## NEW YORK STATE

## LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

## PUBLIC HEARING

CONGRESSIONAL AND STATE LEGISLATIVE REDISTRICTING

Tuesday, February 14, 2012

Syracuse City School District

Henninger High School - Auditorium

600 Robinson Street, Syracuse, NY

3:00 p.m.

TASK FORCE MEMBERS PRESENT:

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, Co-Chair

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, Co-Chair

SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS

DEBRA LEVINE

ROMAN HEDGES

WELQUIS LOPEZ

## INDEX

	Page
ANTHONY PICENTE, JR. ONEIDA COUNTY EXECUTIVE	8
KEITH ZIMMERMAN DIRECTOR OF PLANNING ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY	14
SAM BERNADINO PRESIDENT MOHAWK VALLEY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE (ONEIDA & COUNTIES)	20 HERKIMEI
MARY Q. CHAPIN PRESIDENT DISPUTE RESOLUTION INTERNATIONAL	23
CHARLES T. HAGE  COMPLIANCE OFFICER  MOHICAN FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	26
VITA DEMARCHI CHAIRWOMAN ONONDAGA COUNTY DEMOCRATIC COMMITTEE	31
CAROLYN D. FITZPATRICK CHAIR OF THE BOARD JEFFERSON COUNTY LEGISLATORS	37
DONALD GOULET COMMON CAUSE	40
FRED BEARDSLEY LEGISLATOR OSWEGO COUNTY	45
DEBORAH WARNER VICE PRESIDENT FOR PUBLIC POLICY CENTERSTATE CEO	49
MICHAEL MARINACCIO SUPERVISOR, TOWN OF DICKINSON PRESIDENT, COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENT	57

Ubiqus/Nation-Wide Reporting & Convention Coverage 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 \* 800-221-7242 \* Fax: 212-227-7524

Demographic Research and Reapportionment,		age 4
GREGORY LANCETTE PLUMBERS AND STEAMFITTERS LOCAL 267	60	
KEVIN ACRES DIRECTOR ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY FARM BUREAU	64	
NANCY MARTIN ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY REPUBLICAN CHAIR	66	
DON JEROR	72	
JULIE MILLER CHAIR ONEIDA COUNTY CONSERVATIVE CHAIR	75	
CASSANDRA HARRIS LOCKWOOD  CEO  FOR THE GOOD, INC.	83	
WILLIAM M. PABES	85	
MARK HALL SUPERVISOR TOWN OF FINE, NY	88	
KIMBERLY HUTTON	91	
KENNETH L. BUSH SUPERVISOR TOWN OF ELBRIDGE	95	

JAMES ANNUTTO

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 1 2 (The public hearing commenced at 3:00 3 p.m.) 4 ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, CO-5 CHAIR, NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: 6 Could 7 I ask you to take your seats now? We'd like to get on with the hearing. Thank you for your 8 9 patience. [background noise] 10 11 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: It's your 12 Do you want to start this one? turf. 13 SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, CO-CHAIR, 14 NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FOR ON 15 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Yeah, 16 I'd rather start tomorrow. 17 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Good Thank you for attending this public 18 afternoon. 19 hearing of LATFOR, the New York State legislative 20 taskforce on redistricting. My name is Jack 21 McEneny. I represent parts of Albany County and 2.2 City in the New York State Assembly, and I am the 23 co-chair from the New York State Assembly. I am

joined with my co-chair from Central New York,

Michael Nozzolio, and I'll pass this over to
Senator Nozzolio in a moment. On the panel, to
my immediate right I expect to be joined shortly
by someone who is just wrapping up the last
public hearing on the budget, and that's Robert
Oaks from Yates County, I believe, and to my far
right, the citizen member of the panel from the
assembly is Dr. Roman Hedges, and to continue,
I'll pass this on to my co-chair, Senator
Nozzolio.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you Assemblyman McEneny. It's a pleasure to be with you again. This is our twenty-first hearing, the second time we have been in Syracuse as a taskforce taking testimony from those citizens who are interested in the redistricting process.

We've covered a lot of miles since our last visit to Syracuse, and that the plan that puts forward is a plan that we welcome your input on. There is no such thing as a perfect plan and that we have been conducting these hearings, there are nine that have been scheduled after the

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
plan was introduced.

2.2

I'd like to point out that the testimony today is video-recorded, and that recording is the official record of our hearing, and the hearing testimony is placed on the LATFOR website for all to view, as well as this hearing, the other 20 hearings are available for viewings as well.

Without further ado, I want to introduce the members of the panel from the Senate perspective. First and foremost is the ranking member, Senator Martin Dilan. To his left is the citizen representative on the taskforce from the senate, representing the senate is Welquis Ray Lopez. To his left is the co-executive director of the commission—it's Debra Levine. Without further ado, we have a long list of those who are asked to testify. Anyone wishing to testify and has not signed up, please do so.

And that, without further ado,

Assemblyman, let's open it up to any other

members of the panel who wish to make a

statement. Hearing none, Assemblyman, would you

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

call up our first witness?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you,

Senator. We remind you: we try and keep this a

concise as possible. You have in most cases

Senator. We remind you: we try and keep this as concise as possible. You have in most cases submitted a prepared statement. Please summarize your statement—if you can, stay within five minutes. We don't want to have to enforce that rule, but if you're wandering too far afield, in courtesy to the other people here—officially there's so far 22 members of the public that are coming in to testify. There will probably be others before the end of this hearing. We will sign people up as long as they are coming in, stay here as long as necessary. Our first individual is the honorable Anthony Picente, the Oneida County Executive.

[background noise]

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Executive?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Good

afternoon.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. ANTHONY PICENTE JR., ONEIDA COUNTY EXECUTIVE: How's that? Now, let me say again, good afternoon, Senator and Assemblyman, members

of the committee. I appreciate the opportunity to be able to give testimony on behalf of my county, Oneida County, New York. I have been County Executive since 2007, and I would like to speak about this process as it affects our level of government and in particular the residents of Oneida County. I would like to specifically talk about the redistricting that has an impact on the congressional district of the 24th congressional district, currently represented by Congressman Richard Hanna, as well as the senate and assembly districts, also, which I'll get to in a moment.

Oneida County is unique. It is right in the central part of New York. We have 26 towns, 19 villages, and 3 cities--one of the few counties with that many cities in New York State. And although they may be small in population, they do contribute a great deal to the fabric of Upstate New York and in particular, the areas that are represented by Congressman Hanna include a number of military and Air Force-related entities that are important to the overall economic viability of Oneida County.

2.2

Currently all of Oneida County, with the exception of 8 towns in the northern part of the county, are located in the 24th congressional district. I'm here to ask that in consideration of this, and the efforts and the review of this committee that you look at Oneida County in terms of this representation and make it whole.

Along with that, Herkimer County, for which we share an NPO in terms of the state transportation process, have similar needs, similar working relationships on a variety of issues concerning transportation, water, and infrastructure. It is the intent of both counties that they remain in the 24th and be put whole in the 24th district, or whatever district is comprised of--you know, once redistricting takes place.

We understand that due to population that New York will have to lose two congressional seats. Even with that, it really is important that the emphasis be put on the relative needs of a community, and in terms of this, how a community can fare in terms of balanced

representation. To break up Oneida Country as it has been, and including which a time when the federal government is cutting back severely in the department of defense, which would impact areas of Oneida County, in particular the Air Force research lab in Rome, DFAS, and numerous other companies that do business with the Air Force and the federal government, with representation of one, we have our better chance of our case being heard and being made more imperative to the issues of remaining whole.

It is, while it does look like we're trying to be selfish, Upstate New York has suffered greatly over the past several years, and my county has been no different. The same moves over to the senate and the assembly lines—currently, we have two senators representing Oneida County, Senator Griffo and Senator Valesky, and while both have done an admirable job, it should be represented by one senator, given the nature of the composition of the county, the issues of the county, and the fact that it gets broken up into areas that are not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

demographically or economically similar.

Currently there are three assembly representatives in Oneida County. The current redistricting process shows that that will go to five. That's ludicrous. It does no good that the assembly would be represented by five different people in an area of 234,000 for which districts would cross over multiple county lines, north, south, east, and west. It makes no sense. It's not fair to the people of Oneida County, and I urge you to reconsider and have at least two, possibly the three that currently exist, in terms of the assembly districts.

So in summarizing, it is important that Oneida County be given the representation that it deserves, that it is entitled to, and that keeps it cohesive within the relative importance of where it fits in the demographics and in the economic situation of New York State. Oneida County is strong; it is vibrant; it has suffered. It has suffered severe state and federal losses in terms of job and economic loss over the past several years. To continue to treat it in an

2.2

area which gets broken up into multiple pieces does not serve the people of my county well--it only fractures them more. It only looks as if the state continues to ignore us or break us apart and make us irrelevant or insignificant in terms of the economic situation, the importance of our people, and the representation that we deserve.

So I would urge this committee to take a hard look at those lines, put the congressional line all in one Oneida County district, make

Oneida County whole, make it whole in the Senate, and take a serious look at the assembly districts, which are really--I mean, checker boarding is an example that gets used, but it's not even that. It's really an insult that this county gets broken up into five different districts which have nothing in common with the others, other than the fact that it just makes it more diverse in terms of representation that cannot come together--

MS. DEBRA LEVINE, CO-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC

Page 14 1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Mr. Picente, you 3 need to summarize, please. 4 MR. PICENTE: --On specific issues now. 5 Thank you. 6 [applause] 7 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very 8 Any questions to the panel? Thank you. much. 9 Our next testifier is Keith Zimmerman. Good 10 afternoon. MR. KEITH ZIMMERMAN, DIRECTOR OF 11 12 PLANNING, ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY: Good afternoon. 13 Thank you for the opportunity to address the 14 taskforce. My name is Keith Zimmerman. I am the 15 Director of the St. Lawrence County Planning 16 Office. I come before you today sharing the 17 views of the County Board of Legislators. 18 As you have currently proposed it, the 19 legislative redistricting would create three 20 senate districts and four assembly districts to

represent the needs of fewer than 110,000 people. To say that St. Lawrence County is confused by the proposed legislative redistricting effort is a massive understatement. With a county

21

2.2

23

2.2

geography that consists of the seventh largest land mass east of the Mississippi River, and with an area that is nearly 250 square miles larger than the 12 smallest counties in New York State combined, St. Lawrence County feels a bit shortchanged by this proposal. We have distance and density obstacles that challenge us in the fiscally responsible delivery of required and desired services at all times under the best of circumstances. We will surely not benefit from political filleting that ensures a lack of singular and powerful voices in Albany to champion our causes and issues.

This plan, if adopted, would punish this county with a lack of meaningful representation, the likes of which are unparalleled in any other county in the state. The table and graphics attached to this presentation portray the effects of this redistricting proposal, and while we certainly respect the good intentions of those who serve the public in elected office at this level, we know that those who serve do so with certain awareness of the needs and the geography

of their constituent populations.

3

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Of the 57 counties in New York State, not including the five boroughs of New York City, 12 are presumed to be too large to be represented by a single senate district, and another 10 are too large to be represented by a single assembly district. In each of those 22 counties, at least one senate or assembly member will represent a substantial portion of the county. Of the remaining counties, which could in fact have 100% of their population represented by at least one single senate or assembly member, only two--St. Lawrence and Herkimer--do not. Of these two, only St. Lawrence's population is proposed to be substantially underrepresented by being parsed into seven separate districts.

Let me focus on the assembly plan first:

In only assembly district 116 would the county

even have a bare majority of the population of

the district, at 52.3%. Known colloquially as

the River District, the 116th would find the

river towns of our county combined with our two

largest college towns, and thus incorporating our

four largest population centers, offset by the city of Watertown, the Thousand Island regions, and several townships abutting the burgeoning Fort Drum area in Jefferson County--and this is the best scenario for representation we have under your plan. On a descending percentage of population basis comes the representation of assembly districts 118, 115, and 117, with relative percentages of county populations per district of 25.18%, 5.17%, and incredibly, 0.64%.

Now, in that second best district, the 118th it may take us a little time to figure out points of common interest and needs with our neighbors in Fulton County, which is about 170 miles and a four-hour car ride away. On the plus side, you do get to enter into and pass entirely through the greatest extent of the beautiful Adirondack Park on the way down.

Another plus--the assembly redistricting plan for the county is laudable compared to that for the senate. As shown from the accompanying tables and graphics, county residents make up a very small proportion of the overall district

2.2

populations, at 18.9% for the 48th, 16.1% for the 47th, and 2.36% for the 45th. More troubling in the senate districts is the fact that in all three of the districts, every county has more than 90% of its population represented by a single representative, except St. Lawrence County. Even within these districts, when there may be divergent views to be advanced, those other counties and their senators understand and appreciate that their only senator must be their voice in Albany.

The board of legislators and the residents of our county want to know who speaks for us? Who speaks for the citizens of St.

Lawrence County? Counties, as you know, view their role in large measure as the managing partner on behalf of the state for myriad programs and services. For most counties, something akin to 90% of their local budgeted costs are attributable to nine or ten broad mandates of the state. How can you folks view this as being a representative government plan for the people of St. Lawrence County?

2.2

The pending resolution attached at the end of my submission package was passed by the committee of the whole of our county legislature last evening and will be formalized in March. It requests that the governor reject this plan and calls upon the members of the taskforce to renew your collective efforts to find better alternatives. Surely your taskforce can, and in our opinion should, do better.

This intentional marginalization is unacceptable based on the principle of equal representation. The citizens of St. Lawrence County should be neither a political afterthought nor an accidental outcome of what otherwise should be a thoughtful and an intentional reapportionment process. It seems inconceivable, even given your constraints, that a population of 110,000 people in this great state needs to have their voice taken away from them by being fragmented into seven different districts.

MS. LEVINE: Mr. Zimmerman, you need to summarize, please.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: I appreciate it. We

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 encourage you to rethink and redraw your plans with the intention to make certain that those at 3 4 the extreme edge of representative government, 5 those with the greatest difficulties and challenges in being fairly represented, have 6 7 their needs addressed first, then work your way Thank you for your time and consideration. 8 in. 9 MS. LEVINE: Thank you. 10 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very 11 much. 12 [applause] 13 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Honorable Ray 14 We'll call him Meyer [phonetic]? Senator Meyer? 15 again. Henry Balmer [phonetic]? Henry Balmer? 16 Sam Bernadino? 17 MR. SAM BERNADINO, PRESIDENT, MOHAWK VALLEY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE (ONEIDA & HERKIMER 18 19 COUNTIES): Good afternoon. Thank you for the 20 opportunity to be here. My name is Sam 21 Bernadino. I am a businessman from Utica, New 2.2 York, and Chairman of the Mohawk Valley Chamber 23 of Commerce. The Chamber of Commerce represents

approximately 900 businesses throughout Oneida

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 and Herkimer Counties. I would like to comment on the redistricting process at the federal and

state levels.

2.2

With regard to the congressional redistricting, the Mohawk valley Chamber of Commerce is the largest voice for business in Oneida and Herkimer Counties, and our board of directors is requesting that both counties be included in the same congressional district.

Currently, the majority of Oneida County and all of Herkimer County is located in Congressman Hanna's 24th congressional district.

It should be noted that there is much in common between Oneida and Herkimer Counties, and they should be in the same district to ensure coordination of economic development efforts, as well as assist in an effort to control taxes through the consolidation of services. A number of services are currently shared at the county level, and over the years major nonprofit organizations have joined together to function as one regional entity. We urge you to consider the negative impact to the private and public sectors

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

by not maintaining a common congressional district for Oneida and Herkimer Counties, and we hope Congressman Hanna has the opportunity to continue representing us in the US House of Representatives after 2012.

Additionally, New York needs a true independent and nonpartisan citizens reappointment commission now. Our board of directors established an official public policy position seeking the establishment of a commission that would be comprised of people who are not public officials, whether relatives, lobbyists, political parties or office holders. These individuals would submit recommendations for redistricting to the legislature for approval in accordance with the New York State constitution. Such a commission would help ensure a fair and competitive election process, and the process of gerrymandering, and keep together communities of common interest. Thank you for your consideration. Have a good afternoon.

[applause]

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. 3 Mary Q. Chapin? 4 MS. MARY Q. CHAPIN, PRESIDENT, DISPUTE 5 RESOLUTION INTERNATIONAL: Thank you. Good afternoon, and honorable--6 7 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Press the button and there will be a little red light that 8 9 will stay on. Okay? 10 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: No, press it again. 11 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Try again. 12 MS. LEVINE: It has to have a red light. 13 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah, hold it 14 down a little longer when you do it. Okay. 15 MS. CHAPIN: Hello? Honorable Senator 16 Nozzolio, and honorable Assemblyman McEneny, and 17 members of the taskforce, representing the taskforce, I am Mary Chapin. I am president of a 18 19 company called Dispute Resolution, so I believe, 20 I believe in resolution of disputes through 21 looking at the situation and finding common 2.2 And I must--I'm going to go off message ground. 23 here for a minute, and say that I have been to

Senator Nozzolio's office because I am a great

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

admirer of his, and the work that he's done on

behalf of women.

2.2

But today, I have come to this taskforce meeting today to formally express my disappointment regarding the proposed assembly district lines. I could have said "ditto" to everything that our county executive, Picente, expressed. The reapportionment committee, I believe, has failed to adhere to the redistricting criteria of community cohesiveness, commonality of interest, geographic compactness, and equal sized district served by an elected representative who understands the needs of the community, and the constituent tax payers and voters who this redistricting is supposed to serve.

Now, in particular I am concerned that the redistricting plan divides Oneida County, fracturing the county representation by eliminating any hope of cohesiveness. Since I live New Hartford, the economic base and growing force of the 115th, present 115th assembly district, the taskforce has shown an amazing

2.2

disregard for my town and other towns and cities in the county. This proposed plan eliminates the current 115th district to the detriment of all involved. The taskforce plan divides Oneida County among five districts rather than the present three, which results in representation and competition rather than cohesiveness. This does not serve the best interests of our county.

The taskforce has not held to the criteria of geographic compactness, nor equalsized districts, when the majority of the towns in the 115th district are placed in a North Country district—where's the commonality of interest between New Hartford and up by, in the North Country? The proposed upstate districts have higher populations than proposed down state districts, which violates the criteria of equalsized districts.

In conclusion, I really urge the LATFOR to reconsider the proposed lines and make the adjustments so that Oneida County receives effective representation. I am sure that when you review this request, you will act to restore

1	Page 2 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
2	and guarantee the rights of Oneida County and
3	upstate residents. Thank you.
4	MS. LEVINE: Thank you.
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.
6	[applause]
7	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
8	Charles T. Hage?
9	MR. CHARLES T. HAGE, COMPLIANCE OFFICER,
10	MOHICAN FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT: Am I on? Yes.
11	Good afternoon. My name is Charles Hage, and I'm
12	here to comment, of course, on the congressional
13	redistricting process. I'm a lifelong
14	businessman and community leader from Otsego
15	County. I reside in the 24th congressional
16	district represented by Congressman Richard
17	Hanna. I wish to make the point that the entire
18	extent of Otsego County should be located within
19	a single congressional district, based in Utica,
20	because there is economic commonality among the
21	communities in that region.
22	By way of explanation, Otsego County is
23	located in the Central New York region, which

This

includes Oneida and Herkimer Counties.

2.2

region has been identified by Governor Cuomo as containing communities that share important similarities. In creating regional economic development councils, he placed Oneida, Herkimer, and Otsego Counties among six, six counties within the Mohawk Valley regional council, with Utica as its population center.

This regional approach to economic development enhances communication and collaboration among the governments, businesses, and economic groups that share common purpose, so it helps us to pool our resources, attract jobs, and create economic growth. Defending this particular region is important to our public and private efforts going forward. Further, the closest large city with healthcare access and transportation options serving Otsego County is Utica, and we're also included in the Utica media market. In other words, the point I'm trying to make is consistent, historically and practically, with the realities of the region.

And finally, Congressman Hanna is an effective representative for Otsego County. He

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
2	lived in the county for ten years. He
3	understands the region because his small business
4	served Central New York for over thirty years.
5	Of course, I understand that New York State will
6	lose two congressional districts in
7	reapportionment. It's important that in the
8	process, we retain the 24th congressional
9	district as a Central New York district that is
10	based in Utica and includes Otsego County. Thank
11	you very much for your time, attention and
12	consideration.
13	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
14	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Mr. Hage?
15	[applause]
16	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Mr. Hage?
17	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Mr. Hage?
18	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Mr. Hage? Before you
19	leave, what would you define as the regionyou
20	say your regionhow would you define that
21	region? What counties would you say would be in
22	your region?
23	MR. HAGE: Where I referred to, Central
24	New York, or to MohawkI think I referred to

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 oh, just generically, when I said "the region"? I was talking primarily about Oneida, 3 Yes. 4 Herkimer, and Otsego Counties, in that instance. 5 In terms of the Mohawk Valley regional region, or Regional Council, it's more than that. It 6 7 includes Montgomery County, for example. 8 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: We certainly 9 appreciate hearing from you about proposed congressional lines. It's very important to have 10 11 public input about concerns. You reside in the 24th congressional district? 12 13 MR. HAGE: Yes. 14 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And you live in 15 Oneida County, correct? 16 MR. HAGE: Yes. 17 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I reside in the 24th congressional district, and I live in Seneca 18 19 County, and that is not the most further 20 westernmost county in this current 24th 21 congressional district. As a matter of fact, the 2.2 district goes west from my home, near Seneca 23 Falls, into Geneva, which is in Ontario County.

Would you--I don't hear you say Seneca or

2.2

Ontario, or for that matter, even Cayuga, which is also in the 24th congressional district, or Tompkins, which is in the 24th congressional district. I don't hear you mention those counties at all within the communities of interest that you seem to be pushing for. Do you believe that they're not in the same area, or not in the same region?

MR. HAGE: Well, there are a couple of reasons I left them out. First of all, I'm less familiar with them. I'm not involved with them. I've never lived in those parts of the district. But also, I think basically I'm here to say what I think should be, should occur in part of the district, recognizing humbly that it's your task to deal with what will happen in the district.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Your comments are very well taken. It's with the demands and requirements that we have--first of all, each district must be mathematically exact, even though you could be splitting towns to achieve that objective, unlike the state constitution which says you can't split towns for state

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 1 2 legislative lines. But the issue I am, I would like to 3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

you.

leave with you is that the districts are so large today, that we are given a number, that's 700-it's over 700,000 people that we must put into these districts, regardless of how many regions they may cover. That's 717,000 people is the requirement, and that that requirement is in the face of, in some parts of Upstate New York, a declining population, so we appreciate very much your objectives. We try to reflect those objectives as much as possible, and that your input is extremely helpful in that effort. Thank Any other questions?

> MR. HAGE: Thank you, sir.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

[applause]

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. Vita Demarchi?

MS. VITA DEMARCHI, CHAIRWOMAN, ONONDAGA COUNTY DEMOCRATIC COMMITTEE: Hello, taskforce and panel. Thank you for coming to Onondaga County on Valentine's Day to hear the love that

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

people have for their communities and their

interest. Can you hear me?

2.2

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Now, yes.

MS. DEMARCIH: Okay, thank you. So again, thank you for coming. I'm Vita Demarchi. I'm here as the chairwoman of the Onondaga County Democratic Committee, and a lifetime resident of the county, and a business owner here in Syracuse. I'll be speaking primarily about the congressional district today.

First, I want to say that I am

personally a bit idealistic when it comes to

expecting taskforce and our legislators to do

what's best for the people that they represent.

In preparation for today's comments, I again

reflected on the sentiments shared with me from

members of the Onondaga County Democratic

Committee, various voters, colleagues, friends,

and my family. And regardless of what their

specific comments were, it seems that many of

them still go back to the basic question of what

are the rules of redistricting? What and who

should be considered in drawing political

2.2

boundaries, and thus a constituent's, voter's opportunity for fair representation at all levels of government? So let me just share with you again what they've shared with me.

The repeated concepts of fair redistricting, equal population—obviously the clear requirement based on population changes. Onondaga County overall and the city of Syracuse have experienced really negligible changes in population. Number two, districts need to be geographically contiguous—well, that seems obvious. However, this concept has been stretched, as we know, in narrow stretches of road connecting various districts, and I think this criteria obviously speaks for itself, and when it doesn't, it's obvious to everyone.

Number three, recognizing existing geographic divides and boundaries--New York is such a diverse geographic state with much of our agriculture and economic development linked to the nature of that territory, and here in Onondaga County, we are the center of Central New York's region. We look at ourselves as a center

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 and a hub, centrally located in the state, and

2.2

drawing people inward for economic development.

Number four, do not divide communities of common interest; avoid disenfranchising ethnic and minority groups to dilute their voting strength--again, clearly obvious. But also consider common interests: Onondaga County has become a more unified community, especially over the past decade, particularly with respect to economic development initiatives and the quality of life that they lead us to. Much of the county commutes to the city of Syracuse--again, a middle-sized city, pulling the surrounding areas into it and radiating out its influence. As a county, we are a community.

Number five, consider transportation connections. Commerce and economic development connect people. Syracuse and Onondaga County are crossroads for connections in New York State.

All the primary east-west and north-south highways and roads connect in Central New York in Onondaga County, serving as a center for a transportation hub. And number six, the most

2.2

optimistic recommendation of all, is avoid drawing lines solely for the purpose of favoring any political party or incumbent.

I was asked to again just share those criteria as it relates to Onondaga County.

Onondaga County has considerable momentum building a positive future that is inclusive of our city, and spreading out to our county and towns and adjacent counties. In great part because of the bipartisan political leadership at the county and city level, center state initiatives and Central New York Regional Council, Onondaga serves as an organizer and binder for many outward initiatives in adjacent counties within this region.

Syracuse is one of our state's significant middle-sized cities. It is a significant part of our county's community identity, and it is a significant part of central New York. Segmenting the Central New York hub, Onondaga County, could derail the political, social, and economic progress and goals achieved to date for the people in the county. Unifying a

2.2

momentum is hard to get rolling. It is rolling now. Syracuse, New York is a center, and it needs to retain its radius of influence and direct association around it. Leaving Onondaga County intact at the congressional level will continue to provide fair representation at the federal level for Central New York residents.

The idea of splitting Onondaga County into two or three slices at the congressional level is what people feel, essentially an attack on our ability to have that fair representation and to keep our commonalities. Onondaga County is not a fringe community of other districts. It is a center. It is center state, a hub, radiating out from the city of Syracuse and welcoming in the surrounding regions and counties.

MS. LEVINE: Ms. Demarchi, you need to summarize, please.

MS. DEMARCHI: Okay, and I can't help but throw in one more progressive, maybe even radical concept of redistricting, and that would be considering sustainable community concepts.

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 In closing, I want to thank those of you who have the ability to influence redistricting, and 3 4 recognize the privilege and opportunity bestowed 5 on you, and know that constituents and community people are paying attention and appreciate it. 6 7 Thank you very much. ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: 8 Thank you. 9 [applause] ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: 10 Sheriff 11 Christopher Barber? Christopher Barber? Carolyn 12 D. Fitzpatrick? 13 MS. CAROLYN D. FITZPATRICK, CHAIR OF THE 14 BOARD, JEFFERSON COUNTY LEGISLATORS: Testing, 1, 15 2, 3... Okay. Hi, I'm Carolyn D. Fitzpatrick. 16 I'm the Chairwoman of our Jefferson County Board 17 of Legislators. Closer--how's that, Senator? Thank you. Carolyn Fitzpatrick, and I'm the 18 19 Chairwoman of the Jefferson County Board of 20 Legislators up in Jefferson County, and my 21 district entails the city of Watertown. 22 I do not have a written testimony. 23 was asked just yesterday if I would attend and

I've had meetings all day yesterday, today, and

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

for the first time I think I broke the speeding barrier coming down 81, but normally the difference between us up in the North Country, the northern counties, we're usually getting tickets for going too slow, while everyone else down here is getting a ticket for speeding.

That's the difference right off.

I'm asking--I'm not looking and talking about the individuals who want a position on the assembly or in the senate or congress. asking you to keep the Northern New York counties together, intact, even if you have to add a little bit more of several of them that are up there in the North Country. Please, please, please don't put us down in the Syracuse area. We're not Central New York. We're not Western New York. We're not Leatherstocking. We're not the Finger Lakes. We're not over there by the Saratoga speedway, or the horse tracks. We're not Albany. We're Northern New York. where the St. Lawrence River meets Lake Ontario. We're right along the Canadian border.

We're old-fashioned--I know that. I'll

1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

21

22

23

24

admit it. We have the oldest county fair in the United States. The oldest county fair. You didn't know that, did you? Somebody's shaking his head. We take pride in our people. We're not about necessarily manufacturing or industry, but we are all about tourism, farming, dairy--a lot of wineries, lately--haying, apple orchards, all the good things, and it's like a man who has a sign on the back of his car--no farm, no food, no life. That's what we're about up there. We're about people. We're about the North Country, whether it be Jefferson County, St. Lawrence County, Lewis County, Oswego County, Clinton, Essex, Hamilton--all of them are up, we're in a great big circle. And what we're seeing is somebody is trying to make us look like we belong to a spider, and everything is jutting And it's happening in a lot of areas throughout New York State, not just ours.

I grew up here in the Syracuse area--I grew up in Fayetteville, New York. Fayetteville and Syracuse is not the North Country. I love where I am now. I've raised two kids--actually,

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 one is a doctor who does practice down in 3 Syracuse, and a son who lives in Cooperstown and 4 in New York City. They are not the North 5 I'm asking you to take a good look at Country. us and realize that we're good people, the North 6 7 Country means something to all of us, and we'd 8 like to stay intact. 9 And one thing that I did write that someone mentioned earlier--let's look at fair, 10 11 fair redistricting. Keep our counties together 12 that have a common interest. I thank you and I 13 appreciate your time. 14 [applause] 15 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you. Thank 16 you. 17 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Mayor Joe Moriana [phonetic]? Joe Moriana? 18 Donald Goulet? MR. DONALD GOULET, COMMON CAUSE: Good 19 20 afternoon, ladies and gentleman. My name is 21 Donald Goulet and I'm here as a member of Common 2.2 I appreciate the opportunity to testify. 23 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Excuse me, pardon me-24 -did you have written testimony?

	Page 41
1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
2	MR. GOULET: Yes, sir.
3	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
4	MR GOULET: All right.
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Would you introduce,
6	would you introduce the person to your right,
7	please?
8	MR. GOULET: The young lady to my left
9	is Susan Lehrer [phonetic]. She is also with
10	Common Cause and her title is?
11	MS. SUSAN LEHRER, COMMON CAUSE:
12	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Good to see you
13	again, Susan.
14	MS. LEHRER: Thank you. It's nice to be
15	here.
16	MR. GOULET: Thank you. I want to take
17	the opportunity presented by this hearing to
18	compare and contrast the LATFOR state legislative
19	drafts with the Common Cause reform plan. First,
20	the state senateas you can see on page 4 of
21	this testimony, the proposed LATFOR lines divide
22	the Syracuse and Central New York regions into an
23	awkward jigsaw puzzle. The proposed senate
24	district 51 stretches almost 150 miles, from

2.2

Cayuga all the way to Ulster County. It's especially egregious. By itself, the proposed SD-51 contributes to the division of six counties that could otherwise fit entirely in a single district. That would seem to be in direct contradiction to the state constitution quidelines to minimize division of counties.

In contrast, the Common Cause reform plan proposes compact senate districts. As you can see on page 5 of the testimony, the Common Cause plan keeps the entire city of Syracuse and its surrounding suburbs in a single district.

This is quite in contrast to the legislators' proposed plan, which carves out a narrow corridor that looks to be custom-drawn for the district 50 incumbent, John D. Francisco, a republican who just happens to live within the corridor.

Districts like this are why the LATFOR plan is often referred to in the press as the incumbent protection plan.

In the state assembly, the partisan gerrymandering looks just as bad. As you can see on page 6 of this testimony, the proposed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

assembly districts 128 and 129 are exactly the same as the current ADs 119 and 120. These districts continue to divide the city of Syracuse into a jagged, twisting shape that breaks up neighborhoods and communities. These districts appear to have been designed to maximize the chance of electing two democrats to the legislature.

Again, we see that the LATFOR places partisan advantage and incumbent protection above all else, and again, the Common Cause reform plan shows us what Syracuse assembly districts would look like if drawn by a nonpartisan independent process. Almost the whole city would fit in a single district, with compact districts drawn for the surrounding suburbs. Keeping Syracuse almost entirely within a single assembly district also allows for increased influence among the city's minority communities that are currently broken As drawn, Common Cause reform AD-119 would up. be 26% Black and 7% Hispanic. This district allows the minority community of Syracuse almost 30% more voting influence than in the districts

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
proposed by LATFOR.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, for congress: It's really a shame that the public will not have the opportunity to comment on a draft congressional plan, but Common Cause has had a congressional plan available to the public for almost two months. As you can see on page 7 of this testimony, the current congressional districts zig and zag all across the central New York region. In contrast, the Common Cause reform plan for congress focuses on creating regional districts -- one district the North Country, one district for Syracuse and the Mohawk Valley, one district for the Southern Tier, and one district for the Finger Lakes. Yes, it is that simple, if the lines are drawn with public interest in mind rather than tailored to the desires of the political parties and their incumbents. I urge you to take another look at the recommendations of the Common Cause reform Thank you again for the opportunity to plan. testify.

[applause]

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Mr. Goulet,

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
for the benefit of people watching this, your
testimony is accurate as to what you're
describing, but the page numbers don't match. I
think everything that you discussed is shown
here, but the page numbers are different on the
copy I have.
MR. GOULET: There were some typos; I
apologize. There are sidebars by the testimony,
by the maps describing what the maps outline. I
apologize for the typos.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Everything you
said was accurate in describing your message, but
the page numbers are different.
MR. GOULET: All right. We'll try to
correct that, but if you look at the maps, the
sidebar describes the issue.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: All right.
Thank you.
MR. GOULET: Thank you.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Fred
Beardsley? Fred Beardsley? Good afternoon.
MR. FRED BEARDSLEY, LEGISLATOR, OSWEGO
COUNTY: Good afternoon. My name is Fred

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Beardsley. I'm from Hastings, New York. I was a councilman supervisor in my town for 12 years before being elected to the Oswego County

Legislature. Recently I was named Chairman of that legislature. I'd like to talk to you today about the congressional redistricting. It's my understanding that the lines have not been drawn yet, and I'd like to give you my input.

Simply put, as has been mentioned here earlier, we need a North Country congressional district. This district should include my home county, Oswego, and like-minded areas, many of which are already included in the 23rd congressional district. While our county borders this one, our concerns are far different than the more metropolitan areas, like our neighbors to the north and the east. We rely heavily on tourism and agriculture to make our local economy. Dairy farms, apple orchards, maple syrup, lumber, hay, and vegetables are commodities you'll find in abundance in the North Country. I think of Central New York and the Mohawk Valley as more focused on manufacturing.

1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

2

Although I don't live there, I think it's a good

3

idea to keep those like-minded areas together.

current congressional district is that no one

biggest city, and even then, it's only 27,000

city or town dwarfs any other. Watertown is our

the hometown of Hastings that I live in that has

congress equally accountable to his entire area.

If you were to combine us with Syracuse, with its

little more than 9,000 people. I truly think

that this arrangement keeps our member of

4

One of the great advantages of the

To me, that's not much different than

5

2

6

7

8

people.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

145,000 people, or Utica, with 262,000 people, the district would revolve around those two areas. With all due respect, Syracuse and Utica have different priorities than us, and I feel it's inappropriate to combine them with us. Our farmers and tourist-based business would lose their advocate, and we'd lose an important ally on issues that affect the United States and Canadian border.

I understand that the current 23rd congressional district must grow. To me, it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

makes sense to add rural areas that are similar in identity to the counties already included.

This could be accomplished by taking more people from Essex, Fulton counties, or adding folks from Herkimer County.

I'm not alone in suggesting this change. Ken Blankenbush, the state assemblyman who represents the area just north of where I live, has testified in front of this committee about the need for a North Country congressional district. Common Cause, a good government group has suggested lines of their own, using the assemblyman's testimony as proof that the North Country should keep its congressional district. Common Cause map showed a North Country district that kept Jefferson, Lewis, St. Lawrence, Franklin, Clinton, Fulton, and Hamilton counties together, and included more of Essex and Herkimer as I suggested. While adding some rural areas from Warren and Washington, I believe that this could work, although I would encourage you to keep Oswego County whole, as there is little difference in mindset between our northern and

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
southern parts.

2.2

On top of my written statement, I'd just like to add one statement—it was mentioned by my colleague from the legislature in Jefferson County, and I think the picture is clear on both our parts—these are two different economic areas, and they are two entirely different cultures, and I believe that congressional district should remain. Thank you.

[applause]

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Deborah Warner?

MS. DEBORAH WARNER, VICE PRESIDENT FOR
PUBLIC POLICY, CENTERSTATE CEO: Good afternoon.
Thank you Senator Nozzolio and Assemblyman
McEneny, and the members of the taskforce for
your leadership on a very difficult and
challenging issue. I'm Deb Warner, Vice
President for Public Policy at the Centerstate
Corporation for Economic Opportunity. We are the
business and economic development organization
for a 12-county region that stretches from the
St. Lawrence almost to the Pennsylvania border,

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

west nearly to Rochester, and to the east to the

2.2

west nearly to Rochester, and to the east to the Herkimer area.

On behalf of our 2,000 members, we appreciate that you are holding another round of hearings now that we have some census data to react to, and I'd like to start by reiterating two key recommendations I discussed at the July hearing that you held previously: Keep county and metro areas as whole as possible, especially in congressional redistricting; be strategic to regional economic development. This is a critical concern, especially for businesses that are state and federal contractors and subcontractors, which is a significant portion of businesses and small businesses in our area.

Because we have certain concentration of specific types of businesses and technologies, it's advantageous to keep those businesses together in the one district, rather than splitting them up among several congressional districts. An example would be to keep Lockheed Martin, SRC, Anaren, and Sensis radar censor businesses together in the same congressional

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

district so that they're appropriately represented at the federal level for both policy and regulatory purposes.

We would point to an important progress step that's already taken place this year with the Regional Economic Development Councils, emphasizing the districts in whole or combination would well serve our communities by mirroring these groupings. Centerstate, our 12-county region, is deeply involved with major projects with the Brookings Institution, both of which are regional across our 12 counties in nature. of them is a metropolitan export initiative to boost exports from our region over the next few The other, larger initiative is the regional metropolitan business plan. These projects are throughout the 12-county region, involve many organizations and elected officials throughout the region, and are therefore another compelling reason to recognize that groupings of counties, and how important they are for cohesive collaboration of our state and federal elected officials.

2.2

Regarding census data, I'd like to point out that Onondaga County has actually gained population over the last 10 years. Our population grew by about 9,000 individuals, or nearly 4%. Our 12-county region is basically flat, so that would be a reason that we should not have our representation further dissipated.

I point this out to stress that our region should not be losing representation, since we are not an area of declined population. The fact that Onondaga County's population is growing should be a lead indicator that it should be kept whole, especially, again, in congressional redistricting.

The Centerstate CEO 12-county region is based on a number of dynamics that interconnect the region--although, and I certainly respect the comments made by some of the previous speakers that there is difference, there are differences in some of the areas throughout our region--we are bound together by transportation, by infrastructure, our higher education institutions, by agribusiness, by tourism

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

business and industry commonalities, labor force,

retail demographics and so on.

2.2

Our regional delegations at the federal and state level are working together at a new level of cooperation and effectiveness. Because we have seen the success of these synergies, we strongly urge you to recognize this footprint so that you can reinforce and enhance these connections as you create congressional state representative districts within the 12 counties.

Thank you for your time today, and on behalf of Centerstate, we offer to be further of assistance if we can provide data from demographics, the research that we have from Brookings that may be relevant or of consideration, should you be interested in that.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Ms. Warner, thank you. Before you leave, it was appreciate your testimony last summer. We appreciate it again this winter. Tell us for the record the 12 counties that are part of this group.

MS. WARNER: Oh, I may not remember all of them, exactly, but--

regional council, was Cayuga County a portion of

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 1 2 that region? 3 MS. WARNER: Yes. 4 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And was Madison 5 County a portion of that region? MS. WARNER: I don't--yes, we were the 6 7 five counties. Of the 12-county footprint, we have the core five-county region is included, and 8 9 then we actually have pieces of another five of the regional councils. The recommendations that 10 11 came from those councils -- there are 12 commonalities, there are joint projects where we 13 have some cross-pollination from one or more, or 14 two or more of the regional economic development 15 councils focusing on some similar concerns. 16 There was a lot of cross-pollination. 17 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well, the regional approach is something that the MDA--the 18 19 Metropolitan Development Association -- has worked 20 on for years. Have you been part of that work? 21 MS. WARNER: Well, Centerstate is the 2.2 merger of the Greater Syracuse Chamber of 23 Commerce and the MDA, so I came from the Chamber

side, but we were involved in some regional

24

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 initiatives, and certainly it's important to recognize that in a global economy, with 3 4 economies of scale, a region is the unit that you 5 need to reach to be competition, you know, to be an entity that can compete in an economy, in 6 7 economic development, and you need a population of at least a million people, so our region I 8 9 think is about a million and a half. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Miss Warner, thank 10 11 you very, very much. Any other questions, 12 members of the panel? 13 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Did you agree 14 with the map of the regional development area 15 that was drawn up by the state? 16 MS. WARNER: You mean the existing 10 economic development regions? 17 18 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: 19 MS. WARNER: That's what we worked with, 20 but I guess we had had some discussions about how 21 we could go beyond just the five-county region 2.2 because there is so much commonality with the 23 Thruway, the 81 corridor, our transportation 24 infrastructure, the ports in Oswego, you know,

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
2	the rail lines run east and west and then down
3	south to New Jersey. There's any number of
4	elements of infrastructure and business and
5	community infrastructures that run throughout the
6	region that we like to think a lot larger than
7	that five-county footprint.
8	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Who drew those
9	maps?
10	MS. WARNER: You know, those were
11	existing. They had been drawn by Empire State
12	Development a number of years ago. I don't know
13	when they were drawn. They certainly were not
14	drawn for thisthey weren't drawn by the current
15	administration. They were pre-existing.
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very
17	much.
18	MS. WARNER: Okay, thank you.
19	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.
20	[applause]
21	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Michael
22	Marinaccio?
23	MR. MICHAEL MARINACCIO, SUPERVISOR, TOWN
24	OF DICKINSON, PRESIDENT, COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENT:

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

Good afternoon.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Good afternoon.

MR. MARINACCIO: My name is Mike

Marinaccio. I serve as the Supervisor for the

town of Dickinson in Broome County. I also serve

as the president of the Greater Binghamton

Council of Governments and Association of

Municipal Governments for Broome County. In

addition, I am the Vice President of the Broome

County Association of Towns and Villages. I

would like to briefly speak regarding the

reapportionment process at the federal level.

My town is located in the 24th congressional district, represented by Congressman Richard Hanna. This district stretches south from the Utica area through Chenango and Cortland counties, into Broome and Tioga counties. I respectfully ask they remain in a similar district after reapportionment because Broome County shares many commonalities with other communities in this region.

One of the most important similarities

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

is the economy. Binghamton, the largest city in Broome County, is a formal industrial and manufacturing center, similar to other upstate cities of its size in the area. Today, high-tech industry and research universities help drive the economies of this region and our county. Defense-related companies are increasingly more important to Central New York's economy. Broome has several of these companies, the largest being Lockheed Martin, employing 3,000 individuals, and MBNA systems employing 1,600 individuals. It is important we retain our connection with other communities who have companies with federal defense connections, such as the defense-related companies located at the former Griffiss Air Force Base near Utica, as an example. An effective federal representative like Congressman Hanna will help advocate in Washington on our behalf.

Broome County is also a major

transportation junction that supports and

advances Central New York's commerce. Interstate

81, interstate 88, and the New York State Route

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 12 form main routes that transport goods 3 throughout central New York and connect these 4 communities. 5 In addition, our entire county is served by Senator Tom Libous at the state level, and the 6 7 district he represents includes Chenango and Tioga Counties as well. Parts or all of these 8 9 three counties are currently included in the 24th congressional district, which again, demonstrates 10 11 how connected the counties are with each other. 12 I understand our state will lose two 13 congressional seats. However, I want to express 14 my support for a district that includes all of 15 Broome County and connects it with related 16 communities. Thank you so very much for allowing 17 me to speak today. 18 MS. LEVINE: Thank you. 19 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very 20 much. 21 [applause] 2.2 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Gregory 2.3 Landcotte? Good afternoon, Mr. Landcotte. 24 MR. GREGORY LANDCETTE, PLUMBERS AND

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 STEAMFITTERS LOCAL 267: Good afternoon, Mr. 3 McEneny. Are we on? My name is--it's actually 4 Greg Landcette. I totally understand; it's a 5 really tough one to make it there. ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: So the O is an 6 7 Land, C-O-T-T-E, so it's C-E-T-T-E? E? 8 MR. LANDCETTE: Yes, that's correct. 9 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. I've been going through 10 MR. LANDCETTE: 11 it my whole life, I totally understand. 12 name's Greg Landcette and I represent the 13 building trades council of Central New York. T'm 14 also the business manager of the plumbers and 15 steamfitters union here in Syracuse. I would 16 like to thank the members of the committee for 17 giving us the opportunity to share our views and for their work on this committee. 18 19 As the largest city and metro area in 20 central New York, in recent years, Syracuse and 21 the surrounding county of Onondaga have seen the 2.2 benefit of being wholly contained within a single congressional district. Having a member of 23

congress who understands the needs of the

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

community has allowed us to have our voice heard in congress in ways that otherwise might have been impossible, and that is a voice that deserves to be heard in any fair system.

With well over 460,000 people, Onondaga County would make up approximately 65% of a new district. Furthermore, Onondaga County has seen a slight population increase since the last census, as you have already heard, unlike some other communities in New York. Given that, keeping the county as an anchor of any new district is both fair and equitable on a congressional level. As a majority of the population in the district, the community could be confident that any representative would be responsive to our concerns.

If the population of the county or city were instead to be split amongst multiple congressional districts, we could no longer be sure that the needs of this community would be met. Those needs would be significant in the years ahead, from the necessity of rebuilding and expanding our transportation alternatives to

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 improving our education system, our area will find great value in fair, cohesive

representation.

2.2

I also believe that given our location, what is good for Syracuse and Onondaga County can be good not just for all of Central New York, but for New York State as a whole--a vibrant community in the center of the state will benefit all those who travel to or through our county.

I am sure that ours is not the only community that has voiced this concern in hearings before this committee, and I am equally sure that it will be difficult or impossible for the committee to keep every community whole. I do not envy your work, but I ask that when you make your decisions you closely consider the following: Onondaga County is a vibrant and growing community with a major metro area and a world class workforce and employers. It is the population anchor of Central New York and it is fair and equitable that it should also be the population anchor of its congressional district. Thank you again for your service on this

	Degra
1	Page 6 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
2	committee, and for the opportunity to speak with
3	you today.
4	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
5	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
6	[applause]
7	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.
8	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Kevin Acres?
9	Good afternoon.
10	MR. KEVIN ACRES, DIRECTOR, ST. LAWRENCE
11	COUNTY FARM BUREAU: Good afternoon. Welcome to
12	the home of the Syracuse Orangemen, soon to be
13	the nation's top number one basketball team.
14	I haven't submitted any written
15	testimony. We had a county board meeting last
16	night that I got home at 11. At 3:30 in the
17	morning, I fed my 650 head of Holsteins and it's
18	a two and a half hour drive down here and so I'm
19	operating on about 2 liters of high-test
20	caffeine, so my handwriting's pretty jittery.
21	Well, I'm Kevin Acres, a dairy farmer
22	from St. Lawrence County, who also serves as a
23	director on the St. Lawrence County Farm Bureau.

Presently, I'm a St. Lawrence County legislator,

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and previous to that, a - - school board member for 22 years. I come from the North Country, which has a distinct cultural identity of its own. We are primarily an agricultural entity with two private universities--St. Lawrence and Clarkson--and SUNY, with Canton and Potsdam, and Potsdam's the home of the famous Crane School of Music.

Our needs are very distinct. We need representation that addresses our farm issues such as nutrient management, labor needs, regulation relief, right to farm laws, and food safety issues. Most importantly for the ag community, we need representation that understands our business and is able to adequately comprehend and protect our business practices and the face of anti-animal agricultural groups, and to be able to provide clear direction when dealing with potentially agthreatening legislation from legislators who don't know which end of the cow that the milk is produced. We also need a representative who understands the level of poverty in St. Lawrence

2.2

County, and the need for state education formula reform for adequate funding for our public schools. We have specific needs in ag and education in St. Lawrence County that need to have a voice in Albany.

The proposed changes to the districts cut St. Lawrence County into several pieces with minority shares or percentages in multiple districts that leave us without a majority voice in all but one of those seven representatives.

St. Lawrence County deserves a voice in Albany.

Thank you.

## [applause]

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. Nancy Martin? Good afternoon.

MS. NANCY MARTIN, ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY
REPUBLICAN CHAIR: Good afternoon. Thank you for
the opportunity to speak to you today. My name
is Nancy Martin and I'm speaking as a resident of
St. Lawrence County, New York. However, I
currently serve as Chairwoman on the St. Lawrence
Republican County Committee. I do not intend for
my comments today to be partisan in nature, but

2.2

rather evolving from geographic population and economic perspectives. My comments are specifically concerned with the interests of the people of the present 122nd assembly district. You know the area as the proposed 115th, 116th, 117th, and 118th assembly districts.

By the way, in no way does this redistricting proposal meet criteria to keep counties as whole as possible to the maximum extent possible. St. Lawrence County presently has two senators and two assembly persons representing its citizens. Under this proposal, St. Lawrence County will have three senators and four assembly persons. Under this proposal, the 112nd assembly district looks like a very different district. The district has been shattered. More representatives does not mean better representation.

I fear that some of our rural farmers, as Mr. Acres has just spoken, will have different representation than that of their adjoining neighbor as a result of the severe splintering of the county. Our county legislature is composed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

of 15 legislators; 8 of them are affected by this proposal, resulting in some having as many as 4 state legislators representing their constituents.

St. Lawrence County is the largest county in New York based on area. The present 122nd assembly district runs east-west in St. Lawrence County along US Highway Route 11 from Gouverneur to Brasher Falls, nearly 65 miles, or 1 hour and 20 minutes, and it runs east-west in the southernmost part of St. Lawrence County, along State Highway 3 from Pitcairn to Piercefield, nearly 55 miles or 1 hour and 5 minutes. There are no identifiable roads leading directly to Hamilton or Herkimer counties. York's constitutional provisions on redistricting require that state legislative districts be composed of contiguous territory--thus, without direct access to Herkimer and Hamilton Counties, there may exist a violation of the constitution of New York state.

The current 122nd AD extends from Sandy Creek to Brasher Falls, nearly 115 miles, 2 hours

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

and 18 minutes. The proposed 115th, if extended, would add an additional 20 miles at its farthest point, Rouses Point to - - Corners. However, the proposed 118th AD would run north-south along a number of routes from Norfolk to Danube, nearly 200 miles, or 4 hours and 27 minutes, through three regional designations in New York State-- the St. Lawrence River Valley, the Adirondack Mountains, and the Mohawk Valley.

I don't see how any state legislator could effectively represent St. Lawrence County under this proposal. Citizens of St. Lawrence County may have to travel greater distances to meet with their representatives in the district offices that typically gravitate towards district population centers. More district offices will not be an option, considering the fiscal position of the State of New York.

St. Lawrence County has long identified itself as one of the many border counties of New York State known as the North Country as we align along the majestic St. Lawrence River and the Canadian border. Further, we consider ourselves

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

a third of the tri-county area--Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence counties. Many of our employees are proud members of the Jefferson, Lewis, and St. Lawrence Counties Central Trade and Labor Council. Our schools are members of the St. Lawrence, Lewis Board of Cooperative Educational Services. St. Lawrence County is presently associated with three media outlets--Oswego, Under redistricting, we Watertown, and Rome. pick up a number of additional outlets--Malone, Plattsburgh, and outlets in Herkimer, Hamilton, and Fulton counties that I am not familiar with. St. Lawrence County will lose its identity. Tritown in St. Lawrence County is composed of the towns of Brasher, Lawrence, and Stockholm. Under--

MS. LEVIN: Miss Martin, you need to summarize, please.

MS. MARTIN: Under one school district. These three towns will be represented by two senators and two assembly persons. Under this proposal, the Parishville-Hopkinton School District will be represented by two assembly

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 1 2 persons, as will the Colton-Pierrepont School 3 District. The people of St. Lawrence County have 4 more in common with our neighbors to the east and 5 west--we shop in Jefferson County and we travel through Clinton and Franklin Counties seeking 6 7 medical treatment in Burlington. Several of our

therefore share common concerns with the proposed

are members of the Adirondack Park, and may

towns in the southernmost part of the district

11 118th, but the irony is that our commonality with

12 Herkimer and Hamilton Counties, the Adirondack

13 Mountains, is what cuts us off from them.

14 thank LATFOR for its hard work, difficult work,

15 and for giving me the opportunity to speak before

16 Thank you. you.

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MS. LEVINE: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

[applause]

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: The one thing I noticed with Lewis County--there's one town with 27 people in the whole town. I think that's the smallest town in population in the state, and

2.2

for those who study the North Country, there are not 5,000 people in all of Hamilton County, so it makes it very difficult, but we appreciate that, and your commuting input is very helpful. Dan Jeror?

MR. DON JEROR: Good afternoon. My name is actually Don Jeror--they got it wrong somehow or other.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

MR. JEROR: Okay, good afternoon. My name is Don Jeror. I'm a private citizen from Oneida County. I'm way out of my comfort zone, here, but this is too important an issue, I feel, to let slide by like everybody does. I guess mostly what I have are questions for you--just rhetorical questions, but questions nonetheless. I've noticed that nobody but nobody has come up here and said, "Boy, you guys have got some great ideas." Let's start with that, okay?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Let's go with the assumption that anybody that thinks they've got a great district is home today keeping their fingers crossed--

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 MR. JEROR: Absolutely--3 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: As the nature 4 of public hearings--5 MR. JEROR: Absolutely, and I'm here to make sure that doesn't happen. 6 7 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Stay quiet, stay below the radar, maybe they'll leave it just 8 9 like it is. Well, I'm here today 10 MR. JEROR: Yes. 11 regarding the current plan for the assembly 12 redistricting here in New York. I'm sure and I 13 know you've already heard from people who have 14 all their facts, all their ducks in a row. 15 That's not the tact I'm going to take. I think 16 the proposal as it is, especially for the 17 proposed 102nd is blatantly unfair. And I'm going to attack this--and I will 18 19 use the word attack, just from your own criteria-20 -number one, effective representation. How can 21 you have effective representation when the 2.2 proposed 102nd assembly district would stretch across half the width of New York State? 23

Community cohesiveness--once again, how can you

24

2.2

have community cohesiveness when the proposed 102nd assembly district would stretch across half of New York State? Commonality of interest—how can you have commonality of interest when the proposed 102nd assembly district would stretch across half the width of New York State, not to mention six distinct regions? Who could possibly represent that—one person? Not going to happen. In geographical compactness—how can there be any kind of geographical compactness when the proposed 102nd assembly district would stretch across half the width of New York State?

By following the most basic precepts of the New York constitution, this process could restore some integrity that people believe has been lost by the taskforce, and restore some of the faith that New Yorkers have in their leaders. I thank you very much for the opportunity to come to speak to you today, and folks, I hope you take a good, hard look at this, because the way it's set up now is atrocious. It's just not good in any way, shape or form, okay? Thank you. Have a nice day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MS. MILLER: Yeah, I got it. I'd like to address the population and geographic inequities of the proposed new assembly districts. The proposed changes unfairly skew the population deviations for upstate districts.

Perhaps it's time for a math lesson. The 2010 US census states that New York's total population is a little over 19 million. This figure divided by 150 assembly districts equals about 129,000--the median number for the population of a district, and the number for determining deviations. Proposed district populations may deviate from this figure plus or minus 5%--in other words, district populations must fall roughly between 123,000 and 135,000. The proposed New York City districts all contain approximately 124,000 in population. Contrast this with the upstate districts--nearly every district in Central New York contains 132,000 or more, which reflects a disparity of 8,000 more in our districts when compared with New York City districts.

Downstate districts contain populations

2.2

which are considerably lower than the optimal district population, and upstate districts contain populations which exceed the optimal population by thousands of persons. Essentially this is packing representatives downstate at the expense of upstate representation. I'm not a math teacher, but anybody can see that this just doesn't add up.

The proposed geographic boundaries unfairly fracture Oneida County, and other upstate communities, negatively impacting efficient and effective representation. Perhaps it's time for a drawing lesson--take for instance one of the proposed New York City districts, assembly district 43. The district is drawn to be geographically compact, and being comprised of city blocks one can say that there is community cohesiveness and commonality of interest in this district. It's two miles from one end of the district to the other--a 20 minute drive in traffic--and it's population is 124,000, the low end of the deviation.

In contrast, the proposed assembly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

district 102 is drawn to sprawl across six upstate counties, and steals from Oneida County its economic engine, the town of New Hartford. It's 120 miles from one end of the district to the other, a two-and-a-half hour drive. population is 132,000, the high end of the The situation is similar in the deviation. proposed 117th district, where many Oneida County towns would end up. Obviously these upstate districts are not drawn with community cohesiveness and commonality of interest in mind, and they certainly are not examples of efficient and effective representation. I'm not an art teacher, but it looks like the committee needs to go back to the drawing board.

Based on the obvious population and geographical inequities of the proposed assembly districts, it is evident that the commission completely disregarded their own guidelines.

Oneida County is being robbed of effective representation. The constitution guarantees one man, one vote. Why is Upstate New York being denied its fair share of representation? I urge

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
2	you to redraw the assembly lines fairly so that
3	the constitutional rights of the residents of
4	Oneida County and other upstate communities are
5	restored and preserved. Thank you for your time.
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Just for the
7	record
8	MS. MILLER: Yes, sir.
9	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: At least as
10	far as the City of New York is concerned, there
11	are some voting rights concerns there, but you
12	mentioned your district around 132,000.
13	MS. MILLER: Right.
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Every district
15	in Manhattan is 132,400, in New York County.
16	MS. MILLER: Right, and they're all
17	packed into high rises within a few city blocks.
18	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: No
19	MS. MILLER: Okay.
20	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: That has
21	nothing to do with anything here.
22	MS. MILLER: Right, no, I know, it's
23	strictly based on population.
24	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: No, it's that-

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
2	_
3	MS. MILLER: I'm just saying for the
4	most part the city districts are drawn on the low
5	end of the deviation.
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: but the best
7	known county in the state of New York is New York
8	County, Manhattan
9	MS. MILLER: Right.
10	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: And it's
11	132,444, 446they vary by very few people within
12	that county.
13	MS. MILLER: Right
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: You also have
15	some upstate counties, there's one I'm thinking
16	of in the mid-Hudson, it's 120 something or
17	otherit's off by 4000 or 5000
18	MS. MILLER: Yeah, I wonder which
19	legislator drew that district for himself.
20	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Because we
21	can't break towns.
22	MS. MILLER: Right.
23	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: So there are
24	voting rights restrictions that are federal.

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
2	There's a prohibition, at least upstate and for
3	most, but not all of Long Island, where you can't
4	break a town, even if you'd like to to make the
5	map more exact, so it's notyou have a
6	legitimate complaint, perhaps, in the big
7	picture, but there's not an exclusive situation.
8	There are exceptions starting with Manhattan
9	being about the same as it is
10	MS. MILLER: Right, I found about 20
11	districts in New York City that were on the low
12	end of the deviation.
13	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Okay, thank
14	you very much.
15	MS. MILLER: Thank you.
16	SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN, NEW YORK STATE
17	LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH
18	AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Miss?
19	MS. MILLER: Yes, sir.
20	SENATOR DILAN: Excuse medid you do an
21	analysis for the New York State senate?
22	MS. MILLER: No, I did not. I was
23	focusing on the assembly districts.
24	SENATOR DILAN: Okay. Is there any

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
2	reason?
3	MS. MILLER: Well, because we're losing
4	representation in the current 115th assembly
5	district.
6	SENATOR DILAN: Okay, so are you aware
7	that in the senate it's a reverse? Are you aware
8	of that?
9	MS. MILLER: I am not focusing on the
10	senate at this time
11	SENATOR DILAN: I'm just asking you, are
12	you aware that in the senate it's the reverse,
13	where the districts in New York City
14	MS. MILLER: Well, then, that would have
15	to be addressed then, wouldn't it?
16	SENATOR DILAN: Excuse me?
17	MS. MILLER: That would have to be
18	addressed, also, then.
19	SENATOR DILAN: Well, I'm just letting
20	you know.
21	MS. MILLER: Right.
22	SENATOR DILAN: Yes, okay.
23	MS. MILLER: I understand.
24	SENATOR DILAN: Thank you.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 1 2 MS. MILLER: Okay, thanks. 3 [applause] 4 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. 5 Cassandra Harris Lockwood? MS. CASSANDRA HARRIS LOCKWOOD, CEO, FOR 6 7 THE GOOD, INC.: Good afternoon. ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: 8 9 afternoon. I'm Cassandra MS. HARRIS LOCKWOOD: 10 11 Harris Lockwood. I am the CEO and president of 12 For the Good, Incorporated in Utica, New York, 13 and I'm publisher of the Utica Phoenix, and I've 14 left a copy with all of you and I think on page 15 five is a letter from a constituent on the issue 16 of redistricting, and I'm here today to express 17 my grave disappointment in the proposed new assembly district lines. 18 19 I live in the current 115th, and in 20 order to get to work I would have to drive now 21 through the new 102nd from the 115th, through the 2.2 102nd, into the 116th, and if I want to visit my father after the end of my work day, I have to 23

drive north again, and bump back into the 115th,

24

1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

2

I believe it is--the current 115th. It just

3

makes a hodgepodge of what we call our community.

4

\_

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

In recent years, New York State

leadership has taken actions that have been extremely detrimental to the residents of Oneida County. Our county and its citizens have been suffering an economic depression and lack of jobs while we've continued to absorb legions of refugees and other underserved populations. The state's response has been to reduce school funding, shut down local prisons, and now the state hospital, and to choose to invest elsewhere in New York State. Over the years, these nowdiminished industries have provided employment for hundreds of our residents. These cuts have further plunged our county into economic hardship, limited educational opportunities for our children, reduced our economic base, and

Now the state leadership has decided to virtually eliminate our local representation by drawing our assembly district without any relevance to constituents' commonality or sense

forced a much longer recovery time.

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
2	of community. This proposed plan would leave
3	Oneida County with an even weaker voice in Albany
4	at a time when we need it the most. The
5	residents of Oneida County are tired of being
6	targeted for these devastating reductions, job
7	losses, and now the loss of a coherent
8	representation. I'm urging the leadership to
9	take the necessary steps to correct this
10	injustice and blatant attack on the good citizens
11	of Oneida County and restore our local
12	representation with the district that takes into
13	consideration our mutual circumstance, our
14	relevance, and our needs.
15	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
16	[applause]
17	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Sandra Parker?
18	Sandra Parker? William Pabes, or Pabes? Please
19	pronounce your name for us when you get here.
20	MR. WILLIAM PABES: Good afternoon, it's
21	William Pabes.
22	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Pabes?
23	MR. PABES: Yes.
24	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

MR. PABES: Thank you for the opportunity to speak with your panel today regarding the proposed lines for assembly and the senate seats in the New York State legislature.

My name is William M. Pabes. I'm from Little

Falls, New York, Herkimer County. I'm currently represented by the 117th assembly district.

Let me first say that it appears from my initial review that several assembly seats in the Central New York Mohawk Valley region are being dramatically affected by shifting lines. These are newly proposed assembly districts 118, 102, and the North Country district that is being pulled into Oneida and Lewis County.

My first concern is that as a region that is dramatically impacted by the proposed changes, I find that the closest hearing sites are Syracuse and Albany. For working people, it is difficult to take the trip to testify at these hearings from the greater—it is a difficult trip to testify at these hearings, and would be much nicer if they were held sometimes in the Utica area. Has anyone considered that ever?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Secondly, the rationale for this proposal escapes me. Herkimer County, for example, would be carved into three separate assembly districts and two senate districts. Oswego County would again be divided and several townships in the Oneida County would be added to the counties with which they share little relationship. In the 118th district specifically I see a huge sprawling district that would require a superhuman effort to reasonably represent--some 16 rural townships in St. Lawrence County would be joined with portions of Herkimer, Oneida, Fulton and Hamilton counties. I see no logic in putting these townships together in a district that really has no core.

While Central New York communities
appear to have maintained their level of
population according to the latest census
figures, it appears that our districts are being
gutted and that population numbers are being
stretched to the maximum allowed under the
redistricting rules, approximately 132,000 or
more, while downstate districts are almost

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 1 2 invariably in the lower to mid-120,000 range. 3 Whatever influence we may have in the 4 legislature, and I know that the members most 5 affected are members of the republican minority, will be further splintered and our voice in 6 7 Albany will be further weakened under this plan. In my view, this plan is blatantly unfair, 8 9 heavily weighted to benefit the majority members, and creates the possibility of political chaos in 10 11 our Central New York region. I urge you to 12 return to the drawing board and come forward with a plan that is fair to all New Yorkers, not just 13 14 for a chosen few. Thank you very much. 15 appreciate the time. 16 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. 17 [applause] Mark Hall? 18 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: 19 Good afternoon. 20 MR. MARK HALL, SUPERVISOR, TOWN OF FINE, 21 NY: Thank you. My name is Mark Hall. I'm 2.2 supervisor of the town of Fine, which is a rural 23 St. Lawrence County town, wholly within the

Adirondack Park, and I'm here, and I hope not to

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

echo a lot of what my friends some St. Lawrence,

Jefferson and Lewis County have said, so I

appreciate the opportunity to speak with you

5 today.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

My belief is that the districts should be about representing the constituents, not about protecting elected officials. Being a three hour drive away from constituents is not about representing constituents. Districts should have continuity and commonality--boy, haven't we heard that a few times today. Districts to me should go together like milk and cookies, peanut butter and jelly. The 118th that you've created for the assembly to me looks like oil and water -- it just doesn't fit together. Looking at this, it's over 200 miles long and has very few commonalities. The barriers in the district are many, but they include St. Lawrence County being divided into four assembly districts, three senate districts, and the commonality is destroyed.

A lot of people have talked about transportation today. There are no roads through the 118th assembly district. There's over 30

2.2

miles of pure wilderness between St. Lawrence,
Herkimer, and Hamilton Counties. Most of this
area is state-owned forest preserve where
motorized vehicles are prohibited. I've given
you a map on the second page there to show that
there's just no roads through there. It took me
about two and a half hours to drive to Syracuse
today--I would welcome that, rather than drive
from the top of that assembly district to the
bottom.

There are three different major media outlets that cover the district. That's not fair to the constituents or the elected official.

You've got Watertown, you've got Syracuse, and you've got Utica--they just don't have commonality.

The proposed districts are in and out of the Adirondack Park, one of the most protected areas of New York State. It adds confusion when boundaries intersect at many different points.

These boundaries cross numerous lines including the Adirondack Park agency, different DEC regions, different DOT regions, and different

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 regional economic council regions, just to name a 3 few. As a rural representative who always has 4 difficulty with representation, don't make it 5 worse for us. St. Lawrence County is huge--my friend, 6 7 the legislator, spoke about the four colleges in St. Lawrence County. My town hosts the fifth 8 9 college St. Lawrence County, the SUNY-ESF School, so even within the county, people make that 10 mistake of four colleges instead of five, so 11 12 that's about representation. Sorry, Kevin. 13 point is simple--keep it simple. Do the right 14 thing and create districts that represent we the 15 people. Thank you. 16 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you. ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: 17 Thank you. 18 [applause] 19 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Charles 20 Mansell? And I may be--Marcelle? Charles 21 Marcelle? Kimberly Hutton? Good afternoon. 2.2 MS. KIMBERLY HUTTON: Good afternoon, 23 ladies and gentlemen. I do represent the 118th 24 and I just wanted to give you a little insight of

2.2

what my little neighborhood is. For instance, just off-note, my speech was all ready but this morning I went for a three-mile jog, and my three-mile jog this morning--between 7:45 and 8:45, I walked a little and got off the beaten trail and did some nature walking, but I only had two vehicles pass me in that amount of time. One of the vehicles was the town employees; another was a lady who just drives around every day, so that's how rural we are.

I had been asked to attend the public hearing to address the issue of the proposed senate districts. St. Lawrence County is home to many rural townships--Rossie, New York of St. Lawrence has a population of 878 people, and they do care about the consideration given to our legislative representatives. The area newspapers informed us that there was a sense that the public didn't care that much about legislative redistricting. We beg to differ.

We do understand the meaning of challenge--it's more than hoping you can hail down the first taxi you see and not stuck in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

gridlock on your morning commute to the office, or hoping the line at Starbucks isn't too long. Challenge to us is hoping the 30 below temps the night before hasn't frozen the pipes that prevent that morning shower, or the foggy conditions that you have careful not to run into the back end of an Amish horse and cart--I'm not kidding about that, either--and hoping that you never really do have your vehicle break down in Timbuktu. our roads really do lead to Timbuktu--just ask our legislative representatives about our beautiful geographical layout. Everyone seems to want their piece of the pie, and that's a fair way to look at it, as long as the pie is enough to feed everybody.

The limitations outweigh the possibilities when looking at some lack of basic needs. Emergency services have difficulties reaching victims due to the conditions of the roads. Hospitals are more than an hour away from the accident scene. Cell phone usage is limited due to signal availability, because telephone companies don't turn profits on pay phones—that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012

my youth group meetings?

is a luxury from the past. And on a side note, when Mr. Wright was senator, Mr. Jim Wright helped me put in a pay phone for a youth group. I serve five dozen children at any time every Tuesday night in Little Rossie, so I needed a pay phone because I could not get cell phone service--you talk about representation, what new person is going to understand that unless they come to

In most areas, and these two facts alone -- the phone alone is the difference between life and death in the true North Country. most areas of our county, we have no idea about high speed internet services. Therefore, the opportunity for better businesses to offer a better quality of job does not exist. People say times are tough--we understand, just watching gas prices continue to increase every day. We ponder why this was not a factor while looking at this redistricting issue, since getting reimbursement for your mileage is not part of the budget.

Has anyone taken a road map out--and that will be the road map behind my speech, all I

did was take your redistricting and place it on top of a common map and you can see the geographical differences there. Has anyone taken out this road map and experienced how far it actually is from one town to the next? Imagine how difficult it is being unemployed with an increasing gas and food prices in our rural area. But you couldn't, unless you lived there. And I invite you for your next hearing, please come to my town barn--we'd be more than happy to let you see what mileage is. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

[applause]

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Ken Bush?

MR. KENNETH L. BUSH, SUPERVISOR, TOWN OF ELBRIDGE: Thank you. We're going on to two and a half hours you folks have been here, and we certainly appreciate your patience and understanding. I'm approaching this from a little different perspective. I'm the town supervisor for the town of Elbridge located in Western Onondaga County, and I'm here to tell you

2.2

I am very pleased with the plans that you have drawn. We go across county lines--I'm not afraid to go across county lines--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: If we had known that, we would have put you on first.

MR. BUSH: Thank you. In reference to the gentlemen that just spoke a little while ago, I am one of the people--I've been in town government for almost 20 years, and Cayuga County and some of the other counties that you've chosen to put us in are very fine counties, as well as part of Onondaga so that goes for both the assembly district and the senate district. We are not afraid of our neighbors in the surrounding counties.

I didn't realize that we'd also be talking about the congressional district. I thought it was very interesting when the gentlemen before me spoke about keeping the congressional district concise in Onondaga County and that would make up 65% of the district. Now, just think about that for a minute--what about that other 35% that has to round it out to 100?

2.2

How do you think those people might feel? Do they think they have a piece of the pie when it is so dominated by one, quote, metropolitan area? So from my perspective I would not be unhappy if Western Onondaga County were divided and we moved into the Finger Lakes area. That is a very rational decision for those of us in Western rural Onondaga County.

We're not all tied to the city of

Syracuse, in the metropolitan area, and we

deserve to have equal representation regardless

of boundary lines. County lines can be

artificial just as well as assembly lines and

state senate lines, so look at the people and

give them fair representation. Don't allow them

to be dominated by one political unit simply

because of population size. That is not fair to

some of those of us in rural areas. So thank you

for your time--I appreciate it.

MS. LEVINE: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much.

Page 9
Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012
SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Is there
anyone else here whose name I do not have who
would like to testify?
[background noise]
ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Come forward,
please. Give us your name for the record.
MR. JAMES ANNUTTO: My name is James
Annutto.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Last name,
James? I'm sorry.
MR. ANNUTTO: Annutto.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: A-N-A-T-O?
MR. ANNUTTO: A-N-N-U-T-T-O, and I'm
here representing Sylvia Rowan [phonetic],
Chairman of the Herkimer County Republican
Committee, Executive Committee and Herkimer
County Republican Committee.
Dear taskforce members: I have recently
been advised of the proposed changes in assembly
and senate districts covering Herkimer County. I
am quite mystified by the layout of the proposed
117th assembly district. I am sure many hours of

2.2

work went into this taskforce; however, I do not understand how this design will serve the constituents of the district. It takes approximately one and a half hours to travel from county seat in Herkimer to Old Forge in the town of Webb. Under this plan it appears it would now take an assembly representative well over four hours to visit the northernmost town of the district.

I am also concerned these changes will negatively impact the ability of Herkimer County to increase economic development. The Herkimer County Industrial Development Agency would now need to coordinate its efforts in three assembly districts instead of one. It seems odd to divide the county's population base into three separate districts. I am very concerned it will seriously undermine the ability to improve economic conditions. It is quite well known that the northern part of the state tends to lag during economic recovery. This change certainly will not enhance Herkimer County's ability to prosper.

The distance to travel to Onondaga

2.2

County for these hearings is at least one and a half hours to two hours. Why was Onondaga County chosen for a location and not the areas affected, Oneida or Herkimer County? The time of day is also difficult and inconvenient for those people who work—not much consideration was given to the residents of Herkimer County so their voices may hear concerning this very important issue.

This redistricting process could be interpreted as an unfair division for the residents of Herkimer County. Further, the plan seems to be especially unfair as it will divide the county into three parts. Each part would have to work with unfamiliar entities, perhaps at times one area working against another instead of working as a whole for the good of the county. I hope the committee will seriously review these proposed changes and keep Herkimer County whole. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much.

[applause]

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Is there

2.2

anyone else here who would like to come forward?

I'm going to go back over the list and read the names of individuals who did not come when I called their name, and as far as the location is concerned, there are a number of places in New York State. We've done 20 public hearings so far. This round is 9, and the federal judge gave us a June 26th primary, so we have a lot of time deadlines and we're sorry that we couldn't have come to Utica, or a number of places, Jamestown, Binghamton, etcetera.

Following names are people who are on the list and may well have been here earlier or will be sending in written testimony as we encourage across the state. These hearings are not the only way that one participates. One may send in maps and testimony to LATFOR--it all becomes part of the official record. Ray Meyer, Henry Balmer, Christopher Farber, Joe Moriano, Fred Beardsley--he did speak, I apologize, Fred. Sandra Parker, and it looks like Charles Marcelle.

There being no further testimony, we

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-14-2012 2 thank you for your participation and your good citizenry. The next public hearing will be in 3 Rochester scheduled at 11:30 tomorrow--it's on 4 5 the LATFOR website--and a final public hearing 6 will be in Buffalo the day after, I think that's 7 11 in the morning or 10:30 in the morning, again, on the LATFOR website. Senator, did you have any 8 9 comments before we close or any other member of 10 the taskforce? SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Our 21st hearing is 11 12 Any other members of the taskforce completed. wish to speak? Hearing none, we will see you 13 14 tomorrow at 1130 AM in Rochester. 15 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. 16 (The public hearing concluded at 4:53

17

## $C \ E \ R \ T \ I \ F \ I \ C \ A \ T \ E$

I, Anna Galassini, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 103, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Anna Galassini, Transcriptionist

February 21, 2012