce. Each of you should be proud to serve on this committee, but should be equally concerned that of the 3.1 million African-Americans in the State of New York, not one sits on the LATFOR committee.

I am sure some of you possibly have
African-Americans living in your districts. I am
sure some of you possibly have African-Americans,
the absence of African-Americans on the committee
is a blatant disregard for the minority
communities. Because of this importance of
fairness and good government in the decisions you
make regarding redistricting, it is a real
concern to us. Let me make my points of concern.

24

1

It has been four decades, forty years, since LATFOR has come to Nassau County. Forgive me, I prefer to say 40 years because it resonates better when the people of Nassau County begin to take notice of how long it took us to have our voices heard on how lines are drawn. It makes African-Americans and Latinos realize how disenfranchised and marginalized we have been for all these years. The NAACP commends you for holding 12 hearings throughout the state but must add it was not nearly enough. Working citizens of these counties are not able to attend meetings held 10:00 in the morning. Redistricting to New York and Nassau County, the residents of Nassau County experience redistricting, gerrymandering at its worse this year, 2011. Due to insults, total disregard for individuals expressing concerns to have good government representation, we were ashamed to claim Nassau County as home. Our ability to be a part of the redistricting process was challenged in unbelievable ways at the Nassau County Legislature. The NAACP will not stand idly by and tolerate the mistreatment

24

1

of minorities being disenfranchised nor accept the blatant disregard of elected officials who trample on and ignore what the Voting Rights Act of 1965 represents. The most sacred and precious part of democracy is the right to vote and/or the right of people to choose who's going to govern For 102 years the NAACP has recognized the power of the vote and has always valued and fought for the right to vote. Then came finally the passing of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, prohibiting attempts to dilute African-Americans' voting strength, authorizing federal officials to ensure fair voting practices. The African-American communities experienced and witnessed lots of tragedies prior to 1965 and many since We have seen it all. We have been through then. a lot. Disenfranchisement at the polls is not a tragedy that the NAACP will tolerate. election day attempts to weaken and dilute our voting strength, broken machines, and now mandated governmental photo i.d.'s in 34 states in order to vote. The report of the U.S. Census over 40 years always indicated voting age

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

population growth in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, just as the growth indicates for 2010, yet we still are left without representation in all areas of government.

We have nine Senators, we never got anybody before, and I'm not sure this is the time for it either. The redistricting and the gerrymandering of New York State, cutting up the election districts downstate and strengthening districts upstate must stop. The NAACP challenges you to do the following, a few of the following, there are many more things. Draw legislative districts that will not dilute the ability of minority groups, voters, that is. Elect the representatives of their choice, not to influence electoral results. Count and configure every district with the same population ratio. Recognize the passing of the prison gerrymandering law in New York State. Not continue splitting of black and Latino communities on Long Island and not split counties, towns, and villages. Thank you for the opportunity to speak on behalf of the NAACP New

1	Demogra	Page 12 aphic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2		York State. I thank you.
3		SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
4		Any questions, members of the panel? Carrying
5		on, we appreciate very much your testimony.
6		MS. COADS: Thank you.
7		SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Lucius Ware.
8		MR. LUCIUS WARE, PRESIDENT, EASTERN LONG
9		ISLAND NAACP: Good afternoon, everyone, and
10		welcome to Long Island, and the Island is long.
11		I come from the far eastern portion of the
12		Island, where it gets saltier and saltier.
13		SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It's windy out there,
14		too, and I guess what I'd like you to do is make
15		sure that we can hear you. So if you'd put that
16		microphone as close to you as possible.
17		MR. WARE: Absolutely.
18		SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.
19		MR. WARE: October 5th, 2011, testimony
20		of Lucius Ware of the New York State NAACP on
21		Legislative Redistricting at SUNY Farmingdale.
22		To all that are concerned with redistricting, we
23		of the National Association for the Advancement
24		of Colored People raise our voices loud and clear

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to let it be clearly known that the State of New York must draw its legislative districts in a manner that permits minority communities to exercise their full voting strength and not drawn in a manner to dilute the voting power of minority voters. The splitting of communities for voting must cease.

We urge the New York State Legislature and the Governor to act wisely, respectfully, and justly in upcoming matters concerning reapportionment and redistricting. As a student of political science and history, the gerrymandering is a part of that particular curriculum. have been times when gerrymandering can be typified by things and actions in New York State, and if we're not careful, we're about to enter that again. We, as citizens, certainly do not want our state to be recognized in that manner. I cannot emphasize enough how the splitting of communities destroys democracy and the hope for safe and productive communities. Sincerely submitted, Lucius Ware, President of the Eastern Long Island NAACP, especially the five eastern

2 towns of Suffolk. Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Mr. Ware.

Any members have a question for Mr. Ware? Thank
you very much for your testimony. Leah Bahm.

Dennis Jones. Melvin Boone. Kevan Abrahams.

Roderick Pearson.

MR. RODERICK PEARSON, PASTOR, PRESIDENT ISLIP TOWN NAACP: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the LATFOR Taskforce. My name is Roderick A. Pearson, I am a pastor in Suffolk County in the Town of Islip, as well as President of the Islip town NAACP. First I'd like to thank the Co-Chairmen and the other members of the Taskforce for this opportunity to address and some concerns which are echoing in the black and minority communities throughout Suffolk County and Long Island. We're very aware and have educated ourselves and are educating ourselves further of this process of re-apportionment and the impact that these newly redrawn districts will have on our communities.

My goal today is to hopefully convince you to change the course of history and that you

24

1

make recommendations that will actually give fair representation to all New York citizens and not continue the practice of gerrymandering, but make recommendations which are fair and equitable to all races and all communities. The efforts to dilute and disenfranchise black voters needs to cease, and I'm asking that change begin in each one of you. There has been a tremendous increase in the minority population throughout Long Island and particularly places like Brentwood and Babylon. Let the lines that have been repeatedly drawn to diminish the inclusion and equitable distribution of power, specifically in Islip and Babylon, lines deliberately drawn to split the minority communities, thus minimizing the significance of minority voters in determining elections outcomes. The large and growing African-American and Latino communities in Nassau and Suffolk Counties are concentrated in the same villages and hamlets. District boundaries that divide one group, diluting its voting power, divide both. The African-American and Latino communities have been systematically split each

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

time the Senate District boundaries have been redrawn after the Census. This pattern can be seen in 1972, 1982, 1992, and 2002 in Nassau County and in 1982, 1992, 2002, in Suffolk County.

Thank God for the Voters' Rights Act, which over the years have changed the political climate, particularly in the segregated South in places like Alabama and Georgia and Louisiana. Because of the Voting Rights Act there's a greater proportion of blacks and Latinos in every level of government, even now in the highest office of the land, affords the opportunity for the United States of America to elect its first African-American president, Barack Obama. goal of Section 2 of the Voters' Rights Act is to eliminate discriminatory election practices and procedures, including the drawing of districts to minimize the significance of minority voters and thus take away their right to elect persons who support their best interests and concerns and who'll be the voice for all people. I'm asking you, the members of this Taskforce, to follow and

2.2

respect the Voters' Rights Act. We're asking you to respect communities of interests, respect where people live and don't cut and dice and slice our communities. Set rules in advance about how communities should be defined and stick to those rules. Respect black, Hispanic, and Asian-American communities and not cut them up for political reasons. Even if the communities cannot make up the majority in a single district, draw the lines where the communities are and where the people live.

Make your redistricting decisions out in the open, be transparent, and don't find yourselves in the position of passing another tired political gerrymander, which Governor Cuomo has already put you on notice that he will reject a political plan drawn by politicians for themselves. Give African-Americans, Hispanics, Asians, and other minorities equal and fair representation. Let the segregated North of Suffolk County begin to look like the reformed segregated South and let's build a stronger New York. Thank you for giving me this opportunity

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 2 to testify.

2.2

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much, Mr. Pearson. Any members of the panel wish to question Mr. Pearson? I'd just like to say before you leave, sir, that every member of this panel, every member of the Taskforce is fully committed to complying with the letter in spirit of the Voting Rights Act and every other law, statute, constitutional provision that is before us and that certainly your comments are well-taken and that you have our full agreement that we will do all efforts to comply with those, letter and spirit, of those acts.

MR. PEARSON: Great, thank you. We'll be watching.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Councilwoman Dorothy
Goseby. Eugene Burnette. Carey Solongis. Lewis
Valenzuela. Ricardo Montano. Good afternoon.

MR. RICARDO MONTANO, LEGISLATOR, SUFFOLK
COUNTY 8TH LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT: Okay, good
afternoon and thank you for giving me this
opportunity to come before you. I'm Legislator
Richardo Montano. I represent the 9th

24

1

Legislative District in the Suffolk County Legislature. I am also Chairman of the Ways and Means Committee in the Legislature. The reason that I am here late today is that we actually had our committee meeting earlier. I believe that you are in Suffolk County and I want to welcome you to Suffolk County on behalf of the community and the legislature. For those of you, and I want to give a special welcome to my colleague from Nassau, Welquis Lopez, who I know for 30 years and Senator Martin Dilan, who I know for many, many years, and who I believe has a, knew my dad when he was in the Assembly representing the Bronx. I want to welcome you and particularly all of you. For those of you that are not from Suffolk County, just let me say, number one, I won't repeat what was said by my colleagues and friends from the NAACP. I endorse fully their comments, and I won't burden you with re-hearing them, but it is exactly what I would say and was going to say, but I'll switch that a Suffolk County, if you close your little bit. eyes, what you see in Suffolk County is a county

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that not too long ago, the Southern Poverty Law

Center issued a report called "Climate of Fear"

in which they called the County Executive the

chief enabler of laws and policies that were

directly directed against members of the Hispanic

community.

It is the county where not long ago a young Ecuadoran immigrant was assaulted by a gang of young, white teenagers who made a practice for one year before the police were even on notice to go out every weekend to engage in what was called "Beaner Jumping". Beaner Jumping is a term that generally means going out and beating up Mexicans. The difference was that they couldn't tell the difference between a Mexican and a Ecuadoran or another Hispanic, and they actually killed Marcello needlessly in Patchogue. Welcome to what is today the seventh most segregated area in America, not in the North and the South, in all of America. We used to be number two. went down to number three, now we're down to number seven, but I don't consider that making So this is the climate in which progress.

24

1

Suffolk County has engaged in with respect to the Hispanic and minority communities, and it's something that needs to end. I got elected eight years ago to the Suffolk Legislature, but before I got elected my then 24 year-old daughter, along with Assemblyman Ramos and three other community residents, filed a Voting Rights violation against the County of Suffolk, the County Legislature to mandate redistricting, to mandate the creation of a new 9th Legislative District that would have a majority-minority population. Once that was done I ran, I got elected, and now I've been serving in the Legislature for the past eight years. I'm running for re-election this year and I'm proud to say that it's the fourth time that I'm running unopposed. I don't think anyone else has achieved that in Suffolk County. My district is, at the time that it was created, 45 percent Latino, many immigrants, 20 percent black, and about 30 percent white. So we, I represent a truly integrated district. County is also the county where about three months after I was elected, a proposal was

2.2

introduced into the Legislature to deputize
Suffolk County police officers so that they can
arbitrarily and discriminatorily stop Hispanics
on the street, detain them, and inquire as to
their immigrant status for purposes of sending
them to deportation. If it were not, and I say
this in all modesty, if it were not for the
efforts of myself and the Legislature,
Assemblyman Ramos, Legislator Valerian Viloria
Fisher and others, that would be the policy that
we have here in Suffolk County today.

I, myself, as a legislator took the unprecedented action several years ago of suing the Suffolk County Legislature and getting a Supreme Court Justice to issue an injunction against the Legislature itself because they passed an immigration law, anti-immigration law improperly. The case went to the Appellate Division twice. As a result of these actions and others, we have been able to stem the atmosphere as a result of Marcello Sero's murder. Things have changed for the better. We've come a long way, I think, but we're not there yet. Why I'm

24

1

here is to give you this environment of where we stand and where we need to go. I honestly believe that if it were not for the creation of the new 9th Legislative District and the creation of the Assembly District the year before in 2002 that Assemblyman Ramos represents, we would be a much different county. Since 2000 the population of Suffolk County, minority population, has increased, particularly with regard to the Hispanic community, and I believe that if you look at the numbers, and I have an article here from Newsday that talks about Long Island's great divide and the way that our communities are segregated, but the minority population on Long Island is closely resembling approximately 30 percent when you combine the black, African-American, Hispanic, and Asian, non-white community, we're about 30 percent. With all due respect to our Senate delegation, if you look at our Senate delegation, you would never know that. My district, I have 44 election districts in the areas that I represent, OBrentwood, Central Islip, Islandia. Forty-one of those districts

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

are located in the 3rd Assembly District. Where I live, if I cross the street, if I go two blocks and cross the street to buy an empanada or to have a nice plate of rice and beans, I cross a Senate District. I don't represent all of Brentwood.

There is a line that has existed for, I think, 30 years in the legislature, which I won't mention the legislator's name, but we call it that person's line, because that divides my district from the western part of Brentwood and North Bayshore, which is part of the Brentwood School District. That is the street where the Hispanic, it used to be the Puerto Rican Day Parade, now it's the Hispanic Day Parade, and the other day was the Central American Independence Day Parade, as we marched down that street, which is the center of the community, we split one district from another. This has to stop. You've made a commitment, sir, to follow the spirit and the intent of the Voting Rights Act. We are going to hold you to that commitment. We're glad that you made that statement.

2.2

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much, and as you have exceeded the time period that we put on by well over 70 percent, I just wanted to stop you there to ask you questions.

MR. MONTANO: Go ahead.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: The first question that I have is tell us where your district is.

Tell us what, as you said, the 9th in Suffolk?

MR. MONTANO: Yes.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: What is the geographic boundaries of your--

MR. MONTANO: [Interposing] The 9th
District is the most compact district in Suffolk
County. It looks like a rectangle. The areas
that I represent are part of Islandia and it's in
western Suffolk. It covers from the Long Island
Expressway on the northern side, a little bit
beyond Vets' Highway, if you know Suffolk County,
going into Islandia, but not all of Islandia.
It's a part of Islandia which is an incorporated
village. It's that part that includes the
Central Islip School District. It travels east
to Fifth Avenue, which is the line that I was

2.2

talking about, and it travels south to the Southern State, and then it cuts down Candlewood Road, dividing North Bayshore from Brentwood. So I do not represent West Brentwood, which is in the 4th Senate District, and I do not represent that part south, which is in the Brentwood School District. So it is a very compact district. You couldn't find a more compact district, I think, anywhere you looked.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And it, you mentioned your record of being unopposed. How many times did you stand for election and re-election?

MR. MONTANO: I ran the first time, I got elected, and then I've been unopposed four times after that. This is my fourth time.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Four times unopposed, and I think that there are certainly legislators at the local level, at the state level, who have worked very hard and have run unopposed from time to time that I think the essence of what the lovely representative of the League of Women Voters of Suffolk County testified earlier today was that she wanted to see, and the League of

2.2

Women Voters wanted to see, more competitive elections.

MR. MONTANO: I agree with you, by the way.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: How do you, I'm interested in hearing how, your reaction to that. I don't look at it as trying to trip you up, because I think it's a question we've heard across the state. Is it a great thing that all incumbents lose every two years or four years, depending on their length of district, or is it when you work hard and represent your constituents, they have a choice in electing you or not. Tell us what you think of what the League of Women Voters in Suffolk County said earlier this morning.

MR. MONTANO: First of all I didn't hear what they say, but I know what their position is and I wholeheartedly agree with them. Let me make a distinction between my situation and other elected officials that have run unopposed.

Suffolk County has a practice of crossendorsement. I think New York State is one of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the few states that allows cross-endorsement. So Suffolk County, for the most part, the politicians that run unopposed run opposed because they're cross-endorsed by the major parties.

For instance when the County Executive ran four years ago unopposed, he had the Republican, the Conservative, the Independents, the Democratic line, and I believe he also had the Working Families Party line. He had all five There were two minor candidates who ran lines. independent lines, and the County Executive got 96 percent of the vote. I, on the other hand, have never accepted, I've been offered, but have not accepted cross-endorsement from either the Republican or the Conservative Party. So I have maintained myself in terms of I have accepted the Independents' line, the Working Families' line, and the Democratic line. The fact that no one from the Republican Party chose to run against me or the Conservative Party is not something of my doing, and I made no deals in order to run unopposed, and I tell people very clearly.

2.2

believe that the reason I run unopposed, number one, is that we work hard, and I have, I believe, one of the best staffs in Suffolk County who are here today, my Chief of Staff, my legislative aid, and I blame them for working so hard to make us look so good, to allow me to run unopposed.

But if there were a candidate--

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] But how do you, and I understand that, but how do you reconcile what the League is saying, and I'm not, again, I'm looking for a person that's in the field that's working hard, what do you say to the League of Women Voters who testified earlier this morning that she wants more competitive elections? As she serves as a member of the independent panel that's going to be looking to redraw your district, I assume.

MR. MONTANO: I say to her, bring it on. Get a candidate to run against me, and I'll tell you why I believe that I run unopposed, and this is a criticism, I would say, of both parties, and one of the reasons that I believe that I run unopposed is that because of the increase in the

1

24

2 Hispanic population, we are so-called a sleeping To the extent that I don't have an 3 giant. 4 opponent, it makes it very difficult to get 5 members of my community enthused about coming out to vote in an election, because I tell them, 6 7 listen, Jose, I need your vote. You're a citizen Oh, but Rick, you don't have an opponent. 8 9 Why should I come out? I welcome, and I would welcome an opponent, because I think that that 10 11 will stimulate interest, stimulate debate, it 12 will set the lines of demarcation between my 13 philosophy and the philosophy of my opponents. 14 So I tell her, get your candidate, bring your 15 candidate on, we're ready to do battle any time. 16 I don't run unopposed because I'm lazy or because 17 I want to avoid an election. Actually I enjoy 18 elections. I enjoy campaigning. It's a way for 19 me to reach the community and we work hard 20 whether we have an opponent or not. But I can't 21 tell you why the Republicans or why the Democrats 2.2 got together and said no, we don't want to, you 23 know, have an opponent against Montano.

1 I	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	in that. I was interested in what the League was
3	saying.
4	MR. MONTANO: No, she has an obligation
5	to go out and say Legislator Montano should not
6	run unopposed. He should run opposed, and I
7	think that will be a benefit to not only the
8	Hispanic and the African-American community, but
9	even the white community. I looked at the
10	numbers. I get elected not because of the
11	Hispanic community, I get elected blacks, whites,
12	and Hispanics. She's right.
13	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
14	Welquis Lopez.
15	MR. MONTANO: Go ahead, Welquis.
16	MR. LOPEZ: You do a good job in the
17	area, how people basically love you, because of
18	the job that you do, so that's why you run
19	unopposed. If you were not doing your job, I
20	guarantee you'd have a lot of people out there
21	run against you. So that's wonderful.
22	MR. MONTANO: I don't want to be loved.
23	I want them to vote for me.
24	MR. LOPEZ: It's the same. They vote

1 I	Page 141 emographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2	for you.
3	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Senator Dilan.
4	SENATOR DILAN: Good afternoon, how are
5	you?
6	MR. MONTANO: Good afternoon, how are
7	you?
8	SENATOR DILAN: Okay, I would like for
9	you to comment with respect to the gains of the
10	Hispanic community here in Suffolk County. Are
11	you suggesting or do you believe that there's an
12	opportunity for, let's say, a Latino State Senate
13	District or an opportunity for the Latinos to
14	perhaps elect a candidate of their choice or is
15	there an opportunity for coalition politics?
16	MR. MONTANO: I have looked at the
17	numbers and let me say this. We brought suit, as
18	I indicated, in 2003. Do not take this in any
19	negative way
20	SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] And I said
21	State Senate, but I also mean the State Senate -
22	- Latino in the Assembly already, is there a
23	possibility for a second district in the
24	Assembly?

MR. MONTANO: In the Assembly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SENATOR DILAN: In the Senate and Assembly.

MR. MONTANO: Well, I believe that there is definitely a possibility to create, not only the possibility, there is the realistic expectation that a Senate District can be created and should be created that will not only allow a Latino to get elected, but that might even, along with the African-American community, and remember, we are segregated as a community, so you cannot disenfranchise the black community and the Hispanic community, because we live together, we're in the same community. The short answer is yes, we would anticipate that is the lines were drawn in conformity with the spirit and the intent of the Voting Rights Act, that a district where a Latino could be elected or an African-American could be elected exists. And by the way, I don't only represent Latinos and African-Americans.

It would be probably a crossover candidate who speaks to all the issues, but we

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 1 2 certainly feel like the numbers are there for us 3 to have a district where the community has a 4 stake in coming out and voting and, you know, as 5 I said, we don't only get minority votes. create a district, let us have an opportunity to 6 7 present our candidate. Let us have an opportunity to represent the people in our 8 9 community the way they should be represented. You know, Brentwood School District, C.I. School 10 11 Districts are lower-performing school districts, 12 they need someone to speak out for them, and that 13 would, your job is to ensure that we have that 14 opportunity. 15 SENATOR DILAN: Okay, thank you. 16 Any other questions? MR. MONTANO: 17 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very, very 18 much. 19 Thank you, I appreciate MR. MONTANO: 20 your opportunity that you've given me to present

> SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I appreciate your testimony. Robert Summerville. LeRoy Gadsen. Sergio Arguetta. Wilton Robinson. Carol Gordon.

21

22

23

24

this.

2.2

afternoon.

The Honorable Andrew Hardwick. Kenneth Cohen.

Frederick Brewington. Roberta Cesar. Julian

Jones. Abdul Hakeem. Reverend Curtis C. Riley.

Leo Fernandez. Jody Blair. Ernst Ulysee. Brian

Paul. I believe Dr. Feliciano testified. I

think so, but I'm going to call again. Dr. Maria

Teresa Feliciano. Deborah Massur. Jerry

Vatamala. Larry Silverman. Carmen Julia Pinero.

Paul Evans. David Stonehill. Welcome, good

MR. DAVID STONEHILL, ESQ.: Thank you very much. Good afternoon, my name is David Stonehill. I'm an attorney with an office in Merrick, New York. Beside my law practice I'm active in a number of community activities and organizations. This involvement includes election law. My election law experience dates from 2000. I have participated in numerous political campaigns based on Long Island, New York City, and New Jersey. Today I wanted to discuss briefly some policy perspectives regarding how the redistricting process, scheduled for 2012, should be handled.

1

21

2.2

23

24

2 Generally, redistricting in New York State has been handled on a bi-partisan basis. 3 4 However, both the two major parties have to 5 recognize that a change in legislative and Congressional District lines should occur in such 6 7 a way as to benefit all citizens of this state. Line drawing should not be blatantly political in 8 9 scope, but should be viewed as a way to encourage political participation by citizens and not as a 10 11 way to suppress it through a cynical manipulation 12 of voters. Currently the State Senate is a 62 13 person chamber. Proposals have been aired about 14 increasing this number to 63. However, this 15 concept does not reflect New York's State 16 Constitutional mandates, but simple political 17 expediencies. No doubt other speakers have gone into detailed descriptions of the 1894 rule 18 19 regarding how to calculate the number of Senate 20 Districts.

Suffice it to say that had that rule been strictly followed the last time redistricting was instituted in 2002, the State Senate would have had 61 members today. Instead,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

cynical political motivations led to an extraconstitutional increase. Ironically simply following the 1894 rule and utilizing the 2010 Census results would now give us a 62 seat chamber for the next decade. Whether the upper chamber of the New York State Legislature should have an even or odd number of seats makes for a fascinating philosophical debate. Were I to draft a new New York State Constitution, I might well be tempted to require an odd number of seats in each legislative chamber. After all, an odd number of seats does ensure that on any given vote, there will be a majority expressed. Or does it? Members can still be absent and may simply choose not to vote on a particular bill. Political parties may have internal disagreements leading to factions voting different ways. Philosophically speaking, an odd number of votes does not guarantee that a legislative impasse will not take place.

However the redistricting process in this state should be following our State

Constitution's provisions. Could they or should

2.2

they be changed? Probably, in my view. But since the provisions are not unconstitutional under either federal or state law, they need to be followed when the Senate District lines are drawn. Otherwise, the redistricting process becomes arbitrary and capricious and nobody wants that. Another area I briefly want to address concerns the needs of minority areas and the redistricting process. It is an axiom that minority areas will have a smaller impact on local and state governmental operations than will more affluent communities.

As a result of this, and keeping in mind the requirement to satisfy the doctrines of equal protection and due process, minority communities should be kept together as much as possible when State Senate lines are drawn. This is especially true on Long Island where we have a good number of minority areas which have little or no influence on the State Senate. A community in Suffolk County, such as Amityville, shares many issues, concerns, and values with communities such as Wyandanch and Wheatley Heights. They all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

should be contained within a single Senate District.

Under the current scheme of things the minority vote in Suffolk and Nassau is diluted. Under the current system minority constituents' needs are ignored or given a low priority. A political party which reflects the needs of minorities has a more difficult time getting its candidates elected because of the poor and the wealthy often have different priorities regarding government. Being part of a minority, then, can create a feeling of political hopelessness and despair. If a person feels that he's being ignored by his elected officials, he may very well withdraw from the political process completely. Why bother to vote at all? Nothing changes, the person may ask or think. It is true that minority communities do suffer lower turnout in more elections than do affluent communities. This is the most insidious form of vote suppression, indeed, because it is done internally by the voter. The voter stops himself from voting. This is really unacceptable in a

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 1 2 modern democracy. A high level of political 3 participation should be encouraged for all of our 4 elections, whether in a presidential year or not. 5 We must encourage all of our citizens to vote and to become engaged with their government in a 6 7 meaningful way. This means showing leadership by 8 giving people a reason to vote. A reason begins 9 through the preservation of minority communities within a single Senate District for both Nassau 10 11 and Suffolk Counties, respectively. I want to 12 thank this committee for allowing me the opportunity to testify today. This is an 13 14 important mission, and I wish you well. Thank 15 you. 16 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Mr. 17 Stonehill. Any members, questions of the panel? Senator Dilan. 18 19 SENATOR DILAN: Thank you very much for 20 testifying. You cited the New York State 21 Constitution as it relates to the size of the

> Ubiqus/Nation-Wide Reporting & Convention Coverage 22 Cortlandt Street - Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

Yes.

Based on perhaps your

MR. STONEHILL:

SENATOR DILAN:

2.2

23

24

Senate.

pemographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11 1 2 knowledge of the Constitution and the 2010 Census, would you be able to determine what the 3 accurate number of the Senate should be in this 4 5 current cycle of redistricting? MR. STONEHILL: From what I've read in 6 7 terms of memos on the issue, it seems that right now, well not counting 2010, counting 2000, 61 8 9 would be the correct number for the State Senate. Looking at the 2010 Census there is an increase 10 11 in population and what it comes out to be is 62 12 Again, you know, 62 sounds kind of odd, seats. 13 but then we have 150 in the Assembly, and, you 14 know, we manage and, you know, we do have 15 provisions in case there is a tie vote, you know, 16 in bringing in the Lieutenant Governor on certain 17 procedural aspects, etc. etc. So if we strictly 18 SENATOR DILAN: 19 followed the existing formula in the New York 20 State Constitution, you're testifying that that 21 number should be 62? 2.2 MR. STONEHILL: Correct. 23 All right, thank you. SENATOR DILAN:

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Mr. Stonehill, before

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

you leave I have a question. Thank you for your testimony. I want to focus on your analysis of the size of the Senate and your understanding of the New York State Constitution that establishes the formula for that size. What analysis did you undergo to indicate, which was a pretty strident statement that said the rule was not strictly followed. What about the procedure, in your estimation, was not, was the rule not complied And the Court which made this, you're an attorney, the Court that, did you read the opinion that was presented by the Court in deciding that the 2002 redistricting was under the formula growing the Senate from 61 seats to 62 seats was an accurate compliance with the formula of the State Constitution. Did you read the judicial opinion that put forward that analysis?

MR. STONEHILL: I did look at it.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: What part of that opinion did you disagree with?

MR. STONEHILL: Essentially what I'm saying is that I believe that it should have

2.2

been, remained at 61, that the increase to 62 was not done following the State Constitutional mandate, and that was more done in terms of, I guess you would say, a policy, a feeling by the majority at the time that a larger number would be more beneficial.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: How did, how was that formula not complied with? What part of the formula, in your mind, did the Court err in analyzing the formula, and was it in population non-compliance? What type of non-compliance to that formula do you contend existed in 2002 when the Senate was first increased to 62 seats?

MR. STONEHILL: Essentially in my view, in terms of, you know, following the population trends.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: To what degree did your analysis show that the population trend was contrary to the State Constitution provision allowing the Senate to go to adding an additional seat? What was the deficiency in your analysis of population that would lead to your conclusion that the Court erred in this circumstance?

2.2

MR. STONEHILL: I feel a little unprepared in this regard. I wasn't, as I said, I was here to basically discuss from a more general perspective in terms of how I felt--

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] But your situation, let me not let you off the hook with that statement, Counselor. You said very pointedly that the rule was not complied with by the Court in 2002. You're an attorney, you're making a representation as an attorney. I would like to know what analysis led you to that conclusion.

MR. STONEHILL: In terms of reading analysis of the, basically, of the Court decision, as well as how the Senate conducted its deliberations and how it was increased.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: But you can't tell us what, even though you made the conclusion, you cannot tell us what steps had you taken to analyze whether the Courts logic was correct and incorrect. Yet you've made this conclusion that the Court's analysis was incorrect. I think, frankly, I question whether you made an analysis

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2 or whether the analysis had any substantive merit
3 to it based on your comments to my answering of
4 my questions.

2.2

MR. STONEHILL: I understand, I would be certainly be happy to submit more detailed analysis and also I have, I believe that the Taskforce has set up another meeting, I believe, October 27th in Nassau, which I intended to participate and testify, so if you want more, you know, information, I'd be more than happy to address that.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well, thank you, Mr. Stonehill, for your participations. Senator Dilan has a question.

SENATOR DILAN: I just want to make a comment because I'm informed that in the 2002 case of Pataki or Rodriguez v. Pataki, the Court just merely dismissed the issue and never really dealt with the formula. Is that, to your knowledge, correct?

MR. STONEHILL: That I would have to research. I'm not certain. I'd rather not say anything off the cuff.

1	Demogr	Page 15 aphic Research and Reapportionment, 10/5/11
2		SENATOR DILAN: That's what I'm informed
3		of and if you do have any detailed information
4		that you could provide with respect to your
5		testimony, that would be appreciated.
6		MR. STONEHILL: Okay, that's fine.
7		SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Mr.
8		Stonehill.
9		MR. STONEHILL: Thank you.
10		ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: You're always
11		welcome at the Plattsburg hearing, too.
12		MR. STONEHILL: I do travel, and I'd be
13		happy to. Thank you very much.
14		SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Are there any other
15		citizens wishing to testify? Yes. Good
16		afternoon. Thank you very much. Would you
17		please, for the record, indicate your name and
18		where you're from.
19		MS. LISA PINKARD: Yes I will. My name
20		is Lisa Pinkard.
21		SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I'm sorry.
22		MS. PINKARD: I'm very soft-spoken, so
23		I'm going to try to speak up for you. My name is
24		Lisa Pinkard and I'm a resident of Central Islip.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Lisa Pinkard, Central Islip, and I contemplated whether or not I would share something with you all as a panel today, but I just thought it was fitting, because I want you to get more of a picture of our flavor of what is represented here in this particular county, Suffolk County. a resident of Central Islip. My family has been a resident of Central Islip for 20 years, I'd say, and I've worked as a community organizer here, and I just want to express to you the challenges as a community organizer, as community organizers, that we face. We have a majorityminority population here, as you're fully aware of. Latinos, African-Americans, Haitians in Central Islip and Brentwood make up the majority of the population. So our job was to try to bring that diverse community together.

So personally I was so impacted by the project that I worked on that I have still continued to serve my community, you know, not even for money, but just as a personal obligation. When you look in the faces of the youth here and you ask them to come, you know,

24

1

come be a part of what we're doing, you know, come out and make sure you vote, tell your parents to vote. The youth don't understand fundamentally like what is a Congressman, what does your Senator do, what are your local officials. That's hurtful, especially because the majority of people that are telling you this are minorities, and if you go a few miles to the left or to the right, those children there will have a better understanding of what the whole civic engagement process is all about. So recently in the local newspapers some children had walked out of the school, because they were fighting for the layoffs and their teachers and all that. So what we did is we promoted minorities to run for School Board, and we saw so much excitement when we started these workshops and these seminars. Parents showed up, kids showed up, for the first time in Central Islip, it's equal now in terms of who's on the Board. There is three African-American, there's three Caucasian, and there's also a Spanish representative.

1

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 So we're seeing that once they can identify with a preferred candidate, there will 3 4 be some action behind it, and so that, as a 5 community organizer, is very, very fulfilling. Ι just challenge you all to please, you know, keep 6 7 Central Islip and Brentwood in mind in terms of their diversity and their need to be together. 8 9 If you take Central Islip and West Islip, if you take the youth, my passion is for the youth, if 10 11 you take the youth of those communities and put 12 them side by side, they will have very little in 13 common with one another. Where if you take a 14 youth from Central Islip and Brentwood, they will 15 share similar interests, they will both be able 16 to tell you our schools are not that great, you 17 know, we have similar interests in sports, our education is about the same. 18

Both the school districts are in serious trouble in terms of they're failing. They've been failing. They don't have a lot of recreation here. There's not too many parks, there's not too many fun things for the kids to do. This has been an ongoing problem with

2.2

Central Islip and Brentwood. I'm just telling you that if you strip these communities, they'll kind of just get lost in the shuffle and primarily the youth. The youth need to be able to see something that they can set their eyes on. I'm teaching the youth now how to sit on boards like the ones that you're sitting on today. I want them to see that there's some steps that they can take to eventually get there, and so to tear apart those communities would be detrimental. That's all I have for you today.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. Any members of the panel wishing to ask questions? Hearing none, we appreciate your testimony. We ask for the last time, the final time, are there any other members of the public that wish to testify at our Suffolk hearing. Hearing none, we'd like to conclude that portion of the hearing, thanking everyone for your participation, for your input, that there is another hearing that was mentioned a few times. It will be held on Long Island coming up on the 27th, I believe, of October. It will be held at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the Old Westbury Campus of SUNY, SUNY Old Westbury, and we appreciate the input we received today. Thanking each member of the panel, Co-Chair, Assemblyman McEneny, thank you for, as always, your great work.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I would just like to remind people who are watching this on home computers and elsewhere that all of the verbal testimony is eventually turned into a transcript, which will be part of the Court case, if one develops, and also as we see a number of groups here and individuals who speak, they often speak in giving us the thoughts of people where they've had some of the elected officials, Sandy Gala [phonetic], for example, had town meetings on this issue. When somebody comes in from the NAACP or some of the good government groups, we know that they've had evening meetings and weekend meetings and seminars and roundtables and we know as they speak, they speak for those groups, as well.

So directly there are hundreds of people who have come in to testify. There are hundreds

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

more who communicate in writing, either electronically or the old-fashioned ways, and also there are individuals, groups, and elected officials who have had evening and weekend meetings on this subject, so there's a great deal of input from our citizenry here in New York State. We continue to solicit it, and we look forward to this phase of the public hearings will end before the election. At that point we will be drawing up, based on testimony and maps, drawing up actual maps and proposed legislation, and then another 14 public hearings will be held across the state, and we commend those people who have been having community town meetings and roundtables for their groups or their constituencies and bring their thoughts forward to us.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank all the members of the Taskforce, Senator Dilan.

SENATOR DILAN: Yes, I just want to mention to the panel that actually I thought we were going to meet today on some issues, however I understand that there's one member that's

p.m.)

missing that's very vital to the discussion with respect to the prisoner law, and I would just like to emphasize that we should move quickly as possible to get the data base in the hands of the public and so we have the appropriate data and the public also has the appropriate data, so the public can then properly make submissions to this panel, and I think it's very urgent that we do that as quickly as possible. So I just wanted to go on the record of that, but I do understand the situation that we currently have.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Senator, and with that this meeting is adjourned.

(The public hearing concluded at 1:25

CERTIFICATE

I, Trisha Ruckart, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 162, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Trisha Ruckart, Transcriptionist

Trish Ruches

October 18, 2011