NEW YORK STATE

LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

PUBLIC HEARING

CONGRESSIONAL AND STATE LEGISLATIVE REDISTRICTING

Wednesday, February 15, 2012

Monroe County Chambers, Room 406

39 West Main Street

Rochester, New York 14614

11:30 a.m.

TASK FORCE MEMBERS PRESENT:

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, Co-Chair

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, Co-Chair

SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS

DEBRA LEVINE

ROMAN HEDGES

WELQUIS LOPEZ

INDEX

MR. DAVID GANTT, NEW YORK STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER	Page 8
MR. THOMAS RICHARDS MAYOR, CITY OF ROCHESTER	12
MR. OVE OVERMYER	31
MR. TED O'BRIEN MONROE COUNTY LEGISLATOR	37
MR. ADAM MCFADDEN ROCHESTER CITY COUNCILMAN	44
MR. JACK ZIGENFUS SUPERVISOR TOWN OF COHOCTON	52
MS. SANDY PARKER PRESIDENT AND CEO ROCHESTER BUSINESS ALLIANCE	56
MR. WILLIAM GERLING	59
MR. KENT GARDNER PRESIDENT CENTER FOR GOVERNMENTAL RESEARCH	62
REVEREND RICHARD GILBERT COMMON CAUSE	65
MR. JOHN KEEVERT COMMON CAUSE	70
MS. CYNTHIA KALEH MONROE COUNTY LEGISLATOR	76
MS. ELAINE SPAULL ROCHESTER CITY COUNCILMEMBER	78
MR. ROBERT MAURER	82
MR. THOMAS GREGORY	86

Ubiqus/Nation-Wide Reporting & Convention Coverage 22 Cortlandt Street - Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

1199 SEIU

(The public hearing commenced at 11:33

3 a.m.)

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, CO-CHAIR

NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC

RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Good morning.

This, the 22nd hearing of the New York State

Legislative Task Force on Demographic Research

and Reapportionment will come to order.

I'm very pleased to be in Rochester today to take testimony from individuals who are interested and concerned with redistricting. The process that takes place once every ten years to reflect New York's census changes. That the hearings have been held in every corner of the state and we look forward to the testimony that will be proffered here today. I'm New York State Senator Mike Nozzolio and along with Assemblyman Jack McEneny are co-chairs of this task force. And without further ado, I want to introduce the Senate representatives on the task force. To my right is the ranking member of the task force, Senator Martin Dilan. Before me, in the center of the aisle ahead, is Welquis Ray Lopez, the

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 1 2 citizen representative appointed to the task To his right is Debra Levine, Executive 3 force. 4 Director of the task force. Assemblyman McEneny, 5 our second trip to Rochester, welcome-ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, CO-6 7 CHAIR, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: [interposing] 8 9 Yes. 10 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: -to the greater 11 Finger Lakes region. And that it's wonderful to 12 have you here today and we look forward to the 13 testimony from a variety of groups and 14 individuals. 15 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you, 16 Senator. I'm Jack McEneny, I represent six towns 17 and a lot of the city of Albany. To my immediate 18 left is the Republican counterpart for the 19 assembly, Bob Oaks, who is Yates County? 20 ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS: Wayne. 21 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Wayne County. 2.2 And directly in front of him, our citizen 23 representative on the assembly side is Dr. Roman

Hedges. And with that, I look forward to hearing

24

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 the testimony. I believe you know the schedule This is the second to last public hearing, 3 here. tomorrow we'll be in Buffalo. The lines will be 4 changed based in large part on some of the 5 testimony we receive at public hearings across 6 7 Testimony that's also sent into the state. LATFOR regularly from people who don't make it to 8 9 a public hearing and will be done during the President's Week break when the legislature is 10 11 not in session in anticipation of a vote when they return on the 27th at some point during that 12 13 So it's a tight schedule, the Congress has 14 not put together, but the maps have been out for 15 some time now for Senate and Assembly, you may 16 speak on any, anything anywhere in the state. 17 Senator, shall we begin?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you,

Assemblyman. Before we do so, I wish to advise those presenting testimony today that their testimony will be video recorded. The cameras in the center of the room as well as this one here to my left record the testimony. That testimony becomes part of the official record taken by

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 1 2 LATFOR. A copy of this testimony is placed on the website of LATFOR for all to review. Anyone 3 4 watching these proceedings on the LATFOR website 5 is encouraged to submit any of their written comments to LATFOR, should they not be here to 6 7 testify in person. Without further ado, I'd like to call 8 9 those who have signed up, the first witness, the first testifier is David Gantt. Assemblyman 10 11 David Gantt. 12 ASSEMBLY MEMBER DAVID GANTT: Thank you 13 very much, Senator Nozzolio, Senator Dilan, Mr. 14 McEneny, Assembly Oats. I come here to-15 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Is your mic on, 16 It is? Okay. Thank you. Assemblyman? 17 ASSEMBLY MEMBER GANTT: Mike probably turned it down so-don't want to hear what I have 18 19 to say. 20 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Pardon me? 21 ASSEMBLY MEMBER GANTT: As most of you 2.2 know, I've had a history in this process and have 23 ensued for district here locally in 1982. The 24 district I now represent, had no intention of

representing back in those days, but ran tohelped run the commission in 1992 and in 2002 had
a different issue and today I come before you
because we have some real problems.

When you first came here, I intentionally did not come to speak to you because I thought that you ought to have the right to follow through on your process that you were following.

I, as you probably know, was one of only a few members who refused to cave in to Congress or Mayor Koch, because I wouldn't sign that promise that he had us make. Because I sincerely believe that this is a political process, a process that the legislature and the governor ought to have control of. So I refused to sign that because it was not the right thing to do. However, when I looked at what had been proposed as Senate lines, and I've been representing this district now 30 years. When I saw what was proposed as lines that go all the way from Amherst to Rochester, that splits up the University of Rochester and pits it, in my

2 opinion, against the University of Buffalo. And they had the same senator. I wonder who that 3 senator will listen to? When I look at the lines 4 5 for, in general, with the minority population in the city, I see some senators losing 5%, I see 6 7 others losing 2%, and I see others, again, going all the way just outside Buffalo and they gain 8 9 9%. I think it's an unfair process and that's why I've come here today to at least register 10 11 I'm sure that you have the maps that are 12 I don't have to draw your attention to the here. 13 fact that this, the deeper red is where the 14 minority community lives at, both the Hispanic 15 and African American population in particular. 16 Who's put at a disadvantage? They have no 17 choice, given what the lines are now, unless you decide to change those. Because it should be 18 19 There are others in this room who believe fair. 20 that they ought to draw the lines. I, again, 21 remind you that I do not believe they ought to 22 draw those lines. Having had the opportunity 23 myself. But I do encourage you to take 24 additional looks at those lines and make the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

kinds of appropriate changes that ought to be made, both on behalf of the University of Rochester, our business community. You know, the University of Rochester is the highest employer in this area. Used to be Kodak. It's no longer It's now University of Rochester. And as Kodak. a research institution, you're going to pit them against, as I said, the University of Buffalo. It's unfair. What we're doing with those who live in the city is unfair. My mayor probably will come later on and talk about the kinds of things that are important to him, but my reason for being here are the University of Rochester, the City of Rochester and the minority community. I implore you to change those lines, get them back where they should be. If not, I, it's my intent to see what I can do starting back with my, something I started in 1982 and that's to watch the reapportionment process and to make sure it's a fair process and if not, I'll see you in court. Thank you and God bless you all.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you,

Assemblyman. Any questions of Assemblyman Gantt?

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

Thank you, Assemblyman.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GANTT: Uh huh.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Mayor Tom Richards.

MAY THOMAS RICHARDS, CITY OF ROCHESTER:
Thank you, Senator. Senators and Assemblymen and
members of the commission. First, let me
recognize that this is a tough job you've got and
I know you've spent an awful lot of time on it.
And I don't, in some sense, envy you, but I hope
that we can point some things out to you today
that maybe you didn't appreciate, but in the
difficulty that you've encountered here and that

you will reconsider some of the lines.

The particular areas that we're concerned about, or I'm concerned about on behalf of the city are the Senate lines. We believe that the six districts that are proposed for Monroe County disregard the community of interest that is our community. And the city. They dilute the minority representation, as Assemblyman Gantt has pointed out, and the City of Rochester's ability to influence those elections in its interests. Furthermore, they

2.2

water down the impact of the new regional economic development. Remember, we've just created here these regional economic areas and ours is the Finger Lakes. There's a separate area in Buffalo. And this, again, runs contrary to that.

I know you've spent some time on it and it's a difficult issue for you to deal with, but I hope you'll reconsider that in light of some of the things, as I said before, that are pointed out to you today.

State and federal law establish the ground rules that you're trying to obey here and they have a purpose and the purpose, of course, is to recognize this community of interest and the rights of all voters. And they have to be applied properly in order to achieve these goals. Understanding that it's not easy to do. The New York State Constitution addresses the redistricting criteria to preserve communities of interest, which I've addressed, and to present the manipulation of those districts and against that community of interest. Federal rules

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

necessitate compliance with equal representation

and the one person one vote. And we believe that

representing this district through six districts

does not accomplish that.

I want to emphasize the importance that the drafters placed on protecting that interest. That local interest. That's why we have districts. Otherwise we could simply have everyone elected at large. I'm afraid that the proposed districts, at least as they impact Monroe County and the City of Rochester, fail to meet those standards.

Monroe County has a population that's most recently been recorded of about 744,000 people. Assuming that there will be 63 Senate districts, each district will have about 307,000 people. Based on that standard, Monroe County would have about two-two and a half districts representing it and not portions of six districts, only one of which is wholly within the county. As drawn, the Rochester area senator districts violate the requirement that no county should have four or more senators unless it shall

1

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

have a full ratio for each senator. And that's not achieved here and couldn't be achieved here. The proposed six district formula violates that principle. And we given you a testimony, we've provided maps and provided illustrations of it for you to look at when you get a chance.

The requirement that the districts are as compact as possible and are clearly violated by Districts 59 and 61. Some of which were referred to by Assemblyman Grantt. already long, narrow districts and now they've been extended up into the further north, for the first time into Monroe County to include the town of Wheatland and Henrietta. District 61 is extended eastward into Monroe County to include the towns of Riga and a portion of the city of Rochester. In addition, District 55, which was relatively impact and has now been extended to include eastern and southern towns of Monroe County and extended into the southernmost portion of Ontario county. The proposed District 56 violates the spirit of the compactness requirement. The district is composed primarily

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of the northeastern towns of Monroe County and the northwestern section of the city of Rochester and would be connected to the southeastern portion through a sliver of land, in some cases, only a block wide.

Senate 61 is being redrawn to include a substantial portion of the 19th Ward of Rochester. The 19th Ward of Rochester is a recovering, predominantly minority neighborhood. It's home to the city's largest employer - the University of Rochester. And it is a principle economic driver for our community. It is one of the most fundamental institutions that this city and this community has. And, by the way, it's very fundamental to that neighborhood as well. Because it's located there. The section of the city, the two towns of Monroe County, Riga and Chili, will now be added to that district that begins in Amherst and includes all of Genesee County. The senator who represents it currently is a gentleman who lives in Amherst, whose life has been spent there and I'm sure may be doing a great job of representing Amherst and that part

of the state, but he's not in a position to represent our community of interest here.

Particularly our most prominent employer and one of our most prominent intuitions and a sliver of

a minority community, which is diluted by its

7 involvement in this district.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And this, for us, is not a political As long as I can remember, our city has issue. been represented by Republican senators. set of districts that we were living with and accept. So it isn't that. It's a matter of representing this community of interest, be it Republican or Democrat or whoever it is, that they're in a position to represent that community. Of particular concern are the interests of that 19th Ward that I talked about. Which is now experiencing a revitalization, and the city, along with the U of R, has invested considerable assets and time and effort in that neighborhood to bring it back. And it's the interest of that community which will certainly be weakened that's made part of a district that will it will be a very small representation of.

2.2

So, the proposed District 61, which starts with the University of Buffalo and, includes the University of Buffalo and Eerie Community College and that it adds the private University of Rochester, we believe, is not an acceptable way in which to represent our community of interest. Each of these universities has major initiatives underway, each of them requires representation and has separate interests and it is—the heavily population concentration will be in the western part of that district. And that's just inevitable in terms of where its interest will lie.

As the largest employer, the entity responsible for generating much of Rochester's new business, it's imperative that the University of Rochester be represented by a senator with an understanding of our critical interests and the university's critical interest and we do not believe someone who is in Buffalo, however well intended they may be, is in a position to do that. That's why, in fact, we have districts and I have these communities of interest.

24

1

Senate District 59 is being redone to add some of the Monroe County towns of Wheatland and Henrietta for the first time. The current district stretches all the way to the towns of Eerie and Wyoming, Livingston and Ontario Countries. And similar to the situation with the 61st District, the incumbent center has strong gives, as you would expect, in western New York and includes a long-term career as part of an Eerie County sheriff and others. That's what you would expect from a district that's prominently dominated by that. Unfortunately, when the district has been redrawn here, it reaches up into Henrietta and takes Rochester's second most prominent educational institution - RIT. sure if you're RIT, you might say you're the first. But it's 18,000 students now at RIT. Ιt is part of what we're basing our economic future on in this community. It generates significant activity not only as a large institution, but as a technical institution that is spinning off other economic activities that are prominent to our community. So now we have both of the most

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

prominent educational institutions in this community, both of whom are major parts of our hope for economic development represented by someone who's in the western district of New York. And we just think that that misses this community of interest that's so important to us.

Let me skip over here to say I mentioned the Rochester's 19th Ward, which was talked about before. And this is significant because the minority population in the 19th Ward has struggled for a long time and is now making a comeback. Partly of what's going on at the U of R, but partly because of a significant investment of time and effort by the state and the city in making that a successful district. It deserves to be in a district that is represented adequately. The constituency in District 56 minority will be reduced down from 24 to 18%. It's a substantially watering down of that minority representation. And the ability to influence state policy.

So the new districts do not consider, and, we believe, run contrary to the recognized

24

development program that's been started by the state of New York. We just went through a process where the state of New York was divided into regions, and you're all familiar with it. We are in the Finger Lakes region. Buffalo is in a separate region, the western district. One of the ways economic development works in this state now, under Governor Cuomo, is these districts compete, they're expected to compete for state aid. They're expected to develop their own plans and expected to compete. And so they are the community of interest that's been designed and, in fact, created by the State of New York. the way the Department of Labor looks at it, it's the way the economic development people in the state, and, quite frankly, it's the way we look It is, in fact, the right and a natural division. And so with respect to those things, we think these districts that run all the way to Buffalo run contrary to it. Some of that existed But it did not exist in the way that had before. significant impact now where both the U of R and RIT are carved out of the Finger Lakes District.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

And that, I think, is the major impact that that's had.

Rochester is an important community in this state. I know you know that and I know you appreciate it. And we're working hard, like everybody in upstate New York to make a comeback And we can't afford, quite frankly, to have our community of interest placed at risk and we're concerned that the Senate districts that have been designed here do, in fact, do that. can't expect our community to be adequately represented by people who have other interests and who, in fact, may in fact have to respond to those interests. We have urban minority neighborhoods. Urban minority neighborhoods who deserve and require representation that has an understanding of their unique issues. An understanding of what it's like to live and work and try to build those urban minority communities. And we have major educational institutions that are critical to our long-term success here. And we believe that those principles were not recognized in the Senate

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	districts that are designed before you.
3	Again, I appreciate your work, I
4	understand how difficult it can be and I hope
5	that you'll consider these statements that will
6	be made here and will be made by others as you
7	reconsider the lines.
8	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Mr. Mayor, before you
9	sit down, I have a few questions.
10	MAYOR RICHARDS: Yes sir.
11	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: First of all, thank
12	you for your advocacy on behalf of the city you
13	represent.
14	Mr. Mayor, how many Senate districts are
15	there now in the city of Rochester? Representing
16	the city of Rochester?
17	MAYOR RICHARDS: We have three.
18	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And how many do you
19	understand to be part of this new plan?
20	MAYOR RICHARDS: Six.
21	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well, I said the city
22	of Rochester.
23	MAYOR RICHARDS: Oh no, the city of
24	Rochester, that doesn't affect all of us, that's

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 correct.

2.2

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So there are three senators representing the city of Rochester now.

MAYOR RICHARDS: Right.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And under this plan there are three senators proposed to represent the city of Rochester.

MAYOR RICHARDS: That is correct. That is correct.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Is—I guess I have two hats here as co-chair of this task force as well as a representative of Monroe County, and I'm very proud to have represented the town of Webster for the last 20 years in the Senate. And that representation has included my own advocacy on behalf of those institutions that you are championing today, the University of Rochester and the Rochester Institute of Technology. I guess somebody could say, "Well, I went to Cornell University and my district goes to the doorstep of Cornell, therefore I couldn't be a representative of the University of Rochester's interests or the interests of RIT." And I guess

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 I also ask, the last I looked, both RIT and U of R were private institutions. Yeah, I know well 3 4 that we, through our efforts of our primarily 5 Senate delegation, have delivered tens of millions of dollars to both of those institutions 6 7 over the last ten years. And I respect and appreciate and applaud what's being done at both 8 9 of those schools. But my, part of my district that is at Cornell's doorstep does not impede me 10 11 in one iota to be an advocate on behalf of the 12 University of Rochester or the Rochester Institute of Technology. 13 14 Let me ask you this question, Mr. Mayor. 15 Do you think Louise Slaughter [phonetic] has been 16 an effective congresswoman? 17 MAYOR RICHARDS: Yes, I do. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Does not Louise 18 19 Slaughter represent Buffalo and Rochester? 20 MAYOR RICHARDS: Yes, she does. And I 21 think she'd be an even more effective 2.2 representative if she represented Rochester

ineffective representative-

So you're saying that she has been an

23

24

alone.

Page 26 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 1 2 MAYOR RICHARDS: [interposing] No. 3 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: -representing 4 Buffalo. You know, and I appreciate the applause 5 and I think that's a great line.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MAYOR RICHARDS: [interposing] Let me be clear about what I-

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [interposing] But the fact that you put in motion an allegation that basically says that a representative, not-I quess you're also presupposing that the representative is going to live in Amherst or in one area of-why not Henrietta or Chili or, you're presuming the fact that the representative may be from an area that you don't know now or ten years from now where that representative is going to I guess the question is Congresswoman live. Slaughter may have been a better representative if she only represented Rochester. But does that make her a bad representative or an effective representative because she has two western New York cities in her district?

MAYOR RICHARDS: You know, I, let me be clear here. I'm not here to criticize anybody's

24

1

level of representation or any individual. are people who live in New York City who have advocated for our district. That's not my point. The reason we're going through this exercise though, and the reason we're creating these districts is to try to do as much as we can to collect that community of interest. That doesn't mean that at some point in time someone couldn't rise above that. Or that there would be common goals that would penetrate all across the state of New York, of course there would be. And we appreciate the assistance you brought to that. But that's not the question before us. The question is not whether someone could overcome The question is are we designing these districts in a way that they most closely represent that community of interest and make that connection as direct as we can make. will be exceptions, I agree with that, because we're not in a perfect world here and we have some things we have to adjust. But to the extent we can do it, we should create these districts that have this clear community of interest so

2.2

that we don't create obstacles for people to overcome. But I'm not here to criticize anybody who's done anything in the past and if we have to sit still for these districts, I hope, you know, we'll work like the dickens to make it work.

But, why we're here is to try to do as good a job as we can. Not that some others haven't overcome it. And that's the basis on which we've made these objections.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And respectfully,
Mayor, that's understandable. You're not the
first, as a matter of fact, you're probably the
21st in the hearings that we've had, everybody
wants their county to be within one district.
The population requirements of one person one
vote makes that a very, very difficult situation.
While there may be a compact district in Monroe
County, the districts around Monroe County will
be so large and so, with so much territory—my
territory, the territory I represent in the
Senate is almost 3,000 square miles. It's larger
than many other jurisdictions, in large part
because it is a rural based district. Which is

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

part of the problem. If you do not place regional representatives in place, that those districts become geographically extremely difficult to represent for what may be an hour drive from Amherst to downtown Rochester if the district did not have any of the population, from the population centers, would be a two and a half hour drive or longer. It takes a good two hours to get from one end of my district to the other And that's what unfortunately has to be now. balanced along with your interests. And, again, you're not the first elected official to ask for a unified county and I respect, and I'm sure members of the panel respect your advocacy on behalf of the city you represent..

MAYOR RICHARDS: No, I appreciate that.

And I hope that you understand also that it's not just a matter of having a unified county. We understand that there are going to be more than one Senate district here. We understand, that's been true for all the time that I know. But I do think we need to think about the consequences of the way in which it's divided right now on these

1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

2

very significant institutions that are a part of

3

It isn't just a matter of distance. It us.

4

of our most prominent institutions are cared out

isn't just a matter of one representative.

6

5

and our minority population is divided up.

7

that's the basis on which I'm doing it. I

8

understand that we'll have more than one

9

representative here and you can't just create a

10

Monroe County district.

11

12

size of the delegation, Mr. Mayor, I know we'll

13

be, I certainly will be fighting hard to advocate

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well, whatever the

14

for the interests of the city of Rochester and

15

all of Monroe County. There is something to be

16

said for having one representative.

17

something to be said for having more than one

19

18

the more senators and assemblymen you can have

representative because it is a numbers game and

20

pulling the oar to advocate on behalf of a region

21

also is a strength. So I appreciate your taking the time and energy and effort to present this

2.2 23

testimony on the record and, again, thank you for

24

your advocacy.

MAYOR RICHARDS: Thank you. We look forward to your help.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Ove Overmyer.

MR. OVE OVERMYER: Thank you for allowing me to speak today. Just before we begin, in the packet I provided for you are a few supporting documents. They denote my neighborhood, the assembly district I live in, a city map of Rochester, and some demographic data.

Again, my name is Ove Overmyer, I'm employed as a librarian with the City of Rochester. In the event of full disclosure, I want to let you know that I'm an officer of the Civil Service Employees Association. It's New York's largest employee union. I'm president of the City of Rochester Library Workers, Monroe County Local 828, Unit 7420. Among many other responsibilities, I'm also a writer and photographer for the Empty Closet, New York's oldest continually published LGBT newspaper, with a print subscription of over 10,000 readers. I'm also a caregiver of three teenage boys who go to the Rochester City School district. But I'm not

here today to talk to you about a union agenda, media concerns or parental responsibilities. I'm here representing myself as a constituent who cares deeply about his family, his community and as a person who cares about advancing policies that allow citizens to fully participate in the democratic process.

I live in a small residential street called Canfield Place in the city. It's a very quiet one way dead end street near the east end in Monroe Avenue areas. The houses on my street were built at the turn of century, 20th Century, almost all of them are owner occupied homes. I have been residing there for six years.

Previously I rented an apartment on East Main Street near the Auditorium Theater. I moved to Rochester in 1996, after living 20 years on Long Island in New York City.

When I moved here, I was looking for a neighborhood that was culturally rich and diverse. As a laborer and LGBT activist, I was also looking for volunteer opportunities and found my way to the east side of the city

24

donating many hours at the Gay Alliance at the Genesee Valley which is now located at the Auditorium Theater in the neighborhood of the As you may know, this part of Rochester, the east, southeast and southwest parts of the city is a contiguous community of interest if there ever was one. The new assembly district maps for the city of Rochester, specifically the newly redesigned 137 and 138 represent the ultimate partisan gerrymandered districts people just love to hate for obvious reasons for all who live here. An article written February 7th, 2012 in the Wall Street Journal, the Senate Republican majority was quoted as saying, "The proposed lines comply with all voting rights X and protects the voices of minority voters." I would argue when it comes to the Senate assembly districts covering the Rochester area, nothing could be further from the truth. Presently I reside in the 131 District and we presently have a contained community of interest. district maps have now been butchered into objectionable puzzle pieces of some unknown value

23

24

and quantity. The city of Rochester is also divided into three Senate districts, which makes I would like to submit for the even less sense. record, according to the U.S. Census, the city of Rochester has the fourth highest self-identified gay households in New York State. The 14607 area zip code, basically the contiguous neighborhoods I'm referring to, is the sixth gayest zip code in New York State, including all five boroughs of New York and Yonkers. If communities of interest are drawn to reflect social, cultural, racial, ethnic and economic interests common to the population of that area, then we must reconsider these lines that we have proposed for the assembly districts. If you want to help build our city, help our small businesses grow, please keep in mind when you review your plan that our community of interest is a local population that already has common social economic interests that benefit from the unified political representation provided by inclusion with one single political district and that district now happens to be the I know many area residents who identify as 131.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

gay and lesbian chose to buy homes in those districts because of its rich diversity and because they'd be close to populated gay businesses.

I submit to you that the proposed 137 and 138 deeply divide our communities right now with similar identity, racial and economic characteristics. To be even more direct, when I saw these first district, the proposed district lines, I was, I felt like the rug was pulled out from underneath me. You took me and my neighbors of Canfield Place, Buena Vista Place, Gardner Street, Lafayette Park and, in essence, you might as well put us halfway around the world. We have very little in common with downtown and northeast If you take a look at the city of residents. Rochester road map, you're going to see this gargantuan barrier called the interloop. It is a physical barrier that separates these neighborhoods and it just doesn't make sense. Ιf I want to go to the store, I can't cross the interloop, I go into the East District in order to get my shopping done.

I implore you to keep my neighborhood intact. Presently, again, I reside in the 131 and this proposal will put me in the 138. Please do not divide my community. It makes more sense to assemble the northwest part of the city together and keep the south, southeast, south wedge part of the city together.

Okay, I'll finish up. If we value traditional redistricting factors, like identity, compactness and respect for county, city, town, village and school districts, lines wherever possible, well, then we really must consider redrawing these lines.

In conclusion, I see redistricting in

New York as a system that has prioritized

incumbency and partisan interest over democratic

representation. This process needs to be about

people and not politics. To help restore faith

in our state government, we need to reform the

system. By considering my suggestions and

recommendations, I would argue that this process

would take a giant leap forward towards greater

dependence, transparency and a commitment to fair

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 representation and equality. Thanks for your 3 time today, I really appreciate it. 4 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Likewise. Thank you 5 for your testimony, any questions? Thank you. 6 MR. OVERMYER: Thank you. 7 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Ted O'Brien. MR. TED O'BRIEN, MONROE COUNTY 8 9 LEGISLATOR: Thank you, Senator. My name is Ted O'Brien, I'm a Monroe 10 11 County Legislator from the town of Irondequoit, 12 here in Monroe County. And I'm here today to 13 oppose, as strongly as I can, the legislative 14 district reapportionment lines that have been 15 prepared and proposed. Particularly the lines 16 proposed for the New York State Senate districts. 17 The proposed new senate lines divide the 18 city of Rochester into three separate Senate 19 districts and divide Monroe County into six 20 separate Senate districts. This splintering of 21 our community into small pieces constitutes a 22 developing tragedy for our community. A tragedy

that we cannot allow to stand. We have already

seen, and this community is already at its end

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

with respect to the lack of influence we have in Albany. The inequities in state aid to the city of Rochester, for example, is now widely understood. It is outrageous that state aid to the city of Rochester, roughly \$90 million, is almost doubled by the state aid doled out to the city of Buffalo. The state aid equal to \$226 a person in Rochester grows to \$428 for every person in Buffalo. Even Syracuse, with 65,000 fewer people than Rochester, get \$66 more in state aid for each and every person that lives in Syracuse. And unlike Buffalo and Syracuse, Rochester did not win the governor's Economic Development Council competition. Rochester continually lags behind other areas of the state with respect to state funding for infrastructure and transportation dollars. We are not getting our fair share. Meanwhile, as reported in the New York Times, less than 5% of the graduates of the Rochester City School District are either college or career ready.

We have large populations of people living in poverty. We have significant

1 I

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

difficulties to deal with, yet the interest of our city and our county are being splintered into even smaller pieces. We need strong advocates for our community, but to a larger degree than ever before, our Senate representatives will not even be from our community.

By all accounts, the University of Rochester becomes a critical component to our success as a community. It's the area's largest employer. Advocating for our community means, in part, advocating for the University of Rochester. Under the new lines, a senator that would represent the U of R lives in Amherst. Amherst, home to one of the campuses of the University of Buffalo, the medical school is in Amherst. don't know, Senator Ranzenhofer, I'm sure he is an excellent senator, but we are a time and a place where we need representatives devoted to advocating for Rochester at the cost of nothing This plan continues to diminish our influence as a community.

Rochester Institute of Technology.

Another amazing institution of higher learning

and integral to our success as a community.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Under this plan, who will represent RIT? Senator Patrick Gallivan. Again, he may be a great senator, I don't know, but Senator Gallivan lives in Alma, New York. Here's what the town of Alma says about itself on its website - "Alma sits squarely in the center of Eerie County and can safely call itself the heart of Eerie County." Is this what we need for representation of RIT in Monroe County? Again, we continue to have diminished influence as a community and it has to be reversed. Of the six senators who would have districts entering Monroe County under the proposed redistricting plan, four would live outside of our county and also be on the counties that touch Monroe County.

Senator Nozzolio, you're an accomplished person and I'm not saying that because you're sitting right there, but because it's in my prepared remarks, but you live in the town of Fayette in Seneca. And Senator Maziarz lives in Newfane in Niagara County.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Excuse me, Ted. It's

1	Page 4. Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	not Fayette. It's Fayette.
3	MR. O'BRIEN: I should know that as a
4	Syracuse University graduate.
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: You should know that.
6	MR. O'BRIEN: That's right.
7	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I guess-
8	MR. O'BRIEN: [interposing] It's just a
9	little far away from my—
10	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: -someone from Monroe
11	County, I guess born and raised there and lived
12	there and represented, wouldn't know that, would
13	you, Ted.
14	MR. O'BRIEN: That's right. I stand
15	corrected. But it kind of illustrates my point,
16	I wish I knew more about Fayette.
17	Senator Maziarz lives in Newfane in
18	Niagara County. Both, I understand, are good,
19	capable men, but a quick review of the website is
20	enlightening. Senator Maziarz mentions an effort
21	to promote the Niagara Falls International
22	Airport. Support for Niagara Falls State Park.
23	Addresses the positive impact that the regional
24	economic development initiatives will have in the

24

Niagara region. But I could not find a reference to Monroe County initiatives on his site. same kind of statement can be said of your website, Senator Nozzolio, announcing the Butler Prison, I'm not sure where that is, will stay open and work on the Cayuga Indian Nations' land claim issues. But I did not see anything about the issues we face in our community specifically. There's a lot there that applies to New Yorkers across the state and I give you credit for the good work you do. And I do not say this as any kind of criticism of either you or Senator Maziarz, I believe you both to be men of great accomplishments. I would say that having to serve in districts with different communities of interest are required is unfair to the senators as well as to the communities they serve. It is simply not fair to expect senators to advocate as passionately for communities outside the community where they live with the same intensity, passion and focus as they do for the community where they live, worship and are involved in local service organizations and the

2.2

like. Four senators representing parts of Monroe County would be part of the fabric of communities located elsewhere.

Now I understand the desire of the Republican party to preserve its majority of the New York State Senate and everyone understands that. If the interests of the political party are of paramount concern, the way you would do that is to split the populations that might favor a Democratic senator. This is what the proposed plan does. But at what point do we truly put the people of our community ahead of the political interest of the majority political party? The New York State Constitution provides that Senate districts shall be in as compact form as is practical.

MS. DEBRA LEVINE, CO-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,

NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC

RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Mr. O'Brien,

please summarize.

MR. O'BRIEN: I will summarize right now. That is a recognition that communities of interest should be respected. Our community

should no longer be asked to suffer the consequences of having the political interests trump the interest of the people of our community. This plan is a tragedy for our community in my view. Go back and redraw the plan so that finally the interests of the people of Monroe County are the priority. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Ted. Any questions? Thank you very much. Adam McFadden.

MR. ADAM MCFADDEN, ROCHESTER CITY

COUNCILMAN: Good afternoon. I'm City Council

member Adam McFadden. I represent the South

District of the city of Rochester. The South

District just happens to be the district that's

drawn into the proposed 61st District. That's why

I'm here today.

As you know, every ten years the boundary lines are redrawn for legislative districts. This is a critical time for the city of Rochester, because it determines our future representation for the next ten years. On behalf of my district in the city of Rochester and the Rochester black political caucus, this speech is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

written in opposition to the proposed New York State redistricting plan of the $55^{\rm th}$, $56^{\rm th}$, $59^{\rm th}$ and $61^{\rm st}$ Senate districts.

It is clear to our community that the New York State Legislative Task Force on Demographic Research and Reapportionment is attempting to create districts in western New York that are heavily Republican and majority The city of Rochester is a majority Caucasian. minority city according to the 2010 census. Currently, African Americans and Latinos make up 57% of the city's population. Furthermore, of the 98,270 registered voters, Democrats are 63% of the registered voters in our city. The 2010 census reflects that Rochester should have a Senate seat. A minority candidate could win. None of the three proposed districts come close to reflecting our population, which calls into question the fairness and integrity of the The proposed lines are similar to the process. gerrymandering that has been occurring in the south. Predominantly black southern communities, for some time now, have been stretched and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

divided into rural white communities to dilute and disenfranchise the black vote. We believe New York to be better than those clearly overt racist tactics that have been carried out by our southern states. Also, the 61st Senate District, as it is proposed, stretches 70 miles away from Amherst New York into the southern portion of the city of Rochester, which happens to be my This portion of our city is heavily district. democratic and majority minority as well. portion of the city-I'm sorry. It is a deliberate attempt by LATFOR to break up our voting power and city influence in Albany. Rochester must not be sacrificed for Republican incumbency. This plan, once again, divides the city of Rochester, again, denying the community proper representation.

This is a major civil rights issue for our community. The redistricting process has disenfranchised members of our community. This map has proven that the entire process is compromised and no compromise plan in my mind is credible. The courts must craw the lines. The

2.2

courts are the only way the community can be protected because the courts have a history in taking part and protecting the voter rights of people.

In Eerie County a district has been customly designed for Senator Mark Merstani, [phonetic] a freshman Republican, elected during the 2010 upset in a Democratic stronghold. This district removes the African American strongholds out of Niagara Falls diluting the voter power of that community.

Also, this plan was developed to reverse the 2010 State Prisoner Reallocation Law. By creating a new district in the capital region, the plan effectively minimizes the impact of prisoner population lost upstate which violates the concept of one person one vote downstate. The Prisoner Reallocation Law was enacted as a major civil and voter rights measure. Instead, LATFOR saw itself losing population in terms of the GOP where they needed prisoners as fillers to meet constitutional requirements. To make up the loss, they created a new district where it

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 doesn't belong. 2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Any increase above 62 Senate districts violated the New York State Constitution plain and simple. A constitutional formula sets the number. Not a political agenda or the Senate Republicans. The increase to 62 Senate districts represents a change in a previously announced redistricting practice and procedure that was never submitted to the Justice Department for approval. We expect the Governor to carry out his pledge to veto any plan that comes out of a broken process and maintain the commitment that he has made to our community. We stand united with Governor Cuomo in calling for independent Thank you. process.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Councilman McFadden, thank you very much. I have two questions.

> COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: Uh huh.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: The first is the question that you raised and would you-I'm confused. I don't know if I heard you correctly regarding the prison allocation count.

> COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: [interposing]

1	Page 4 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	Reallocation.
3	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Allocation count.
4	Did you say that the task force violated that
5	law?
6	COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: Well, it is my
7	belief. I didn't say they violated that.
8	Because of that—
9	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [interposing] What
10	did you say?
11	COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: Because of that
12	law that we felt that another district had been
13	drawn, 63 districts to account for the numbers of
14	votes that the Republicans who represent upstate
15	cities that have prisons in them, that they draw
16	another district to account for that.
17	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So you have nothing
18	specific to say regarding whether or not the task
19	force complied with the administration of the law
20	and the counting and that sort of thing.
21	COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: No.
22	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So you have no
23	complaint about that portion of the task force's
24	role.

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: No.
3	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Good. Thank you.
4	The second question I had was regarding the
5	Justice Department Review. Could you repeat what
6	you indicated that something was never sent to
7	the Justice Department?
8	COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: Yeah. That the-
9	going to an additional Senate district was never
10	communicated with the Justice Department. Adding
11	an additional Senate district.
12	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I assure you that
13	whatever plans are put forward from the
14	legislature require a preapproval by the United
15	States Department of Justice.
16	COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: Has it been sent?
17	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It hasn't been
18	approved yet.
19	COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: [interposing] Or
20	proposed.
21	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It hasn't been
22	approved yet. It won't-nothing said-
23	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [interposing]
24	They won't look at it until it's signed the

1	Page 51 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	Governor.
3	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So that comment about
4	it was not sent, it's not right—
5	COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: [interposing] So
6	put the cart before the horse.
7	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It's not right to be
8	sent. It's only sent once it becomes law of the
9	state.
10	COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: But my more
11	specific concern is that earlier you asked the
12	Mayor about how many districts are now currently
13	impacting the city, it's three. But the one, the
14	56, has the majority population of minorities.
15	Under this proposed plan, you divide those
16	districts up into three. So where most of our
17	minorities live, instead of being in that one
18	district, they're going to be in three districts.
19	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.
20	COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: So that's what I'm
21	trying to convey here. That's an issue for me.
22	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Appreciate your
23	testimony. Senator Dilan.
24	SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN, NYS LEGISLATIVE

2.2

TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND

REAPPORTIONMENT: I would just like to agree with
you, that I believe that just the mere change in
the proposed number of Senate districts should
have been cleared by the Justice Department. We
held 14 hearings before these lines were released
and the assumption during the course of those 14
hearings were that we were working on the basis
of 62 seats. That's what I believed. That's
what the public believed. And to go from 62 to
63 should have been cleared with the Department
of Justice first. So I agree with you.

COUNCILMAN MCFADDEN: Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any other questions of Mr. McFadden. Thank you Councilman. Jack Zigenfus. I know I'm mispronouncing that name.

MR. JACK ZIGENFUS, SUPERVISOR, TOWN OF COHOCTON: Good afternoon. I am the town supervisor in the town of Cohocton. Probably many of the people behind me have never heard of that town. I'm sure you have. It's home to one of the largest wind farms in the southern tier. And other than wind, we have a lot of wind, we

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 also have a lot of large farms. That's all we

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

have.

FEMALE VOICE: Can I interrupt - - .

MR. ZIGENFUS: Yes. Jack Zigenfus. Supervisor, Town of Cohocton. We are the northern most county-or, I'm sorry, town in Steuben County. And I appreciate your comment that everybody would like to stay whole. Steuben County, obviously very rural, very southern tierish and don't really have a whole lot of connections to the northern part of Monroe County. However, I am certainly going to request that you consider keeping Steuben County whole as a 132nd Assembly District. And the towns within Steuben borders share common interests and often share services and resources. Dividing Steuben towns between assembly districts would reduce the effectiveness of representing itself to the state and local representatives. It would actually go from whole to three different districts in the I'm not here to talk about some of the assembly. other issues that have already been raised, you've already heard them. But what you may not

2 understand, and it was hard for me to understand, is that we have communication problem. For 3 4 instance, we're so rural that unless you happen 5 to live within say the village of Cohocton or one of the two hamlets, the only communication you 6 7 have the ability to use, as far as television, is dish or, what's the other big one? DirecTV. FCC 8 9 requirements do not allow for my many rural people, that's the only way they get TV, to 10 11 receive any Rochester news stations. would make it very difficult because all of that 12 13 news comes out of the southern tier. Either it 14 comes out of Elmira or it comes out of 15 Binghamton. So, for instance, I see YNN here. 16 When I watch YNN, I see representatives, 17 especially on like Capital Tonight, from the southern tier. I have no news of what's going on 18 19 in this part of the assembly district or senates 20 up this way. So that creates a problem in itself 21 is more of like how would people, it would be 2.2 difficult for people to get a lot of their news because we're basically totally southern tier 23

24

news.

And I think it's an important thing to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

think about. And the other one is that even our news print, the vast majority of the people in my town and the town next to me, Wayland, which is also going to be moved receives, there's news print from either the - - Leader or the Hornell Evening Tribute. As a matter of fact, our official newspaper. Some people sparingly get the Democratic and Chronicle. But my point to the committee is that I think it's important to know that people are going to have to be able to have their representative be there in the news. And where I live, I wouldn't know the first, as a matter of fact, I don't even know who the representative up here. Because I deal with either Senator O'Mara, Assemblyman - - and people like that, because they're in our local news. And I, and people around me, are able to easily understand and hear what's going on throughout that area.

So my request to you is to consider—
communication is the utmost importance of
anything. If there's no communication, it's very
difficult for constituents to know what's going

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 1 2 And that's all I had to say. on. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. 3 4 Any questions? Hearing none, thank you. 5 Sandy Parker. Sandy, we had you listed Parker. yesterday for Syracuse in 6 7 MS. SANDY PARKER, PRESIDENT AND CEO, ROCHESTER BUSINESS ALLIANCE: [interposing] I 8 9 heard that. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: -error. 10 So if we 11 announced you and made you look like a no show, 12 we apologize. 13 MS. PARKER: Okay. Thank you. I didn't 14 know about it actually. Assemblyman Oaks gave me 15 that information today. Senator Nozzolio, 16 Assemblyman McEneny and members of the Task 17 Force, I'm Sandy Parker, President and CEO of the Rochester Business Alliance. The regional 18 Chamber of Commerce for the nine county greater 19 20 Rochester region. Let me start by thanking you 21 on behalf of the over 2,000 members of the

Rochester Business Alliance for hosting this

topic that is vitally important to our

hearing and for allowing me to address you on a

2.2

23

24

2.2

community's future. In my role at the RBA I am often called upon to serve as an advocate for our region on issues that affect not just businesses, but the entire community. The redrawing of congressional and state legislative district lines is one of those issues and I am greatly concerned that if the proposed redistricting map is approved, it will have a negative impact on greater Rochester.

Believe me, none of this is personal.

The senators who would be called upon to represent greater Rochester in this redistricting proposal are fine public servants who I am sure would do their best to represent the citizens of their districts. Rather, it is a concern about further diluting the Rochester region's voice in Albany. Let's face the facts - upstate New York is a diverse region demographically, geographically and economically. Each community has its own strengths as well as issues and concerns that are unique and often times in conflict with needs elsewhere in the state. The plan proposes increasing the number of state

24

senators representing Rochester from the current I feel very strongly that this four to six. increase will make it very difficult, if not impossible, for our community to speak with a unified voice. Which in this current political environment is essential for success. particular risk is the city of Rochester, which would be represented by three different senators. Greatly increasing the likelihood that there will be conflicting opinions on one matter or another. Such a situation would be difficult to navigate at best. What is of significant concern to me and the business community is that this third senator would be called upon to represent our region's largest employer - the University of Rochester. Further complicating this process is the fact that this senator is not from Rochester or anywhere in the Finger Lakes, but from the Buffalo area. A district that includes the University of Buffalo. The Rochester Institute of Technology would find itself in a similar position, being represented by a senator from greater Buffalo. How is a senator, based in

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 Buffalo, with a majority of his or her constituents in Eerie County and western New 3 4 York, supposed to vote on an issue that is 5 supported by the Rochester region, but potentially opposed by greater Buffalo? For all 6 7 these reasons, I ask that this committee go back to the drawing board and come forward with a plan 8 9 that avoids these potential conflicts and allows the citizens of greater Rochester to keep the 10 11 community focused voice it needs and deserves. 12 Thank you very much. 13 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. 14 William Gerling. 15 MR. WILLIAM GERLING: My name is William 16 Gerling. I live at 42 Henrietta Street, city of 17 Rochester, also known as Swillburg, [phonetic] the garden spot of the city of Rochester. 18 19 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Excuse me, Mr. 20 Gerling, would you be so kind as to get closer to 21 the microphone, so everyone can hear you? 2.2 MR. GERLING: All right. I have no written testimony. I did submit written 23 24 testimony the last time you were in the city.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I've been following reapportionment issues for probably 40 years or better. One thing I do have to think-it was - - difficult to get maps of - districts, both Senate and Assembly, in the city of Rochester from the LATFOR website directly. Ι did utilize the kindness of staff members of Senator Robach and Assemblyman Bronson were able to give me a better look at the maps. I also-the map coming out of LATFOR, I feel that the print media did not do a good job especially when you go down streets inside cities. I know part of that is maybe the graphics that I, being in the newspaper business off and on for 30 years, I would suspect the bean counters to want to go for the space and the time to put it together.

All right. The second point is the worst thing that ever happened to reapportionment in the state was the use of the computer. You guys can do it too easily, too fast, by sitting at a keyboard and you don't have to put out big, oversized maps on the tables, the floor and actually get on your hands and knees and move the lines. Maybe it would be a little bit less unique

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 than they are now.

2.2

The other point that I would like to make is something strange. I am actually supporting the Mayor on this issue. The University of Rochester, including the main campus, the U of R medical center, the south campus, which is in the town of Brighton, and also the Eastman School of Music, which is in downtown Rochester, should be in one entity and it should be representative by somebody who knows the ins and outs and the culture of the University of Rochester and its connection to the founder of our former largest employer in the city of Rochester.

I also look forward to seeing the congressional districts coming out, what you did on the Senate and the Assembly is interesting, the congressional ought to be real fun.

And five, enjoy your litigation, I expect it will be long and exhaustive. And I hope when we get to petitioning time, I don't have to do it in the middle of August, because there's nobody home. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Mr.

Gerling. Kent Gardner.

2.2

MR. KENT GARDNER, PRESIDENT, CENTER FOR GOVERNMENTAL RESEARCH: Thank you for the opportunity to speak, Senator Nozzolio,
Assemblyman McEneny, members of the Task Force.
The Center for Governmental Research is a 97 year old nonprofit, founded by Kodak - - Eastman in 1915. We're an independent, nonpartisan resource for improving government policies and practice.

Last July you held hearings and we recommended that reapportionment should be managed by an independent process. A change which we believe would improve the vigor and the responsiveness of New York State democracy. The legislature, instead, remained in control of the process and I believe it's yielded the expected results, that the maps would maintain or enhance the current partisan dominance of each house.

Now, I'm not going to comment on the individual districts, previous speakers have been very clear and eloquent about that. I certainly agree with Mayor Richards in terms of the

1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

2

representation of both of U of R and RIT.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

So I want to talk instead about process. We have some experience to offer. Last year, in partnership with the League of Women Voters, CGR created possible new boundaries for the Monroe County legislature. And that hands on experience gave us some insight into the challenges involved in the task and we know that the work is difficult and it requires balancing multiple considerations. We also know that no map is perfect and that there are an infinite variety of maps that are legal under the state constitution. So while we're sympathetic with the challenge, we also bring some experience to this particular In this decade's legislative outcome. reapportionment process, the good government group Common Cause devoted significant resources to the creation of an alternative set of maps. CGR was invited to work with common cause and so I can testify that the Common Cause and so I can testify that the discussions we had over this line or that line took place without regard to the likely political outcome of that process was

1

21

2.2

23

24

2 to preserve communities of interest within constitutionally valid districts. The Common 3 4 Cause maps illustrate that an apolitical process 5 can produce coherent compact and rational districts that keep people of similar interest 6 7 together. For example, on the Common Case Senate 8 map, the city of Rochester is kept in one Senate 9 district and joined with the older outer inner ring suburbs of Brighten and Irondequoit. 10 11 of the next ring of suburbs makes up a second Senate districts. And outer towns where suburbia 12 13 blends into exurbia are joined with districts 14 that include nearby counties. And it's assembly 15 maps the city must still be divided to achieve 16 the population target, but the divisions better 17 conformed to how residents would appear to self-18 identify, the northwest section of the city 19 joined with Greece, west side combined with Gates 20 and the rest together with Brighton.

So the Common Cause maps demonstrate one alternative outcome to the current legislatively controlled process and there are certainly countless others. We believe these maps

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 demonstrate that an independent politically 3 blind process would have a good chance of 4 producing maps that preserve communities of 5 interest more effectively than a process that retains a strong political motivation. So we 6 7 urge a different process. Until it changes, it would be naïve to expect elected officials to act 8 9 against their self-interest and put process ahead of political survival. 10 Thank you. 11 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Mr. 12 Any questions? Thank you Kent. Gardner. 13 Richard Gilbert. And John Keevert. 14 Keevert here? Would you like to combine, as both 15 of you are members of the same organization? 16 Would you like to be together? 17 REVEREND RICHARD GILBERT, COMMON CAUSE: 18 We have separate testimonies. 19 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Okay. We'll call Mr. 20 Keevert up after Mr. Gilbert. 21 REVEREND GILBERT: I'm the Reverend 2.2 Richard Gilbert, a retired Unitarian - -23 Minister. Live at 70 Harper Street in the city. 24 I've lived and worked in Rochester since 1970. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

speak on behalf of Common Cause and I believe you have my testimony.

Democracy is the capacity of the people to govern themselves to elect their representatives and to engage and advocate with them for the services government can provide. Its function is to have the people choose their representatives, not to have the representatives choose the people they want to represent. current proposals of the state assembly and the Senate are, in my opinion, woefully inadequate to measure up to any fair understanding of democracy for two reasons. First, the process is flawed. To expect elected officials to be objective and disinterested in drawing district lines is to place too great a faith in human nature. Politics is the art of the possible. But to expect individuals to a shoo their selfinterest for the common good is nearly impossible.

Before the 2010 election, my understanding was there was a commitment on the part of many who were elected to refer this

2.2

matter to an independent bipartisan commission which would propose district lines, receive feedback from the legislature and ultimately be approved by the legislature. Why those pledges have not been kept, mystifies me as a citizen. And the idea I've heard that creating such an independent commission requires changing the constitution and could not be implemented until 2022, strikes me as ludicrous. Democracy delayed is democracy denied. It is also a shame that the public will not have the opportunity to comment on a congressional draft.

Second, the state legislative proposals before us do not measure up to democratic standards. I've seen the PowerPoint presentation by Blair Horner, formerly of - - and now the American Cancer Society. That presentation would have been amusing if these lines were not so tragically compromised as a gerrymandered political unit which insults the intelligence of the citizenry. The current proposals for the Rochester area are tinged with partisanship and ripe with bias towards the incumbents. In the

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 assembly the city remains gerrymandered to protect the three incumbent democratic assembly 3 4 members, as you can see on page five of this

drawn for most of Rochester's suburbs.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

testimony.

In the Senate, Rochester continues to be It's chopped up into three districts chopped up. when the whole district can easily fit in a single district, as you can see on page four of this testimony. A single district can also be

According to the law, legislative districts should have a common community of interest. Looking at the districts proposed by the assembly and particularly the Senate, I do not, for example, find a community of interest between parts of the city of Rochester, with one of the highest child poverty rates in the country, and the suburb of Amherst, outside Buffalo, one of the most affluent communities in the country. Our city definitely needs strong representation and carving out the city like this and linking it to wealthy suburbs, which are not even a part of our own region, does not do the

2.2

job. It gives Amherst suburban voters, already empowered affluence, still more power at the extent of Rochester voters. Our two top institutions of higher learning would be in districts represented by senators from the Buffalo area, which has its own colleges and universities. And Buffalo, you will remember, has been given \$1 billion of state largess which Rochester has not seen. Despite the fact that one our formerly biggest employers, Eastman Kodak is fading from the picture. So we can see this city needs a stronger representation in Albany.

Democracy requires, according to the Supreme Court, a one person, one vote philosophy. District boundaries should reflect the common good and not favor particular parties or incumbents.

In conclusion, speaking for Common

Cause, I strongly oppose the redistricting plan

proposed by the assembly and the Senate. I

applaud the Governor's promise to veto any

partisan proposal put forth by this task force.

If the legislature fails to put forth a fair,

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

nonpartisan district plan, then the task will

fall to a special master appointed by the courts

and from what we've seen of LATFOR so far, this

looks to be the better option for the citizens of

New York.

2.2

Democracy is a very imperfect system, as Winston Churchill reminds us. "The worst form of government, except for every other." E.B. White once defined democracy as a faith that more than half the people will be right more than half the time. He also spoke of democracy as a score at the beginning of the ninth inning. It is not too late to shelve these proposals and start over. And move toward fair and objective redistricting to enhance democracy in the empire state. You only need to look to the Common Cause plans for how this can be done. I thank you for your attention.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Reverend Gilbert. John Keevert.

MR. JOHN KEEVERT, COMMON CAUSE: Thank you. Reverend Gilbert's a hard act to follow.

My name is John Keevert. I'm a resident of

Brighton and a member of Common Cause New York.

I'm testifying on behalf of almost 20,000 Common

4 Cause New York members.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Common Cause in New York and around the country is a strong supporter of a fair, independent and nonpolitical redistricting Unfortunately, neither the process. redistricting process, nor the proposed official maps are up to the appropriate standard this The current district lines in Rochester year. are one of the examples that Common Cause New York uses to show the effect of political gerrymandering when doing public presentations about redistricting. That's because the current maps for both the Senate and the Assembly divide Rochester into three districts, but to very different outcomes. The current Assembly districts twist and curve through the city of Rochester in shapes that appear designed to elect three Democrats. The current Senate districts also split the city of Rochester into three even though Rochester can fit within a single district. The Senate districts match portions of

the city with large areas of surrounding suburbs and then stretch far into rural areas in order to elect three Republican senators.

The proposed LATFOR maps continue this sorry story. In fact, the proposed assembly districts in our area, as you can see on page five of this testimony are almost unchanged from the existing districts. The Common Cause reform map offers an alternative for more compact districts that would better keep communities and neighborhoods together.

In the Senate, the proposed LATFOR plans, as you can see on pages three and four, are even worse than the existing districts. I personally strongly endorse the concerns of Mayor Richards. Rochester remains cut up into three districts. I currently live in Brighton, which is an inner rink suburb of Rochester. I used to live in the 19th Ward on the southwest corner of Rochester, which is an intentionally integrated, moderate income neighborhood in the city. I can assure you that the interests of the 19th Ward are not well represented by a person who hails from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Amherst, an upper class, white suburb of Buffalo.

This is what you are proposing for Senate District 61.

I strongly support the map proposed by Common Cause, which creates a district of Rochester and the inner ring suburbs of Brighton and Irondequoit for the Senate. It also creates a district that includes the outer ring suburbs as well as others that are mostly rural. Rochester suburbs are equally ill-treated by Amazingly, Monroe County is LATFOR Senate lines. broken between six different Senate districts with only one district entirely within the county. Senate Districts 59, 61 and 62 stretch all the way into Monroe County from Eerie and Niagara Counties through uniformly rural areas. As the Common Cause reform map shows, there is no demographic reason for these contortions. proposed lines disenfranchise both the city of Rochester and the surrounding suburbs. Clearly the lines proposed by LATFOR are not about how to best represent Rochester, but are instead designed to carve up the city to best serve the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

interests of western New York incumbent politicians. Indeed, no incumbent senator actually lives within the city of Rochester. To paraphrase the Occupy Movement - this isn't what democracy looks like.

In Congress, the way in which our city has been treated in the current districts is a famous gerrymandering. As you can see on pages six and seven of this testimony, Monroe County is split between four different congressional districts which extend dozens or even hundreds of miles into other regions. In contrast, the Common Cause reform map for Congress focuses on creating regional districts. One district is for Buffalo, one district is for the Rochester metropolitan area and one district for the Finger Yes, it really can be that simple if the lines are drawn with public interest in mind rather than tailored to the desires of the parties and their incumbents. It is astounding to me as a person who supports good government, that there is no proposed congressional map yet. As an election inspector, I have some

2.2

understanding of the confusion this is creating at the Board of Elections as well as the political parties. Since the official process has not yet been able to generate a proposed congressional map, I strongly suggest that you adopt the Common Cause reform map for Congress.

New York deserves a better set of district maps.

I hope that you will take the Common Cause reform maps into consideration when revising your proposals. Your current proposals are continuing unacceptable examples of legislators choosing their voters, not the other way around.

MR. ROMAN HEDGES, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK

FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND

REAPPORTIONMENT: Thank you very much. Before

you leave, let me just say one thing. Common

Cause has been at virtually every hearing, both

ends of the process. The maps that Common Cause

has produced have provided lots of useful

insight. I certainly don't agree with all of the

detail of any of the maps and many of the ideas

that Common Cause has recommended to this group.

But I think it's really important to compliment

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 Common Cause, and Susan Learner, [phonetic] in particular, for all the great work that you guys 3 4 have done. Thank you. 5 MR. KEEVERT: Thank you. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I believe the 6 7 sentiments expressed by Commission Member Hedges, Dr. Hedges, are certainly felt by all members of 8 9 the commission. Thank you for your continued input and it is appreciated. Cynthia Kaleh. 10 11 MS. CYNTHIA KALEH, MONROE COUNTY 12 LEGISLATOR: Thank you. I was on, then I was off, now I'm back on. So I'm a little confused, 13 14 but that's okay. 15 I'm going to speak very briefly. 16 Monroe County Legislator Cindy Kaleh. represent the 28th District in Monroe County, 17 which is the northwest portion of Rochester. I 18 19 would say, after listening to so many of the 20 speakers, that I am woefully prepared in terms of statistics and I think direct facts that should 21 22 be considered in this.

and I will submit the rest of my remarks offline,

But I did want to come up just briefly

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that can go into your records, that dividing the city of Rochester into three Senate districts, for me, as a legislator here, is less than acceptable. In that it dilutes the voice of the city itself. In the county of Monroe, the city's voice is basically diluted as well. I can speak to, briefly to the CGR study where we had the line redrawn for the Monroe County redistricting. And I have to admit, that it was totally done with a blinders on because my district and two other legislators, we were all living in the same district by the time that plan was over. a result of that plan, we would have been in an interesting predicament in terms of primary and who would be serving.

That said, it was done with blinders and did show that the results can happen without respect to saving a seat. As a result, that particular study wasn't used for Monroe County and we were all basically saved within our seats. But I have to say that in that case too, that a few of them were carved to the point that it made it much easier for incumbents to be elected and

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 new seats to be filled with a majority, which in this case would be a Republican seat holder. 3 4 That said, I believe that the lines are ill drawn 5 with respect to the city of Rochester and the 6 extension to Amherst. And basically I would be 7 repeating what a lot of other people had said, so 8 I'm not going to take any more time. And I would 9 submit the rest of my remarks offline. Thank 10 you. 11 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any questions for 12 Madam Legislator. Thank you very much for your 13 testimony. Elaine Spaull? 14 MS. ELAINE SPAULL, ROCHESTER CITY 15 COUNCILMEMBER: Great timing. Thank you, 16 I'm going to read, because if I don't, 17 I have a tendency to go on and on and on, so I'm 18 so grateful to be here. I'm going to read and 19 I'm going to leave some statements with you. 20 My name is Elaine Spaull and I'm a 21 member of the Rochester City Council and have the 2.2 honor of representing the families of the East District of our city. 23

I ran for a district seat with the

24

2.2

belief that serving my constituents close to home would make my representation of them more effective. Because I'm available and accessible every day, I am able to speak sensitively to the diversity and changes that occur on a micro level which I believe to be the building block of a vibrant city that is strong neighborhoods.

The city of Rochester for many years used a model of planning that with the consent of neighborhoods, organized itself into sectors.

This was known as our - - system. This government grass roots partnership was an attempt by the city to use communities of interest to negotiate, consult, design and lobby for improvement of social conditions with a focus of contributing to the larger vision of engaging the political capacity of its citizens. Two of the most successful sectors happen to be in my district, sector six and seven.

There are many reasons for fueling the need for more citizen participation, the partnerships with the city. At city hall we face a difficult task of funding neighborhood

24

improvement projects. However, when we are most directly in touch with our neighborhoods, their volunteer leadership and their ability to leverage other supports, then we make good decisions for good government as to our investments. Recently the city of Rochester engaged in a focused investment strategy. With my personal relationships and clear understandings of the opportunity for local partnerships, I was able to support the focused investment in the Beachwood neighborhood. one example. This has proven to be very successful. Without this, a decision may have been made that was not as fruitful for the city or the neighborhood. Knowing the political capital, the social capital in that neighborhood, the leadership of that neighborhood, the fact that they could make this work, was very, very essential. I spend an enormous amount of time there probably every week. I want to be able to talk with them, be there, representing this community is an active project. It's an example of where community of interest comes together for 1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

2

greater good in partnership with government.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Rochester has a long history of good government, active citizenry and corporate citizens who are interested in improving the welfare of our city. While tapping into the asset based community development, the city has managed to accomplish the expansion of the

political capacity within sector six and seven.

The assembly lines that are currently proposed by this committee do not honor the intent of the city or Rochester, the neighborhood organizations and business commitment to civic engagement and social welfare.

Now I want to just tell you, I happen to have both a PhD and a law degree from the University of Buffalo and I love that city. was great home to me when I was traveling many times over the years. But Rochester is not Buffalo. We are our own culture, our own community of interest. And I urge this committee to honor those ideals and keep these communities of interest in one assembly district and I thank you so much for your time. Take care.

	\mathbb{D}_{2}
1	Page 8 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
3	COUNCILMEMBER SPAULL: I have ten
4	copies, who shall I give them to?
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you,
6	Councilwoman. Carol Lee Conklin [phonetic].
7	Carol Lee Conklin.
8	FEMALE VOICE: She had an appointment
9	and had to leave.
10	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.
11	FEMALE VOICE: Sure.
12	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Robert Maurer. God
13	afternoon.
14	MR. ROBERT MAURER: Good afternoon. My
15	name is Robert Maurer and I live in the town of
16	Menden and I am a lifetime resident of New York
17	State and the Rochester area. I am a registered
18	voter, but not registered with any political
19	party.
20	I would like to start by presenting the
21	following charts. This chart shows the
22	population deviation, the percent population
23	deviation from the average state senate district
24	population with the downstate districts to the

2.2

left and the upstate districts to the right. It shows an average of 6 to 7% spread between the downstate and upstate district populations.

Concentrating more voters into downstate districts, defusing their vote and violating the one person one vote principle that is the whole point of redistricting. Given the larger Republican base in the upstate districts, it stands to reason that this has been purposefully done to benefit the Republican party politicians in the state Senate so they may maintain control there.

This chart shows the percent population deviation from the average state assembly district population with the downstate districts to the left and the upstate districts to the right. It also shows deviations between downstate and upstate, concentrating more voters into upstate districts this time, defusing their vote and violating the one person one vote principle there as well. Given the larger Democratic party base in downstate districts, it stands to reason that this has purposefully been

1 D

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

2

they maintain control there.

4

5

consistent these deviations are. Look at the

6

straight lines, they are clearly no accident.

7

These numbers alone show a systematic

8

manipulation of district boundaries for political

done to Democratic party in the state assembly so

I would also like to point out how

9

goals, also known as gerrymandering of the

10

redistricting process across the entire state of

11

New York. This comes as a great disappointment,

12

but no surprise to me, as you clearly have a

13

conflict of interest.

each.

14

15

specifically, we can see in both the state Senate

In regards to the greater Rochester area

16

and Assembly maps the city of Rochester has been

17

unnecessarily chopped up into three pieces on

19

18

chops out the southwest section of the city,

20

pairing it with far flung rural towns and suburbs

For the Senate districts, District 61

21

of Buffalo. District 55, my district, similarly

22

town farrest to the south. South of - - Lake.

pairs the east side of the city with Naples, a

2324

District 56 cuts through the heart of the city to

pair Brighton, south of the city, with northwest suburbs. Anyone from this area knows these are examples of defusing the vote of one population to benefit another.

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

The state assembly maps are not much better. District 138 appears like a scorpion tail wrapping around parts of the city in order to group disparate city neighborhoods with the towns of Chili and Henrietta. And failing the compactness test. This hook into the city also divides the city in three. The net result of both district maps is the disbursal of the urban and racial minority voters in the city of Rochester to the point that the city will have no real representation in the state Senate or Assembly.

If these maps are not technically violations of the Voting Rights Act, which they may be, they are, at a minimum, discriminatory and unethical. These district maps are, thus, completely unacceptable. Better solutions are possible as has clearly been shown in the maps created by Common Cause. It is also completely

2.2

unacceptable that the congressional districts for the House of Representatives are not available for comment at this time. You have failed to complete your task in a timely manner and thus I will be advising to my representatives, the Governor and to my fellow citizens that this entire task force has squandered time and must be dismissed.

The only practical solution to stop this undermining of democracy is to support the creation of an independent redistricting commission, in my opinion. I would now ask everyone in the audience who supports dismissing these district maps in favor of an independent commission for redistricting to rise now. I have listed the names of many individuals from this area who also support the creation of an independent commission on the written statement. Thank you for your time, but not for your efforts on this matter.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. Thomas Gregory.

MR. THOMAS GREGORY: I'd like to thank

you for the privilege to appear before this commission. A report has been submitted to you and I'd like to speak to that report by first saying that actually the first nine pages are really the whole report, the rest is just the details. So I'll basically—when I put it together, it was designed in a way to basically let you do the first three pages of what I'm saying. The next six pages is basically the substantial part behind it, or the law behind it. Is the concept behind what I'm saying and the law follows in the body of the report.

I had a part in the Monroe County's redistricting. It was an honor and a privilege to be part of that. And learned an awful lot about redistricting. I spent a lot of time at the appellant division really going into what the law is all about. And I find that the maps and the ideas that had been submitted—well, first I should say that there's a lot of people with opinions, but you're the experts and there's a reason why you're the experts, this is what you do. This is your job. You have knowledge of the

24

1

You have knowledge of your constituents, not just in Monroe County or Eerie County, but throughout the whole state. And this is why, as a citizen, I'm going to tell you right now I'm glad you're doing this. I do not support an independent commission. What I have found is, after making an exhaustive study of your maps, is generally the population equity, we're not even going there, you know you'd be in violation of Reynolds vs. Simms [phonetic] if you didn't take that into consideration. But what you've done over the last, I studied the 1992, 2002, and the present maps, and actually you follow a pretty consistent strategy. Your core townships, like in the county or towns where we have election districts, we don't want to divide them. you've done for the last three redistrictings, including this one, that would be, is that you've maintained, as best able, the core townships as best able. So you have these towns that know who their representative, maybe you're going to change a Senate number or Assembly number, but, by gosh, one town is going to be with the next

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

town and that's pretty well demonstrated in Appendix A, if you take a look at that. It's basically—what I did, I reconfigured your maps and put them in towns and townships and that to show where basically you have continuity from 1992 to 2002 to present. You're doing a good job..

When you look at the kind of idea that's been protested here, where we don't have this one district, one senator or one assemblyman representing one area, by gosh, everyone knows we've gone to regionalization and I think basically what you've done, and this shows in Appendix B, it shows that what you've been doing is following basically, cities have been your central hub. It's been like a hub, spoke and wheels. The spokes are the county and the outside of the wheel is that regional concept where what you're actually doing is integrating a whole area. I've heard Mayor Richards talk about Eerie County like they're a man on the moon. I'm going to tell you right now, if Eerie County doesn't do well and Eerie County doesn't do well

1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with us, we got a problem. And if we don't start looking at the whole western New York as a whole family of regions, my gosh, we're not going anywhere. I should point something out-Governor Cuomo has got that \$1 billion that he's putting into the semiconductor business and I'm glad that the University of Buffalo and Buff State is tied with the University of Rochester and RIT, that's

And I tell you what, that makes sense to me.

a billion dollars the Governor has committed.

Now the other thing, the irregularity in shapes, and this is all addressed throughout the report, I looked at one of the districts and I know that the previous redistricting committees did a thing called peeling. Which some people might call gerrymandering. But what you actually ended up doing was you ended up actually peeling off the towns of Brighton at one point and the southwest part of Rochester to preserve a majority minority district. And it shows that in all the, the maps are very claritive of that point that this commission and the previous commissions have been very, very cognizant of the

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

fact that you want to preserve what we would call
the majority minority districts.

I've heard people say that you're doing a bad job, so we want to do this with an independent commission. There's a problem though. Number one is that, and this is stated in the report, hey, what about one person one vote when it applies to a commission? How do Iam I quaranteed as a voter or as a citizen of the state, that a commission has an equal number of representatives per member as I'm assured that this legislative body does. I know right now one person one vote is represented by you, the legislature. And, to be honest with you, I would find that, number one, to use an independent commission, something that the Governor says if we don't use, we might veto, would basically violate the Reynolds vs. Simms.

Not only that, but there's no real structure. When you look at the law or you look at the statues, there is no structure. That a person like myself can go down the appellant division and find out what the heck's going on,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

when it applies to you, but there is no structure. There is no law that tells me what this independent commission is all about. Only a legislative committee fulfills the one person one vote when it comes to redistricting.

Now the Governor has basically said, well, you know-he's reported to have said, I don't believe that Andrew Cuomo really said what half the newspapers say he says, that he's going to veto it if we don't use an independent commission. But I think we have to distinguish the role of Governor as executive of the state from Governor in his legislative capacity. Because when it comes to basically passing a bill, when he does his approbations of a bill, he's not doing it as an executive. The Governor's functioning in his legislative capacity. And there, and I'll give you a case, Rapp [phonetic] vs. Kerry, [phonetic] 44 New York, 2D157, there it basically says, hey, governor, you know, you can't go beyond what the state policy is in your approbations. You can't do it.

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	MS. LEVINE: Mr. Gregory, you need to
3	summarize.
4	MR. GREGORY: Okay. I will. I think
5	that pretty much says it. I think—one thing I
6	did want to speak though—I think that's enough.
7	The report says it all. And I want to thank you,
8	once again, for the opportunity to appear before
9	you. Thank you.
10	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
11	MS. LEVINE: Sir? Mr. Gregory?
12	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Sir. Sir. Mr.
13	Gregory? Dr. Hedges.
14	MR. ROMAN HEDGES: Obviously I've just
15	had a few minutes to page through your report.
16	This is an incredible amount of work and thank
17	you very much.
18	MR. GREGORY: Oh, it's a real honor.
19	I'm going to tell you what, I think what you're
20	doing for the state, true compliments to you. It
21	gets a citizen like myself involved in a process
22	that maybe all of us really need to be more
23	involved in. So thank you for what you're doing.
24	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you. Dennis

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
Seekins.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. DENNIS SEEKINS: I'm here for a comment on the independent, what the last few speakers have been talking about and everything.

I live in this section of Congresswoman Slaughter's district. If this is Buffalo and this is Rochester. You know, so I say that and everything because I appreciate the-but there's something wrong when they sneak a little area about two miles wide, you know, where I, I happen to be in it. Obviously nobody was considering me when they did that. They shouldn't. But the point is, they're just looking at the, what they can do to tie this together. I also spent most of my working life in Washington DC. Maryland, and I'm a lifelong Democrat. And I say that because I spent most of my time in Maryland. Now a lot of times we had a congressman down there named Goody, who was a Republican, who was a great guy, I voted for him every time he ran. But right now, the state of Maryland is an incredible example of this gerrymandering. This done by the local Democratic and Republican

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

people. I mean it's a nightmare. Probably one

of the worst in the nation.

The point and everything is I really don't see how you folks, you know, you're human beings and everything, you know, you folks, being Republicans and Democratic, how you can really keep our interests first in mind. You need the independent group to do it. I don't know how they're going to do it, don't get me wrong. I don't know if you do it by geography, but the thing is there's a bunch of intelligent people around and I'm sure that with six months' of work they could come up with some sort of a system that would work.

Now I said I was a liberal Democrat. I get calls from New York State Democrats all the time, will you donate. I says, "No way in hell." I mean you guys straighten up everything in this redistricting, both on the state and for the congressional district, then I'm going to donate. But no way am I going to donate money to a Democrat in New York State. I donated to Obama, I donate to League of Conservation Voters. Once

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	a year I come to a meeting like this. Once a
3	year I write a letter and I vote. That makes me
4	a pretty inactive citizen. So for all my
5	criticism of you, it really is my fault that the
6	system is the way it is. Yes.
7	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I find it
8	interesting that you mention Maryland. Because
9	the state line of Maryland is absolutely bizarre.
10	MR. SEEKINS: Well, true.
11	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: With the
12	panhandle that goes far to the west and is
13	probably a little bit thinner than the district
14	that connects Rochester and Niagara Falls.
15	MR. SEEKINS: Good point. Good point.
16	And you're absolutely right there. Yeah. Yeah.
17	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
18	MR. SEEKINS: Thank you.
19	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.
20	Carol Aragon.
21	MS. CAROL ARAGON: Hello. I'm Carol,
22	whoops. I'm carol Aragon and I've lived in New
23	York State my whole life and I voted at every
24	opportunity and will continue to do so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Here are the proposed districts—and I'm here to scold you. Here are the proposed districts for Senate 56 and Assembly District 138. They say a picture is worth a thousand words. These express one word - gerrymandering.

What is this hook here all about? here you have this little tail down here. are you thinking? These are disgusting and I don't envy you your task of sitting here and listening to all of us complain. But, even worse, the congressional districts aren't ready yet. Please just stop this nonsense now. Accept the fact that these are unacceptable and will remain unacceptable. And you have better options. You can move A5388 out of the Government Affairs Committee, pass it, send it to the Senate, get the Senate to pass it, appoint the independent commission and approve their If that will take too long, and it proposals. sounds like it would, you could just approve the Common Cause maps. I am not a member of Common Cause, but I have looked at them, I don't understand all the ins and outs of every

2.2

district. But in terms of contiguity and one person one vote, they come much closer than what you have done. I have no idea what they do to incumbents. So it's time to stop the skullduggery and show some respect for the citizens of New York. We are awake now and we won't let you get away with this fraud. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: The part that you don't know, 26 assembly members are pitted against each other in the Common Cause map. And ten senators are pitted against each other.

MS. ARAGON: And that is a problem because...

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: It's a problem particularly in the minority community since legislatures govern themselves through seniority. For example, were you elected as a Democrat now to go to Albany, it would take you eight to ten years to ever be a committee chair for the lowest possible level title. So what happens is that in an at risk community, a minority community, which, by the way, is drawn with the kind of

24

1

lines that you showed, because of the Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, which creates strange hooks and shapes as minority communities are banded together for either minority majority districts or minority opportunity districts, it doesn't look like a square or a rectangle. But as far as-this has been accused of being an incumbent protection program that came out of the respective houses that make up LATFOR and the other has been called an incumbent destruction And the incumbent destruction program, program. when it wipes out of the 18 minorities involved, at least nine of them, then it means that at risk usually poorer community, less educated, more needed community has a voice of much less power and influence in the legislative body where it is That's the Voting Rights Act of 1965, located. which say that we have a moral and legal obligation to try to maximize the minority group of certain protected minority groups, among them Asians, African Americans and Hispanics. consequently, I think doing a sweeping judgment and saying who cares about incumbents being wiped

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 out, that also means that incumbents who 3 represent those minority groups and those groups 4 that depend on them to have a certain amount of 5 influence in their district, they start all over 6 again with the freshmen. And in my house, for 7 example, the State Assembly, you can expect to go eight to ten years before you get any kind of a 8 9 title which, for example, is the chair of a 10 committee. That's why. 11 MS. ARAGON: Okay. Can I respond to 12 that? 13 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Certainly. 14 MS. ARAGON: Because I appreciate the 15 information. But I'd like to point out that 16 you're starting with a problem to explain a 17 If it takes—if you have to be there, be problem. 18 incumbent for that many years to have a 19 significant voice, that's a problem to begin 20 I think. Just consider that. Thank you. with. 21 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: It is the 2.2 world we live in though. 23 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. 24 James Webster. Could one of you gentlemen do us

Му

1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

2

a favor and shut the door? Thank you.

3

name is Jim Webster I'm a resident of - -

4

name is Jim Webster. I'm a resident of - - .

5

this committee. Although I was born and brought

I'm honored to be represented by two members of

MR. JAMES WEBSTER: Good afternoon.

I had a lot of remarks that I wanted to

First I note that, with one exception,

7

up in Rochester, so I think I will always

8

consider myself a Rochesterian. Excuse me.

9

make this morning, but all I would be doing would

10 11

be repeating probably everything else you've

12

already heard. So let me just address myself to

13

a couple of other issues that I find important.

every speaker you've heard today is in absolute

opposition to the plan as presented. And I join

that majority. I am absolutely opposed to this.

I absolutely consider it gerrymandering at its

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

vote.

the committee and the seniority process, I would

And you talk about one man one vote, as

submit that that in itself negates one person one

this lady just mentioned, and as you talked about

I do find it interesting that this plan

24

is presented, and I will take a quote from the Reverend who spoke earlier-seems to show that representatives get to choose their voters rather than voters getting to choose their representatives. It's my understanding of one person one vote that districts need to be relatively equal. And towns need to be within the same district. Now I'm not a lawyer, I probably wouldn't understand half the law. I understand that you either are or advised by attorneys, so I have to accept the fact that what you've presented is, in fact, legal. I don't have to like it. I would submit—and I'm sorry, I'm not a politician and I'm not known for couching things easily, but I would submit that I could take five people in a room with census maps from 2010 and I could come up with a fair and equitable plan. Or that committee could come up with a fair and equitable plan in a pretty short period of time. And certainly not as long as this has taken. And the fact that we don't have congressional lines drawn yet and we're forced to have a primary by judge earlier than ever, we

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 need that information and we need it now. And we 3 need candidates to have the time to prepare the 4 information and the resources that they need to 5 represent us. Finally I will say from day one I have 6 7 adamantly supported an independent redistricting commission, vid of the legislature according to a 8 9 pledge that the majority of you signed and yet we're here presented with the same old, same old 10 11 that to me looks like it's going to do nothing 12 more than continue the dysfunction that has 13 plagued us in Albany for decades. 14 I truly look forward to change. I'm not 15 seeing it. Thank you. 16 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Jim. 17 Sarah Yaworsky. MS. SARAH YAWORSKY, 19TH WARD 18 19 ASSOCIATION: Hi. My name's Sarah Yaworsky. a part of the 19th Ward Community Association of 20 21 Rochester and equally grateful for your 22 willingness to listen to us today and our 23 concerns about legislative redistricting.

As a representative of that

24

organization, I'm here to urge the task force to revise its proposed technical plan for our neighborhood's representation in the state senate by reattaching us to our community, which is the city of Rochester. You have copies of my comments and you can read them probably more coherently than I can here. So I just want to touch on a couple of things that I haven't heard fully described from many of the speakers that I've enjoyed listening to.

One thing I want to talk about is the issue of racial diversity, which we celebrate and nurture in our community. With 66% of our residents African American, including my son.

While the other proposed political divisions in the proposed 61st, I'm sure, have their own unique qualities and strengths, they are significantly different from our 100% urban neighborhood.

Amherst is, which is the most populous component of the new district is only 6.5% African American and it's the largest and most populous suburb of Buffalo. It's in a completely separate metropolitan statistical area from us in

1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

2

Rochester. Clarence, the town also in Eerie

3

County, and almost 60 miles away from us, has an

4

African American population of 1 1/8 with not even

The town of Newstead, with an African

Thus, by hacking out our neighborhood,

5

a village in its boundaries.

6

American population of seven-tenths of a percent,

7

is agriculture - - character. And then what

9

fills mostly the distance, separating our

10

neighborhood from our fellow district members is

out of Rochester, a Rochester based district and

district is drastically diminished. Our African

American membership is reduced from the current

23% in our 56th Senate district to only 10% in the

proposed 61st. I heard the talk about reducing it

from 23 to 18, but I think that's in, if we were

tacking it on to the 61st, the diversity of our

11

Genesee County, which has an African American

12

population of 2.7%.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to remain in the new 56th District, they're going to drop from the current 23 because we're being taken out to only 18.

So what I don't understand is if the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

purpose of redistricting is to adjust to changing population trends, why, when the African American population of Rochester has increased, why is the population concentration of African Americans in our Senate district decreasing? It seems to deliberately interfere with access by people of color to political power by eroding their opportunity to elect a representative of their choice. And I did hear you say it, I mean it's a new district, who knows who might be elected in the future, but our neighborhood, which is the only predominantly African American part of the new district is one-fifth of just Amherst alone. Realistically, no one from our district is going to be elected to represent the 61st.

Not repeating all the things you already know about community of interest and compactness and our concerns about the independence of the process, I think I just want to summarize by saying that what this does the most to us is it just makes it too hard to be a citizen. An active, engaged citizen. Configuring our voting districts makes it—it's challenging enough to

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 engage in political discourse in so big and so busy and so complicated a state. But adding 3 4 barriers of geography and even more diverse 5 issues that we would have to become familiar and 6 engaged in so that we can just dial up with our 7 fellow district members, makes it just too discouraging to try to be engaged. And that 8 9 seems like the opposite of what we need to be doing at this time. 10 11 So I hope—I appreciate you listening to 12 all this and we urge you not to separate our 13 community from our city of Rochester. 14 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. 15 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. 16 Van White. Van White. Kevin Gallagher. Welcome 17 back, Kevin. Thank you. Good 18 MR. KEVIN GALLAGHER: 19 afternoon. My name is Kevin Gallagher from 20 Dunfield, New York. 21 Uh, I have some starting questions that 2.2 will help me and perhaps other people evaluate 23 the process. So if you can see the list of 24 questions on my list. Start with who is drawing

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 the lines for the House of Representatives? I'm 3 looking for a person's name. 4 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Officially the 5 six people on the LATFOR commission. MR. GALLAGHER: 6 Okay. 7 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Backed by staff. 8 9 MR. GALLAGHER: All right. Originally the lines were supposed to be done in October of 10 11 last year, why aren't they done now? 12 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Who said that? MR. GALLAGHER: I've heard that. 13 14 in the process. Perhaps before you picked up the 15 LATFOR process. 16 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Kevin, this 17 body is weeks ahead in coming up with state Senate and Assembly lines based on last year. 18 19 And months ahead based on, excuse me, the last 20 This body is actually ahead of the decade. 21 original schedule, not behind. There are two 2.2 changes this year. Three changes that make this a little bit different from any other year. 23

First of all, we traditionally have done Senate

24

1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

24

and Assembly first and followed up with the Congress second. This year a new law was passed. It was a law that said that prisoners should be counted where they're from. Unfortunately, that law had no regulations. Didn't describe how those prisoners would be counted, if they were homeless at the time they were sent to prison, whether or not they had a home address, whether they had a relative's address and when the Department of Corrections provided us, in February of last year, with a list of prisoners, while keeping their personal identity private, they, nonetheless, gave their address. It took literally months to figure out where you plot And in the end, we were able to plot most But without regulations, we had to make of them. And when we made them up, we had to do them up. so in a way that would withstand a court It was not an easy process and we did challenge. not have something that we were comfortable with on a legal point of view until, I would say, the end of-November 2nd was our last preliminary hearing and around that time we were able to

1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

adjust all the Senate and the Assembly to the level of block or at least, in the case of small towns, to the level of the town, so they could be counted. We came out with maps in December, people had time to review them, to look at them, and started the next round of public hearings.

What has also changed—so, first of all, we have an artificial delay trying to figure out how to count the prisoners who, by the way, are not counted in the congressional count, they're counted in the prisons the old fashioned way. Federal government doesn't recognize our law for federal purposes. The second thing that changed is we had a federal court saying that we had to change the date of the primary at one point no later than a date in August, which, if I remember, was a Saturday, which made no sense at We figured that meant the previous Tuesday, all. the 14th. And then it went to court and it was delayed and delayed and delayed. And only recently, in January, did we get a date for the congressional primary. And it is set for the 26th of June. Hence, the mad rush to finish up, to

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 come up with a changed political calendar, 3 etcetera. The political debate on whether or not Senate and Assembly will be included or not. 4 5 far the Assembly would like it that way, the Senate has reservations. We have to have a two 6 7 house solution if we go into it. There's a lot going on. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, if we could have done the congressional districts in time, it would have been helpful. Traditionally, they have never had the maps, though many people have testified very clearly on what they want to see. They're much larger districts. And this year they go from about 650,000 to 717,707 - huge areas. In some ways easier to draw than the Assembly and Senate.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I would just like to add, if I could, Assemblyman.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Sure.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: You outlined it very well. I don't know if you said, if you did, please forgive me, but the Justice Department of the United States advised, in their briefs, that they would accept an August date for primary.

2.2

The judge decided to escalate that date to June as opposed to mid-August. That was a change. We expected certainly that the federal court would listen to the Justice Department.

Coincidentally, the New York City Board of Elections, which joined with the State Board of Elections to ask for an earlier primary now is telling us that they cannot comply with that early primary date just from a logistical standpoint. So these were two curve balls from left field that were thrown at the scheduling process and I think that that is just part and parcel of the challenges that we have here with those congressional lines.

Having said that, we're working in earnest and we hope to have the lines produced within the next few, certainly, weeks and hopefully it's shorter than that.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: The plan is to finish the public hearing tomorrow in Buffalo and to literally draw all—these lines will be changed, what you're testifying on. Some things will be changed to a minor degree to keep a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 neighborhood together, others perhaps more so.

And at the same time, we're hoping to finish up the congressional lines.

It would be helpful if the federal government, faced with the dilemma that 20% of servicemen and women were not having their absentee ballots count, it would have been nicer if they gave a federal order to speed up the mail instead of making the state change its system. And as far as the latest ruling from a federal judge, an appointed judge, I would point out, to stop dragging your feet on it, it would be nice if they gave the Justice Department an order to do a quicker review instead of dragging it out for 60 days. We're hopeful that they can speed that up as well. Unfortunately we're the ones getting governed by the federal government by appointed judges rather than the federal government, the post office or the Justice Department. But go ahead, Kevin, I've spoken too long and I do appreciate your testimony.

MR. GALLAGHER: Well, the reasons you've given make it seem more poignant that the

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 congressional districts should have been released 3 earlier. That, of course, there were things 4 going on in the federal government you weren't 5 sure of the outcome, but you knew that somewhere down the line that the districts needed to be 6 7 So I guess I would move on. done. I have some questions about specific 8 9 districts. ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: 10 Thank you. 11 MR. GALLAGHER: I've listed, first of 12 all, I would like to know the person who drew the 13 Assembly lines and who drew the Senate lines. 14 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I think it's 15 safe to say the Assembly drew its own lines as 16 did the Senate drew its own. It would be staffed 17 under the direction of myself, Roman Hedges, and to a lesser degree, with the Republican 18 19 districts, input from Bob Oaks' people as well. 20 MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. Then you can 21 answer the questions, you're right here in front 2.2 I'm wondering what software was used for 23 your products?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY:

Talk to the

24

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	PhD.
3	MR. GALLAGHER: Roman?
4	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Roman?
5	MR. HEDGES: The software is Maptitude,
6	but I don't think any of this is relevant. So
7	would you please move on and give us your input?
8	MR. GALLAGHER: I do believe this is
9	relevant. I'd like to know what categories of
10	information
11	MR. HEDGES: Please move on and give us
12	your input.
13	MR. GALLAGHER: Excuse me, but I sense
14	some hostility from you.
15	MR. HEDGES: I'm impatient. I've been
16	here for three hours. I would like to hear what
17	you have to say instead of you thinking that this
18	is a time for public inquiry.
19	MR. GALLAGHER: Well, several times
20	during previous hearings it was mentioned that
21	this would be an open process. And information
22	that we believe is necessary to evaluate the
23	process is not available to us.
24	MR HEDGES: The product is the product

Please give us commentary on the product.

MR. GALLAGHER: I'll ask the co-chairs to please limit his interruptions.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Well, that I will not do because we are equal members of the commission. And I think he does raise a very valid point that you're here to evaluate the product, the process is gone. The independent redistricting commission didn't happen. And, by the way, some of us up here did not take the pledge.

That's gone. That train has left the station. Now we're looking at the results. And I believe your job is to testify, that's not our job, we answer as a courtesy and as an option on our part. So if you would criticize. We've heard a number of people who don't want to see Buffalo suburbs combined with Rochester for reasons that they give. That's useful input. And if you have a district that you're looking at, you feel that it was drawn badly, you can't understand whether it's done for voting rights act or done for some other reason, give your

2.2

criticism and that becomes part of the record and becomes useful. Going into the software, which I doubt that more than a very small fraction of the audience either watching us understands or—that's a very technical question that can be answered at another time and not take up the time of the group assembled here.

MR. GALLAGHER: At what time can those questions be answered?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: The Freedom of Information Act. Put it in writing, send it in, we'll give you a response within the five days as required.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I don't want to make it—let me just interrupt. If you'd like to have an interrogatory, that's fine. I'd be glad to sit with you and go through that. If you have certain points you'd like to make, feel free to make them. You can make them in the form of an interrogatory and if you want us to respond, I think your questions are not unreasonable. They're not questions that haven't been asked many times by many citizens across the state.

2.2

I'd be glad to answer them. The question, I think, is just the matter of style. This isn't a forum for a series of interrogatories and then answers. Ask your questions, if we have time to answer them now, we will. If we don't, we'll answer them to you individually later.

MR. GALLAGHER: Fine. There are six districts, I'd like to know what the method was for drawing those. Why you came up with specific numbers for those districts. You may answer those later. Three in the Senate, three in the Assembly.

All members of this task force have expressed support, correction, the elected members of this task force expressed support for an independent commission and associated standards. If they're good ideas, why aren't you abiding by those standards now? I believe the Senate amendment is a smoke screen. What aspect of the independent commission requires a constitutional amendment?

On January 30th I made a - - request by email for electronic copies of the contracts with

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	counsel Michael A. Carvin. [phonetic] My
3	question is, is LATFOR Mr. Carvin's client? Yes
4	or no.
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: We have received a
6	copy of your Freedom of Information Request and
7	it's been sent along for the Senate to respond
8	to. And they will respond based on the Freedom
9	of Information Act.
10	MS. LEVINE: Mr. Gallagher, you need to
11	summarize please.
12	MR. GALLAGHER: My time hasn't really
13	started.
14	MS. LEVINE: Well actually it has. And
15	when the members speak, I stop your time to allow
16	you to speak. So I assure you, I've recorded
17	your time accordingly.
18	MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. Well, I apologize
19	to the other people who are testifying and who
20	have a time schedule.
21	I will skip the question on the page, if
22	you'd like to answer that at some other point,
23	I'd appreciate that.
24	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: On the page?

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 MR. GALLAGHER: On the first page about Voting Rights Act. I believe in a conversation 3 4 with me, you said that was the worst thing that 5 ever happened. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I'll take the 6 7 committee's time to respond to that question directly. I never said that. What I did say was 8 9 that, and you may be confused with the question of the prisoner counting law. 10 11 MR. GALLAGHER: No, I'm sorry. This was 12 three years ago. SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well, I don't 13 14 remember that conversation. 15 MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. That's fine. 16 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And I certainly do 17 not recall ever saying that. And it's not something that I ever believed in. There may be-18 19 I'm shocked that that would be alleged and I can 20 state that, unequivocally, this committee has 21 fully complied and I have done all I could to

> MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. Thank you. This

fully comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act

in every deliberate act that we have taken.

2.2

23

24

next page, too, is having to do with the opinion by Mr. Carvin. I'm going to skip the first paragraph. The second paragraph. I'm going straight to the methodology. The methodology is inconsistent because it joins and splits county groups within calculations. For correct methodology, it must join county groups or split county groups, not both. A consistent methodology would generate either 62 or 64 seats. I've evaluated his supporting information and I don't agree with it. And the pertinent question is does the prisoner count affect the Senate formula? Do you know that offhand?

understanding it does not. And I should hasten to add, as we've discussed and we need to place it on the record, this commission has done yeoman work, everything possible to comply with the prisoner voting or prisoner counting act, the prisoner census legislation in spite of the many hurdles and the lack of clarity in that law.

MR. GALLAGHER: I understand that. I looked at the numbers for the prisoner count. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

believe 48,603 were accounted for and reallocated. But the total prisoner count was closer to 60,000. And I'm wondering if the federal prisoners who may be residents of the state of New York were counted in the same, using the same method.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: All of them are counted for the federal, for the congressional whereas the 48,000 are the ones that are counted and repatriated, if you will, back to their own neighborhoods. Under the law, the difference, they are not counted at all. that's what the law says and some of us are not comfortable with that, but that is the law. So if we could find a legitimate address for state and Assembly and Senate districts, they were counted back home. But the federal government does not recognize—if we couldn't, they're not counted at all for Senate and Assembly. In the federal government, they are all counted in their prisons.

MR. GALLAGHER: And then the difference between 48,000 and 59,000 are those without

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 addresses or ...?

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: That's correct. Where it could not be assigned to a specific block in a city or to a town, in the case of the smaller towns. If we could just figure out the town, we added it to that town because the constitution does not allow the breaking of a town unless it's larger than the district you're trying to draw, Senate or Assembly.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Or they may have been out of state.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yes, that's true.

MR. GALLAGHER: All right. Thank you.

I'm going to cut my—what I'm going to say down considerably. The numbers for both the Senate and the Assembly, I've given you a chart that shows the deviation. It shows that there's a distinct break between upstate and downstate. It also shows that the numbers you use are very precise and within regions you are able to hit very tight targets. The problem is you're

1

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

2

3

4

5

6

numbers.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

choosing the wrong numbers. And I believe that is a violation of the Public Officers Law and maybe a violation of the oath of office. You've shown that you have the ability to do very good

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Where the numbers are exact, for example, within the county of Queens, for example, within Manhattan, which, by the way, is more than the average, you'll see them within a half dozen or even one or two people and that's because the New York State Constitution has a requirement that a block on the border, if it can be moved, it must be moved to make the math come out as exact as possible. That's for Senate and Assembly. The same rule applies to towns. When you get to the smaller towns upstate, if you're all done, you have a nice, neat district, you go around the border and you find that a small town can be moved to the other side, even though it may look unsightly on a map, you must move it. Block on border, town on border. And what we have done, I know with our option, is once you break it down, the great

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 big towns like down on Long Island, we treat them 3 the same as a broken city. Once you break it, 4 you're into block on border requirements, which 5 often does a terrible job on particularly urban neighborhoods. But that's the state 6 7 constitution. Federal, they make you go to the 8 person. MR. GALLAGHER: I understand that. 9 I'm just going to summarize. I'm not happy with the 10 11 process at all. It does not seem that open to me 12 and my intent is to push for either an 13 independent commission or accept intervention by 14 the courts. And I would support either of those 15 processes. If you have any questions on things I 16 didn't ask out loud, you may ask them. 17 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very 18 much. 19 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Senator Dilan. 20 SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN, NYS LEGISLATIVE 21 TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND 2.2 REAPPORTIONMENT: Yes. I believe I have to 23 comment, otherwise I feel I will be remiss. 24 One of the co-chairs mentioned that all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

members on this commission are equal. I believe that same person indicated that the six of us are responsible for the drawing of the maps. One, I must a that—also I believe it was said that the Assembly drew the maps for the Assembly and the Senate drew the maps for the Senate. Response to that question - the Assembly majority drew the maps for the Assembly and the Senate majority drew the maps for the Senate. With respect to all members being equal, I can say publicly, and I believe that I've indicated this publicly before, I took no role in drawing any lines and at no moment was that shared with me. I actually saw the draft lines about an hour before they were released to the public. So I actively did not play any role because the majorities in both houses were not inclusive of some of the members of this panel.

MR. GALLAGHER: Thank you. I have one other response you reminded me. Jack, you said the world, this is the world we live in, in response to—

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [interposing]

24

1

Meaning the state constitution, the Voting Rights Act, the fact that in every legislative body on a state and federal level, we know that seniority is a highly significant factor. This is how legislators function. The House of Representatives, for example, in that freshmen and sophomores, if you will, don't have the same input, don't get the same appointments or opportunities as people that have been there for several years. And whether that's right or wrong, it is the way it is. And we know that if your district is represented by a senior member and he is gerrymandered by a good government group in with someone else who is a senior member, since people tend to use gerrymander rather loosely, that one of those senior members is going to have to go. And there will be another district next to it perhaps having the bulk of what the other senior member who lost had which will now have a freshman. And that is, unfortunately, in that political world, what we live in. You will be represented by a freshman. Which may or may not, and probably will not give

1	Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	your district the same kind of service and
3	influence in the legislative body that the senior
4	member had. On the other hand, if the senior
5	member hasn't done a good job, that's what we
6	have primaries and election for, to throw them
7	out.
8	MR. GALLAGHER: And my response to that
9	is the world they live in, which is the
10	legislature, the rules govern that process, which
11	is unequal for freshmen. And you have a voice on
12	the rules. And I just wanted to point out that I
13	trimmed out two and a half pages of my testimony.
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very
15	much.
16	MR. GALLAGHER: Thank you.
17	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: We appreciate
18	your work. I know we get adversarial at times,
19	you and I, but we do appreciate your work—
20	MR. GALLAGHER: [interposing] Oh, I
21	don't mind.
22	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: -and your
23	sincerity.
24	MR. GALLAGHER: I don't mind.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Edward Cramp.

MR. EDWARD CRAMP: Thank you. My name is Edward Cramp. I'm a resident of the Plymouth Exchange neighborhood, which is near the 19th Ward that so many people have talked about so far. Of course in the southwest. I'm a recent graduate of the University of Rochester, so, but I won't be talking about that, so don't worry about that.

And an advocate for electoral reform as well as a registered voter who has voted in both elections in the state that I've been old enough to vote in.

As most people have actually covered a large portion of what I'm going to talk about, I'm going to focus—

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [interposing] - - courtesy, could you get closer to the mic?

MR. CRAMP: Closer: Okay. Most people have already covered a lot of the content that I would have covered, so I'm going to focus on what things are different about mine. For instance, I'm fairly concerned about the apportionment between upstate and downstate. The differences,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012

essentially the, in the Senate particularly, the 8% difference between almost all of the districts upstate and the districts downstate. Which was covered by Robert Maurer earlier.

It was cited earlier that this would probably never hold up to the standard of Reynolds vs. Simms in the 1964 case which, frankly, doesn't really matter because the case sort of redefined that later on in '73, which allowed for 8 to 10% variation. And so what is done there is perfectly within right, that you don't have to justify the 8 to 10% variation there because that has been deemed acceptable, at least to some degree, under that case, which was, what, Gaffney [phonetic] vs. Cummins [phonetic]. However, I would probably cite the fact that in the three dissenting on that case, they showed concern that, setting this precedent that there is a percentage which you don't have to justify will make that legislators won't necessarily need to justify and won't see the need to justify or even try to diminish beyond that 8% or whatever it happens to be, because there is no need.

24

won't be reviewed on that because it's already been accepted as legitimate. I would say that this is a concern because 8% isn't, or 10% isn't often usually where it's put because there's a case that also sets the top end, the boundary that would be considered at 11.9, is not a negligible amount to me. I would rather be a voter than nine-tenths of a voter. I think that 10% is significant. And so while I understand the difficulty of getting rid of that variation and the fact that certain geographical limitations make it difficult to change where, what districts are where and how to get those numbers to match, we do have an example of how it can be done in the - - cause maps which get the variation to about half of what the LATFOR maps present in the extremes and actually don't have a consistent up and downstate difference, they pretty much average out to, I think both are about negative .5% mean variation. So they don't show this consistent problem and they do it by relocating one district. In particular in the Senate one way and the House the other, whichever

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

way will essentially get rid of the population difference. I think that feature is a problem that we've seen can be dealt with. I don't know if the Common Cause maps is the way it should be dealt with, but it could be done. And before this Cummins case was presented and 8% was acceptable, would have been done at least back until it wasn't even a consideration before the Simms case. So that's one concern. I quess the other one, again, has been brought up before is notably the fact that we have three Senate districts represented in the city instead of one where the city's population could entirely fit within one Senate district with 100,000 to spare and you could actually fit other communities in there, Brighton and Irondequoit - - fit in, by Common Cause maps.

And the problem I have with this essentially isn't that could be done and that it's the city and I want the city, but that the city does have a unique character, it is a different population than some of the things it is grouped with. For instance, in this case,

1	Page 13 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012
2	almost anything beyond the first ring suburbs,
3	pretty much, has a different concern. They're
4	not the same community of interest. And I know
5	this has been said before.
6	MS. LEVINE: [interposing] Mr. Cramp,
7	you need to summarize, please.
8	MR. CRAMP: What?
9	MS. LEVINE: You need to summarize
10	please.
11	MR. CRAMP: Okay.
12	MS. LEVINE: Thank you.
13	MR. CRAMP: Since you heard that one,
14	we'll skip off on that. One thing I was curious,
15	regarding the comment to Carol Aragon on the
16	Voter Rights Act and districts, particularly
17	district, Assembly District 138. You said that,
18	it is my understanding that the Voters Right Act
19	potentially makes it that minorities, if they
20	could have majority in a district will have a
21	district that represents that, correct?
22	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Section Two
23	says that if you have a clear cut majority, 51%,
24	then you really have to do that. Unless there's

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 a very compelling reason not to. Section Five talks about a minority opportunity district as 3 4 opposed to a minority majority. And a minority 5 opportunity district, we have concerns, it's, you obviously can't make them a majority if they're 6 7 We have concerns that we don't diminish whatever potential voice they have at the polls. 8 9 Okav. So in the second case MR. CRAMP: they would not have to have a majority, just 10 11 simply-12 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [interposing] 13 They should have consideration, but there are 14 other considerations which can come in here as 15 well. Including geography, math, all kinds of 16 And that's what we have the courts for, 17 when people challenge that type of thing. MR. CRAMP: Was this the circumstance 18 19 that Assembly District 138 fell under? 20 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: That Gantt 21 proposed? Which one is that? 2.2 MR. CRAMP: The scorpion tail. 23 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: The one, no, I 24 think the one in the middle with the stronger

1 Demographic Research and Reapportionment, 2-15-2012 2 minority district dictated the odd shape of the districts around it. 3 4 MR. CRAMP: Okay. 5 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: And then that was to not diminish the minority voice that we 6 7 had there. 8 MR. CRAMP: Okay. Thank you. 9 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much. 10 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. 11 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Tracey Harrison. MR. TRACEY HARRISON, 1199 SEIU: Good 12 13 afternoon, Senators and guests. My name is Tracey Harrison. I work for 1199 SEIU as an 14 15 administrative organizer. I represent the 16 workers at Strong Memorial Hospital and Anthony -17 - Health Center, who are members of the 1199 18 It is my job to work with management and 19 coworkers to solutions for problems on a daily 20 basis. 21 Just a point, our Vice President, Bruce 2.2 Popper, who wanted to be here today, 23 unfortunately was called away, he sends his

24

regards.

23

24

1

1199 SEIU United Healthcare Workers East represents thousands of workers at western New York hospitals, healthcare centers and nursing Our members have the most direct contact homes. with the residents of the nursing home and the patients at these facilities. With over 2,000 members in Monroe County, a substantial majority of our members are city residents and are heavily invested in their neighborhoods. They are New Yorkers who pay their taxes, contribute economically, socially, spiritually to their communities and they vote. Over the past decade, the city of Rochester has put but a small sliver been represented by two state senators who resides in Monroe County. The proposed lines for the state Senate in Rochester are, to put it mildly, confounding. As you well known, the city of Rochester would, under the proposed plan, be represented, by three state senators in the county as a whole by six. Four of these senators would reside far outside of Monroe County. The city would be represented part by a senator based out of a Buffalo suburb and a senator whose

district would include the town of Naples.

2

3

Naples is an hour drive from the county

4 legislator chamber and from downtown Rochester.

5 Our region's largest employer is the University

of Rochester. SEIU represents many of the

7 workers at the medical center and on the other

8 campus of the university. A large number of

9 these employees live in the neighborhoods

10 surrounding the university. They participated in

11 revitalization of these neighborhoods and have

12 helped the university and the medical center

become a world leader in education and medicine.

We've worked closely with our elected officials

15 who had an intimate understanding of the problems

and challenges facing our communities and because

of their vested interest in addressing the major

portion of the district they represent, have made

19 progress and improvement of quality of life, not

just for our workers, but for their extended

21 families and neighbors. Under this proposal, a

22 district based in Buffalo suburb would not

23 include the university and its surrounding

24 neighborhoods.

23

24

1

Establishing districts that puts disparate and distant communities together with a seemingly intended consequence of diluting the votes and voices of city residents is wrong. There have been other proposed redistricting plans by good government groups, academics, regular citizens, which are legal and do a varied, but excellent job keeping communities of interest together while meeting the strict guidelines established by the state and federal This plan looks nothing those plans. law. understand that this is an initial proposal. also understand that the governor and various courts have weighed disapprovingly on the redistricting process in New York State.

Being a union that represents a large number of city residents, we cannot support a proposal such as this one and are disappointed that this commission thought it appropriate to begin the important conversation with these flawed districts. We ask that you take consideration and our thoughts. And thank you for your time.

1

17

2 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you. Thank you That concludes those who have signed 3 very much. 4 up to testify. Is there anyone in the audience 5 which wish, who wishes to provide some input to the commission? Hearing none, this concludes the 6 22nd hearing of the task force. Anyone who is 7 watching these proceedings is welcome to 8 9 participate by submitting any written comments to 10 the LATFOR website. Those comments will be 11 collected and part of the permanent record. 12 Thank you very, very much, those who participated 13 in this hearing. And appreciate the efforts and 14 also the hospitality of the Monroe County 15 Legislature. Thank you very much. 16

(The public hearing concluded at 2:15 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Jennifer Glass, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 139, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Jennifer Glass, Transcriptionist

February 27, 2012