NEW YORK STATE

LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

PUBLIC HEARING

CONGRESSIONAL AND STATE LEGISLATIVE REDISTRICTING

Thursday, February 9, 2012 Suffolk County Legislature

William H. Rogers Legislative Building - Auditorium 725 Veterans Memorial Highway

Smithtown, New York

Thursday, February 9, 2012

11:00 a.m.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

TASK FORCE MEMBERS PRESENT:

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, Co-Chair

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN M. McENENY, Co-Chair,

SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS

DEBRA LEVINE

ROMAN HEDGES

WELQUIS LOPEZ

LEWIS HOPPE

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

INDEX

	Page
ANDREW RAIA NEW YORK STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER 9th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	8
JOSEPH SALADINO NEW YORK STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER 12 TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	17
DANIEL P. LOSQUADRO NEW YORK STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER 1ST ASSEMBLY DISTRICT:	18
WILLIAM HENDRICK MAYOR LYNBROOK VILLAGE	21
FRANK SPROUSE MAKE THE ROAD NEW YORK	25
PHIL HEALY PRESIDENT BILTMORE SHORES CIVIC ASSOCIATION	29
JEFFREY GREENFIELD VICE PRESIDENT OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS LYNWOOD CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	32
MARCOS MALDONADO RESIDENT BRENTWOOD, NEW YORK	36
DAVID H. STONEHILL, ESQ. PRESIDENT DAVID H. STONEHILL, PC.	40
STEVE LABRIOLA TOWN CLERK OYSTER BAY	45
KEN DALY RESIDENT SUFFOLK COUNTY, NEW YORK	49

Ubiqus/Nation-Wide Reporting & Convention Coverage 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

Ubiqus/Nation-Wide Reporting & Convention Coverage 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-	Page 9-2012	5
MAURICIO GAVIRIA RESIDENT OF HAMLET OF RONKONKOMA	116	
HAZEL SCOTTIE COADS CIVIC ENGAGEMENT CHAIR NAACP-NYS CONFERENCE	120	
ROSA QUILES PARENT, MEMBER NEW YORK COMMUNITIES FOR CHANGE PUERTO RICAN COALITION FOR A BETTER COMMUNITY HEMPSTEAD HISPANIC CIVIC ASSOCIATION	125	
JOSEPH SALADINO ASSEMBLY MEMBER 12 th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	127	
ELZIE ROSS RESIDENT OF VALLEY STREAM	133	
DR. DANIEL ALTSCHULER COORDINATOR LONG ISLAND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT TABLE	135	
TODD BREITBART RESIDENT	141	
KEVIN MICHAEL NELSON MEMBER NEW YORK COMMUNITIES FOR CHANGE	146	
LUCIUS WARE PRESIDENT EASTER LONG ISLAND NAACP	148	
JOANN FINK RESIDENT OF HUNTINGTON	152	
MS. RENEE ORTIZ VICE PRESIDENT ISLIP NAACP, LEEP	155	
MS. MIMI PIERRE-JOHNSON NY COMMUNITIES FOR CHANGE	160	
MR. DENNIS TERRY	164	

	Page 6
Demographic Research and Reapportionment,	_
MR. PAUL JOHNSON NAACP	168
MR. KERRY TRAINOR	170
MR. ROBERT LIFSON	173
MS. TERRY SCOFIELD	176
MR. JOHN F. CARUSO COMMISSIONER MASSAPEQUA WATER DISTRICT	179
MR. ROBERT BARRET	183
MR. ROBERT SUMMERVILLE	187
MR. JOHN BUDNICH DRUG FREE MASSAPEQUA	189

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

(The public hearing commenced at 11:06

3 a.m.)

CHAIR, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming to this meeting of LATFOR. There will be three more public hearings on the lines. And all of these hearings you may discuss Congress, Senate, Assembly, anything related to the drawing of lines, particularly with the latest map, which is a draft, and will change to one extent or another before it becomes final.

My name is Jack McEneny. I'm the
Assemblyman who is the Co-Chair. I represent
parts of Albany city and several towns in Albany
County. And on the Assembly side, I am
accompanied with a long-time friend and
classmate. Bob Oaks represents the Republican
Minority in the Assembly, and a citizen
representative, Dr. Roman Hedges. There are six
people who are on the committee. There's also
co-directors, executive directors.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

We will be limiting people to fiveminute comments. Now, we rarely get upset if
somebody goes to a six minute. But, given the
number of people who have requested an
opportunity to speak, which is now at 44, and
there may be others coming in the door, we will-we will enforce that if it goes too far beyond
the five minutes.

Giving a history of your organization usually takes up too much time. We know, pretty much, who the organizations are if you've already spoken at a previous public hearing. Please concentrate on your critique of the lines and any solutions that you might recommend for LATFOR to adjust these lines, hopefully, during the week of President's week. We're on a tight schedule here. I'm going to turn it over now to my Co-Chair, Mike Nozzolio, who will introduce his side of the panel.

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, CO-CHAIR,

NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FOR ON

DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Thank

you very much, Assemblyman McEneny, and my friend

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 and colleague. We've now been--this is our 20th hearing as we have approached the dynamics and as we have met with many constituent groups and individuals across this great state of New York.

We wish to thank the county government of Suffolk for allowing us to use this wonderful facility. And without further ado, I wish to recognize the senate appointees to this task force.

On my immediate left is Senator Martin Dilan. To his left is Citizen Representative, appointed by the Senate, Welquis Ray Lopez. And to his left is the co-executive director of the task force, Debra Levine.

As this is our 20th session to hear from the citizens of this state, we want each of you to know that your testimony is being recorded.

It's part of the official record of the proceedings. It is video recorded by the cameras that we have here. Those cameras will place the record of this hearing—along with all the other hearings—on the LATFOR website for all to view.

We ask you to be concise. We ask you to

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 summarize your written testimony, submit that written testimony for the record, but utilize your time in describing your major concerns.

That—we appreciate the opportunity to hear those concerns.

And, without further ado, Assemblyman, I appreciate your comments and your work. It's been wonderful to work with you in this process. Any members of the task force wishing to make an opening statement?

Not at this time?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you,
Senator. We have a number of elected officials
here. Some will speaking and have already
indicated that.

I do not think they're speaking, so I will introduce Assembly Member, Mike Fitzpatrick, Mike Montesano, Steve Labriola, who is a former member of the Assembly, and now Clerk of Oyster Bay, and should he show up--just because he has served with me a long time ago--Joe Sawicki, the comptroller of Suffolk County is a former member of the Assembly.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

Please advise me of any other elected officials that wish to be recognized and we'll move ahead with those who are speaking. And I'll ask Assembly Member Andy Raia to come forward.

Now this is a tricky situation. You have to keep your finger on the microphone and the little green light goes on, so--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ANDREW RAIA, 9th
ASSEMBLY DISTRICT: [Interposing] Is that like
walking and chewing gum?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah. While you talk and--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER RAIA: [Interposing] I can figure it out.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: --it probably keeps the speakers down because their fingers get tired.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER RAIA: Thank you. Well, it's a good way to keeping everybody under five minutes. But, I'd like to welcome my colleagues to Suffolk County, and I'd like to thank the Legislative Task Force on Demographic Research and Reapportionment, LATFOR, for holding this

2.2

2 public hearing in Suffolk County.

As the current representative for the 9th Assembly District and a resident of the proposed 12th Assembly District, I am compelled to offer constructive criticism on LATFOR's proposed lines for the the 12th and 9th Assembly Districts.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

When drawing new assembly districts,

LATFOR's legal and social responsibility is to
ensure newly districts—newly drawn districts

reflect population changes while remaining

compact and keeping intact communities of
interest. Unfortunately, LATFOR failed to reach
this objective in both the proposed 9th and 12th
Assembly Districts.

The decision to transform one predominantly North Shore centered district and one predominantly South Shore centered district into two districts meandering through portions of multiple towns, spanning from the Atlantic Ocean in the south to the Long Island Sound in the north, violates the very spirit of LATFOR's mission and legal responsibility.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

end to end. While that may not seem like a long distance for an assembly district in Upstate New York a recent drive through the proposed 12th Assembly District—starting at Gilgo Beach in the southern portion of the district, and ending at Mackimaw [phonetic] Beach in the northern portion of the district—took this seasoned Long Island driver and hour in the middle of a weekday with very little traffic.

As a representative and a public servant, I'm expected to attend multiple events in the district on any given day and night. Such an expansive district will clearly limit the public's opportunity to interact with me or their future legislator.

Maintaining the continuity of local community should be paramount when conceiving new districts. Unlike the rest of the state, Long Island is heavily dependent on numerous local governments, or special districts, as they are often referred to.

Through special -- through school

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 districts, fire districts, library districts, and dozens of community organizations, we have managed to maintain a wonderful sense of community and feeling of civic pride.

These proposed district lines will undoubtedly fracture all that has been gained over the past 350 years, because that's how old the towns of Huntington and Babylon are.

With multiple legislators often come multiple opinions and positions on local and state issues important to those communities thus preventing communities from speaking in a unified voice.

Instead of strengthening representation, LATFOR is diminishing it. The current 9th Assembly District encompasses four entire zip codes and three partial zip codes. Conversely, the proposed 12th Assembly District does not encompass any zip codes in their entirety as the district cuts a narrow swath through the towns of Huntington, Babylon and Islip.

The town of Huntington, which has

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 for nearly 100 years, would now be represented by three legislators. And the town of Babylon, which is approximately the same size would now see their number of assembly districts increased from four to an astonishing five districts under LATFOR's 2012 proposed district lines.

Multiple districts within one town, not only arbitrarily and unnecessarily splits communities within a town, but also undercuts the communities voice with regards to qualifying candidates for the ballot.

As New York State's future fiscal condition remains uncertain LATFOR should make every effort to minimize the costs of the redistricting process to taxpayers while adhering to its primary goal of conceiving districts that maintain a continuity of community.

It is important to note that should the proposed 9th and 12th Assembly Districts become law, it is the taxpayers that will have to pay the bill to relocate two new district offices.

As proposed, the current 12th Assembly District will no longer be located in the entirely new

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

12th Assembly District and the current district

office for the 9th Assembly District is located

so far north in the district that residents from

Massapequa would have to travel over an hour to

see their representative.

Long Island has very little mass transit and we pay the highest prices for gasoline in the state. Such circumstances may very well prevent senior citizens, the disabled, and the poor direct access to their assembly representative and the many services that a district office provides.

This task force has transformed well-balanced and collective communities into entirely new districts. Creating unnecessary confusion for residents as to who represents a specific area. It will complicate joint meetings with multiple levels of government and make extremely—and make it extremely difficult to maintain the current productive level of communication that exists between our elected officials, constituents, community leaders, and business leaders.

Demographic	Research	and	Reapportionment,	2-9-	2012

In fact, in a recent press interview,
Governor Cuomo stated, "Redistricting in New York
is a system that has prioritized incumbency and
partisan interests over democratic
representation." The governor went on to say,
"This process needs to be about the people and
not the politics. And to help restore faith in
our state government, we need to reform the
system."
I could not agree more. Thank you for
your time.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Any questions
from the panel? Thank you.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER RAIA: Thank you much.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
Assembly Member Joe Saladino.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOSEPH SALADINO, $12^{ ext{TH}}$
ASSEMBLY DISTRICT:defer to other members of
the community and come and speak at a later time

22 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Certainly.

today?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Certainly.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER SALADINO: Thank you.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Assembly Member, Dan Losquadro.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER DANIEL P. LOSQUADRO, 1ST
ASSEMBLY DISTRICT: Thank you, members, and
unfortunately, I'm very familiar with this
microphone system, having served seven years here
in the - legislatures to welcome to my old
stomping grounds.

I come before you today to offer commentary on the proposed changes to the 1st Assembly District. The 1st Assembly District is unique in many ways. First and foremost—and I knew this coming in as an elective last year—it has the largest population of any assembly district in New York State, 149,700 residents.

We knew that this district would have to change substantively--to lose 21,000 residents-in order to maintain a parity with the surrounding districts.

When looking at a map of the district, furthest from anyone's mind, was cutting the North Fork of Long Island in half to achieve that

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 goal. Splitting Southold and Riverhead towns-two townships that share a long history--share common interests with agriculture, agri-tourism, open space, and land preservation, primary home ownership. As opposed to the South Fork where there are many more second-home owners.

There are very different issues on the South Fork than on the North Fork. Having these two districts separate and distinct from each other gives the East End twice the voice in the New York State Assembly. It is imperative that we keep those voices strong and contiguous with each other in these areas.

Many local groups have spoken out in opposition to this. I have a letter that I will not read, but I will submit into written testimony from five different civic associations. Stating substantively similar comments that I have made here, concerned about the quality of life on the North Fork, having those areas along the North Shore congruent with each other, contiguous to each other, and having those needs met by and individual from that district.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

There's also the additional problem of logistics when it comes to the east end of Long Island. We are an island, and we are split into two forks. The residents from the town of Southold, which is proposed to be removed from the 1st Assembly District and put in as part of the 1st—the current 2nd Assembly District, on the South Fork, would either have to take two ferries and cross Shelter Island or drive more than an hour around the twin forks to visit their state assembly person.

We know--and I look to my colleagues on the assembly side--that we are the more local of the state representatives. Our districts are smaller. We pride ourselves on making our offices very accessible to the public. I think that this is a logistical nightmare for the extreme east-end residents who have found it very easy to access my office now that I relocated it, centrally, in my district, in the county seat, here in Suffolk County, in the town of Riverhead.

Cutting the east end of Long Island off from the North Fork, putting it in with the South

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
2	Fork, I think does a tremendous disservice to the
3	residents of that district. And I think
4	diminishes the voice of a small township that
5	feels they will get lost in a sea of issues that
6	are very different from their own on the North
7	Shore by putting them in with a district that
8	would primarily be on the South Shore.
9	So, I thank you for your comments. I
10	will be submitting the written comments of the
11	civic associations, and I hope that you take
12	these and the public's comments into account when
13	making your final decision. Thank you for your
14	time.
15	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you,
16	Assemblyman.
17	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much,
18	Assemblyman.
19	ASSEMBLY MEMBER LOSQUADRO: Thank you.
20	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Mayor William
21	Hendrick.
22	That's correct.
23	WILLIAM HENDRICK, MAYOR, LYNBROOK
24	VILLAGE: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you very

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 much--

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] Not hearing him.

MAYOR HENDRICK: I'm Bill Hendrick and I'm the mayor of Lynbrook. There you go. I'm Bill Hendrick, and I'm the mayor of Lynbrook.

I've been associated with village government for over 21 years, as a trustee, then Deputy Mayor, and for the last year, the Mayor of this village.

And I'm very proud of that.

Lynbrook is two miles, approximately, square. It has about 20,000 residents, 6500 homes. I have a small but active police department, a very active but small fire department, a department of public works and various village employees--about 200--besides that.

Lynbrook always was represented, first by the 14th District. It's now proposed that Lynbrook will be sliced in half by the 21st and now the 22nd. This is really unacceptable to us. Thank you.

Our current assemblyman is Brian Curran

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 and he's a former mayor of Lynbrook. You could understand how Lynbrookites love having a former mayor being their advocate in Albany. He's been very, very helpful in state matters and we do not want that to change.

The way the new district is drawn--his office is now no longer in his district, neither is my house. Everybody from the north side of Sunrise Highway will now remain in the 21st with Brian.

Everybody from the south side of Sunrise
Highway, including my very, very active business
district—which is represented here today by
members of the chamber of commerce, and I hope
will be speaking to you as well—will not be in
the district.

We now have--we how have their interest being pulled apart, and it's unacceptable to me. The new district will be mainly Rockville Centre and no longer be centered in Lynbrook. And Brian's district--I'm sorry will be Rockville Centre--the new one will be mainly Valley Stream.

We feel we've been orphaned by this

Mr. Mayor.

Demographic Research and	Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
district that is drawn,	which I think is very,
very gerrymandered and	does not benefit my
residence at all	

I'm going to--I said I'd be brief today.

And I want you to be our advocate in the state

and go and tell the legislators and the governor

this should not be accepted and he should not

sign for these two new districts.

Lynbrook does not want to be divided.

And, ladies and gentlemen, please, when you go back to Albany report that to them. I'm not going to take any more of your time. Just know our disappointment with this district. And I thank you for listening and working for a small, very home-like community on the South Shore.

Thank you so much. Is there any questions?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much,

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you, Mayor.

MAYOR HENDRICK: Bye, bye.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I have a good relationship with your historian down there. Art

2.2

Demographic	Research	and	Reapportionment,	2-	-9-	20	12

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] You don't have to hold that, it will stay on.

INTERPRETER: Oh, okay. Okay.

MR. SPROUSE (Through Interpreter):

Thank you and--thank you--good morning, and thank
you very much for letting me testify today. My
name is Frank Sprouse, and I am a member of - in New York, in Long Island. And I am a resident
of Brentwood since 2005 when I came from
Dominican Republic.

MALE VOICE 1: Here's your copy.

INTERPRETER: Okay. Oh, thank you.

MR. SPROUSE: Last year I join Make the Road New York, an organization that defend the rights of Latino immigrants in low-income communities.

We are an organization of working families who work tirelessly to make New York a better place.

Last year, I also became a citizen, and was able to participate in November 2011 county elections.

It was an honor for me to be part of the

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 electoral process, as an immigrant, as a Latino, and also as a father of two children.

I was so excited to be part of this process that I even volunteer with the Suffolk County Board of Elections helping and orienting fellow voters.

I also participate as a volunteer in a campaign led by Make the Road New York and the Long Island Civic Engagement Table to increase the participation of communities of color in Suffolk County elections.

I am a citizen just as any other in this county and I abide by my citizenship and pay my taxes as anyone else.

So, I don't understand why politicians in Albany want to undermine the power and influence of my vote and the vote of Latino and African-American communities can have in upcoming elections.

After having worked with Make the Road

New York in the past elections, I was really

happy to see that our work influenced and

increase voters participation. And that,

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 finally, after years of anti-immigrants, anti-worker policies, we have a county government that is willing to be accountable to communities of color in Suffolk County.

But now, I found out that what LATFOR maps want to do on a state level, specify - - District 3 and 4 in Central Islip and Brentwood, and I realize that our struggle is not over.

The power of people of color community lies in their ability to participate in the electoral process.

In order to have a full democracy, communities of color need to be part of this process.

Our voices need to be heard and our interests need to be taken into account.

- - LATFOR maps are clear example of how politicians in office want to abuse the power and take advantage of communities of color to - - the interest over ours. And we are here to stop that. If the interest of the people are damaged to advance the interest of politicians, we have to say no.

2.2

Demographic	Research	and	Reapportionment,	2-	- 9 -	201	2
Delliographic	research	anu	reappor cromment,		・シー	$\Delta U \perp$. 4

It is obvious that this maps want to divide and conquer the Latin and African-American communities of Brentwood and Central Islip by undermining and dissolving our power as an electoral block.

We are able--we are today to stop this injustice and to ask Governor Cuomo to - - to the proposed LATFOR maps and assign an independent body that could trace these electoral lines democratically.

My children, my community, and all the residents of New York deserve respect and dignity. And we will fight until the end of it. Thanks for your attention. Yes, we can.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Foreign Language]. Phil Healy.

MR. PHIL HEALY, PRESIDENT, BILTMORE

SHORES CIVIC ASSOCIATION: How's that? Is it on?

All right. My name is Phil Healy. How's that?

Okay. We're on.

MALE VOICE 1: - - to stand or to sit -

MR. HEALY: I'm here.

Demographic	Research	and	Reapportionment,	2-9-2012
Demographic	ICCD CAT CII	arra	reappor eronnene,	

MR. HEALY: - - My name is Phil Healy.

I'm a resident of Massapequa. I'm the President

of the Biltmore Shores Civic Association.

Massapequa currently in the 12th Assembly

District. I think it's important--

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] Just a minute. May I just interrupt you for second?

Are you any relation to Former Assemblyman Phil Healy?

MR. HEALY: --I want to--yes. Thank you. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I served - - father, brother? Father?

MR. HEALY: Correct.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I served with

Assemblyman Healy for a number of years and he
was a very fine man. And I just wanted you to
know that we in the assembly respected him a
great deal.

MR. HEALY: Definitely threw off my game by saying that. I do appreciate you remembering him. And thank you for saying that. I

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 appreciate it.

But on that note, I come here as a civic leader, no political ties as a committeeman or a zone leader or anything. And I think that's important. And I don't want to reiterate what the elected officials said before us about the logistical nightmare or what Frank said so perfectly about creating the political chaos and devaluing democracy by what's the intent of these new districts.

But I think, at the nuts and bolts of it is you've got to understand from our level, the difficulty to keep neighborhoods together and the work we put into it as volunteers. But, what you're doing here is segregating us so we're irrelevant—everybody is irrelevant.

The intent is--I understand the intent of what the committee is trying to do. But, to take us apart--to take anybody apart--whether it's in Central Islip or in Massapequa is destroying our communities. And you really--you really have to--I hope you would put your foot down and say this is unacceptable.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

You elected officials know how difficult it is to work. And you have my prepared statement. I don't want to take up any more time.

Please feel free to contact me with any of the addresses I gave you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you, Mr. Healy. We also acknowledge and listed to speak at some point, Tom Donnelly of the Babylon Town Council. Jeffrey Greenfield.

MR. JEFFREY GREENFIELD, VICE PRESIDENT

OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIR, LYNBROOK CHAMBER OF

COMMERCE: Good morning. Good morning, Senators,

Assemblymen, and members of the task force. My

name is Jeff Greenfield. I'm the real Jeff

Greenfield, not the guy on CBS news that uses my

name under license.

And I'm not--I've appeared before some of the members in Albany on insurance matters and as Chairman of the Nassau County Planning Commission.

But, I appear here today in keeping with Tip O'Neill's famous statement, "All politics is

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 local." I'm here as Vice President of the Lynbrook Chamber of Commerce, Vice President and for Government Relations.

The Lynbrook Chamber of Commerce works hand in hand to build a better community with the village of Lynbrook. We are the voice. Hold - - . In the middle? - -. Okay. Green for Greenfield. Okay.

We worked hand in hand with the village of Lynbrook. We are the voice of the business community, we are obvious on behalf of our members and try to inform the elected and appointed officials in the community of positions relevant to our business community constituents.

We have enjoyed a strong working relationship with our public officials because what is good for the chamber is good for the Village of Lynbrook. The prospect of our village being split into two legislative districts will affect the manner in which we operate and govern.

Anything that is going to split our village into two districts gives an opportunity for matters pertinent to our constituents to

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 become convoluted.

The division would affect our applications for state grants and revitalization projects. It would make it more difficult to deal with the state government, not knowing which legislator to turn to. We implore this body to preserve the totality of our village and our community.

The dividing the village would be dividing us and not allowing us to operate with the unity we strive for. Lynbrook's relationship with our current assemblyman, Brian Curran has deep roots. Before he was our assemblyman, he was our mayor. You heard our current mayor tell you that.

He attended all our meetings in his prior capacity and in his current capacity he has a strong personal investment and bond between the community and the Lynbrook Chamber of Commerce.

We have worked with our Senate and assembly legislative representatives - - as well as [audio gap] concerning downtown revitalization for the dilapidated properties under the Long

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

Island Rail Road under the control of the [audio gap]. However, - - are a 100% complete and a change of the district lines will not allow the same focus of energies to see them through completion.

We are concerned that these projects that benefit the Lynbrook community will not have the same level of success implementation. But, I urge this task force to consider the needs of our community over the political drawing of lines.

Certainly, no good could come from dissecting a close-knit community and diluting resources. In these tough, turbulent [audio gap] we need a better and stronger communications.

And we have to force the--a working relationship with our assemblymen and senators.

Having two assemblymen serving the same community can lead to disorientation and confusion. Inadvertent as it may seem, we don't want things to fall through the cracks. And we will not get the same level of attention that we'll get from one voice, one leader, one elected official.

2.2

Demographic	Research	and	Reapportionment,	2-	-9-	20	12

Please help the Lynbrook Chamber of
Commerce continue to serve the community, working
hand in hand, building that better community.
Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

Marcos Maldonado.

MR. MARCOS MALDONADO, CLEAN STREETS

COMMITTEE: Dear ladies and gentlemen, of LATFOR, thank you for your time today. I want to start of my time and comments here with the simple statement--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]

Excuse me. Please introduce yourself by name and if there's--your representing an organization say so, because this goes into the official record.

MR. MALDONADO: Absolutely. My name is Marcos Maldonado. I'm a resident of Brentwood, New York--lifelong resident. And I come today representing myself as a resident.

So, again, thank you for your time today. And I want to start of my comments here today with a simple statement.

Please keep our communities together.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

Please keep our communities as one voice. And

keep our community strong.

You see, I am the future of my community, our country, and our great State of New York. And I would like to stress the importance of keeping our political districts in line with our population and demographic trends.

Over the last ten years, while the majority of Suffolk County was losing people due to a number of reasons, one region continued its phenomenal growth. Bay Shore, Brentwood and Central Islip, today, now account for over 144,000 of the total number of people living in the town of Islip today.

Our community is growing more civically minded every day. And while this is not the beginning, it is most—it most certainly will not be the end of our civic consciousness. We are tired of being cut up into different sections to benefit everyone else but us.

I currently live in a part of Brentwood that is in every way a part of the greater community, the town and the school district. But

2.2

pemographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 yet, when I have issues--when I need to have issues addressed in my community--let's say in the Senate--I either have to go to Manhasset, which is the seat of the 3rd Senate District or Babylon, the seat of the 4th Senate District.

And while I'm not suggesting that the local officials are completely ignoring my community, I am stating that it is very, very hard for them to pay attention to my community when it is cut up so it is a small piece in different districts.

One glaring example, or two, the

Heartland Mega-project is located in the current

4th Senate District, while the majority of

Brentwood is in the 3rd Senate district. That's

a \$4 billion project that's going on that has to

be decided, you know, by a lot of people there.

Also, the Brentwood school district is in two separate senate districts, making advocacy tough when you have to go to two completely different places to advocate for our young youth.

I'm not necessarily trying to make this an issue of should we have a minority district.

2.2

But Brentwood is home to a very diverse population. And we all feel, together, that this is an issue of keeping us together, keeping us stronger, and giving us the tools to help make our community gain greater importance, greater empathy, and a greater voice is the only way to ensure that more young people, like myself, are inclined to stay in Suffolk County and in New York State.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

Bay Shore, Brentwood, and Central Islip contribute greatly to the region and to the entire state. For years, we have shouldered political ambitions, dreams, state mandates, violence, issues with our schools, neighborhoods and every other entity that wants our communities to make a concession for the greater good.

Again, I'm asking you all today, to do what we, here in our communities have been doing for you all for years. Let's make a concession, let's move forward into the next ten years with a unified community.

Again, please keep our community together, keep our community as one voice, and

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 4 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
2	keep our community strong. I want to stay here.
3	Thank you.
4	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
5	David Stonehill.
6	MR. DAVID STONEHILL, ESQUIRE, PRESIDENT,
7	DAVID H. STONEHILL PC: My name is David H.
8	Stonehill
9	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
10	Press the mic
11	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] You
12	have to hold the button.
13	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: And keep your
14	finger on it.

finger on it.

MR. STONEHILL: I'll hold on to it. Suffolk County, what can I say? Okay. I like Suffolk. All right. Good no, no. morning, everyone. My name is David H. This is my third appearance before Stonehill. this committee. I hope that you have enjoyed your visits to Long Island, just as I look forward to my occasional visits to Albany.

I'm speaking today because I wished, as an interested citizen, to make some observations

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 regarding the redistricting process as it now stands.

I should begin by noting that your committee has unfortunately neglected to schedule a public meeting in Nassau County. Each Long Island county was provided with a hearing last October, as you may recall, and would have been in the public interest have done so again this time around.

Long Island is indeed long. And for many a potential testifier or observer a day trip--a day-time trip to this particular venue, as charming as it may be, may have been out of the question.

I personally have the interest and the ability to travel anywhere within this state to attend this kind of a forum, but others lack the means to do so.

It is also distressing to learn that no public hearings are contemplated regarding an analysis of the redistricted congressional district lines. We expected to vote for our representatives, yet we have no say regarding who

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 their constituents should be. Very ironic, I think.

I have previously remarked that following the guidelines established by the New York State Constitution, the latest census data compels us to determine that the composition of the state senate should be 62, not 63.

I again defer to the superb examinations of this issue by my colleagues, Paul Evans and Todd Breitbart. This issue will have to be resolved by the court system.

The continued expenditure of time, effort, and money, by all concerned could have been employed to better purposed had this committee followed the previous practice in determining the senate's number.

I will simply note that the addition of a 63rd senator would entail additional office and staff expenses for the state government. This increased expenditure is supported by a political party, which allegedly advocates a smaller and less intrusive government—another irony.

The 63rd senate seat would be allocated

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

Upstate, although the state's population growth

is greatest in the downstate area--irony again.

However, in my view, a permanent solution to determining the number of state senators would necessitate a state constitutional amendment fixing the number of senators in the same way the assembly is set at 150 members. 60 senators is a nice round number and easy to remember. Unfortunately, we still have to get through this round of redistricting.

Assuming arguendo for the purposes of this testimony that the new redistricted senate should have 63 senators, the way the legislative lines have been drawn presents us with perfect examples of gerrymandering, and why the redistricting process needs thoughtful, unbiased, and independent input.

Influential newspapers, such as the New York Times, Newsday, and the Albany Times Union have all denounced extreme gerrymandering and have provided relevant examples from around the state.

There will certainly be much testimony

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 today regarding Long Island and how the new senate districts ignore communities' and minority needs.

Both Nassau and Suffolk counties have potential majority minority areas, which if drawn into a state senate district or two, would further the goal of increased democratic participation in the political process.

Ultimately, more ever--moreover, an attempt to preserve the past political arrangement in Long Island will fail, due to demographics. New York State, even Long Island, is becoming more multi-ethnic and multi-cultural.

We cannot recreate the Long Island of 1960, even if we wanted to. That is why the proposed senate lines do not make any sense.

They represent a vanished projection of what Long Island was.

The major problem involved with the proposed senate districts, is that they fit in with the low public perception of government and its competency.

There is the appearance of cynical

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment,	2-9-2012
political manipulation of the process	in order to
perpetuate power. The shape of many of	these
gerrymandered districts invokes amuseme	ent,
because they do not seem rational.	

This may not be the committee's actual intent, but it certainly seems that way to the general public. Don't believe me? Try some polling of average informed citizens.

My suggestion to this committee is to appeal to your enlightened self-interest. Please carefully review these lines, and make the changes you are certain to be informed about today. Let's avoid a veto by the governor, and more litigation.

New York State deserves a redistricting process better than this. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak today.

> ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah. Steve.

Steve Labriola, Oyster Bay Town Clerk.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO:

MR. STEVE LABRIOLA, TOWN CLERK, OYSTER

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 giving me the opportunity to be heard on your proposed plan to reapportion the assembly and the senate district.

So, I'm here specifically to speak about the proposed plan for the 12th Assembly District. As some of you may know, I had represented the 12th Assembly District for four elections, and served with some of you on this board. And so, I certainly do understand and appreciate the very difficult task that you have before you.

And it is my hope that the comments that you're hearing throughout New York State are being, are being taken extremely seriously and being taken into that back room. And I hope that it will not be, ultimately, three men in a room. And I hope that you will take into consideration the concerns from my community and the town of Oyster Bay.

In particular, you're hearing from many people today from the Massapequas, a community that I represented in Albany. And was very proud to represent a district that for everyone who could see this, it is a picture—what I believe

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
the definition of what a compact district is
supposed to look like--that keeps communities
together with commonality, same interests,
geographically, politically, our schools, our
villages, our town, even our county. All
represented in this one beautiful district.

And now, I see the proposal, in my hand here today, and I really do hope that this is just what you would call the battle lines being drawn and that it will change substantially, and hopefully, to revert to something very similar to this.

Because this--I guess I could poke a little fun at it--I looked at the Newsday editorial today and the headline was time to be mad and vocal. And although I'm not so sure that I'm mad, and I know that--how this process will play out. I think, ultimately, if these lines are drawn, there are going to be a lot of people that will be mad.

But I do think that, as far as time is concerned, we are out of time. And we're running perilously close to a deadline that's been

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 imposed upon us.

article, they talk about this looking like a
Rorschach Blot. So, I decided to take Newsday's
test. And I took the district and I looked at
and stared at it, tried to come up with
something—something relevant that it means. And
then, when I turned it upside down, it strangely
reminds me of that character ET, who only wanted
to phone home.

And I think if this district were to be adopted as it is proposed, many of us will not have a home, geographically and representatively, that would be represented in the New York State Assembly, because our interests will be too diverse to be represented by one member of the state assembly.

And I think there is where the danger lies in adopting something like this. Now, I think the public has come to expect a certain amount of one-upmanship between the parties that are drawing these lines. Think that a certain amount of it is acceptable.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

But when you're doing things like this,
I think that gets people angry. And I think it
divides communities. And I think it causes a
lack of--for this institution, that I hold
personally in the highest--in the highest esteem
for what you do.

And so, I hope that this is really just the first step and that you will come back to a district that more closely represents this, where my friend and colleague, Assembly Saladino does a fine job in representing the suburban interests of Southeastern Nassau County. And I hope that it remains that way.

Thank you very much for your time and attention.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Very good to see you again, thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Ken Daly.

MR. KEN DALY, RESIDENT, SUFFOLK COUNTY:
Good morning. My name is Ken Daly. I'm here
representing myself as a resident of Suffolk
County.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

It's the first time I've felt compelled to come and testify at any hearing on any subject. This one here hits home because Senator LaValle is our current senator. And I wanted to come and shed a little light on this that these aren't just lines on a piece of paper to the communities. They're people.

In a time where, you know, most regular guys feel, kind of, disenchanted and disenfranchised, Senator LaValle has gone out of his way to be part of our community and to represent us as a member of the community serving in Albany. Not as someone serving in Albany, but as a member of a community serving in Albany. It's important I make that distinction.

I wanted to tell a personal story from a different angle. So when you guys are looking at this--ladies and gentlemen, are looking at this--there will be more of a personal touch, I hope.

I run a business on Long Island for 30 years. We have health insurance and everyone knows the pitfalls of that. We had a situation recently where our health carrier decided to

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 cancel us. They weren't really allowed to, and, you know, we were a little guy fighting a big guy.

And as a last resort I called Ken

LaValle's office. Not only did he fight on our

behalf, he copied us on the emails, he let us-
his staff let us know every day what--that, you

know, they were working on this, that it was a

really important thing for him that the 62 souls

that are insured by this policy were taken care

of.

So, I wanted to come here to today and be brief, and just tell you that to a regular working class guy in the community, I don't pretend to understand the politics and the reasons why these redistrict things need to happen.

But, I do understand one thing, in all the years I've been in business, and all the years I've worked in Suffolk County, it's really important to have a personal touch and to have a community attached to these districts.

And I just wanted to make that statement

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 here today. And I appreciate you hearing me.

Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Charles

Gucciardo.

MR. CHARLES GUCCIARDO: Good morning.

My name is Charles Gucciardo. I live in

Massapequa. I've been a resident of Massapequa,

I guess, for the past 42 years or so. We moved

there when I was about 12.

Listen, we have a lot of people and I have a lot of friends in Massapequa. We have a lot of people that respect our government and the way it's working, and we want to, you know, first thank you for all of your consideration, whatever you do.

However, we take a look at--and we look at what's going on with this. And I just had to come here today to just make a statement. And so, I'm not going to be long. But I do with that you'd take this to the bank, so to speak, when you go back there and try to make your decisions.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

You know, there's a certain pride that we have as Massapequans. Now, you can say that's a selfish motive or not, but the truth of the matter is, is that the people in Massapequa--whether it's North Massapequa or South Massapequa, East Massapequa or Central Massapequa--all have this certain pride when we say that we're from Massapequa.

Why is that? Because the community that we live in is cohesive, and even the people from north of the track, south of the tracks or wherever they are, are all of the same opinions when it comes down to how we want to be governed.

Now, I know people from all over

Massapequa. Living there for so long, you just
can't help it. And the truth of the matter is
that we have common interests. Whether it be our
taxes, our library service, the water, the sewer,
law enforcement—we have a great police force
that takes care of us. We have great fire
departments that take care of us. Our library is
second to none. And I really give the people of
the government credit for putting all that

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 together and keeping it that way.

But, we need to be able to run to the same individuals, the same people that we have in the past when we need things.

Now, I understand--and I don't know much about this--and I promise you that I don't have the wisdom to tell you how to do these things. I give--my hat is off to all of you who can figure out how to run this government. I don't have that capacity.

But, I do know that in Massapequa Park where I original came from 42 years ago--where my father still lives and my sister still lives, and most of my friends also live--that we don't need to have, if you will, to have our government in Massapequa Park split up. And that's one of the things that's going to happen here.

I don't know how that makes things
easier. All I know about government is is that
it's become very, very complex. And the needs of
people to voice their opinion to get it done,
through the people that they elect is getting
more and more complex. And it makes it harder

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 for us to understand where we're going.

Listen, I feel bad for my children who are now 15, 12, and 10--where they're going in this community. How you going to be able to afford to live the way we live? To have the dream that my father had for me to be here, for me to have the same for my child--my children.

Now what does that have to do with anything? Let me tell you. If we can't have the people who are taking care of our politics, and the people who we elect, that we can get to and know where we're going with this, then it's going to make it that much more difficult for us to stay here. You know, more and more people are moving out of Long Island. More and more people are moving out of New York State. We can't have I don't know what's going to happen in the future, but it doesn't look good to me. respectfully, I ask you to take all of this into consideration. Once you divide this, once you put all of this in different divide, you're taking the cohesiveness of a community, and you're destroying it. Most respectfully to all

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
of you, when you do this, if the needs of the
Massapequans are united, and they are, our water
ways, the difference between the North Shore and
the South Shore, people that live here, that use
our water ways, our beaches, the whole nine
yards, if all of that becomes mashed in with
somebody from the North Shore, or the communities
form the North Shore, there is different
environmental concerns. There are different
neighborhood concerns. There is different
traffic concerns. I heard something here
earlier, that it takes 25 minutes, you have to go
25 miles, took an hour and 10 minutes or so to
get from the South Shore to the North Shore.
That's absolutely true. And I do appreciate, and
I love Upstate New York. It's a whole different
ball game up there. It really is beautiful. And
you can have, and you need the people of
different districts and different counties in
order to be, you know, to have the requisite
votes. However, down here, this place has gone
from, Massapequa has gone from when I first moved
out there, where a lot of the land was still

emographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
swamp and being filled in, to a major concern.
This, Massapequa is just a major concern in the
state of New York. And I've got to tell you
something. I'm very proud of the leaders that we
have and what they're doing. But in any event,
listen, I don't want to take up anymore of your
time. I speak for the people that I know. I
know a lot of people in Massapequa. I can't help
it. I've been there for a long time. The needs
of the people are similar. Our desires to be
represented are similar. There is no reason to
chop this thing up. And with, from the bottom of
my heart I ask you most respectfully to consider
that everyone that I know from Massapequa is of
the same opinion that I am. And I don't
represent anybody, but I can tell you what their
concerns are. I thank you very much for the
opportunity to speak, and I wish you, really, the
best of luck in this endeavor. I'm glad I'm not
you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, CO-CHAIR, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Thank you.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

MALE VOICE: Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Robert

Summerville [phonetic], Robert Summerville, Violet Smith.

MS. VIOLET SMITH: - - hello.

MALE VOICE: - - the button. Make sure There you are.

MALE VOICE: And hold it.

MALE VOICE: Hold it.

MS. SMITH: Hello.

MALE VOICE: - - hold it.

MS. SMITH: Oh, I got it. Okay, good morning everybody. My name is Violet Smith. I am a resident of Brentwood. I've been living in Brentwood for over 20 years. I don't see myself as a, don't laugh; but I don't see myself as a black person, a minority. I see myself, you know, as a resident of Brentwood. That being said, I do believe that, you know, our Senators and our representative are listening to us, not as a Black person, or as a minority person, as a Hispanic person, but as a Long Island resident, a Brentwood, whether it's Brentwood, Bay Shore or

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
L	0	
L	1	
L	2	
L	3	
L	4	
L	5	
L	6	
L	7	
L	8	
L	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 CI, it really doesn't matter. I have never been to any of these offices where they identified me as this label that, I really feel that, you know, this has become a racial issue. They are making it a racial issue. As, when I think that, you know, it's, it's a community issue. It's a, it's issues that we are all concerned about, whether we are black, whether we are white or Hispanic, the issues really are the, you know, the job in the community. The issues are our schools. issues are our, you know, taxes, quality of life. It's not a racial issue. It's a Long Island issue. So our Senators have been fighting, you know what I mean, for the same issues without separating, you know, Hispanic, White or Black, all right. And also, there is an assumption that, you know, Black and African American and Hispanic, you know, when it comes to voting, that we all vote for the same thing. As a minority person, you know, as a African American, you know, woman, I think, you know, that is not as true as they want us to believe. We can see the example in the election in 2008, where the

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
L	0	
L	1	
L	2	
L	3	
L	4	
L	5	
L	6	
L	7	
L	8	
L	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 majority of Hispanic vote went to Senator, you know, Clinton, and the majority of African American vote went to former Senator, current President Obama. So I don't want to make this, you know, I don't agree for this to be a racial I don't want to be divided. issue. fought, you know, in our society, you know, for so long, for, for the unity, for our community to be one voice, okay, that being, you know, African American, Hispanic or White. Now I feel that, you know, I mean the, we, we want, they're going to separate us like, you know, I mean, okay, like, go back, you know, I mean, to being the little group, Hispanic and Black, rather than being a full community of Long Island. about Long Island. It's not really about, you know, our race. And I don't agree with it, okay. So I would like, you know, I mean, to support the non-division of this, you know, I mean, of our community. I do not want our community to, to, to divide. I don't want to be seen like, you know, I mean, the, oh this is the minority group. This is the Hispanic group. Oh this is the

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
2	quote, unquote, White group, okay. I don't want
3	that. We are one community no matter what our
4	race is okay. Let's make our Senators,
5	Assemblymen, all our elected officials
6	accountable, okay. Let them, you know, I mean,
7	hear all our voices, not just like, you know, I
8	mean, oh, okay, so you don't listen to us. We'll
9	make our little own group. That's again separate
10	us again. I don't want to be separated. My
11	community doesn't want to be separated. We want
12	to be one voice. And we want whether we
13	represent by our, somebody of our race, or
14	somebody, or which, regardless of race, we want
15	to be heard for who we are, the person, the
16	resident we are, mother, okay, or a teacher,
17	whoever, a father, you know, a coach, you know,
18	that work with our kids. I, I've been coaching
19	in the community of Brentwood, you know, I mean,
20	for the soccer club for 17 years, okay. And I've
21	seen it all, you know, whether they are White,
22	Hispanic, or Black, we all have one problem, the
23	concern for our kids' education, the concern for
24	our kids' future, okay. And I have, I have

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
coaches that are, you know Caucasian. I have
coaches that are Black, that are African
American. I have coaches that are Hispanic. And
we all have one concern. Let's make our elected
officials accountable for all of us. Don't
separate us. That's what I would like to say on
behalf of my community, Brentwood and a Long
Island resident. And as what they would call an
African American woman, okay, thank you very much
for the time.

ASSEMBLEY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

MALE VOICE: Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLEY MEMBER MCENENY: Gil

Bernadino.

MR. GIL BERNADINO: Good morning, oh, okay, my name is Gil Bernadino. I am the founder and the Secretary Director of - - , a non-profit organization established over 30 years ago with offices in Long Beach and Hampstead. Our organization offers the community over 20 different programs, including educational programs, domestic violence services, HIV/AIDS and health programs, - - services and youth

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 These programs reach many thousands of services. residents from National County, including Hispanics, as well as non-Hispanics. In 2010 our organization celebrated the opening of a center, a green facility of over 33 thousand square feet. This facility, built in Hampstead, is the first facility of its kind in the United States, and the first community based organization to have achieved gold status for this lead facility. addition, three years ago, our organization sponsored - - Charter School, offering public school, serving elementary school children English - - to five. This center helps hundreds of national - - Hispanics and non-Hispanics as well, youth and adults every year. So now that you know something important about what I do, and the organization I represent, I want to tell you why I'm here. It happens that the organization was established over 30 years ago in the ninth, New York State Senatorial District. district has selected our current New York State minority leader, Dean G. Skelos, as a Senator for more than 25 years. Within all these years,

De	emographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
	Senator Skelos has supported programs and
	projects that have benefited, have helped the
	National County Hispanic community, as well all
	Long Island communities. The center I just
	mentioned began with a seed grant of \$1.5
	Million, from Senator Skelos. This happened over
	15 years ago where Hispanic cultures, needs and
	aspirations were not popular, and we did not
	count as Hispanics in Long Island, for Long
	Island community leaders. We Hispanics were not
	invited to the table at all for anything. We
	simply did not count. Senator Skelos, by his
	actions to support Hispanic cultures many years
	ago, when it was not popular to do so, took a
	political risk by providing support for the, to
	the National County Hispanic community needs.
	Senator Skelos actions to support our center, as
	well as other Hispanic causes, will impact
	hundreds of individuals and families for many
	generations to come. In my experience, I know of
	no other elected official in National County,
	that has supported Hispanic causes for so many
	years in so many ways. His leadership has been

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 important to our community, and for this reason, I support Senator Skelos and LATFOR proposal, Senate, Estate Senate decision for Long Island. Thank you for your attention.

MALE VOICE: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much, Manny Vidal Junior.

MR. MANNY VIDAL JR: Hello, my name is Manuel Vidal. I'm a Bay Shore resident. here to testify in support of the proposed state senate district, redistricting lines. My review doesn't show much of a change for my district. I'm between the third and the fourth. My current Senator is Owen Johnson. Without no question, he's always been around to hear our problems, to pay attention to us, and each and every one person in the community and stuff. It's always easy to get in touch with him. He's not, he's no, he's been great with us, really. Also, standing witness to Owen Johnson, and the lines that they, the way they are, we have Owen Johnson and Lee Zeldin. They are both very community oriented. We have two people to go to when we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 need somebody. The lines don't really change so I really feel that the change won't be that major to us. And I'm short and sweet and I really appreciate it. Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you, Bessie Ortega.

MS. BESSIE ORTEGA: Having my little one here, you know I'm very happy that I'm up next. I only had so many Cheerios. My name is Bessie Via [phonetic] Nueva [phonetic], and I am a resident of North Bay Shore, and I have, I am a homeowner, and I am representing myself, and I have been a resident in the community for about, I would say a little over 23 years I've owned my home there. And I also, at the beginning of my career, worked for a non-profit organization that served both third and fourth district. And I do understand that there is talk currently of changing the current lines to, redrawing the lines, and I have come to testify that I am against redrawing the lines. I have seen out of my whole time that I have been living in North Bay Shore, I have seen the growth, and I have

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
seen the, both senate offices give to the
community and hear the community concerns. Back
then when I did work as a non-profit
organization, they were very much involved in
community needs. And as you all know, both
Brentwood, North Bay Shore, and Central Islip,
those are high need communities, and they were
there. They were there listening to our
responses and providing services as needed, and
supporting local non-profit organization. The
non-profit organizations that are located in
those areas are very grass root organizations.
They are not, they don't have those big bucks to
pay those lobbyists to go to Albany. They are
very grass roots. They solely depend on the
voice of the people in the community, and the
senators and political representatives that serve
the communities. Now, as a mom at home, my
biggest concern, as another mom spoke before form
Brentwood, is my school district, is my
neighborhood, is my community where my children
grow and we live in. And I have to say that I do
not feel as a momethat I am not heard. When I do

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 call the offices and I have questions about some of the things I'm hearing or things that are going on, I do feel that I'm represented. I do see the benefit of having two offices involved, because when it comes to pooling funds for community projects, such as the wastelands that they recently invested monies in, across from Suffolk Community College, which is now a soccer field, which is a safe soccer field, which at one point was like an illegal soccer field, people were just going there, now it's fenced in; it's clear; it's an area where families who enjoy the sport can go to. And that was done possible by offices pooling money together, two offices. was simple. There was good communication. was done. That's what I want to see in my neighborhood, I want to continue to see. I feel that if we are redistricting, I am afraid that we are going to lose a voice. As a Hispanic woman, I do not feel that I am under-represented in any shape, way or form. I feel that my kids are represented. I do, as another mom said, I do not feel that this is a racial issue. I, my children

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 in the school districts, they do not feel that they are segregated or mistreated in any shape, way or form. The lines should stay as they are. There is nothing wrong with them. If it isn't broke, don't fix it. Thank you for your time.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you, Dr. Andrew Beveridge. Good afternoon.

DR. ANDREW BEVERIDGE: Good afternoon, my name is Andrew Beveridge. I live in Yonkers, and I'm a professor of Sociology Queens College, and the Graduate Center of CUNY. I'm also a President and Co-founder of Social Explorer, which supplies data to a large range of clients through a web-based service. Since the early 1990s, I've been involved in redistricting in New In 1993, I assisted in drawing the York State. plans for the Yonkers City Council. In 2003 I assisted with the new plan for the Yonkers City This year I helped draw the plans for Council. the Westchester County Board of Legislators and the New York - - City Council. I've also been involved in redistricting litigation. I was an expert witness, the successful suit against the

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
2	town of Hampstead, which resulted in drawing
3	districts for the first time. I was an expert in
4	the challenge to the current state senate lines,
5	2002 to 2004. I was an expert witness in the
6	successful litigation against in 2003/2004,
7	and against the village of Port Chester in 2009.
8	I testified in a case involving the Suffolk
9	County Board of Legislators in 2002, and assisted
10	in redistricting Nassau County in 2003. I was
11	also involved in the 2011 federal court challenge
12	to the proposed district lines Nassau County, now
13	suspended by a state court ruling. Today I rise
14	to analyze the proposed state senate plan from a
15	voting rights perspective. I've compared the
16	plan to the current lines, which were adopted in
17	2002, and updated the demographic information to
18	use current data. I also compare the proposed
19	plan to that proposed by common cause, to that
20	proposed by the so-called Unity Group, and to
21	another plan crafted by Todd Breitbart
22	[phonetic], who gained expertise in redistricting
23	while working for the State Senate Majority for
24	many years before he retired. I should note all

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 of the block assignments of these plans are publicly available, but if you have trouble getting them, we can, I'm certain we can facilitate that.

MALE VOICE: Excuse me, I thought I heard you indicate that Mr. Breitbart worked for the Senate Majority.

DR. BEVERIDGE: Minority, for many years It said minor-, I said before he, he retired. minority. At the White Plains hearing, I called upon - - 40s, citizens of voting age population, rather than voting age population, for all their calculations with respect to the Voting Rights Act for this round of redistricting. Despite the fact that using voting age population plainly includes many people who cannot legally vote, as many as two-thirds in some cases, LATFOR and majorities of both houses have apparently continued to use VAP, event though C-VAP, the Citizen of Voting Age Population was adopted in the last round of litigation about the state senate plan itself, as well as by federal courts reviewing plans for - - , Porchester and Suffolk

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
County. When one uses a standard based upon C-
VAP, where a minority group is in the majority,
often considered a minimal standard for showing
that a district has an effective majority,
effective majority of a minority group the
results are very telling. First, with respect to
non-Hispanic African Americans, or black
population, all five plans analyzed, create seven
districts with an effective majority. However,
when one uses C-VAP to examine the number of
Hispanic districts with an effective majority,
the plans vary greatly. The proposed plan has
two Hispanic districts both in the Bronx. The
third plan has two Hispanic districts both in the
Bronx. The Common Cause Plan has three Hispanic
districts, two in the Bronx, and one in Queens.
The Unity Plan has three Hispanic districts in
the Bronx, and the Breitbart [phonetic] Plan has
two Hispanic districts, using the 2005 to 2009
ACS C-VAP, but has five using the more up to date
2006 to 2010 C-VAP data. Plainly this
demonstrates that an array of plans exist that
goes much further towards protecting Hispanic

emographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
minority voting rights, than the proposed Senate
plan. And I haven't yet had time since the plans
were released very recently, to analyze the
Assembly Plan. It's now clear why those
proposing the Senate Plan have chosen to confuse
matters by not using the appropriate standard.
When one looks at the potential for coalition
districts between African Americans and
Hispanics, the proposed Senate Plan has one such
district, as does the current plan. The Common
Pause Plan creates two such districts, one of
which is in Nassau. The Breitbart Plan creates
four such districts, including one in Nassau, and
one in Westchester County. I understand that
there has been an assertion that there is no
evidence of coalition between Hispanics and
African Americans in Long Island or Nassau
County, so therefore such a plan should not be
drawn. However, working on the litigation
regarding the Nassau Board of Legislators, there
was ample evidence

MALE VOICE: [Interposing] - - .

DR. BEVERIDGE: Yes, I'll be done in

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
about one minute, or less, that Blacks and
Latinos who live near one another vote together.
That was further demonstrated that when African
Americans and Latinos together helped cause the
defeat of an incumbent member of the Nassau
Board. In sum, the current proposal does much to
diminish the voting power of Hispanics, as well
as Hispanics and Blacks living near one another.
In short, it is depriving these groups of their
rights. I expect that the plan eventually, that
eventually goes into effect will not engage in
these blatant denials of rights. Instead, the
plan the eventually comes into effect will be the
result of a veto by the governor and, or a
that will not trample on the voting rights of
minorities. And I have appended to the data of
my analysis of all five plans. Thank you very
much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much. Alisha--

MALE VOICE: [Interposing] I think I have a question.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Oh, I'm sorry.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 Sir, Senator Dilan has a question.

SENATOR MARTIN MALAVE DILAN: Yeah, you mentioned that you were involved in the Nassau plan. Can you very quickly explain to me what happened there?

Well what happened in DR. BEVERIDGE: the Nassau situation was that we had filed a federal case on voting rights, and there was also a case going on with respect to whether or not they'd violated the charter the way they'd draw the lines. And eventually the Court of Appeals, the highest court in the state, ruled that they had, and so they kept the current lines in place. So the case is currently suspended, and if the, if the law, you know, if, depending on how they ultimately draw the lines, it may come back into, into play. And one legislator who actually would, was representing this kind of mixed district lost and was replaced by a person who actually is of mixed, minority descent.

MR. WELQUIS LOPEZ, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Let me ask you a question, - -

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

?

3 DR. BEVERIDGE: I think it's three.

4 It's the one over by--

MR. LOPEZ: [Interposing] - - .

DR. BEVERIDGE: Yeah.

MR. LOPEZ: - - voting - - have a
statistic about that right.

Well, the, the expert in DR. BEVERIDGE: the case who did the voting rights analysis, which is Michael McDonald, who is at CUNY - - who actually also did the voting, the voting analysis in the case, the original Goosby case, the case that forced Hampstead to withdraw the lines, and then, so he did the analysis, and yes that is what we found. And the second point is that Robert Smith, who worked on what are called the Senate Factors in that case is a prior award winning, wrote an award-winning book on Hispanic immigrants in the New York Metro area, also found that based on his work on the ground. So in fact, in areas where Hispanics and African Americans are nearby one another, they do in fact vote together. So the idea that there is not an

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 ability for coalition reform is wrong.

MR. LOPEZ: I understand what you're saying; but you're saying that in that district Hispanic voted. And that district, if I'm not mistaken, is very Caribbean.

DR. BEVERIDGE: It's Caribbean and Hispanic.

MR. LOPEZ: Right, so you have statistics that show--

DR. BEVERIDGE: [Interposing] Yeah, we do have those--

MR. LOPEZ: [Interposing] Can you provide me the statistic?

DR. BEVERIDGE: I don't have them. Robert, we can get them for you.

MR. LOPEZ: Thank you.

DR. BEVERIDGE: Okay.

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, CO-CHAIR,

NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC

RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONAMENT: Senator Dilan

still has the floor.

SENATOR DILAN: Thank you very much. Basically, with respect t your testimony, and

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
with respect to the product that this commission
has come out with so far, is there an opportunity
here in Suffolk County or in Nassau County for
either Latinos or, or Blacks to possibly elect a
candidate of their choice?

DR. BEVERIDGE: Not at this point, and there could be, nor is there a coalitional district in Nassau Suffolk. But from the Breitbart Plan and the Common Cause Plan, the Unity Plan didn't, the Unity Group did not go outside of the city limits of New York City, but both the Breitbart Plan and the Unity Plan do in fact draw such a coalitional district in Nassau Suffolk.

SENATOR DILAN: That's either respect to the assembly or--

DR. BEVERIDGE: [Interposing] Senate.

SENATOR DILAN: -- the Senate.

DR. BEVERIDGE: Actually, so far, all we've done is, all I've been able to analyze is the Senate. So this, these are all about the Senate. There are, there is a Common Cause Plan and a Unity Plan for, and I believe the Common

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

Cause people are here. So they're going to

discuss their plan. They have a plan for the

Assembly, the Senate and Congress, and I think

Unity does as well. I haven't had the chance

really to analyze those plans. But I, you know,

I'm planning to.

SENATOR DILAN: Okay, thank you.

MS. DEBRA LEVINE, CO-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,

NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC

RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: - - .

MALE VOICE: Okay.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you,

Alicia Figares [phonetic], Figares, Alicia

Figares, Leah Jefferson, Leah Jefferson, Roderick

A. Pearson.

PASTOR RODERICK A. PEARSON: Good afternoon, my name is Pastor Roderick A. Pearson. I am President of the Islip Town NAACP, and a resident of the town of Islip. Members of the Legislative Task Force of Reapportionment, I would thank you, would like to thank you for the opportunity to address you, and some concerns which are echoing throughout the Black and

census.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
Hispanic community, and communities of color in
reference to the proposed lines which have been
drawn thus far. Our community has become
educated and more engaged in this process of
redistricting and reapportionment, so much so
that we are, that we vehemently reject the
proposed lines and ask Governor Cuomo to vote, to
veto these lines and demand from you a fairer and
a just proposal that give all people,
particularly communities of common interest, a
more equal voice, and more equal representation.
The proposed maps for the fourth and third senate
legislative districts, do not afford Blacks and
Hispanics fair and equitable representation. The
large and growing African American and Latino
population in Nassau and Suffolk are consecrated,
are concentrated in the same villages and
hamlets. Districts' boundaries that divide one
group, diluting its voting power, divide both.
The African American and Latino communities have
been systematically split each time the senate
district boundaries have been redrawn after the

Today we draw the line against the

mographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
gerrymandering of our communities, particularly
in Brentwood, Central Islip, Bay Shore, Wine
Dance and Wheatley Heights. The efforts to
dilute and disenfranchise Black and Latino
voters' needs needs to cease and it needs to
cease now. February is the month that America
celebrates Black history. While our story has
much to celebrate, it is also filled with painful
lessons, and at times, dreadful sacrifices of
many who gave their lives for the price of
freedom. Today we are still facing forces who
seek to oppress us and take away our voice. The
New York Senate proposed district, redistricting
plan is another example of the pain and struggle
we still face. Slavery demoralized us and kept
us divided and powerless. While most laws
prohibit slavery, except for the punishment for a
crime in the $13^{\rm th}$ Amendment, which means that the
prison industrial system is modern day slavery
geared towards keeping us in chains.

[Applause]

PASTOR PEARSON: Don't, don't mess with my five minutes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

[Laughter]

Then there is the PASTOR PEARSON: system of gerrymandering, which keeps us divided and takes away our voice politically, giving a particular party an unfair advantage over This impacts the reallocation of another. resources for infrastructure, education, health care, and much more for our communities. Redistricting is supposed to draw voting lines so that each community will have fair and equal representation. The reality is that lines get drawn to favor incumbent elected officials, and weaken the voting powers of minorities. As for the Black and Hispanic communities in Islip, our voting base is split both within, and between the third and fourth New York State senatorial districts, thus weakening our vote. Gerrymandering is not new. It has been around since biblical days when Moses warned the people of Israel as they reached the Promised Land that they shouldn't cheat their neighbors by moving the landmarks to give themselves more land and their neighbors less. Solomon wrote in the book

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
of Proverbs 22 and 28, remove not the ancient
landmarks, which thy fathers have set. The
proposed voting lines split Central Islip,
Brentwood and North Bay Shore, and therefore give
us an unfair disadvantage. We propose that the
lines should be redrawn to give these communities
of color, along with Wine Dance and Wheatley
Heights, a greater collective voting power.
Thank God for the Voting Rights Act, which has
changed the political climate, particularly in
the segregated south, in states like Alabama,
Georgia and Louisiana. Because of the Voting
Rights Act, there is a greater proportion of
Black and Hispanic elected at every level of
government, including the highest office in
America, held by our President, Barrack Obama.
The goal of section two of the Voting Rights Act
is to eliminate discriminatory election practices
and procedures, including the drawing of
electoral districts to minimize the significance
of minority voters, and thus take away their
right to elect persons who support their best
interests and concerns.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

2 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: - -

PASTOR PEARSON: I at the end. I'm at. the end. I'm asking you the members of this Legislative Task Force, to follow and respect the Voting Rights Act; two, to respect communities of interest, respect where people live and don't split communities; number three, respect Black, Hispanic and Asian America communities, and not cut them for political gains. Even if communities cannot make up the majority in a single district, draw the line - - communities are, and where the people live. Be transparent about your redistricting decisions; and please don't pass another proposal, a political gerrymander, which Governor Andrew Cuomo has already said he would reject and veto. Give African Americans, Latino and Hispanic Americans, Asian Americans and all Americans equal and free representation. Let the segregated north of Suffolk County begin to look like the reformed, desegregated south. Let's build a stronger New York. God bless you; God bless New York; and God bless the United States of America.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

[Applause]

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you, thank you very much, Richard McGrath.

MR. RICHARD MCGRATH: Oh there we go. Richard McGrath, I live in Huntington Village. Speaking as a private resident, I know it's outside your purview, but my first takeaway is to get a microphone with a green button that stays But anyway, I appreciate very much the opportunity to address the Task Force. I happen to be in Senator Carl Marcellino's fifth senatorial district, and personally, Carl Marcellino has done a wonderful job. He's very accessible, and, and so far, it's, it's been a great relationship, you know, between him and his constituents. I'm going to be a little unusual here in that I'm going to speak in favor of, of the Task Force maps that you have proposed, and I'm going to explain why. I mean, obviously, you have an impossible job. You have to take population shifts, and you have to change maps, which is never going to be easy, all right. I'm going to explain why I think the key building

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
block, for me, personally, why I think you did a
great job in the fifth, is to me, the key
building block, we talked about a lot of
different things, but it's school districts okay.
When I think about what my state representatives
do for me, it's primarily educational. That's
the number one thing when I think of Marcellino.
You always think school funding, you guys are it,
everything goes through, through Albany, the
mandates, the fact they're not funded, okay,
state aid, or the lack thereof, or test, the, the
testing standard. When you think of state
representatives, what you do, you do a lot, but
that's the main thing that comes to me okay. And
when you drew this map, in my particular case,
some people are going to have different opinions,
I'm in a, I happen to be on the Huntington School
Board. I'm here speaking on behalf of myself,
nothing to do with the school board. But my
experience as I look at the map is, Huntington is
solely still with Carl Marcellino. And the
school districts we interact a lot with, probably
like many other school districts, are your

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
2	neighbors. So I look to my south, down in South
3	Huntington, which has similar challenges. They
4	too have Carl Marcellino under the proposed map.
5	Now I go to the east, I look at Harborfields.
6	They still have Carl Marcellino. Then I go to my
7	west, over to Cold Spring Harbor, which crosses
8	into the magical Nassau County, okay, but they
9	don't care. I mean it's Cold Spring Harbor
10	school district, okay. And they still have Carl
11	Marcellino as the So I think in our
12	particular case, you adjusted it, and you kept in
13	tact that core component. I go to more meetings
14	where it's school districts talking to a Carl
15	Marcellino, as opposed to, before, we talked
16	about the town of Huntington. Town of Huntington
17	has 200,000 people. It's not a whole, it's not
18	real meaningful to me that he, Carl Marcellino
19	represents those 200,000. The building block, I
20	think a more accurate building block is the
21	school districts. Now I've heard of many cases
22	today, if I was in those communities, like
23	Lynbrook, I'm assuming it's the same school
24	district, and to, you know, divide it right in

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
L	0	
L	1	
L	2	
L	3	
L	4	
L	5	
L	6	
L	7	
L	8	
L	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 half, or Massapequa, or any of those, you know, I'd have a very different opinion. But I think in the fifth, you did an excellent job. So, you know, I, I think, on, on as far as the senatorial district, specifically the fifth, I'm very much in favor of it. I don't think you could have done much better from my perspective. I was here primarily to speak about that, but Andrew Raia, who is not my Assemblyman, but Jimmy Conte is currently, if I was going to speak about assembly districts on the other hand, I can't say what I just said, 'cause you violated everything I talked about. You know, all of a sudden, my school district who has had Jimmy Conte, you know, half of it does, half of it doesn't. I guess I'd get Mr. Saladino; Andy Raia is wrapped around. So everything I said that was positive on the building blocks of school districts just seems like you violate on the assembly. So I'd be very much against the way you do the assembly But once again, school, if you take a school district and divide it into half, it, all these other things might be bad, but I think

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 that's the worst, okay, thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: We'd like to send the translator on her way. If there is anyone else here who needs a translator please let us know. [Foreign Language] the translator [Foreign Language].

MR. LOPEZ: God, you impress me. That was very nice.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: You'd be more impressed if I learned the right word for translator. M.J. Fitzgerald.

MR. M.J. FITZGERALD: Good morning, my name is M.J. Fitzgerald, the Chief Development Officer of the Pederson-Krag Center, as well as the President of the Huntington Community Council, a group of 46 Not For Profits in Senator Marcellino's fifth district in the Suffolk County. I'm also the President Elect of the Rotary Club of Huntington. I've lived in his district since Senator Marcellino became our Senator, and I sincerely appreciate this opportunity to address the Legislative Task Force On Demographic Research and Reapportionment. I

would like to begin my statement that Senator
Marcellino has done an amazing job representing
his district and our communities. Our community
is very diverse, and he goes out of his way to
address various concerns. For example, he
initiated efforts and provided leadership and
funding for things as diverse as the Anti-Gang
Task Force. The task force's goal is to provide
early intervention and wrap around social
services to children and their families that
would be prone to being part of a gang. This has
been an effective program and is ongoing. He's
been a great supporter of the most vulnerable in
our communities. He's helped my agency
tremendously over the years. Pederson-Krag Center
is an outpatient, not for profit mental health
and addiction recovery service provider,
something most people don't want to talk about,
let alone openly support. On behalf of the 46
members, agencies of the Huntington Community
Council, Senator Marcellino has helped us get
legislation through the state that supports our
efforts. He's been generous with us through

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 numerous grants, and by providing information that is critical to us all. He is honest to a fault. Even though we haven't always been happy with his message, you know it's the truth. also very active with outreach in the Hispanic The Senator also strongly supports. community. Senator Marcellino was instrumental in helping to launch the Hispanic Cultural Center of Oyster Bay, a community foundation that provides an ambitious after school program. This program is targeted to assist children in the community that come from homes where English is their second language. Another remarkable trait, in my opinion, is his availability to those he serves. I've never heard in all my years as a constituent, or as a member of the Not For Profit community, that someone hasn't been able to get a meeting with the Senator, or that he was unwilling to help. He is responsive to local concerns, and has done an excellent job addressing the issues of all the communities within the fifth senate district. I'm here to testify in support of LATFOR's proposed design

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 for the fifth senate district. Recognizing the population shifts on Long Island during the last 10 years, I believe your plan does an outstanding job preserving the existing district as much as possible. For our community, we are all doing less with less. And we do not have the resources or the wherewithal to unnecessarily take the time to educate another elected official about our agencies, and our concerns in the fifth district, when we have Senator Marcellino, who is willing and able to address our concerns. This is important because it enable excellent legislators to maintain the relationships they've built with the local communities in their districts. seen the proposed changes to the fifth district by the group Common Cause, and, has proposed. And unlike LATFOR's plan, it fails to respect these longstanding communities of interest and appears to make change just for the sake of change. We fully support LATFOR's proposed redesign for, design for the fifth senate district. However, regarding LATFOR's design for the Assembly, my opinion is quite contrary.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 opinion mirrors that of Assemblyman Raia. I'm against the LATFOR plan for the Assembly, thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much,
Denise Sandoval, Denise Sandoval.

MS. DENISE SANDOVAL, LONG ISLAND PROGRESSIVE COALITION: Hello, my name is Denise Sandoval, and I work with the Long Island Progressive Coalition. I'm a Community Organizer with them. The Long Island Progressive Coalition works towards social, economic and racial justice across Long Island. We are disappointed with the redistricting plan that has been proposed. believe that the maps are politically motivated, and do not respect the people of Long Island and New York State. We had hoped that New York State would not duplicate the horrible process and plan that Nassau County conducted last year. organization is opposed to the proposed FATFOR maps, as we see them in the same category as the gerrymandered maps that the Nassau County Legislature created last year. And that category is maps that blatantly dilute the voting power of

	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
1	0		
1	1		
1	2		
1	3		
1	4		
1	5		
1	6		
1	7		
1	8		
1	9		
2	0		
2	1		
2	2		
2	3		

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 minorities and essentially draw existing elected officials out of office. We call on Governor Cuomo to veto this plan. Long Island is one of the most segregated communities in the country. Many people believe that the residents are all rich and white. That is not the case. changing demographics of the island over the past 40 years has been a growing minority community. This new population lives in segregated communities due to the lack of affordable rental apartments and homes. These communities have high tax rates due to the lack of businesses and poor performing school districts. While Long Island has some of the best schools in the state, it also has some of the worst schools in the The Long Island Progressive Coalition was state. hoping for the new maps to include a new minority district in the middle of Long Island. To further illustrate my point, the town of Islip has a high concentration of people of color and immigrants sharing the same socio-economic level, sharing the same high needs school districts, one of those districts being the largest in New York

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
2	State. This certainly qualifies as a community
3	of interest, as residents in the Brentwood,
4	Central Islip, Bay Shore area share similar
5	interests and priorities, including social,
6	cultural, ethnic, economic and political
7	interests. Low income working class hamlets in
8	the town of Babylon also face similar challenges
9	as a community, and there is a need for unity.
10	The benefits of this area becoming one solid
11	senatorial district are paramount. Please throw
12	out the proposed maps, and instead, use the
13	alternative maps, such as the ones created by
14	Common Cause, which create a minority opportunity
15	district, so that the power of the minority vote
16	in these areas is not diluted, so that we may
17	have the opportunity to run a candidate form our
18	communities, and have a real chance of getting
19	them elected. I live and vote in Brentwood,
20	please do not split my community in half. Please
21	do not approve the maps that dilute my, and my
22	community's voting power. Our community, our
23	community needs unity more than ever. Thank you
24	very much.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Nicholas Ramcharitan.

MR. NICHOLAS RAMCHARITAN: Good afternoon members of the Task Force. My name is Nicholas Ramcharitan, and I am a resident of Nassau County, the 18th assembly district for Assemblywoman Arlene Hooper, excuse me, and the sixth, seventh district for Senator Kemp Hannon [phonetic]. The reason I'm in front of you today is to testify before the Task Force in support of the proposed senate district lines. I've read and done much research, and read that some individuals are opposing the plan because they say that the Task Force on Demographic Research and Reapportionment should draw senate districts on, on Long Island that tie together communities in which many Caribbeans constitute a large portion of the population. Now from the information I have come across and read, claim that this should be done to give the Caribbean community a more distinct representation, because they assert that the Caribbeans are a cohesive

mographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
voting block. I'm here to testify that the
individuals who claim to speak on behalf of my
Caribbean community, and are making these claims,
are not only wrong, but unaware of the needs and
the consistency of the Caribbean community.
First, I'm currently represented by Senator Kemp
Hannon. Without question, I can assure the Task
Force that he is always responsive to all the
needs of his constituents, from which racist
and more importantly, he knows that the
Caribbeans on Long Island, are Long Islanders
first. We all share the same concerns, and even
though I may be younger than most people, I still
have the same concerns about my future, high
property taxes, good schools for my future
children, quality jobs, and the balance of my
current and future quality of life for me, my
family and my community. I strong heartedly
believe that these are not racial issues. They
are Long Island issues, and more importantly,
these are human issues. Senator Kemp Hannon has
done an excellent job fighting for these
important issues, and also being very responsive

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
to the minorities in his district. One need no
to look any further than the democratic
presidential primary in 2008, when Hispanics
heavily voted for former Senator Clinton, while
African Americans predominately supported Senator
Obama. On Long Island, I can tell you that the
Caribbean community has a large, has largely been
split in many elections, including those for
County Legislature, State Senate, and State
Assembly. I know that the organization's common
cause has submitted a proposed state senate lines
that they say will empower African Americans and
Hispanic voters. To embellish on my previous
testimony, I think it is also important to note
that the Common Cause maps do not create a
majority Caribbean district. Instead, they rely
on false assumptions, as I mentioned before.
Again, thank you for your time, and giving me the
opportunity to speak here today.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you, Tom Donnelly, Babylon Town Councilman.

MR. TOM DONNELLY, BABYLON TOWN
COUNCILMAN: Good morning, am I on? Good, good

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 morning Mr. Chairman, members of the committee. I want to just take a few minutes to speak to you this morning, not so much as an elected official from the town of Babylon, but as a Deer park I've been a Deer park resident; I've resident. also served with the Deer Park Fire Department for the better part of 30 years. I've been involved with the Deer Park Soccer Club for over I have three children in the Deer Park 15 years. school district as well. While I know that this committee here faces a great many challenges in this assembly redistricting, I specifically would like to speak to you about assembly district number nine. Assembly district number nine as it stands right now is very, very well served by Assemblyman Andy Raia. That being said, this conversation is not necessarily about Assemblyman Raia and the good work that he does. Rather, it is about the mapping and the proposed changes. The Deer Park community would fall towards the east end of another assembly district, just as it did over 10 years ago. As an active member of the Deer park community, when the redistricting

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
2	took place over 10 years ago, we advocated
3	strongly that the Deer Park community should have
4	a better, influential role in how the assembly
5	district line went. You may not know this, but
6	the Deer Park community is home to almost 40,000
7	residents. Within the community itself is the
8	New York State road, route 231. That road is one
9	of the most well trafficked roads throughout New
10	York State. It runs north and south, delivering
11	residents, consumer goods and various other items
12	to different parts of, of our assembly district.
13	Moving our assembly district to another assembly
14	district, we actually feel in the Deer Park
15	community, and I think I speak for most of the
16	civic organizations, the fire department, as well
17	as the school district, is really going to put
18	us, put us, and New York State at a bit of a
19	disadvantage. The other area I just would like
20	to touch on is education. As the dad of a
21	special education child, I well, very well know
22	the strengths and the accomplishments of our
23	special education program in the Deer Park
24	community. A large part of that success is due

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 to the hard work of the ninth assembly district. I implore you as this redistricting discussion goes on, please do not forget my community, the Deer park community. Thank you for your time, thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you Councilman, Commander Joseph McCarthy.

COMMANDER JOSEPH MCCARTHY: Good morning, do I use it? Oh, okay, I am a resident of--

MALE VOICE: [Interposing] Speak directly - - .

MALE VOICE: - - .

COMMANDER MCCARTHY: I'm a resident of
Lynbrook for 52 years okay, today, the other day
when I found out that the, our town was being
split, and I'm going to lose my Assemblyman,
who'd done a fantastic job as a Mayor, who lived
in Lynbrook all his life, and he was,
unfortunately when, he's not going to be our
Assemblyman anymore. Also, I'm involved with the
VFW for the last 20 years. I've been 11 years a
Commander, and the members don't want to lose his

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
expertise, let's put it that way. I mean, there
is a lot of issues on Long Island that we have
today, that we don't have to disrupt something
that is good. He came into Lynbrook as Mayor.
It was a white elephant, 'cause I was there for
52 years, and he turned the place around. He's a
great guy. His name is Brian Curran. And I
would still want to have him as our
representative. And with that, thank you and
have a great day.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you for your service to our nation Mr. McCarthy.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Elizabeth
Bonia [phonetic], Elizabeth Bonia, any name that
is called and the person doesn't come forward, at
the end we go through those names once again.
Anyone who's not signed up and would like to sign
up, that's not a problem, as long as we're here,
we'll keep signing people up, as long as they
want to speak, Brian Paul.

MR. BRIAN PAUL, COMMON CAUSE: Do I have the button right? Okay, My name is Brian Paul,

	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
L	0		
L	1		
L	2		
L	3		
L	4		
L	5		
L	6		
L	7		
L	8		
L	9		
2	0		
2	1		
2	2		
2	3		

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 and I'm the Research and Policy Coordinator at Common Cause New York. Thank you for the opportunity to testify to testify in Long Island today. When we at Common Cause decided to draw model redistricting plans for New York State, our goal was to demonstrate what a non-partisan, independent process, one that nearly every legislator pledged to support back in 2010, would look like in practice, while following all the applicable federal and state constitutional law. There is no question that redistricting is a complicated task, but it has been frustrating to see some members of this panel at times blame politically gerrymandered lines on the Voting Rights Act, Block on Border, or some other complex law when speaking with the media and the public. Our experience shows that these laws are not impediments to drawing fair districts, now to move onto specific analysis of these Long Island drafts. First, we are glad to see that assembly decided to return the 22nd assembly district to Long Island. This is the correct apportionment according to population. We are also, but we are

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
L	0	
L	1	
L	2	
L	3	
L	4	
L	5	
L	6	
L	7	
L	8	
L	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
)	2	

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 concerned by the assembly's partisan gerrymander of Huntington, Babylon, Oyster Bay, specifically in assembly districts nine, 10 and 12 - - and 13, as many have already spoken about here today. this draft, assembly districts nine, 10 and 12 have been radically redrawn, as you can see on page nine of this testimony. Districts nine and 12 now form long slivers, running from the sound to the bay, in shapes that have nothing to do with local communities, villages or school districts. Looking at party enrollment, as we illustrate on page 10, these new districts appear to be an overt attempt to increase the chance of electing democratic members. In Nassau, we also see assembly district 13 continue to maintain this looping horseshoe shape, from Roslyn, to Glen Cove, to Plainview, to Jericho, in what appears to be a game of "follow the democratic voters". See page 10 of this testimony again. The Common Cause Reform Plan is, which you can see on pages eight, nine and 11 of this testimony, offers a clear alternative of how Long Island's assembly districts could look if drawn

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

based on communities of interest, village, and school district lines, rather than partisan gamesmanship. Now to turn to state senate, we at Common Cause New York are very disappointed that LATFOR decided to completely ignore the testimony of dozens of Long Islanders last fall and keep these district lines almost exactly the same as the current lines. Here in Suffolk, the line between SD three and four continues to split the minority community in Brentwood directly in half, and the lines between SD four and eight in Babylon continue to separate Wyndance from North Amityville. Despite the fact that the Hispanic and Black communities in Suffolk grew by almost 49% since the last redistricting, these lines remain the same. Despite the fact that these areas of Suffolk share distinct socioeconomic characteristics and have distinct concerns, these lines remain the same. These lines do not have The Common Cause Reform Plan to remain the same. right here on page five, clearly demonstrates that SD four can be redrawn to include these areas together, in a reasonably compact district,

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

24

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
2	that respects village and school district lines.
3	This district would almost double in minority
4	influence from any Suffolk district in LATFOR's
5	draft. And just as important, it would keep
6	distinct local communities together. In Nassau,
7	the gerrymandering is perhaps even more
8	egregious. The minority community in Hampstead
9	continues to be cracked between four senate
10	districts, between SD six and eight at Union Dale
11	Roosevelt, and between seven and nine in Elmont.
12	Like in Suffolk, this Task Force chose to keep
13	those lines in place, despite tremendous growth
14	in the minority community, 32% growth. Again,
15	there is no excuse. The Common Cause Reform
16	Plan, right here on page seven, again shows that
17	SD six can be redrawn to include these areas
18	together in a reasonably compact district that
19	follows village and school district lines. This
20	district will become a true majority and minority
21	coalition district with more, with more than
22	double the minority influence of any Nassau
23	district in LATFOR's draft. Overall, in Long

Island, the Black and Hispanic population now

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 accounts for 22% of the voting age. There are nine senate districts on Long Island. Adopting the Common Cause lines would allow growing minority communities a real opportunity to participate in two of these nine districts.

What's two divided by nine? 22%. The demographic math here is undeniable.

On top of all this the Senate plan fails to follow the State Constitution's clear mandate to minimize the division of counties. This plan crosses the Nassau-Suffolk border twice in SD's 5 and 8, while the Common Cause reform plan shows that it is possible to only cross the county border once. In fair redistricting, partisan politics is not allowed to trump community's interests or the State Constitution. And this is the key difference between these LATFOR proposals and the Common Cause reform plan. I thank you for the opportunity to testify. And I hope that you take the time to revisit our recommendations.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Mr. Paul.

MR. PAUL: I urge you to give New Yorkers the fair nonpartisan voting districts they want

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Can you see

incumbent-blind. Without politics, without

looking at where the incumbents lived.

2.2

23

24

mographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
where, with legislatures which based a lot of
their clout on seniority of older members who
then, more experienced members, who then become
committee chairs and hold leadership positions,
might not consider it a reform to find that they
will most likely be represented by a freshman who
will have to wait years to get a committee or to
rise to leadership positions?

MR. PAUL: Well that's an argument you can make but I think throughout these hearings we've see 9 out of 10 people that speak talk about keep my community together, keep my neighborhood together, not keep my incumbent in the district.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Well that's not what I heard here today.

MR. PAUL: And I'd also like to point out that only--there's only two pairings of incumbents in Long Island in this plan.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Thank you.

SENATOR DILAN: Yes. Dr. Beveridge also testified and agreed with your analysis, do you agree with him with that analysis that there

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 could be an additional district in the Senate in Nassau County for a minority community or Latinos where they would have an opportunity to select their candidate of choice?

That's District number 6 in MR. PAUL: our plan would over 60% Hispanic and Black voting age.

> SENATOR DILAN: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY:

MR. PAUL: Thank you--

SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] That was in the Senate, right?

MR. PAUL: Yes, Senate District 6.

Stephen D.

Wangel. By the way we thank Common Cause, though we spar on issues from time to time, they've been at very single hearing, maybe with on exception in Plattsburg since this began. There was 14 followed by 9, that's a lot of hearings and a lot

of work and much of it provided not only by staff

but by volunteers.

MR. STEPHEN D. WANGEL, LYNBROOK CHAMBER OF COMMERCE: Good morning Co-chairs and--and

other members of the Task Force. I appreciate

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 the opportunity to be able to address you today.

My name is Stephen Wangel. I'm a resident of Lynbrook and I currently reside in the 14th

Assembly District which is the focus of my conversation today.

Earlier on you heard Mayor William

Hendrick testify that the proposed plan would

split Lynbrook in half. I--I've seen the maps

and I couldn't disagree with him more that the

fragmentation will take a very small part of

Lynbrook and throw it into what appears to be a

brand new district.

Again, I'm a 23-year resident of
Lynbrook. And I also operate a kitchen design
business here. I don't come to you as an elected
official. I don't come to you as a
representative of a business group. I come to
you as a concerned citizen, a father of a child
with special needs, and somebody who just wants
to call an island home.

I am disappointed in this Task Force decision to redraw the district boundaries as--as they have been proposed for the $14^{\rm th}$ District.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

These new boundaries will remove Assemblyman

Brian Curran from what appears to be nearly his

entire constituency in the Village of Lynbrook.

The business community has always enjoyed a remarkable working relationship with Mr. Curran both as our Assemblyman and prior to that as our Mayor. Assemblyman Curran has always taken an interest in our economic wellbeing and has fought hard for projects like our downtown revitalization, drawing anchor businesses to our community, and most recently the rental of vacant commercial properties which are currently owned by the MTA.

These are in the heart of our downtown. Although well under way, these projects are not yet complete. Rather than remove Assemblyman Curran from the Lynbrook downtown area, it is sensible to keep him at the helm of these projects, seeing them through to fruition. In addition Mr. Curran has been a unifying force in the communities that he serves.

The redistricting as--as it is proposed would put to waste years of work by Assemblyman

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

Curran and his predecessor, in effect, un-ringing the bell. As a long-time resident and business owner, I see the following as having a negative impact due to the proposed redistricting. The Village of Lynbrook will be split and absorbed into two Assembly Districts, effectively, effectively diluting this community's needs among the needs of other communities which will be totally unrelated to our own.

Someone who is totally unfamiliar with this community, its businesses and its people will represent the majority of our village. The majority of the Village of Lynbrook will be incorporated into an Assembly District with communities that I believe have different needs than our own.

More importantly my reasons for testifying here today are personal. After all, people not business elected Assemblyman Curran. After growing up in New York City, I chose to make Lynbrook my home because it had all of those wonderful attributes that made it feel like a small hometown. When it came time to purchase a

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 home and choose a place to raise my family, I convinced my new wife that Lynbrook was the only choice. Assemblyman Curran shares that vision.

This Task Force has chosen to propose removing Assemblyman Curran from the place where he may be most effective. Fragmenting the Lynbrook community appears to have drawn lines based on raw data rather than community and family boundaries. It is incumbent upon this Committee to have another look at the impact their decision will have on my Lynbrook neighbors and redraw lines that will keep Lynbrook as a unified, family community. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Thank you. Les Wright.

MR. LES WRIGHT: Good afternoon. My
name is Les Wright and I a resident of Valley
Stream, New York in the Senate District of
Majority Leader Dean Skelos. I'm here today to
voice my concerns and testify before the
Legislative Task Force on Demographic Research
and Reapportionment in support of the proposed
State Senate Districts. This was brought to my

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 attention through newspapers and through my own research that individuals are opposing this plan because they say that LATFOR should draw Senate Districts on Long Island which African Americans constitute a large share of the population.

From my understanding this is being done to give African Americans better representation. I'm here to tell you today that that's just not true. Senate Majority Leader Dean Skelos has always been very responsive to the needs of all his constituents regardless of color. All Americans, regardless of their location, share the same concerns about their future and most importantly their quality of life. And these issues transcend race.

The other false proposition is that

African Americans vote as a cohesive block. If

you look at the Democratic presidential primary

in 2008, when Hispanics heavily voted for former

Senator Glenn while African Americans heavily

supported then Senator Obama. On Long Island I

can tell you African Americans have largely split

in many elections.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

I know that the organization Common

Cause has supported--proposed State Senate lines
that they say will empower African Americans.

And an extension of my previous testimony, I

think it's important to note that Common Cause

maps do not create a majority African American

district. Instead they rely on these false

assumptions I noted before. Again thank you for

giving me the opportunity to testify in support

of LATFOR's proposed State Senate Districts for

Long Island. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Thank you.

Mauricio Gaviria [phonetic].

MR. MAURICIO GAVIRIA: Good afternoon.

My name is Mauricio Gaviria [phonetic] and I am a resident of the 3rd Senatorial District out of the Hamlet of Ronkonkoma and I represent myself. I have seen the proposed Senate District lines for my district and I'm here to show support for this change and these lines. They are essentially the same as before with minute changes, if any, made to sectors, ironically, of the largest advocates against them.

2.2

I truly believe that the changes are necessary for the 3rd District as a whole and I trust that Senator Zeldin will be even more effective with these changes proposed. I have been very happy with my current government and I look forward to how much it will—how much better it will run after the redistricting.

Furthermore as a Hispanic in my district I am taken back by the talk of establishing a minority district. I moved out of the inner city because of the belief that diversity produces greater benefits to the town as well as the individual. I grew up in the 13th District in Queens and didn't realize I had State government representation until I was 21 and had returned from Air Force basic training and was filled in on this great-kept secret from one of my buddies who was stationed in upstate New York.

I ask this Committee to keep the course with the proposed lines until the opposition can demonstrate with actual facts instead of actual feelings how they are affected negatively as a town and as a district. Thank you for your time.

Senator Dilan.

SENATOR DILAN: What--what facts do you have with your assertions? What documentation do you have?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY:

MR. GAVIRIA: Sir, I don't have any associates. I'm here representing myself.

SENATOR DILAN: All right. You said that when the opposition has documentation or specifics that—what—what specifics do you have? What research do you have to affirm your assertion?

MR. GAVIRIA: The research that I brought here--

SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] If you don't have any, you can say you don't have any, but if you do have some, I'd like you to submit them.

MR. GAVIRIA: The research that I did bring with me today, which was, I would say, extremely basic, it was the information that I thought that I should come here with, which was the actual maps and how my district would be affected.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

It shows that the majority of the people who have spoken today seem to represent the western part of my district, in general, a concentrated corner, Brentwood and Central Islip. I have brought the map as it is now and the proposed map as it will be and it shows a very minute change to that particular district. I have yet to understand why there is so much opposition to this particular change. And for-more than that I can--I--I have no further information, Sir.

SENATOR DILAN: Okay. So you don't have any statistics or documentation that would back up what you were saying.

MR. GAVIRIA: Sir, I don't come here as a, as a statistician, no Sir.

Well all right. SENATOR DILAN: only asked you that because you were talking about that other people should have their facts so all I'm saying is that you should have yours also. Thank you.

> Thank you. ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY:

23 24 MR. GAVIRIA: Thank you.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: H. Scottie

MS. HAZEL SCOTTIE COADS, CIVIC
ENGAGEMENT CHAIR, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE
ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE, NEW YORK STATE
CONFERENCE: Oh, good afternoon. Thank you for
the opportunity to speak. Mr. Chairman and
members of the LATFOR Committee, can you hear me?
My name is Hazel Scottie Coads. I use H. Scottie
Coads sometime. I am the Civic Engagement Chair
of the NAACP New York State Conference. That's
the National Association for the Advancement of
Colored People founded in 1909. It's the oldest
civil rights organization in the country.

In its 102 years of existence we have seen our share of disappointments, disenfranchisement, and yes, downright blatant racism. Through all of it we continue to stay in the struggle, fighting and supporting causes that will benefit all people. Today our fight is on behalf of all communities but especially for the minority communities that are being blatantly disregarded through your gerrymandering process

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 of 2012.

Be mindful the NAACP intends to stay the course in this fight for minority representation throughout the State of New York. Historically Caucasians have dominated the legislative process across the country. In New York State the non-white population is close to 40%, yet people of color are not reflected in the State Legislature. This, too, will change. One would think that the members—the mapmakers would want to present districts reflective of African Americans, Hispanics, Latinos, Asians, Jews, Arabs, et cetera: ethnicity reflective of the State of New York.

But you did not think this was important. What seems more important to you in 2012 is the power grab and the reelection of colleagues. It's amazing that individual—individuals of common interests as specified by the Voting Rights Act of 1965 is being ignored. Of course you have found another avenue to circumvent the Voting Rights Act: "split those folks". Split those folks up and forget about

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 it.

On Long Island, areas that are heavily populated by minorities have been split and diced into many, many pieces to avoid the changes of minorities ever being elected. This, too, can change. Our communities are demanding that lines be drawn to reflect the voting age population.

You see it all the time, VAP. The growth reported by the US 2012 Census. Our districts have grown.

However the political representations are expected to remain the same with the same nine representatives or their hand-picked individuals for decades to come. As a representative of the NAACP New York State Conference, I came before this body prior--at prior hearings asking not for more districts, instead to draw the lines fairly and with a serious consideration of representation for the minority communities.

Unfortunately my voice fell on deaf ears. You still have the opportunity to redo your map and draw each Senate District to be as

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 equal in population as possible. One person, one vote requires fair representation for everyone.

I ask that you draw the lines that
maintain equal population across the State and
that it follows where people live in their
communities. I ask again to respect the
boundaries of where people live and not look into
other areas to connect boundaries that would
guarantee reelection of your fellow elected
officials.

The NAACP is quite disappointed that this legislative body does not have an African American representative as part of the LATFOR Task Force. Yes. Nor is there a woman representative on the Committee. Each of you should be proud to serve on this esteemed Committee however you should be equally concerned that 3.1 million African Americans in the State of New York, not one African American serves with you.

I will go to my final statement. You have four pages to read. And I will make sure that the public gets a copy of this statement. I

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
will go to the great Voting Rights Act of 1965.
We fought for it and then came finally the
passing of the Voting Rights Act prohibiting
attempts to dilute African Americans' voting
strength, authorizing Federal officials to ensure
fair voting practices.

The African American communities' experiences and witnesses lots of tragedies, the disenfranchisement at the polls is not a tragedy that the NAACP will tolerate. On election day, attempts to weaken and dilute our voting strength, broken machines, new mandated government issued photo IDs in more than 34 states to allow residents to vote is simply overtaking minority communities.

The US Census report of 40 years ago as well as the 2010 Census report always indicated voting age population grown in Nassau and Suffolk Counties although there was an increase in the voting age population every ten years, the minority communities always got sliced and diced to the interests of the political party in power.

It is mind-boggling that after all--

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 after each US Census report we always find ourselves in court, fighting and redistricting and gerrymandering that happens in the State of New York. We are determined to stop what you have done to this great state of ours. We will not accept the cutting up of the election districts in any New York State counties, downstate or upstate.

I close with this. Adding an extra

Senate District, 63 is the number, to guarantee
an election, to maintain a majority in the New

York State Senate is perpetuation of reversing
prison [phonetic] gerrymandering allocation will
not work. Thank you for listening.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Thank you. Rosa Quiles.

MS. ROSA QUILES, MEMBER, NEW YORK

COMMUNITIES FOR CHANGE; MEMBER, PUERTO RICAN

COALITION FOR A BETTER COMMUNITY, INC.; MEMBER,

HEMPSTEAD HISPANIC CIVIC ASSOCIATION: Good

afternoon. My name is Rosa Quiles. I am an

Hispanic woman who has lived in Central Islip for

the last 40 years and am a member of New York

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 Communities for Change.

I am concerned that the Hispanic

population has very little representation at the

State level. In fact we do not have one single

State Senator from Long Island that is Hispanic.

We need representation in the Senate and we

deserve the representation. We want

representation for our community. Currently our

State Senator is ignoring the important issues in

our community like education and foreclosure.

As a retired teacher I know how important education is to the children of Central Islip and Brentwood. Many residents have been scammed and have had their homes foreclosed. We need to be able to elect someone who is going to stand up and really fight for the resources of our school and our community.

I would like the Senate District maps to be fair so that as a community we have a chance to elect someone who will truly represent us and care about our issues. I don't think that is too much to ask. Splitting Brentwood down the middle is not fair. Making a district more like the one

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
2	that Common Cause has created that keeps
3	Brentwood whole and keeps Brentwood, Bay Shore,
4	and Central Islip together would certainly be
5	more fair. Thank you.
6	MR. LOPEZ: Just one question. Excuse
7	me. Excuse me Rosa. Rosa, one question: who is
8	your Senator right now?
9	FEMALE VOICE ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY:

Go back to the podium.

MR. LOPEZ: Who is your Senator right now?

MS. QUILES: [Off mic answer] Senator Zeldin [phonetic]

> MR. LOPEZ: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Okay. Assembly Member Joseph Saladino. you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOSEPH SALADINO, 12th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT: I'd like to thank the members of this most important Task Force for all the tremendous work you've been doing here on Long Island and across the State. It's a very difficult job that you have and we appreciate it.

The Constitution says it has to be done

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 and you've all been working hard. Our--our Senators Nozzolio and Senator Dilan, our Assembly Members, Assemblyman Oakes and Assemblyman McEneny and the non-legislative members Mr. Hedges, Mr. Lopez and MS. Levine, your work is important and very, very difficult.

Most are coming up to tell you what you're doing wrong in their perception or what they don't want. Few have provided alternatives to help along in the process. So I stand before you, not on my own behalf and not with my own interests in mind, but representing the people of the 12th Assembly District who I am so privileged to represent and to continue in that representation of them.

We have a community with a very strong identity within the 12th Assembly District and that is the community of the Massapequas. It's the southern half of—third of the Town of Oyster Bay. It has distinct municipal borders. On the west the Town of Oyster Bay, Town of Hempstead line, on the east is the Town of Oyster Bay, Town of Babylon line, as well as the Nassau-Suffolk

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 line.

It wholly contains the Massapequa School District. It wholly contains such entities as the Massapequa water district, the fire department; the list goes on and on. They're very distinct, holistic borders which help define this community. This community has some exceptional heritage and quite a bit of historical significance.

It was the Hamptons before there was a Hamptons for the people of New York City. The Fox Movie Company set up there. It was the summer home of people like W. C. Fields, Annie Oakley, Fred Stone, and that list goes on and on. We had a famous zoo there that brought people in from all over New York City and the nation. It was owned by a man named Frank Buck who made films, shorts they called them, of the time during pre--prior to talkies. There was a--hotels that presidents like Harrison--President Harrison stayed at.

As a matter of fact the first European settlers to Long Island, the Jones family, made

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 the Massapequas their home. So I stand before you to tell you that as the new 12th AD is proposed, if the--if we took a look at those lines of the Jones family, half of them would be in one Assembly District and the other half would be in another Assembly District.

So there's great precedence to keeping the Massapequa, Greater Massapequa community as part of one continuous Assembly District, to respect their identify and their needs. I understand that. I also understand why News Day came out with their article highlighting the new proposed 9th Assembly District and suggesting that it shouldn't go from shore to shore and that it shouldn't cut so many communities up. And by doing so diminishes the representation in their community and dilutes their effectiveness in terms of their voice in State government.

So I ask you to consider the proposal of keeping all of the Massapequas continuous in one Assembly District, the new 9th Assembly District. It's very easy to point the finger and suggest what you shouldn't be doing.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

It's much tougher to suggest a proposal that's workable and reasonable. So I stand before you to propose a new Assembly District which includes all of the Massapequas in Nassau County, goes south to the Great South Bay, captures Tobay Beach, captures Ocean Parkway, Gilgo Beach, goes around the Assembly District that has been represented very well by my colleague Assemblyman Sweeney and comes back up north, capturing the villages of the entire and holistic Village of Babylon, the section of West Babylon and the section of West Islip, all south of Sunrise Highway.

By doing this we could capture the appropriate and exact number of voters that are needed to be contained in every single Assembly District across our State. It is both homogenous and continuous. It represents communities with very similar interests and needs. Our needs are great in our communities when it comes to protecting our school districts, protecting our-our life, our quality of life.

But there's another looming issue that

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 is growing in the attention of the residents of these communities. Underground, mixing with our drinking water, is a plume of carcinogens emanating from the Grumman plant where so many vehicles and planes were built that helped us out, helped out America win a World War II and has helped our--our military and our might as a nation. Unfortunately in that process, carcinogens were released into our water stream.

To keep this community whole means it will be represented by one member who has taken much time to study and advocate for this issue.

And in conclusion I want to thank you for your time, thank you for your efforts, and please consider the possibility of a continuous

Massapequa, all to be included in one Assembly

District and the suggestion that I have made to—to draw a map along the south shore of Long

Island that is both workable, both legal, and meets all the criteria set forth by the courts and the nation.

I thank you for your efforts. I thank you for your hard work. And I do want to mention

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 that there were numerous other people waiting to speak who weren't able to stay but they had the same message in terms of keeping a continuous and one Assembly District containing all the Massapequas. Thank you for your time and thank you for your hard work.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Assemblyman, thank you very much. Elzie Ross. Elzie Ross.

MR. ELZIE ROSS: Good afternoon
everyone. My name is Elzie Ross and I am a
resident of Valley Stream, New York in the Senate
District of Majority Leader Dean Skelos. I'm
here to testify before the Legislative Task Force
on Demographic Research and Reapportionment in
support of the proposed State Senate Districts.

Now I've read in the papers that some are opposing the plan because they say that LATFOR should draw Senate Districts on Long Island that link together communities in which African Americans constitute a large share of the population and also from what I've read they say that this should be done to give African Americans better representation and because they

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 assert that African Americans are a cohesive voting block.

And I'm here to testify that the individuals making these claims are wrong on both counts. First off I am currently represented by Senate Majority Leader Dean Skelos. And without a question I can state that he is very responsive to the needs of all his constituents regardless of race and that African Americans on Long Island are Long Islanders first.

Now we all share the same concerns regardless of age. High property taxes, good schools, quality jobs, and our quality of life. These are not racial issues. These are Long Island issues. And Senator Dean Skelos has done an excellent job fighting for these important issues and also being responsive to the minority communities in his district.

Now the second false proposition is that African Americans vote as a cohesive block. We need to look no further than the Democratic presidential primary in 2008 when Hispanics heavily voted for former Senator Clinton while

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

African Americans predominantly supported then

Senator Obama.

On Long Island I can tell you that

African Americans are largely split in many
elections including those for County Legislator,

State Senate, and State Assembly. Now I know
that the organization Common Cause has submitted
proposed State Senate lines that they saw will
empower African Americans.

As an extension of my previous testimony, I think it's important to note that the Common Cause maps do not create a majority African American district, instead they rely on the false assumption that I noted before. Again. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to testify in support of LATFOR's proposed State Senate Districts for Long Island. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Judith Nunez.

Judith Nunez. Dr. Daniel Altschuler.

DR. DANIEL ALTSCHULER, COORDINATOR, LONG
ISLAND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT TABLE: I fear I may be

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 too tall for this microphone. I want to begin by thanking the members of LATFOR for holding this hearing today and giving me the opportunity to address you and share my concerns about the maps you have proposed.

My name is Daniel Altschuler. And I'm the Coordinator of the Long Island Civic Engagement Table, LICET, a coalition working to increase civic engagement in low income communities of color in Long Island. LICET is led by Make the Road New York, New York Communities for Change, The Long Island Immigrant Alliance, and the Central American Refugee Center.

In the fall we conducted a coordinated canvass that reached approximately 20,000 voters in the Town of Islip, in addition to hosting Suffolk County's first-every bilingual candidate debate in the race for County Executive. On Monday night LICET joined Long Island Wins, the Regions Immigration News Source and Noticia, Long Island's largest Spanish language newspaper to host a bilingual community forum in Brentwood to

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 educate local residents about the redistricting process and the implications for communities of color.

The response was overwhelming. 100 people packed our office with representatives of over 25 community organizations, from the African American, Latino, Haitian and Muslim communities as well as from organized labor. Despite their diverse origins the message from everyone in attendance was clear.

The maps proposed by LATFOR for Long
Island are unacceptable because they divide
communities of color and reduce these
communities' ability to elect representatives who
serve their interests. By testifying today I
hope to convey the message of unity that was
expressed at our forum as many of those present
on Monday could not miss a day of work to come to
a hearing at 11:00 a.m. on a weekday.

I would like to call attention to several specific points related to Suffolk County. The LATFOR maps reflect incumbents trying to protect their interests, their own

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 districts, forgive me, to protect their own districts rather than reflecting the burgeoning and cohesive low and moderate income communities of color in southwest Suffolk County which constitute a clear "community of interest".

The insufficient weight given by

LATFOR's maps to communities of color in Suffolk

County is shocking given that in Suffolk all of

the population growth has been among those

communities in the last ten years. The

comparison between census data from the years

2010 and 2000 reveals that were it not for the

significant increase in the Latino population and

the modest increase in the African American

community, Suffolk County's population would have

actually declined.

The problem of insufficient weight to minority communities throughout the state is evident in both the Senate and the Assembly maps as both create fewer districts in which racial and ethnic minorities would either be the majority or exert strong influence than we believe is merited.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

For instance Common Cause's maps

proposed more minority-majority-sorry, majorityminority and minority-influenced districts than

LATFOR's maps for both chambers. The most
egregious example in Long Island which you've
heard much about today is the splitting of
Central Islip, Brentwood where our office is
located, and North Bay Shore, into two different
State Senate Districts, SD's 3 and 4, effectively
cracking this community of interest.

The Greater Brentwood area is a cohesive community of specialty businesses, houses of worship, and community organizations that serve all three areas. These large population centers possess an overwhelming majority of African American and Latino residents.

In the proposed districts meanwhile the combined adult African American and Latino population would be 32% in SD 3 and 28% in SD 4. Moreover the nearby majority-minority community of Wyandanch is also split in two.

A better alternative is possible.

Whereas your proposal splits these areas into

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

three separate Senate Districts, Common Cause's

map presented a majority-minority Senate District

for Suffolk County that included Central Islip,

Brentwood, North Bay Shore and Wyandanch.

Given the problems we see in SD 3 and SD 4 in particular, as well as the similar objections we have heard regarding the cracking of Hempstead in the Senate map, we believe that the LATFOR Commission should undertake a sweeping revision for Long Island that keeps these communities of interest intact by creating a majority-minority district.

Barring such a revision we will again bring together members of dozens of community organizations to advocate that Governor Cuomo veto LATFOR's maps and force a completely new set of maps to be drawn. This year's redistricting process has generated unprecedented interest from communities of color in Suffolk County. On Monday night representative from a panoply of community organizations demonstrated their willingness, indeed their eagerness, to educate themselves about and speak out against proposals

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 that would diminish their ability to elect representatives that give primary consideration to their interests.

If these voices are not heard by LATFOR and by the Governor, we have every intention of continuing to use the various means at our disposal to ensure that the legislative maps respect this community of interest. Thank you very much for your time.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Thank you. Todd Breitbart.

MR. TODD BREITBART: My name is Todd
Breitbart. For many years until 2005 I worked,
thank you, for many years until 2005 I worked on
redistricting for the Senate minority, as Senator
Nozzolio has been very careful to point out. I'm
now participating in this process as an
independent citizen and the opinions I am
expressing are only my own.

I am presenting to you today a proposed-a statewide proposal of Senate Districts which I
have called the--the Senate Alternative Plan, as
a way of demonstrating the numerous and--and

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 extensive defects in the proposal that has been put forward by LATFOR. I'd like to make it very clear that all of you including Senator Dilan and the staff are seeing this for the first time today. Besides the documentation that I have-extensive documentation that I've provided to you, I will also email to LATFOR this evening a-a block site list so that you can analyze the plan using your redistributing software.

The Senate Alternative Plan demonstrates that statewide it is possible to draw State Senate Districts which in contrast to the LATFOR proposal involve—involve much smaller population deviations and greater quality of population among districts throughout the State; divide at the same time a much smaller number of counties; achieve at the same time a far higher degree of district compactness; avoid a malapportionment of districts among regions of the state; apportioning the regions—the districts among regions of the state in proportion to population; and also at the same time provide better representation for members of minority groups.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

The plan will demonstrate, for example, that the failure to create more districts that have a clear Latino majority of the citizen voting age population in Northern Manhattan and the Bronx results directly from your departure from state constitutional principles of compactness and the preservation of counties insofar as that can be done within a reasonable population deviation.

And also from your failure to apportion to New York City the number of Senate Districts to which the City is entitled as--in--in proportion to its share of the State's population. I've also updated the series of maps that I presented to you in the fall in which I have shown in a color theme the census data from the last five censuses and the--and the district lines that have been drawn during the last five decades including the prospective district boundaries that--that--that you have proposed for 2012 showing how the Black and Hispanic communities in Nassau and Suffolk Counties have been systematically split by Senate District

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 lines for what would now be a full half century.

The--although the Senate District lines that are now proposed would only marginally change those that were enacted ten years ago, over the last half century those Senate District boundaries have changed quite considerably, except that the boundary lines that divide the minority communities in the Town of Hempstead, in the Town of Babylon, and in the Town of Islip remain as fixed features while the other adjustments are made around those features.

You've heard some testimony today suggesting that this may actually be a benefit to the minority communities that are divided this way. If that is the case and if you truly believe that, it is a wonder that the designers of the Senate plans over the last half century have not chosen to extend this benefit to the non-Hispanic White residents of Long Island.

It is also a wonder that so many witnesses have come before you today to complain, actually, if you can believe it, to complain that this great benefit might now be extended to their

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 own communities in the drawing of the Assembly Districts.

The plan that I have presented to you and which I will document even further during the coming week, the material I did not have time to prepare for today, will show that if you draw districts that keep together communities that have a great deal in common, these--much more than--than race and ethnicity in terms of the socioeconomic factors that would give them a -- a -a common interest in--in legislations, public policy, and if you follow the other objective redistricting principles that the plan illustrates for the entire State and which the current Senate--Senate majority proposal departs from extensively throughout the State, then you can and will create districts in which this pattern of systematically splitting the minority communities in Nassau and Suffolk will not be continued through a full half century.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Thank you. Kevin Nelson.

MR. KEVIN NELSON, MEMBER, NEW YORK

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

COMMUNITIES FOR CHANGE: Good afternoon. Thank

you, the Board, for holding this hearing to hear

the voice of We the People. Dear Board: My name

is Kevin Michael Nelson. I am a natural-born

citizen of the United States. And I am of

Haitian descent. I have been a resident of

Central Islip for over ten years.

I am also a member of the grassroots organization New York Communities for Change which has focused on the issues of the foreclosure crisis on Long Island, demanding effective translation equipment for individuals attending the 3rd Precinct community meetings, and increasing civic participation by making phone calls, knocking on doors, flyering churches, supermarkets and neighbors to increase voter participation this past November in communities of color.

In the January 26th editorial,
gerrymandered districts live on in New York
State. News Day notes that "on Long Island the
Senate lines are virtually unchanged failing to
reflect or represent the huge growth in minority

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 populations in places like Babylon, Islip and Hempstead".

Thus I am requesting that an independent, nonpartisan body conduct the redistricting maps of New York and it is my hope that the new maps reflect the demographical changes on Long Island. These maps should reflect commonalities that communities in New York share such as ethnic, cultural background, language, economic status, et cetera.

For example towns like Brentwood,

Central Islip and North Bay Shore areas where

large numbers of people of color reside as well

as share cultural, religious and economic

characteristic. It would be great if the

aforementioned towns were grouped together in a

district so that these areas could receive their

due representation which should be afforded to us

as taxpayers. Until then no taxation without

representation. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Thank you.

Elizabeth Granados. Elizabeth Granados. Lucius

Ware. Lucius Ware.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

MR. LUCIUS WARE, PRESIDENT, EASTERN LONG
ISLAND NAACP: Good day everyone. My name is
Lucius Ware. I reside in the Town of South
Hampton. I am President of the Eastern Long
Island NAACP, consisting of the five most eastern
towns of Suffolk County in New York State: the
towns of East Hampton, South Hampton, Riverhead,
South Hole, and Shelter Island.

I take the podium at this particular time to give you an opportunity to shift gears and if you would kindly listen with both your hearts and your minds.

Let America be America again. Let it be the dream it used to be. Let it be the pioneer on the plain seeking a home where he himself is free. America never was America to me. Let America be the dream the dreamers dreamed. Let it be that great, strong land of love where never kings connive nor tyrants scheme. That any may be crushed by one above. It never was America to me.

Oh let my land be a land where liberty is crowned with no false patriotic grief. But

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 opportunity is real and life is free. Equality is in the air we breathe. There's never been quality for me. Nor freedom in this homeland of the free.

Say, who are you that mumbles in the dark? And who are you that draws your veil across the stars? I am the poor White, pulled and pushed apart. I am the Negro bearing slavery scars. I am the Red man, driven from the land. I am the immigrant, clutching the hope I see and finding only the same old stupid plan of dog eat dog, of mighty crush the weak. I am the young man full of strength and hope tangled in that ancient, endless chain of profit, power, gain, of grab the land, of grab the gold, of grab the ways of satisfying need, of work the men or take--of take the pay, of owning everything for one 's own good.

I am the farmer, bondsman to the soil.

I am the worker, sold to the machine. I am the Negro, servant to you all. I am the people, humble, hungry, mean, hungry yet today, despite the dream. Beaten yet today, oh pioneers, I am

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 the man who never got ahead, the poorest worker, bartered through the years.

Yet I am the one who dreamt our basic dream in the old world while still a serf of kings. Who dreamt a dream so strong, so brave, so true, that even yet its mighty daring sings in every brick and stone, in every furrow turned. That's made America the land it has become.

Oh, I am the man who sailed those early seas in search of what I meant to be my home.

For I am the one who left dark Ireland's shore and Poland's plain, and England's grassy lea, and torn from Black African's strand I came to build a homeland of the free. The free? Who said the free? Not me. Surely not me.

The millions on relief today, the millions shot down when we strike, the millions who have nothing for our pay. For all the dreams we've dreamed and all the songs we've sung, and all the hopes we've held and all the flags we've hung. The millions who have nothing for our pay except the dream that's almost dead today.

Oh, let America be America again. The

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

land that never had been yet. And yet must be the land where every man is free. The land that's mine. The poor man's, Indian's, Negro, me, who made America, whose sweat and blood, whose faith and pain, whose hand at the foundry, whose plow in the rain, must bring back our mighty dream again.

Sure, call me any ugly name you choose, the steel of freedom does not stain. From those who live like leeches on people's lives, we must take back our land again. America. Oh, yes, I said plain. America never was America to me.

And yet I swear this oath, America will be. Out of the rack and ruin of gangster death, the rape, and rot of graft, and stealth and lies, we the people must redeem the land, the minds, the plants, the rivers, the mountains—mountains and the endless plain. All, all but stretched of these great states and make America again.

Just as relevant today as this poem appeared in Esquire Magazine in 1936, written by Langston Hughes. Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Thank you.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

Martha MaFahey [phonetic]. Martha MaFahey.

Henry Tayha [phonetic]. Henry Tayha. Tony

Musofitti [phonetic]. Tony Musofitti. I think

we had... JoAnn, JoAnna Fink or JoAnn Fink.

MS. JOANN FINK: Good afternoon. My name is JoAnn Fink. And I am merely a district resident of Huntington. And I come to you, I don't have illustrations and maps and quotes from the Bible but as a real life resident. Just a quick background. I consider myself a city girl, girl from Queens. Grew up there and worked mostly in Manhattan for 17 years. Started a family, wanted to move to Long Island.

Started having children, suddenly decide let's get involved with the community. I want to make sure this is the place I want to raise my children. Getting involved with Huntington is the only place I've been for the past 13 years.

And I'd like to think of the communities are similar to ours.

I've gotten involved with endless PTA meetings, Board of Ed. I'm on the Board of Trustees for other different PTAs within the

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 community. And you start to meet people. You start to learn about the communities. You start to see how people get involved.

You start to see how when certain topics come about the--the passion people have in their neighborhoods. And this is something that I look around; I want to be a part of. This is something great. This is something where people pull together. People listen. Sometimes it doesn't go your way which we have to live with and--and take into--advantage of the times it does. And this is something that I don't want to lose.

I don't want to see it separated. You know, I work with a huge group of different types of people: moms, working moms, educators. Got involved with a lot of the teachers, superintendents, all walks. And it's a great sense of community. And Huntington which I love, look I love Manhattan, I'm a city girl, nothing beats New York. But since I can't afford to live there, raising my kids on Long Island and in Huntington, what they have to offer, historically

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 and just everything with they have to offer.

I want to keep it short and sweet.

Anything we can do to preserve that and to see-if anyone wants to come down and see what we do
at these PTA meetings, especially now come March
with the Board of Ed with these budget meetings,
it gets wild. But you know what?

This is what we want to see. We want to see everyone pull together. And to have that kind of separated and moved, it's just--it's--it's--really would be a disservice to the community. And that's all I wanted to say. And--and portray our, on behalf of the PTA, community, and working mom, I hope that I--I do speak for the good--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: [Interposing]

Is there a Senate District or Assembly District
that you want split or kept together or whatever?

MS. FINK: Well I'm in--I know the South Huntington School District and we--coupled with School District 3 and I guess Harbor Fields also, too, but--and even down to Commack, I mean there's just a certain real, huge sense of

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 community that we pretty much buddy up together. So that's--that's the only towns I know.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Okay. Thank you very much.

MS. FINK: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER McENENY: Deputy Mayor Allen Beach. Allen Beach. Kent L. Ortiz. It is Renee; the R was not closed on top.

MS. RENEE ORTIZ, CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK,
SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE; VICE PRESIDENT, ISLIP
NAACP; CO-FOUNDER, LEADERSHIP TOWARDS EMPOWERMENT
THROUGH EDUCATION AND EMPOWERMENT: I had revised
the testimony I had initially submitted so I'll
just leave this here for you. My name is Renee
Ortiz. I'm actually the Chief Deputy Clerk of
the Suffolk County Legislature. So we're very
excited and happy to host you here today, so
thank you so much.

I'm also the Vice President of the Islip
Branch of the NAACP and Co-founder of an
organization called LEEP, which stands for
Leadership Towards Empowerment Through Education
and Participation.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

I am also a life-long Central Islip resident. And therefore a constituent of the 9th Suffolk County Legislative District, the 6th State Assembly District, the 3rd State Senate District and the 2nd Congressional District. Dear Task Force Members: How and where districts are drawn in our State will often determine if our community can elect representatives of choice to sit on our local county and state legislatures as well as US Congress.

It can also influence whether or not our elected officials respond to our needs such as ensuring equal educational opportunities or health care for everyone. Unfortunately minority voters have frequently faced discrimination in voting during the redistricting process. Those charged with the responsibility of drawing district lines generally rely upon census data to determine the demographics of communities. This data can also be used to show the racial and ethnic composition of an area. Although the Supreme Court's decision in Shaw v. Reno, 509 U.S. 630 (1993) prohibits certain uses of race in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 redistricting, the Voting Rights Act still requires the creation of districts that provide an opportunity for minorities to elect a candidate of choice when certain conditions are met. Race remains a permissible consideration if and when necessary to satisfy a compelling state interest, such as compliance with the requirements of the Voting Rights Act.

In addition, states may also voluntarily choose to provide minority voters opportunities to elect a candidate of choice, even when the Voting Rights Act does not require them to do so. In fact, race is always a part of the redistricting process, which I'm sure you all It's not, by itself, illegal. Of course, state and local officials must give some consideration to race to help ensure that the redistricting plans they create do not dilute minority voting strength and comply with the requirements of the Voting Rights Act. The Supreme Court has clearly stated that a redistricting plan will not be held invalid simply because the redistricting is performed

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 with consciousness of race, or because a jurisdiction intentionally creates a majority minority district.

Of particular concern to me is LATFOR's proposed map, which splits the Central Islip Brentwood and North Bay Shore communities into two separate senate districts, Senate District 3 and 4. This is a blatant quote-unquote "cracking" of our community, and a clear dilution of our vote and our voice. Comparative maps developed by the public interest group Common Cause present a more inclusive senate district, which keeps our diverse communities whole and allows us proper representation. We hope this task force will consider this proposal as a better alternative.

On the contrary, I was very surprised to see the proposed congressional maps by Common Cause, where my own community and most of the minority communities on the South Shore of Western Suffolk are drawn into the Congressman Peter King's district, unlike the current lines where Peter King represents a mostly Caucasian

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 population on the South Shore, very similar to the demographics of the Nassau County portion of this district. The Common Cause maps bring Representative King's district into areas like North Amityville, Wyandanch, Brentwood, and my home of Central Islip, all of which are very different than the rest of his district.

African American and Latino population remains in Congressman Israel's district. I fear that by splitting the minority population between these two districts we will lose influence. To dilute that population and lump us into Peter King's district will diminish our influence, and would leave us without adequate representation. While I'm aware that LATFOR has not released a proposed congressional maps, I hope you will not consider these as a guide when finalizing and releasing your proposed congressional maps.

Redistricting is one of the most important events in our democracy, as it determines the allocation of political power. As the census shows the increasing numbers in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 minority communities, especially our growing Latino population, it is imperative that we ensure our voices are heard, and we see maps that reflect our demographics and do not divide these communities or diminish our representation or dilute the strength in our voice. Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN MCENENY: Thank you. Mimi Pierre-Johnson.

MS. MIMI PIERRE-JOHNSON: Good afternoon. My name is Mimi Pierre-Johnson. T am the Long Island Political Organizer for New York Community for Change, and I am a resident of Elmont in Nassau County. I would first like to thank you for the opportunity to speak to the task force today. I am here today because New York Community for Change is worried about the district lines, especially for the Senate, and about the process used to devise these lines. The new lines will split the African American and Latino communities on Long Island into many Senate districts, weakening our voice and our vote, as you've heard so many times today.

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

Our already marginalized power will be further diminished if these lines are put into effect. In my community of Elmont, we will be divided into two senate districts. Some of us will be represented by Senator Martins, and the other by Senator Skelos, but the issue we are confronted with remain the same. Our schools are underfunded. The banks are taking our homes, and crimes continue to creep into our community. This is just like what is happening in Suffolk County in the communities of Brentwood and in other areas throughout the state.

It is our right in a democracy to have someone who represents us and will tackle these very real problems in Albany. Instead, we are split, so a political party can maintain its power. This is hurtful to our members in low and moderate-income communities of color, who continue to have their voice silenced in the name of partisan gain. The task force should go back to the drawing board and create districts in the model and spirit that led Common Cause to keep communities of mutual interest together and not

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 weakly separate.

And if I still have a few minutes on my five minutes, may I say this as a resident of I know many people have said they don't understand how this could possibly be minority, and others don't understand how, you know, it has been said that this will empower the minority communities. I just want you to think as you go back to the drawing board-because I really do believe you have to do that-in Elmont in particular, where I live. In the 7th Senatorial and the 21st Assembly District, and also the 3rd Legislative District, we have a young man who was raised in Elmont, who now has won his seat from the incumbent of 16 years. He sits now as our legislator. You also have a freshman Assemblyman who I ran against in 2010. The lines are drawn right now that this freshman, who I have not had a conversation with since our last debate, that was over two years ago, and now his lines are drawn in a way for the next ten years, he will have that seat.

And as you heard today, Lynbrook doesn't

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
want us. Other areas in Assemblyman Curran does
not want us. They don't refer to us as a place
where humans live, they say that other new area.
So when you're sitting as a resident in Elmont,
you say to yourself nobody wants us, why is that?
Is it because we finally said to ourselves, you
know, we need representation, there are issues
that are not being addressed? So people like
myself, a housewife, people like Carrie Solages,
one who came back to his town to open up a
business, said you know what, we want our issues
and our voices heard.

So it almost feel as if the state has said to us, listen, you guys are getting a little bit too excited about your democracy here. We need to just keep you a little quiet. So what you have done, you have split what has become a very hardworking, loud voice in that area, by splitting us up.

I beg of you, for the future of my children, and those in this $21^{\rm st}$ Assembly District, and the $7^{\rm th}$ Senatorial District, don't do this to us. Just when we have a momentum,

mographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
just when people are excited about democracy,
just when everyone is excited about even politics
and elections, don't do this to us. If you say
that you're giving us a stronger voice, then
leave it alone, because we do have that stronger
voice the way it is now. If you split us up,
it's going to take us a while before someone else
have the nerve to say I am going to run. I did
not have any political experience when I ran, but
I did it because I love my community just as it
is. So please, when you go back to your
respected office, think about this. Don't break
up a wonderful, wonderful district and community
so that a freshman can keep, can keep his seat
for years to come. Let us stay the way we are,
so we can continue the work of everybody in that
district. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. Dennis Terry. Dennis Terry.

MR. DENNIS TERRY: Okay. There you go.

Good afternoon. When I got here it was good

morning, so I'll say good morning to you and good

afternoon to you. I'm Dennis Terry. I'm a

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 resident of New York. I'm here on behalf as a citizen, but to talk to you as a citizen for the State of New York, rather than Suffolk County or Brookhaven or the town that I live in. I'm a retired history teacher, so you're going to get a little bit of history, so bear with me. Some of you may be scratching your head, oh no, another history teacher. I didn't like history then, and I don't like it now.

But in any event, we have to know our history. If you don't know your history, your history will come back to bite you in the butt. And if you look at our history as far as voting and rights and disenfranchisement etcetera, you'll notice that going back years ago, many people couldn't vote because of the law, de jure segregation, as a result. And then we had the 13th, 14th, 15th Amendment back in, like, 1867 it passed, 1866, so I'm going to say 1865 to show you something here.

When you did get the right to vote, then you had all the laws coming through such as the Grandfather Clause, the literacy test, and the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 poll tax, so you could not vote even though you had the right legally to vote, so that was a form of de facto segregation, which means that you can, in fact, not vote, even though legally it's wrong to do.

The Voting Right Act kind of, like, cleared that up in 1965, so that's 100 years I hope it doesn't take another 100 years later. for us to correct this thing at 2065, okay. There are a few suggestions that I might make to you as an educator. I know this may be a little bit off the line here, but hear me out. Many of our youngsters don't have the same educational equal opportunity. Now in the 14th Amendment, everybody has the equal protection under the laws, and if you give everybody equal opportunity, no matter where they live, they will have the same economic opportunity, and maybe we wouldn't be debating redistricting, etcetera, etcetera, okay? No matter where you live, you would get the same amount of money.

So if you had 100 kids to be educated in all of the State of New York, and you had \$100 to

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
educate those kids, you divide it out, each kid
would get \$1 no matter where you live, so you
have an equal opportunity there. Today we're
suffering form de facto disenfranchisement,
meaning that you have people in various different
groups, and it's not necessarily racial. I've
heard a lot of racial. It's economic as well,
probably more so economic, okay. We've talked
about people where you have divides, and no, it's
illegal, as we know, to have legal separation, de
jure segregation is illegal, but it happens by
fact.

If you would, please look at those districts again, okay. Consider those districts and see what you—what impact you're going to have not on me, not on you. I have a great retirement. You probably have a good salary and great retirement as well, but our kids, our future. Not so much me. I've made it, okay, but our future. So please, when you make your decisions, when you go back, I support what Governor Cuomo's saying, that we should have a non-partisan, because what person who is running

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 for office, who is in office now, is going to vote him or herself out? No, this is a great idea for me, so I'm going to vote for it, versus what's good for the state, what's good for our people.

I appreciate your time. I appreciate your efforts, and I know your job is hard, and I wouldn't want your job, trust me. And please do the right thing for our young people. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much. Paul Johnson.

MR. PAUL JOHNSON: Good - - . My name is Paul Johnson. I live in Huntington. I'm the fifth generation. I'm a Korean veteran, and I have great-grandchildren. And as I look at, I look at what we're getting ready to do here, and my children tell me what's the use. Divide and conquer, the same old story you tell us. And as I look here, I don't see anybody that looks like me on the panel. You can't be in it, if you don't get my viewpoint. So I assume that's why you have us here today.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

But the thing of it is, we don't want to be divided. I can remember in Huntington in 1939 I knew everybody in Huntington. Now I don't even know the people next door. Things change, and things have to change with the changes, but the idea of it is we like to have things that we can have a say in the change, and with us not being on the board here, we're not really getting our input in, because we're not having the final say. You can hear what I say, but you're going to do what you want.

I want you to tell me, or rather, I'll see—I'm going to tell my children when I get home. They want to know what did you do this afternoon. I'm going to tell them I was there, and I'm going to tell them that I - - and they're going to say, "Well, what are they going to do?" I says, well, you look and see what they're going to do, and they says, "Same old same old?" I says no, we're going to have a change. And I hope you do make the change.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. Kerry Trainor.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

MR. KERRY TRAINOR: Good afternoon. I live in that 9th District that seems to—I'm pushing the button, is it working? Okay. I live in the 9th District that's become notorious today. I've listened for over three hours. A lot of the things that have to be said have been said. I want to give you my point of view, which is this 9th District and some of the other districts are impractical. They're condemning the elected official to a nightmare every time he has to go to an Eagle Scout meeting or something else.

This 9th District is impossible. There is no way that an elected official can maintain community contact and do his job on behalf of these very different communities. I would suggest to the committee that they've made a good decision by not having a map up showing these districts, because I keep looking at it as I've been waiting to speak, and it's difficult to avoid anger. Frustration clearly comes into it, and a cynicism keeps popping into my head, because there's no logic to these districts other than self aggrandizement of some kind that I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 don't quite understand.

You've heard speaker after speaker hint at or straight up bring up suspicion of something. This is a terrible situation that's been created. You really have to consider alternatives. In our district, this proposed new 9th District, we heard a history of Massapequa from a representative who's proud of his I live in the area where Andy Raia community. comes from, and he's proud of his community. grew up there too. Our district will have a wasteland in the middle. If you look at the map, the middle portion has no residential communities. It's mixed industrial and mercantile.

If our district were to find a central point to meet, it would be in this wasteland. It would be Costco, 'cause there's no place else in the middle of our district. Now today we heard from people who are here on behalf of their organizations, and some people like myself who have a past with government. I'm retired now, so I can be here. But the people you're not hearing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

mographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
from are the people who are out there working,
driving on the expressway, driving on other state
roads, annoyed with the potholes, annoyed with
the traffic lights, annoyed 'cause they can't get
home to their families quickly enough, and all of
their annoyance builds up to a resentment of
government's mistakes.

We're a democracy. We need the people to respect and be part of the government. losing them. There's a disconnect. And these districts will make a bigger disconnect. At this point there are many people who don't belong to any organizations who sit back and say to themselves in front of their children and their families, government stinks. They're all out for themselves. If our democracy's going to last, you have to stop the disconnect.

With this proposal you're making it There's nothing good about this. There's worse. nothing to be proud of. Please don't do it. Take care of Long Island. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

MR. ROBERT LIFSON: I think that does it, right? I'm holding it. Is that it? Good. Members of the committee and-task force, rather, thank you for this opportunity. I commend you on your perseverance and your patience. It's been a long day. I think it's important-I've heard, because I've been here so long, I've heard everyone else speak, and it's a little disconcerting to hear such displays of advancing one interest over the other, and I would just remind you that as elected members of the Assembly and the Senate, they share—you should share, as all public officials share one thing in common. All public officials take an oath to uphold and abide by the terms of the Federal and State Constitutions.

And I haven't heard anyone really mention it in detail, but the New York State

Constitution's quite clear on what the responsibilities of the task force and the legislature are. And it says that you are to divide the counties into assembly districts as nearly equal in number of inhabitants, excluding

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 aliens, as may be convenient and contiguous territory, compact in form as practical, each of which shall be wholly within a Senate district.

And those are the criteria. All the other things, they're very nice, but that's what you're responsible for doing.

Adhering to the sound principles of the Voting Rights Act doesn't mean you can negate those principles. And in the case of the Assembly district, I'm a resident of Huntington, they've been totally ignored. We have very little in common with people who live in Massapequa, I can tell you that. Long Island traditionally, the community of interest is based on which shore of the island you lived on. People in North Shore share a community of interest with other residents of the North Shore, and have very little in common with people in the South Shore in terms of their nature. And that has to do with the Long Island Sound in the south end of our county. People who live in a sewer district don't have the same interests as people that don't live in sewer districts. It drives

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 taxes. It drives things to do with school district taxes, and the like. And you've all heard that.

And I think a lot of the criticism you're hearing today would be obviated if you returned to the very direction that the New York State Constitution directs you to, and then you will avoid the inevitable consequence that someone's going to bring a lawsuit, and that will serve no one's purpose and add to the great expense of having to do this all over again, or worse, to surrender our rights to have our elected officials reapportion us, and have some unelected federal judge do it. And so I would beg you to reconsider some of the egregious consequences of this, and I might point one other thing, which is particularly disturbing to me as a resident from Huntington. Under New York State law, as you well know, that the requirements for ballot access to some degree are based on assembly districts.

And to give you a graphic example, if this plan is approved, in the town of Huntington

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
you will diminish the ability for ballot access
by 50%. By that I mean currently it's 5%, or the
lesser of 5% of the registered voters in a
political party or 1,000 voters, meaning 500 per
assembly districts, two assembly districts, 500
equals 1,000. You'll have increased that margin
to 1,500 at a minimum, which really negatively
impacts the ability of the average citizen to
participate in the elective process, and I don't
think that's something that anyone would want to
do.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

Ann Podina [phonetic]. Ann Podina [phonetic].

Bessie Villanueva [phonetic]. Bessie Villanueva
[phonetic]. Terry Scotfield [phonetic].

MS. TERRY SCOFIELD: Okay, have you guys got me on mic? Can you hear me? Okay. Then I'm doing this correctly. First, as a Suffolk County resident, my name is Terry Scofield. I'm a resident of Brookhaven township, and I'd like to welcome you to a building that I co-own with other Suffolk County resident.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

Excuse me, is it Scotfield [phonetic] or

Scofield?

MS. SCOFIELD: Scofield, S-C-O-F-I-E-L-D.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Okay. It's wrong on the list here, I'm sorry.

MS. SCOFIELD: That's quite all right.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Go ahead, Ms.
Scofield.

MS. SCOFIELD: Again, welcome you to Suffolk County, and I thank you all for being here today. I want to speak on behalf of the people that you're not hearing from, as Mr. Trainor and several others have spoken. In fact it was clearly an accident that I was here today, because I had just called around, and had wanted to get testimony from some folks who said "I'm not going to come, you think they're going to listen to me? They're owned by the five party boss cabal. The Republicans, Democrats, Independence Party, Conservative Party, and the Working Families Party all go in a room, cut up the districts, decide who's going to get what

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 seat, and we don't have a choice for who runs."

So I thought it was really important to come here today and tell you that the public perception of our elected officials from a village and town level to the county level to the state level to the federal level is now being seriously eroded by the preliminary maps that you guys have published. Now, I'm very lucky. I live in a district that doesn't have a lot of However, when I look at around my county and I see what's happening with the assembly districts on the East End, with the North Fork being cut up and half of it handed to the South Fork, when I look to my west and I see Central Islip and Brentwood and other minority and Latino communities cut in half, and by the way I did not know that was - - so I'm glad I came today. learned a lot. But I think that each of you need to go back to the communities that you represent, and ask yourself, because everybody can look up where your money comes from. Everybody who-knows how you got into power. So each of you need to go back to the communities that you represent,

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 and ask them how would you like to be cut in half? How would you like us to effectively cut you off? Because as far as I'm concerned, everybody here that testified today, myself included, we're just yanking each other's chains. Unless and until we do away with the five party boss cabal, there will be no choice for the people of Suffolk County, for the people of Long Island, or for the people of New York State, because we don't even get to decide who's on the ballot. So do a good job, but you know what? You're largely irrelevant. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

Raymond Averna [phonetic]. Raymond Averna
[phonetic]. John F. Caruso.

MR. JOHN F. CARUSO: There was good morning when I wrote my notes. Good afternoon. Very interesting here today listening to everyone give testimony, and one of the themes that has come through loud and clear is the fact that communities want to stay together. My father came to Massapequa in the twenties and sold real estate. He brought in our friends and families.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

I've been living in the Massapequas for 40 years.

I'm not different than any of my neighbors or any
of the people who live there. We are deep in

community history, and we are deep in community

6 relations.

In fact, if you travel around the state or if you travel on public transportation and you strike up a conversation, even in an airplane, people know Massapequa. They know it's defined, they know its definition. They know to the east we're separated at the county line. They know to the west we're separated by a town line between Hempstead and the town of Oyster Bay. importantly, to the south is the Great South Bay, where many of us have come through the years because of the fruits that are there and because of our love for the sea and the ocean. We are sitting here today, and I come to you not only as a citizen of Massapequa and the State of New York, but as an elected official.

Now many of you think water

commissioners, for whatever reason, are whatever
they are. Water commissioners and fire district

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 commissioners and people in the school district are at the very most personal level to deal with the citizens of our community. They might not be able to get to a senator from the federal government or a congressman, but when we go and gas up our car, or when we go shopping, or when we come out of church, people want to know what's going on. They want to understand, and they ask So we can give you the pulse of the local Massapequa community.

You heard our Assemblyman Saladino before discuss the history of the Massapequas. Our people do not want this carving up the way it is proposed, simply because it doesn't keep our community together. That is most important, and we had our-from our history teacher that was here before, he said let's look to history. I'll get back to that in a moment.

But what Assemblyman Saladino did say is

that we are confronted now with one of the most toxic plumes in the United States, emanating from the Navy/Grumman Bethpage site. It has been allowed to advance unabated for over 25 years

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 until now the little community known as

Massapequa has gotten all of the regulatory agencies to take notice. There is one fact for sure, the DEC, the EPA, the governor, senators know Massapequa. They know they want this plume stopped, and the only reason they know that is that our community got behind this issue, made it public, and made them pay attention.

To think we are finished with it is wrong. To break up this water—to break up our community at this time into separate assembly districts will take that strength away from us. If you look to the Great South Bay, that is where this plume is going to end up. So everyone who's a stakeholder in living on the bay or having recreation on the bay or fishing on the bay sooner or later is going to be impacted.

Massapequa has stood up, taken its position, and made the regulatory agencies pay attention. That is community involvement. That is what you've been hearing the theme is today.

If you look at the proposed district, the 9^{th} District, I don't know how on earth the

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 people who take their drinking water from our sole source aquifer will be properly represented as they are now. So we say to you this is not what is good for the political arena, but it is very bad for the arena of health. You have to understand that there is commonality for people that live along the Great South Bay as was pointed out before, commonality for people that live along the Long Island Sound.

So if history is to repeat itself, as our history teacher told us, I ask you to recall a very famous book called The Power Broker by Robert Cairo, and I ask you to look at the chapter referring to the Cross Bronx Expressway, which split a community in the 1940s, and the Gowanus Expressway, which split a community in the 1930s. Those lessons cannot be repeated. I thank you for your time.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. Jim Gorran [phonetic]. Jim Gorran [phonetic]. Robert Barret.

MR. ROBERT BARRET: Good afternoon. I thank the committee for the opportunity to speak

2.2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
here today. My name is Robert Barret, and I am a
53-year-old resident of Massapequa. I am the
immediate past president of the Massapequa
Chamber of Commerce, the past vice president of
my civic association of my hometown neighborhood,
Nassau Shores, and I currently sit on the board
of directors of the Massapequa High School Hall
of Fame.

There are many things disturbing about the proposed reapportionment plan, but the one thing that strikes me as the oddest is the notion that members of the Massapequa Chamber of Commerce and members of the Massapequa Park Merchants Association now lie in different districts with two different representatives. This model, to me, I find ludicrous. Without continuity of district, we diminish our ability to come together as a business community, networking will suffer, and with it the small businessman, the entrepreneur, the mom and pop stores.

Small business has long been considered the backbone of our society, and the financial

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 breadbasket to hundreds of millions of people around America. We are, after all, the Chamber of Commerce of the Massapequas Incorporated, not the Chamber of Commerce, including part of the 9th District but members of the 17th District need not apply, Incorporated. When we arbitrarily move the lines for political gain, we descend into a soft tyranny, a condition that hinders hope among its members and/or its citizens. When you divide an area like Massapequa into political units to give special advantage to one political party, you weaken the strength of that community.

A power play to create these new districts is utopian socialism in disguise. It's a fantasy. It's a hologram. It's the eagle on your credit card that seems to soar. When you fragment an area such as Massapequa and draw a new line in the sand, you break the continuity of a community steeped rich in tradition. I fear the day I have to tell my young son what it was once like to live in a united Massapequa.

If this plan goes through, whether the redistricting is veiled under the shroud that

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 says one person, one vote, or by strict conformity to the Voting Right Act, our destiny will be a fait accompli. In other words, there will be irreversible damage. If we are to truly enjoy civil and political liberty, the citizens of the 12th Assembly District need to stand up. If destruction be our lot, we must ourselves be its author and finisher, otherwise, as Lincoln said, the nation will surely die by suicide.

Therefore I implore this committee to look at for the folks for once, and put aside your partisan politics. This is no small matter, ladies and gentlemen. It's time to do what's right. We can no longer skirt the issue or as General David Petraeus told President George Bush on the even of the surge in Iraq, this isn't double-down, Mr. President, it's all-in. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

Patricia Orzano [phonetic]. Patricia Orzano
[phonetic]. That includes the 45 people who are
on the list, and we will ask anyone—first,
anybody in the room that has not testified

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 before—if they would like to speak. Hearing none, I see a gentleman-come on up. [Pause] I know. Good afternoon.

MR. ROBERT SUMMERVILLE: Good afternoon. My name is Robert Summerville, and I'm sorry for being late, but-

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: You're not As long as we're here it all goes into the late. same public record, sir.

MR. SUMMERVILLE: I develop a habit to some degree of being a little tardy for some of the meetings that I attend, because earlier in my life a young man told me, you know, you always coming in late, one of these days you're going to be late for your own funeral. I thought that was a good idea, so I'm trying to get me a couple of more years. So I'll-I apologize.

Again, as I said, my name is Robert Summerville. I'm a member of the Roosevelt Union

20

21

22

23

24

2 For approximately 30 years I worked hard to get a full complement of books in the hands of 3 4 the kids in Roosevelt School, and as recently I 5 became a success story by having those kids armed with the tools of the educational trade, books. 6 7 I am going to make some statements that may sound to some as being against minority participation, 8 9 but that's farthest from the truth. To show you my commitment to participation, in 1959 I was 10 11 removed out of the state of Mississippi and 12 placed into the military, because I was involved 13 in with minority participation. I became an 14 activist in 1963 in - - with the NAACP in order 15 to stop the program or the project called Negro 16 So I'm well grounded in the fundamental 17 principles or participation. 18

But I rise before you today to give you somewhat of what I will call a success story. We currently have somewhere in the neighborhood of five schools that's coming on board in the Roosevelt Union Free School District. We have a mortgage for approximately 30 years, and we have a team that was able to bring all of that to us.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

We have the 8th Senatorial District, and we have the 18th Assembly District. Unfortunately, 10 years ago this one square mile was divided in the 18th Assembly District, and I wouldn't like to see that happen again, because, as I said, we have a team, and it's important to keep that team together.

As Billy Carter once said, if it ain't broke, don't fix it. Roosevelt at this time isn't broke, so please don't give us the axe.

Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

Now I believe you're the—give us your name. I

believe you testified at Queens earlier this

week, and I would caution you if you're bringing

up new issues, since we're creating one

continuous transcript here, then you're very

welcome, but not a repeat of previous testimony.

Thank you. Please.

MR. JOHN BUDNICH: My name is John

Joseph Budnich, born at Mitchell Field Air Force

Base. I'm immensely proud of my Mexican,

	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012
2	Spanish, Polish, Irish, Ukrainian, Scottish
3	heritages. My great-grandfather fought with
4	Kearny part of the United States. My other
5	great-grandfathers fought at Gettysburg to help
6	make freedom for everyone in America the same. I
7	have been sent here by Massapequa Against Drugs,
8	Drug-Free Massapequa, because we have a horrible
9	problem in the Massapequa community. We have
10	unfortunately become an epicenter of drugs
11	destroying young people. We've had huge numbers,
12	unfortunately too huge numbers of young people
13	dying of overdoses, killing themselves, because
14	of the fact that they're not able to deal with
15	the fact that they have uncontrollable drug
16	abuse. We have a situation that pervades the
17	entire Massapequa area. I'm a former head of—
18	when I lived there—Hicksville Against Drugs,
19	while that area was divided between four assembly
20	districts. It didn't help that problem, the drug
21	problem there, having four assembly districts, I
22	can tell you professionally as a former assistant
23	district attorney, and seeing horrible things
24	happen.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

The thing that I want to emphasize is that the Massapequas should not end up in a similar situation as to what I saw when I was living in Hicksville, which was divided too many ways, okay. And it took us years in Hicksville to get that put back together, and we have a situation in the Massapequas, it's a lifethreatening situation that I don't believe anybody has brought to your attention. We are fighting with the various groups, organizations, activities, school districts, water districts, fire districts, throughout the area. We need them united. We need a united voice in Albany. Thank you very much. God bless you all. You're doing an incredible job.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And thank you for sitting through—

[Background voices]

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Did you wish to speak, sir?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I was going to say the same thing in Ukrainian, but Ray beat me to it.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

[Background voices]

anyone else here in the room who would like to speak? Hearing none, we're going to declare this hearing closed. We thank the 47 people who gave verbal testimony, additional people who sent testimony in here in writing, and we'll continue to do so. It is our hope next week Syracuse, Rochester, Buffalo, during Presidents Week, wrapping this up, making a number of changes, and hopefully we'll have something here by the end of February. What's that?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Assemblyman McEneny, thank you for your chairing, your work today, that we are changing the time of the Rochester meeting. It is scheduled for this upcoming Wednesday, February 15th, currently scheduled at 10 a.m. in the County Legislative Office Building, that the time is being changed to 11:30, 11:30, Wednesday the 15th of February in Rochester. Thank you.

[Music]

(The public hearing concluded at 2:56

Page 193 1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012 p.m.) 2

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-9-2012

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Debra Bonogofsky, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 54, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Debra L. Bonogofsky, Transcriptionist

Debra L. Longof S.

____February 15, 2012_____ Date

Demographic Research and Reapportionment 2-9-2012

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Marsha Branch, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 57, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Marsha a Branch

Marsha Branch, Transcriptionist February 16th, 2012 Demographic Research and Reapportionment 2-9-2012

CERTIFICATE

I, Laura L. Springate, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 54, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Laura L. Springate, Transcriptionist

Lama L. Springete

February 15, 2012

Demographic Research and Reapportionment 2-9-2012

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Rachel K. Bevilacqua, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 41, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Rachel K. Bevilacqua,

Robel K. Benlyw

Transcriptionist

__2/15/2012_____ Date